

Carboxylation of Terminal Alkynes Promoted by Silver Carbamate at Ambient Pressure

*Giulio Bresciani, Fabio Marchetti, Guido Pampaloni**

Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Via Moruzzi 13, I-56124 Pisa.

CIRCC, via Celso Ulpiani 27, I-70126 Bari, Italy.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.


* E-mail: guido.pampaloni@unipi.it

Abstract.

Transition metal carbamates constitute a class of compounds with unique properties, however their catalytic potential has been sparingly explored so far. The easily available silver *N,N*-dimethylcarbamate, Ag(O₂CNMe₂), worked as a catalyst in the carboxylation reaction of terminal alkynes with CO₂ at atmospheric pressure. Different reaction parameters (solvent, base, temperature, time and amount of catalyst) were investigated in order to establish the optimal conditions.

Introduction

The use of carbon dioxide as a non toxic and inexpensive C1 synthon to obtain valuable chemicals represents a foremost topic of contemporary chemistry research, in view of developing new convenient and sustainable synthetic processes.¹ Among the large variety of studied organic reactions exploiting CO₂, the carboxylation of terminal alkynes to afford propiolic acids is one of the most intriguing ones in terms of added-value products. Indeed, propiolic acids are useful intermediates to produce polymers and molecules of pharmaceutical interest, such as flavones, coumarins, spirobenzofuranones and vinyl sulfide.² The classical synthetic strategy to access propiolic acids consists in the oxidation of propargylic alcohols³ or aldehyde derivatives,⁴ and the carboxylation of terminal alkynes represents an alternative and more sustainable route. The latter consists in alkyne deprotonation by a suitable base, with the resulting carbanion adding CO₂ to finally afford the propiolic acid (**Scheme 1**).²



Scheme 1. Base(B)-assisted synthesis of propiolic acids by carboxylation of terminal alkynes.

Saegusa and co-workers reported the first example of a metal-mediated carboxylation of terminal alkynes using a stoichiometric amount of copper(I) or silver(I) *tert*-butoxides.⁵ The first catalytic reaction was published 20 years later exploiting silver(I) and copper(I) salts in the presence of an excess of K₂CO₃, under ambient CO₂ pressure at 100 °C.⁶ Since this pioneering work, the attention to carboxylation reactions of alkynes has exponentially increased, and several catalytic systems have been developed to reach satisfying conversions under mild conditions.⁷ It is believed that copper and silver species may work as optimal catalysts due to the tendency of such metal centers to activate carbon-carbon triple bonds,⁸ thus various Cu(I)⁹ and Ag(I) complexes,¹⁰ copper conjugated polymers¹¹ and silver nanoparticles¹² have been proposed in this regard. Nevertheless,

also dinuclear Mo-alkoxides,¹³ lanthanide amidates¹⁴ and metal free systems¹⁵ have been evaluated. Several of the efficient molecular metal compounds require CO₂ pressure > 1 atm and temperatures above 100 °C in order to gain acceptable conversion values.¹⁶

Metal *N,N*-dialkylcarbamates are easily accessible and cost effective materials of general formula M(O₂CNR₂)_n, being usually obtained by treatment of a range of metal chloride precursors with dialkylamines in the presence of CO₂ at atmospheric pressure (Eq. 1).¹⁷



A limited number of transition metal carbamates have found application as catalytic precursors in various reactions, such as the hydrogenation of alkenes,¹⁸ and the polymerization of alkenes¹⁹ and cyclic esters.²⁰

Despite such class of versatile metal complexes display interesting chemical-physical properties^{17,21} and constitute in turn an intrinsic form of CO₂ activation, their employment in metal promoted carboxylation reactions still remains in its infancy. However, we recently reported that Fe(O₂CNEt₂)₃ is effective in the synthesis of cyclic carbonates by CO₂ addition to epoxides at ambient temperature and pressure, in the presence of NBu₄Br as a co-catalyst.²² Remarkably, the proposed catalytic system takes advantage of a dynamic pre-activation of carbon dioxide, incorporated within the metal structure as a carbamato ligand, as it has been outlined by DFT calculations and NMR spectroscopy. Analogously, carbamates of tin, aluminum and copper are active in the epoxide-CO₂ coupling process under mild conditions.²³


Herein, we explore for the first time the catalytic potential of copper and silver carbamates, showing their performance in the carboxylation reactions of terminal alkynes.

Results and discussion

We selected the silver and copper carbamates Ag^I(O₂CNR₂), R = Me, Et, Cu^I(O₂CN^{*i*}Pr₂) and Cu^{II}(O₂CNEt₂)₂ in order to assess their catalytic activity in the formation of phenylpropionic acid from phenylacetylene and CO₂, selected as a model process. The reactions were carried out in a

solvent (THF, DMSO or DMF) during 24 h at 50 °C and 1 atm, in the presence of a base (KOH or Cs₂CO₃), see Table 1.

Table 1. Carboxylation of phenylacetylene catalyzed by Ag(I), Cu(I) and Cu(II) carbamates ^[a].



Entry	Catalyst	Base	Solvent	Yield [%] ^[b]
1	Cu(O₂CNEt₂)₂	KOH	THF	---
2	Ag(O₂CNMe₂)	KOH	THF	---
3	Cu(O₂CNEt₂)₂	KOH	DMSO	2
4	Ag(O₂CNMe₂)	KOH	DMSO	1
5	Ag(O₂CNMe₂)	KOH	DMF	---
6	Ag(O₂CNMe₂)	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMSO	45
7	Cu(O₂CNⁱPr₂)	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMF	37
8	Cu(O₂CNEt₂)₂	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMF	20
9	Ag(O₂CNMe₂)	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMF	60
10	Ag(S₂CNEt₂)	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMF	54
11	----	Cs ₂ CO ₃	DMF	15

^[a] Reaction conditions: phenylacetylene (0.22 mL, 2.0 mmol), catalyst 1 mol%, base 3.0 mmol, solvent 10 mL, T = 50 °C, p(CO₂) = 1 bar, t = 24 h.

^[b] Referred to isolated product after work-up (see Experimental for details).

The silver carbamate Ag(O₂CNMe₂) is the best catalyst, leading to the isolation of phenylpropionic acid in 60% yield, after work-up. The use of DMF and Cs₂CO₃ revealed to be the optimal solvent/base combination. A blank experiment (Table 1, entry 11) showed that a significant lower yield is achieved under the same conditions but in the absence of the catalyst. Since the silver carbamate exhibited a higher catalytic activity with respect to copper complexes, we used the former for additional studies. First, we investigated the effect of temperature (Table 2).

Table 2. Yield of phenylpropionic acid at different temperature using Ag(O₂CNMe₂) as catalyst ^[a].

Entry	Temperature [°C]	Yield [%] ^[b]
1	25	10
2	50	60
3	80	20
4	120	19

^[a] Reaction conditions: phenylacetylene (0.22 mL, 2.0 mmol), Ag(O₂CNMe₂) 1 mol%, Cs₂CO₃ 3.0 mmol, DMF 10 mL, p(CO₂) = 1 bar, t = 24 h.

^[b] Referred to isolated product after work-up (see Experimental for details).

The results reported in Table 2 indicate that temperatures above 50 °C are detrimental in terms of yield; this trend might be associated to a very rapid decrease of CO₂ solubility in DMF over 50 °C.²⁴

The influence of the amount of catalyst on the product yield was evaluated from a minimum of 0.5 mol% to a maximum of 5 mol% (Table 3).

Table 3. Yield of phenylpropionic acid using different amounts of catalyst ^[a].

Entry	Amount of Ag(O ₂ CNMe ₂) [mol%]	Yield [%] ^[b]
1	0.5	39
2	1	60
3	2	77
4	5	53

^[a] Reaction conditions: phenylacetylene (0.22 mL, 2.0 mmol), Cs₂CO₃ 3.0 mmol, DMF 10 mL, p(CO₂) = 1 bar, t = 24 h.

^[b] Referred to isolated product after work-up (see Experimental for details).

The data indicate a favourable effect of the increase of catalyst amount until 2 mol% (Table 3, Entry 3). However, a further increase to 5 mol% determines a negative effect.

The reactions carried out in DMSO or THF under the optimized conditions afforded slightly lower yields compared to the reaction performed in DMF (Table 4). On the other hand, replacing the methyl groups with ethyl ones within the carbamate ligand resulted in moderate lowering of the product yield (Table 4, Entry 4).

Table 4. Yield of phenylpropionic acid in various conditions ^[a].

Entry		Solvent	Temperature [°C]	Yield [%] ^[b]
1 ^[c]	Ag(O₂CNMe₂)	DMF	50	35
2	Ag(O₂CNMe₂)	DMSO	50	66
3	Ag(O₂CNMe₂)	THF	50	72
4	Ag(O₂CNEt₂)	DMF	50	65
5	Ag(O₂CNMe₂)	DMF	80	20
6	Ag(O₂CNMe₂)	DMF	50	77



^[a] Reaction conditions: phenylacetylene (0.22 mL, 2.0 mmol), catalyst 2mol%, Cs₂CO₃ 3.0 mmol, solvent 10 mL, T = 50°C, p(CO₂) = 1 bar, t = 24 h.

^[b] Referred to isolated product after work-up (see Experimental for details).

^[c] Cs₂CO₃ 4.0 mmol.

Having established that Ag(O₂CNMe₂), in combination with Cs₂CO₃, is an effective system for the carboxylation of phenylacetylene, we decided to expand the scope of the present study to other terminal alkynes (Table 5).


Table 5. Yield of propiolic acids obtained by carboxylation of terminal alkynes using $\text{Ag}(\text{O}_2\text{CNMe}_2)$ as catalyst.



The results show that, in general, phenylacetylenes are prone to carboxylation, leading to isolation of the corresponding propiolic acids in satisfying yields, irrespective of the position and nature of the substituents. Likewise, the reaction worked well with alkynes containing electron-withdrawing groups, i.e. trimethylsilylacetylene and methyl propiolate. Lower yields were achieved with alkynes substituted with fused aromatic rings, alkyl chains and a pyridine moiety.

Interestingly, the reaction with 1,4-diethynylbenzene supplied almost quantitative selectivity for the diacid derivative, since only traces of the other possible monoacidic product were detected by ^1H NMR.

It is presumable that the $\text{Ag}(\text{O}_2\text{CNMe}_2)$ -mediated formation of propiolic acid proceeds through the generally accepted catalytic cycle reported in Scheme 1.1^a However, a CO_2 dynamic exchange (see Introduction)²² might be working too.



Scheme 1. Possible mechanism of Ag-promoted carboxylation of terminal alkynes.

Conclusions

The use of carbon dioxide as a convenient C1 synthon in organic synthesis is a topic of great interest due to environmental and economic issues, and in this context the carboxylation of terminal alkynes represents an attractive strategy to afford propiolic acids. On the other hand, transition metal carbamates are easily accessible materials representing an intrinsic form of CO_2 activation and possessing unique properties. However, the catalytic potential of transition metal carbamates

remains largely investigated. Herein, we have assessed silver and copper complexes containing carbamato ligands as catalysts for the carboxylation of a series of terminal alkynes under ambient CO₂ pressure. Ag(O₂CNMe₂), in combination with Cs₂CO₃ in DMF solution, was found to be the most efficient system, and the produced propiolic acids were isolated in moderate to good yields after work-up.

Experimental section

General experimental details. All the operations were carried out under an atmosphere of pre-purified nitrogen. The reaction vessels were oven dried at 140 °C prior to use, evacuated (10⁻² mmHg) and then filled with nitrogen. CO₂ (99.99%) was purchased from Rivoira (Chivasso, Italy). Deuterated solvents, organic and inorganic reactants were commercial products (Sigma Aldrich, TCI Europe or Strem) of the highest purity available and stored under nitrogen atmosphere as received. Solvents (Sigma Aldrich) were distilled before use over appropriate drying agents. Cu(O₂CNEt₂)₂,²⁵ Cu(O₂CNⁱPr)₂²⁶ and Ag(O₂CNMe₂)²⁶ were prepared according to literature procedures. Infrared spectra (solid state) were recorded at room temperature on a FTIR-Perkin Elmer Spectrometer, equipped with a UATR sampling accessory. NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K on a Bruker Avance II DRX400 instrument equipped with a BBFO broadband probe. Chemical shifts (expressed in ppm) are referenced to the residual solvent peaks.²⁷

Synthesis and characterization of Ag(O₂CNEt₂).

Ag(O₂CNEt₂) was prepared by a slight modification of the procedure reported in literature.²⁶ A solution of diethylamine (8.0 mL, 78 mmol) in heptane (120 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and saturated with CO₂. When the gas absorption was terminated, the reaction flask was carefully covered with aluminum foil and Ag₂O (3.0 g, 13 mmol) was added to the solution. The mixture was allowed to slowly return to room temperature during the stirring (20 h). The resulting solution was evaporated under vacuum, the residue was suspended in 50 mL of pentane, filtered and dried in vacuo at room

temperature. The light brown solid was collected and stored in the dark under N₂ atmosphere. Anal. Calcd. for C₅H₁₀AgNO₂: C, 26.81; H, 4.50; N, 6.25. Found: C, 26.73; H, 4.45; N, 6.33.

Reaction between terminal alkynes and carbon dioxide.

The appropriate amounts of catalyst and base were introduced into a Schlenk tube with the solvent (10 mL). The tube was evacuated and filled with CO₂. The vacuum/CO₂ sequence was repeated twice. The alkyne (2 mmol) was added under a stream of carbon dioxide, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 50 °C and ambient pressure. After 24 h, the mixture was treated with a potassium carbonate solution (2 M, 10 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 hour and washed with dichloromethane (3 x 20 mL). The aqueous layer was acidified with concentrated HCl to pH = 1 and extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The organic phases were collected, dried over Na₂SO₄ and filtered. The volatiles were evaporated under vacuum affording the desired carboxylic acid. The purity of the products was checked by NMR spectroscopy (see Supporting Information, Table S1).

Supporting Information

NMR data (Table S1).

Acknowledgements

The University of Pisa (Fondi di Ateneo 2017) is gratefully acknowledged for financial support.

Reference

- [1] Selected recent reviews: (a) A. Tortajada, F. Juli-Hernandez, M. Börjesson, T. Moragas and R. Martin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, **57**, 15948-15982.
- (b) J. Luo and I. Larrosa, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 3317-3332.
- (c) T. Niemi and T. Repo, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, 1180-1188.
- (d) P. Garcia-Dominguez, L. Fehr, G. Rusconi and C. Nevado, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 3914-3918.
- (e) S. Wang and C. Xi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2019, **48**, 382-404.
- (f) J. Artz, T. E. Müller, K. Thenert, J. Kleinekorte, R. Meys, A. Sternberg, A. Bardow and W. Leitner, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 434-504.
- [2] F. Manjolinho, M. Arndt, K. Gooßen and L. J. Gooßen, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 2014-2021, and references therein.
- [3] S. Mannam and G. Sekar, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 2457-2460.
- [4] E. Dalcanale and F. Montanari, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1986, **51**, 567-569.
- [5] T. Tsuda, K. Ueda and T. Saegusa, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 1974, 380-381.
- [6] Y. Fukue, S. Oi and Y. Inoue, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 1994, 2091-2091.
- [7] (a) J. Hong, M. Li, J. Zhang, B. Sun and F. Mo, *ChemSusChem*, 2019, **12**, 6-39.
- (b) D. Yu, S. P. Teong and Y. Zhang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **293-294**, 279-291.
- [8] C. Qiao, Y. Cao and L.-N. He, *Mini-Rev. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **15**, 283-290.
- [9] (a) D. Yu and Y. Zhang, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 2010, **107**, 20184-20189.
- (b) M. Trivedi, G. Singh, A. Kumar and N. P. Rath, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 20874-20882.
- [10] C. X. Guo, B. Yu, J. N. Xie and L. N. He, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 474-479.
- [11] Y. Xie, B.-F. He, N.-Yu Huang and W.-Q Deng, *Adv. Mat. Res.*, 2013, **634-638**, 612-615.
- [12] (a) A. H. Chowdhury, S. Ghosh and Sk. M. Islam, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 14194-14202.

-
- (b) Z. Wu, Q. Liu, X. Yang, X. Ye, H. Duan, J. Zhang, B. Zhao and Y. Huang, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2017, **5**, 9634-9639.
- (c) X.-H. Liu J.-G. Ma, Z. Niu, G.-M Yang and P. Cheng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 988-991.
- [13] J.-H. Chen, C.-H. Deng, S. Fang, J.-G. Ma and P. Cheng, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**, 989-996.
- [14] H. Cheng, B. Zhao, Y. Yao and C. Lu, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 1675-1682.
- [15] (a) B. Yu, P. Yang, X. Gao, Z. Yang, Y. Zhao, H. Zhang and Z. Liu, *Sci. China Chem.*, 2018, **61**, 449-456.
- (b) X. Wang, Y. N. Lim; C. Lee, H.-Y. Jang and B. Y. Lee, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 1867-1871.
- [16] D. Yu and Y. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 1275-1279.
- [17] D. Belli Dell'Amico, F. Calderazzo, L. Labella, F. Marchetti and G. Pampaloni, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 3857-3897.
- [18] D. Belli Dell'Amico, F. Calderazzo, U. Englert, L. Labella, F. Marchetti and M. Specos, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, 3938-3945.
- [19] (a) A. M. Raspolli Galletti and G. Pampaloni, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 525-536;
- (b) F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, Y. Patil, A. M. Raspolli Galletti, F. Renili and S. Zacchini, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 1682-1688.
- (c) C. Forte, M. Hayatifar, G. Pampaloni, A. M. Raspolli Galletti, F. Renili and S. Zacchini, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polymer Chem.*, 2011, **49**, 3338-3345.
- [20] F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, C. Pinzino, F. Renili, T. Repo, and S. Vuorinen, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 2792-2802.
- [21] L. Biancalana, G. Bresciani, C. Chiappe, F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni and C. S. Pomelli, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 5057-5066.

-
- [22] G. Bresciani, M. Bortoluzzi, F. Marchetti, and G. Pampaloni, *ChemSusChem*, 2018, **11**, 2737-2743.
- [23] G. Bresciani, F. Marchetti, G. Rizzi, A. Gabbani, F. Pineider and G. Pampaloni, *J. CO₂ Util.*, 2015, **28**, 168-173.
- [24] A. Gennaro, A. A. Isse and E. Vianello, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 1990, **289**, 203-215.
- [25] E. Agostinelli, D. Belli Dell'Amico, F. Calderazzo and D. Fiorani, G. Pelizzi, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, 1988, **188**, 729-740.
- [26] R. Alessio, D. B. Dell'Amico, F. Calderazzo, U. Englert, A. Guarini, L. Labella and P. Strasser, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1998, **81**, 219-230.
- [27] G. R. Fulmer, A. J. M. Miller, N. H. Sherden, H. E. Gottlieb, A. Nudelman, B. M. Stoltz, J. E. Bercaw and K. I. Goldberg, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 2176-2179.

