



Review article

Neuroplasticity in adult human visual cortex

Elisa Castaldi^{a,*}, Claudia Lunghi^b, Maria Concetta Morrone^{c,d}^a Department of Neuroscience, Psychology, Pharmacology and Child Health, University of Florence, Florence, Italy^b Laboratoire des systèmes perceptifs, Département d'études cognitives, École normale supérieure, PSL University, CNRS, 75005 Paris, France^c Department of Translational Research and New Technologies in Medicine and Surgery, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy^d IRCCS Stella Maris, Calambrone (Pisa), Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

7T fMRI
Amblyopia
Binocular rivalry
Bionic eye
Blindness
Cortical excitability
Critical period
Cross-modal plasticity
Retinal prosthesis
Retinitis pigmentosa
Short-term monocular deprivation
Visual restoration

ABSTRACT

Between 1.5:100 people worldwide have never experienced normotypic vision due to a condition called amblyopia, and about 1:4000 suffer from inherited retinal dystrophies that progressively lead to blindness. While a wide range of technologies and therapies are being developed to restore vision, a fundamental question still remains unanswered: would the adult visual brain retain a sufficient plastic potential to learn how to 'see' after a prolonged period of abnormal visual experience? In this review we summarize studies showing that the visual brain of sighted adults retains a type of developmental plasticity, called homeostatic plasticity, and this property has been recently exploited successfully for adult amblyopia recovery. Next, we discuss how the brain circuits reorganize when blindness occurs and when visual stimulation is partially restored by means of a 'bionic eye' in late blind adults with Retinitis Pigmentosa. The primary visual cortex in these patients slowly became activated by the artificial visual stimulation, indicating that sight restoration therapies can rely on a considerable degree of spared plasticity in adulthood.

1. Introduction

Neuroplasticity is the ability of the nervous system to adapt and optimize its limited resources in response to physiological changes, injuries, new environmental demands and sensory experiences (Pascual-Leone et al., 2005).

Early in the individual's development, during the so called *critical period*, the intrinsic plastic potential of the nervous systems is maximal and sensory deprivation events can cause profound morphological alterations of sensory cortices, preventing the normal development of sensory functions (Berardi et al., 2000; Wiesel and Hubel, 1963). Classical studies in the visual system of kittens and non-human primates demonstrated that even a few days of abnormal visual experience during the period of high susceptibility can cause severe visual impairment that cannot be recovered even after prolonged periods of restored vision (Hubel and Wiesel, 1970; Hubel et al., 1977; Wiesel and Hubel, 1963, 1965b). Visual cortical plasticity is typically assessed by using the experimental paradigm of *monocular deprivation*: occluding one eye for a few weeks after birth causes atrophy of the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) layers representing the deprived eye, reorganization of primary visual cortex (V1) ocular dominance columns, with ocular dominance shifting in favor of the open eye and with only a

few neurons responding to the deprived eye (Hubel and Wiesel, 1970; Hubel et al., 1977; Wiesel and Hubel, 1963). At the functional level, this shift of ocular dominance is reflected in lower visual acuity and reduced response to stimulation of the deprived eye, a condition known as *amblyopia* (for a review see: Levi and Carkeet, 1993). In adults, after the closure of the critical period, amblyopia cannot be induced or treated: in adult cats monocular deprivation has only minor or no effects, suggesting that the visual cortex retains very little or no experience-dependent plasticity in adulthood (Wiesel and Hubel, 1963). Similarly, in humans early visual deprivation or suboptimal visual experience (for example due to untreated congenital cataracts, astigmatism, myopia, etc.) leads to plastic reorganization of the visual cortices and permanent visual impairments that can hardly be recovered in adulthood even after corrections or visual restoration (Braddick and Atkinson, 2011; Fine et al., 2002, 2003; Maurer et al., 2005, 2007). The ocular dominance imbalance induced by early altered monocular inputs can be efficiently treated by occluding the dominant eye for prolonged periods of time (occlusion therapy) but only within the critical period (Webber and Wood, 2005), whereas only modest improvements of visual function are observed when therapy is performed in adulthood (Fronius et al., 2014).

While neuroplasticity is preserved in adulthood for higher-level

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: elisa.castaldi@gmail.com (E. Castaldi).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.02.028>

Received 3 May 2019; Received in revised form 30 December 2019; Accepted 20 February 2020

Available online 21 February 2020

0149-7634/ © 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

functions, endorsing learning and memory (Fuchs and Flugge, 2014), the absence of experience-dependent changes observed after the closure of the critical period led researchers to consider the visual system, and in particular early visual cortex, as hard-wired and with no spared plastic potential, especially for ocular dominance. However, several recent lines of evidence have put this assumption into question: in animal models, ocular dominance plasticity can be reactivated after the closure of the critical period by manipulating the visual cortex excitability, either pharmacologically or through environmental enrichment and physical activity (Baroncelli et al., 2010, 2012; Berardi et al., 2015; Harauzov et al., 2010; He et al., 2006; Hensch and Fagioli, 2005; Hensch et al., 1998; Maya Vetencourt et al., 2008; Pizzorusso et al., 2002; Sale et al., 2007, 2014; Spolidoro et al., 2009). In adult humans, evidence of preserved visual plasticity has been demonstrated by behavioral and neural changes associated with perceptual learning (Beyeler et al., 2017; Doshier and Lu, 2017; Fiorentini and Berardi, 1980; Watanabe and Sasaki, 2015), short term visual deprivation (Binda et al., 2018; Binda and Lunghi, 2017; Lunghi et al., 2011, 2013, 2015a,b, 2019; Lunghi and Sale, 2015; Zhou et al., 2013, 2014), progressive blinding pathologies and visual restoration therapies (Aguirre et al., 2016; Baseler et al., 2011a; Burton, 2003; Castaldi et al., 2016; Cunningham et al., 2015a, b; Dormal et al., 2015; Heimler et al., 2014). The existence of a spared plastic potential in the adult visual cortex is also demonstrated by the fact that deafferented visual areas can be recruited by other senses or functions also when blindness occurs late in life. For example, some studies reported that the visual cortex can be recruited during Braille reading in both early and late blind adults (Buchel et al., 1998; Burton et al., 2002a, b). Importantly, the intensity and spatial extent of this cross-modal activation was reduced in late-with respect to early-blind adults. Therefore, it may be more appropriate to consider cortical plasticity as being regulated according to a *sensitive period*, rather than a critical period. The sensitive period includes the critical period, but extends over a wider temporal window (for a review see: Voss, 2013).

The cellular mechanisms promoting neuroplasticity during development have been extensively studied in non-human animals (Smith et al., 2009). Cortical wiring in developing neural systems is determined both by molecular cues, responsible for neural migration and formation of synaptic contacts, as well as by activity-dependent mechanisms that fine tune and optimize the number and strength of synaptic connections through *Hebbian plasticity* (Levelt and Hubener, 2012). This is mediated by Long Term Potentiation and Depression (LTP/LTD) mechanisms that have a crucial role also in learning and memory (Malenka and Bear, 2004) and in defining the critical period (Berardi et al., 2000; Hensch and Quinlan, 2018; Levelt and Hubener, 2012). In addition to Hebbian plasticity, another form of plasticity (named *homeostatic plasticity*) acts to maintain the overall balance of the network excitability (Maffei and Turrigiano, 2008a). This may have an important role given that LTP/LTD themselves might lead to destabilization of neural circuits: continuous feedback cycles might lead to the progressive strengthening/weakening of synaptic connections and, consequently, to an excessive excitability/loss (Turrigiano and Nelson, 2000). Through mechanisms such as synaptic scaling, synaptic redistribution and changes in neural excitability, the homeostatic plasticity promotes stability in the neural circuits by readjusting the overall level of network activity to optimize responses to sensory experiences and perturbations (Abbott and Nelson, 2000; Maffei and Turrigiano, 2008a, b; Turrigiano, 2012; Turrigiano and Nelson, 2000). After short-term deprivation, and during the critical period, homeostatic plasticity boosts the signals from the deprived eye, in an attempt to compensate the deprivation effects. Recent evidence suggests that this homeostatic synaptic rescaling may remain active even after the closure of the critical period and support neuroplasticity throughout the life span. Interestingly, the visual cortex maintains homeostasis by normalizing the individual neural responses with the overall activity of a pool of neurons. This mechanism is especially important to efficiently control

contrast gain (Carandini and Heeger, 2011; Heeger, 1992). It is possible that homeostatic plasticity in adult humans may be implemented using similar cellular mechanisms mediating contrast gain (see later Lunghi et al., 2011).

Here, we review evidence for adult plasticity, and we speculate on the most likely mechanisms and principles supporting the residual ocular dominance plasticity in adulthood. A deeper understanding of the mechanisms regulating adult visual plasticity is crucial for visual restoration in late-blind individuals, considering that the various interventions (retinal prostheses but also pharmacological manipulations) are implemented monocularly: in principle the restored monocular signals might be gated at cortical level if ocular dominance plasticity cannot be endorsed.

We start by reviewing recent studies reporting perceptual and neural changes induced in healthy sighted individuals by temporary altering their visual experience and how these findings have recently led to the development of a non-invasive training for adult amblyopic patients. We discuss how these phenomena likely reflect homeostatic plasticity in adulthood. In the second part we discuss the neural changes following blinding diseases and, in particular, the plastic retinotopic remapping of the residual visual input, the cross-modal reorganization and the functional repurposing of the visual brain when the visual input is altered or interrupted. Finally, we describe the success and failures of the very first attempts of restoring vision in blind individuals.

2. Cortical plasticity in sighted adults revealed by short-term visual deprivation

2.1. Behavioral proxies for plasticity

Recent studies have introduced new behavioral techniques to infer and estimate the degree of residual plasticity for ocular dominance in adult sighted subjects, by combining binocular rivalry (Lunghi et al., 2011) and, more recently, pupillometry (Binda and Lunghi, 2017) with short periods of monocular deprivation. *Binocular rivalry* is a form of bistable perception that is generated whenever two incompatible images are separately projected to the eyes. In such conditions the visual perception alternates between the two monocular images which take turn in dominating visual awareness (Blake and Logothetis, 2002; Levelt, 1965). Binocular rivalry is one of the most robust psychophysical methods used to assess sensory eye dominance (Ooi and He, 2001): under normal conditions, the average time in which the image presented to each eye dominated the observer's perception is similar for the two eyes, reflecting balanced ocular dominance. Lunghi et al. (2011) first observed that visually depriving healthy adult individuals through monocular occlusion with a translucent patch for 150 min profoundly altered ocular dominance measured with binocular rivalry. Surprisingly the stimulus presented to the deprived eye dominates twice as long as the one displayed in the non-deprived eye after deprivation. The effect, although progressively attenuated, lasted up to 90 or 180 min after patch removal (Lunghi et al., 2013). After patch removal the apparent contrast increased for a short time, suggesting an up-regulation of the contrast gain-control mechanisms in the occluded eye that boosted the neuronal responses to compensate for the reduced incoming signal. The altered dynamics of binocular rivalry and the contrast gain enhancement after monocular deprivation suggested the existence of a spared plastic potential in adult individuals; these phenomena most likely reflect a form of homeostatic plasticity attempting to maintain stability of the overall network activity and to optimize the individual's new visual experience.

Even though the perceptual effects of short-term monocular deprivation might be, in principle, interpreted as contrast adaptation, growing evidence indicates that they reflect a genuine form of plasticity. For example, even though deprivation alters apparent contrast, the 36 % boost in apparent contrast is not sufficient to explain the change




Fig. 1. Short-term visual deprivation induces functional reorganization of cortical circuits in sighted adult humans.

(A) During 7 T fMRI scanning participants' eyes were separately stimulated with band-pass noise and BOLD responses were measured before and after 2 h of monocular deprivation. The flat map shows extensive BOLD response before deprivation elicited by the noise stimuli in all visual areas. (B) The percentage BOLD signal measured in V1 elicited by stimulation of the deprived eye and non-deprived eye was comparable before deprivation, while respectively enhanced and reduced after 2 h of monocular deprivation. (C) The neural changes described are reflected at behavioral level: mean phase duration during binocular rivalry are balanced across the two eyes before deprivation, while mean phases are longer/shorter after deprivation for the deprived/non-deprived eye respectively. (D) These perceptual effects correlate with the deprivation index measured with fMRI. (A–D) Reproduced from Figure 1 and Figure 3 (Binda et al., 2018), eLife, published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC BY 4.0; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)."

in ocular dominance (Lunghi et al., 2011), and when chromatic vision is specifically targeted (using iso-luminant visual stimuli), the effect can out-last the duration of deprivation, lasting for up to 3 h (Lunghi et al., 2013). Moreover, two recent studies (Bai et al., 2017; Ramamurthy and Blaser, 2018) have shown that changes in ocular dominance can be observed by altering the monocular input without reducing monocular contrast, pointing to a crucial role of inter-ocular correlation in mediating ocular dominance plasticity. This is consistent with the etiology of amblyopia which can be produced by strabismus (Kiorpes et al., 1998) where both eyes receive good vision but without spatial congruency. Another direct proof that the transient changes in ocular dominance are an expression of homeostatic plasticity is given by the long term improvement of vision in adult amblyopic patients who received a short-term monocular deprivation of the amblyopic eye for 6 short sessions. The improvement in visual acuity of about 2 lines lasted for up to one year, indicating long-term neuroplastic changes (Lunghi et al., 2019).

Binda and Lunghi (2017) more recently tackled another biomarker of neuroplasticity in adult humans by demonstrating that monocular deprivation affects spontaneous low frequency oscillations of the pupil diameter at rest, a phenomenon called *hippus* (Diamond, 2001). The authors measured pupillary oscillations before and after monocular occlusion, following the same paradigm as Lunghi et al. (2011), and found that hippus amplitude increased after visual deprivation and that participants with more pronounced pupillary fluctuations also showed stronger ocular dominance changes in binocular rivalry dynamics. The procedures to measure these two proxies of neuroplasticity are completely non-invasive and suitable for application in clinical populations.

2.2. Short-term visual deprivation alters visual neural responses

A substantial number of studies investigating the cortical effects of visual deprivation in adult humans have suggested that plasticity might be mediated by changes in the excitation/inhibition balance of the visual cortex (Binda et al., 2018; Boroojerdi et al., 2000; Fierro et al., 2005; Lou et al., 2011; Lunghi et al., 2015a; Pitskel et al., 2007).

The first studies (Boroojerdi et al., 2000; Fierro et al., 2005; Pitskel et al., 2007) applied TMS pulses to the occipital cortex of a sufficient strength to elicit light perception (phosphene) in absence of visual stimulation. The minimum intensity needed to elicit phosphene perception (PT, phosphene threshold) is an indirect measure of cortical excitability. Boroojerdi et al. (2000) showed that after 45 min of binocular light deprivation PT was reduced in healthy adult subjects, suggesting increased cortical excitability, and this effect persisted for the entire deprivation period (180 min). Re-exposure to light reverted the process and PT returned to pre-deprivation values within 120 min. Interestingly the same study provided an additional measure of cortical responsiveness, independent of the subjects' perception: neural activity in striate and extrastriate cortices, as measured by BOLD responses with functional magnetic imaging (fMRI), was enhanced after 60 min of blind-folding and the increased fMRI signal persisted for at least 30 min after re-exposure to light.

Monocular deprivation might cause different cortical effects with respect to blindfolding, possibly due to inter-ocular competition which is absent in the case of binocular deprivation. In fact, evidence from non-human animal studies has shown that, compared with monocular deprivation, binocular deprivation performed during the critical period induces a more modest reorganization of visual cortical circuits (Wiesel

and Hubel, 1965a). In adult humans, monocular deprivation was shown to cause a decrease in cortical excitability, rather than an increase, as measured by TMS PT (Lou et al., 2011). However, a recent study measuring pattern-onset visual evoked potentials (VEPs) before and after monocular deprivation described a more complex pattern of results with opposite effects for the two eyes (Lunghi et al., 2015a). The amplitude of the C1 component of the VEP responses, typically reflecting the earliest stage of visual processing in V1 (Di Russo et al., 2002), and the peak in alpha band after monocular deprivation were enhanced for the deprived eye, but reduced for the non-deprived eye. Moreover, the amplitude of later components, such as P1 and P2, were equally altered by monocular deprivation, suggesting that the variations in cortical excitability propagate to extrastriate areas and may vary feed-back projection to V1. Overall these results, in line with the perceptual changes observed after short-term monocular deprivation (described in section 2.1), suggest that the deprived and non-deprived eye are respectively strengthened or weakened after monocular deprivation, reflecting antagonistic homeostatic short-term plasticity in the two eyes.

Recently Binda et al. (2018) exploited the enhanced resolution and signal to noise ratio provided by ultra-high field (7 T) fMRI, to track ocular driven changes of BOLD responses in V1 before and after 2 h of monocular deprivation. During the scanning, participants' eyes were separately stimulated with either high contrast low- and high- band-pass noise (optimized to differentially stimulate the magno- and parvocellular pathways respectively) or with a luminance matched uniform background (Fig. 1A). BOLD responses to the high spatial frequency stimuli were strongly affected by monocular deprivation, in opposite directions for the two eyes: the percentage of BOLD signal measured in V1 elicited by stimulation of the deprived eye and non-deprived eye was respectively enhanced and reduced with respect to pre-deprivation values (Fig. 1B). The authors further calculated for each voxel an index of Ocular Dominance defined as the response difference to the deprived and non-deprived eye, which reflects the eye preference of a given particular voxel (average biased signal), although not strictly coinciding with the ocular dominance columns. Before deprivation, the ocular dominance indices were symmetrically distributed around zero, reflecting balanced V1 activity elicited by the two eyes. However, after deprivation the index strongly shifted toward a stronger activation from the deprived eye. Interestingly the voxels originally preferring the deprived eye did not change their preference (i.e. the average signal measured in these voxels continued to be biased toward the same eye), whereas the voxels originally preferring the non-deprived eye swapped their preference and were most strongly activated by stimulation of the deprived eye. Importantly the deprivation effect in BOLD responses correlated with the perceptual effect of deprivation as measured by increased mean phase duration for the deprived eye during binocular rivalry performed outside the scanner with the classic paradigm (Fig. 1C, D). Spatial frequency selectivity in V1 was also affected by deprivation: only responses elicited by high-spatial frequencies stimulation of the deprived eye were significantly reduced, while responses to low-spatial frequencies stimulation of the same eye, as well as to the whole frequencies range of the non-deprived eye, were unaffected. Population Spatial Frequency Tuning of V1 confirmed these effects and the shift of selectivity toward higher spatial frequency in the deprived eye correlated with the phase duration during binocular rivalry. Interestingly, the increase in BOLD response to the high-spatial frequency stimulation of the deprived eye was strongest in V1, V2, V3, attenuated but still significant in V4, while it was absent in V3a and hMT+. The enhanced signals measured along the ventral but not along the dorsal pathway suggested that plasticity acted more strongly on the parvocellular rather than the magnocellular pathway. This interpretation is also supported by the evidence that deprivation-induced changes in binocular rivalry dynamics with chromatic equi-luminant gratings resulted in much longer-lasting effects with respect to those measured with luminance-modulated gratings (Lunghi et al., 2013).

In summary, these experiments showed that complex patterns of neuroplastic responses can be induced in the visual cortex of sighted adults by short periods of visual deprivation, which rapidly alter the visual cortex's excitability and responsiveness to homeostatically adapt to the altered visual experience.

2.3. Neurochemical changes following short-term visual deprivation

The molecular mechanisms underlying experience-dependent plasticity have been widely investigated in animals (Berardi et al., 2003; Heimel et al., 2011). The maturation of intracortical inhibition has been proved to play a crucial role in regulating the progression of the critical period for ocular dominance and visual acuity (Berardi et al., 2003; Fagiolini et al., 2004; Fagiolini and Hensch, 2000; Hensch et al., 1998; Huang et al., 1999; Speed et al., 1991). For instance, increasing intracortical inhibition was shown to anticipate the opening and closure of the critical period for monocular deprivation in mice (Fagiolini and Hensch, 2000; Hanover et al., 1999; Huang et al., 1999), and transgenic animals lacking a GABA-synthesizing enzyme showed deficient ocular dominance plasticity to monocular deprivation which could be restored by increasing inhibitory transmission with benzodiazepines (Hensch et al., 1998). In adulthood, the increased inhibition may be a limiting factor for cortical plasticity and reducing GABAergic inhibition was shown to partially restore ocular dominance plasticity in adult rats and promote recovery from amblyopia (Harauzov et al., 2010; Maya Vetencourt et al., 2008). Interestingly, environmental enrichment and physical activity were recently found to be associated with reduced inhibitory tone in the rat's visual cortex, providing a potential non-invasive strategy to promote recovery from amblyopia (Baroncelli et al., 2010, 2012; Sale et al., 2007; Stryker, 2014).

In line with these results, one study recently showed that GABAergic inhibition plays a key role in promoting ocular dominance plasticity also in adult humans (Lunghi et al., 2015b). Participants underwent one psychophysical test measuring ocular dominance by means of binocular rivalry and one 7 T MR spectroscopy session before and after 150 min of monocular occlusion (same procedure as described in Section 2.1). The perceptual changes triggered by deprivation (resulting in the deprived eye dominating over the non-deprived eye) were associated with decreased GABA concentration in V1, at least when GABA concentration was assessed at rest, while participants kept their eyes closed. Specifically, participants with greater decrease in resting GABA concentration showed the greatest perceptual effects, i.e. perceptual boost and dominance of the deprived eye. Interestingly, other studies showed that fMRI responses in visual cortex inversely correlated with GABA concentration, potentially suggesting a link between GABA level and cortical excitation-inhibition balance (Donahue et al., 2010; Muthukumaraswamy et al., 2009).

Of course, GABAergic circuits are not the only ones mediating plasticity in visual cortex (Berardi et al., 2003). In non-human animals, ocular dominance plasticity can be restored also by enhancing excitatory neurotransmission systems such as serotonergic (Maya Vetencourt et al., 2008) and cholinergic (Morishita et al., 2010) systems. In humans Boroojerdi et al. (2001) applied TMS over occipital cortex and measured phosphene thresholds in adult sighted participants under the effect of various drugs interfering with synaptic plasticity before and after a period of light deprivation. They found that the rapid changes typically triggered by light deprivation (here quantified as phosphene detection thresholds) were blocked when participants were under the effect of lorazepam (which enhances the functioning of GABA_A receptors), dextromethorphan (an NMDA receptors antagonist) and scopolamine (a muscarinic receptor antagonist), thus pointing at a key role of GABA, NMDA and cholinergic receptors in mediating rapid changes after visual deprivation.

Finally, in light of the recent results by Binda and Lunghi (2017), showing both increased pupillary hippus at rest and enhanced eye-dominance of the deprived eye during binocular rivalry after monocular

deprivation, the role of the neurotransmitter norepinephrine (NE) in mediating homeostatic plasticity should be further investigated in adult humans. This neurotransmitter may indeed constitute the common source of visual cortical excitability underlying these phenomena, given its known role in regulating both pupil diameter modulation (Joshi et al., 2016) and visual cortical plasticity (Kasamatsu et al., 1979, 1981).

Overall, these studies point at a spared plastic potential in the adult visual cortex beyond the critical period which can be reactivated by altering the excitability level of visual cortex either by pharmacologically targeting several neuromodulator systems or by manipulating sensory and motor experience, such as being exposed to abnormal visual experiences even for a short time period, or being exposed to an enriched environment and physical activity.

2.4. Therapy for amblyopia

Inspired by non-human animal models showing that physical exercise triggers visual cortical plasticity, modulates visual cortex excitability and increases neurotrophic factors (Baroncelli et al., 2010, 2012; Sale et al., 2007), recent studies tested whether similar effects could be obtained in adult humans (Lunghi and Sale, 2015; Lunghi et al., 2019). Lunghi and Sale (2015) tested binocular rivalry dynamics in sighted participants before and after monocular deprivation (still with the same paradigm described in 2.1) while varying the level of physical activity performed by participants during the deprivation period. In the ‘inactive condition’ participants were required to watch a movie while sitting on a chair. In the ‘physical activity’ condition they watched a movie while intermittently cycling on a stationary bike. With respect to the control inactive condition, the perceptual effect of deprivation on binocular rivalry dynamic was much stronger when participants performed physical activity throughout the 2 h period tested after eye-patch removal, suggesting that physical activity had further boosted homeostatic plasticity.

The beneficial effect of moderate physical activity for triggering neuroplasticity was recently combined with short-term inverse occlusion to promote the visual recovery in adult anisometric amblyopes (Lunghi et al., 2019). Six 2 h long training sessions over a 4 week period, consisting of simultaneous physical activity (intermittent cycling) and occlusion of the amblyopic eye, restored visual acuity in all patients and stereopsis in six of them with improvement lasting up to 1 year. Although these results should be replicated in a larger sample, this non-invasive training paradigm seemed to successfully boost visual plasticity in adulthood and to constitute a valid approach to treat amblyopia.

3. Cortical plasticity after vision loss following ophthalmological diseases

3.1. Retinotopic remapping of the visual cortex

The blind brain constitutes a unique opportunity for studying plasticity in adulthood. A highly studied phenomena, sometimes considered as an index of neuroplasticity, is the ability of the visual cortex to remap the retinotopic organization of the neuronal receptive fields following retinal lesions (for a recent review see: Dumoulin and Knapen, 2018). The first evidence for this phenomenon was reported in adult cats and monkeys (Gilbert and Wiesel, 1992): after retinal lesion V1 receptive fields near the border of the lesion projection zone (LPZ) underwent an immediate enlargement, and two months after the regions silenced by the lesion were found to represent loci surrounding the scotoma. The receptive fields shift leading to complete filling in of the scotoma was attributed to long-range horizontal connections within V1 rather than to spared geniculate afferent connections: none of the changes observed in the recovered cortex were observed in the lateral geniculate nucleus, perhaps due to its reduced plasticity compared to higher level cortical regions (however see Section 3.3). More recently

these findings have been strongly questioned by a combined neurophysiological and fMRI study that failed to record normal responsivity in adult macaque V1 during 7.5 months of follow-up after retinal lesion (Smirnakis et al., 2005). The authors found no change in the BOLD-defined LPZ border and suggested that cortical reorganization is not needed to explain the apparent size of the LPZ (Smirnakis et al., 2005). Incongruences with respect to previous results were attributed to several factors, including sampling biases and differences in the recording methods (one recording sub-sets of single neurons, the other reflecting average activation of ensembles of cells) and initiated an intense debate (for details on this debate see: Calford et al., 2005; Sereno, 2005; Smirnakis et al., 2005; Wandell and Smirnakis, 2009).

In humans, the retinotopic remapping capability of the adult visual cortex has been studied in individuals with retinal dystrophies, namely macular degeneration (MD, both age-related MD and juvenile MD) and retinitis pigmentosa (RP). In contrast to the animal studies, where blindness is generally caused by an acute event (retinal lesion), blindness due to retinal dystrophies is progressive and may result in a different cortical reorganization outcome. Yet, similarly to retinal lesions, retinal dystrophies result in scotomas in patients’ central (MD) and peripheral (RP) visual field respectively, which expand with illness progression. Some fMRI studies described large-scale cortical reorganization of visual processing in response to retinal disease by showing that the regions of V1 corresponding to the patients’ scotoma were remapped to respond to stimuli outside the receptive field both in MD (Baker et al., 2005, 2008; Dilks et al., 2009, 2014; Schumacher et al., 2008) and in RP patients (Ferreira et al., 2017). However, others have found the LPZ to remain silent (Ritter et al., 2018; Sunness et al., 2004) and found no evidence for large scale remapping of the visual cortex following blindness (Baseler et al., 2011b; Goesaert et al., 2014; Haak et al., 2015). Masuda et al. (2008, 2010) found that the possibility of detecting BOLD signals in LPZ depends on tasks and might reflect the upregulation or unmasking of feed-back projections from extrastriate areas to V1 that are normally suppressed in sighted individuals. The authors proposed that the presence of activations in LPZ might not need to reflect cortical reorganization. Similar conclusions were reached by studies that simulated scotomas in sighted humans artificially by removing the visual stimulus in a given location of a rich image. These studies consistently found altered receptive field properties around the simulated scotoma (Binda et al., 2013; Dumoulin and Knapen, 2018; Haak et al., 2012). Discrepancies across studies in humans with retinal dystrophies may be related to differences in task, or to the fact that the sample size is often limited, due to the rarity of the diseases, and to the inhomogeneity of the sample, including patients with different degrees of illness progression.

In summary, studies in patients with retinal dystrophies have reported mixed results regarding the presence of remapped visual activation in LPZ. Identifying the origin of such activations remains a matter of open debate: it can reflect cortical reorganization leading to the formation of new connections or changes in the connections’ strength between neurons, or to unmasking of existing connections normally suppressed in sighted individuals.

3.2. Cross-modal plasticity and functional repurposing

Another widely studied phenomena, considered a marker of experience-dependent neuroplasticity, is the cross-modal reorganization of the visual cortex in blind individuals: several studies observed occipital activations elicited by non-visual sensory stimulation in congenital and early-onset blind subjects (Amedi et al., 2010; Burton, 2003; Collignon et al., 2009, 2011b; Ptito et al., 2005). The mechanisms leading to such cross-modal reorganization are still under debate. Some authors proposed that cross-modal activations in blind individuals reflect unmasking of ‘latent’ cross-modal connections that are normally suppressed (Merabet et al., 2007, 2008; Pascual-Leone and Hamilton, 2001), while others pointed at an additive shift of the cross-modal

responses in early-blind individuals rather than at rescaling or unmasking processes (Fine and Park, 2018; Lewis et al., 2010). Interestingly, some studies found that the cross-modal reorganization of typically visual areas is not random but seems, rather, to reflect a supramodal functional organization of the brain, encoding for an abstract representation of the perceived stimuli independently of the sensory input (Pietrini et al., 2004; Ricciardi et al., 2014a, b; Ricciardi and Pietrini, 2011). For example, responses in the visual motion area MT + can be elicited by motion-specific auditory and tactile stimulation in early-blind adults and sight recovered individuals (Ricciardi et al., 2007; Saenz et al., 2008). However, the overlap between cross-modal responses should be carefully considered and further investigated to exclude artefactual co-localizations of cross-modal responses within the same area (Jiang et al., 2015). Yet, signals reflecting functional selective cross-modal plasticity have been reported by several studies across different cortical areas and cognitive functions (such as object recognition, stimuli localization in space, reading) and seem to reveal a general reorganizational principle of the brain independently of the deprived modality (Heimler et al., 2014); areas typically involved in spoken language processing for example, are recruited by sign language in deaf people (MacSweeney et al., 2008).

Not only can experience-dependent plasticity promote the colonization of the de-afferented sensory cortex by other sensory modalities, but it can also drive it to assume new task-specific cognitive roles. For example, studies in congenitally blind adults found that low-level visual areas can be activated during sophisticated language-based tasks, such as reading or solving mathematical equations (for a review see: Bedny, 2017). Importantly, these areas seem to support symbolic and abstract aspects of the tasks, rather than sensory or spatial properties. In line with this hypothesis, it has been shown that the activity in early visual areas of congenitally blind adults was modulated by words meaning (being higher for words with respect to non-words, Bedny et al., 2011) or grammatical complexity of sentences with identical meanings and words (Roder et al., 2002). Other regions of the visual cortex were recruited during auditory math equation solving, with activity parametrically increasing with complexity (Kanjlia et al., 2016). This evidence led to the formulation of the pluripotency hypothesis, according to which, any cortical area is capable of assuming a wide range of cognitive roles (Bedny, 2017). The recruitment of visual areas for higher-order cognitive functions may be mediated by connections with higher-cognitive networks that in sighted individuals modulate visual processing based on cognitive control or multisensory integration. For example, according to the pluripotent hypothesis, the specialization of area MT for motion processing observed in blind individuals is due to higher-order projections from parietal cortex, rather than to intrinsic structural characteristics. At the behavioral level, whether or not the presence of non-visual projections in the de-afferented visual cortices leads to a functional enhancement in one of the remaining modalities is controversial, and the reasons why such reorganization occurs remain an open question (for a review see: Singh et al., 2018).

Compared to what has been observed in early-blind adults, the presence of cross-modal or functionally repurposed responses in late-blind adults is more controversial. For instance, Bedny et al. (2010) found activations elicited by auditory motion stimuli in hMT + only in congenital, but not in late-blind subjects. Similarly, the perceived direction of auditory moving stimuli could be classified in hMT + in early, but not in late-blind individuals (Jiang et al., 2014, 2016) using multi-voxel pattern analysis. However, there is also evidence for the cross-modal reorganization to take place when blindness develops late in life: although reduced with respect to those observed in early-blind individuals, both auditory and tactile activations of the visual cortices have been described in late-blind subjects (Burton, 2003; Cunningham et al., 2011, 2015a,b; Holig et al., 2014; Voss et al., 2006), and one study showed that the extent and strength of tactile-evoked responses in V1 correlate with vision loss in late-blind individuals affected with retinitis pigmentosa (Cunningham et al., 2011, 2015b). Moreover,

evidence for functional repurposing has been found also in late-blind adults: early visual areas can be activated during high-level language processing tasks, with higher activations during semantic processing of tactile or auditory words compared to phonological or sensory processing (Burton et al., 2002a, b, 2003; Burton and McLaren, 2006). The underlying anatomical pathways conveying non-visual inputs to visual cortices may differ between early and late-blind individuals (Collignon et al., 2009). For example, Collignon et al. (2013) found that the auditory activity in the occipital cortex of congenitally blind individuals was most likely conveyed by direct connections from A1 to V1, whereas in late-blind individuals it appeared to be conveyed by feedback projections from multisensory parietal areas. The specific mechanisms underlying the rerouting of non-visual information are still unclear (Voss, 2019), however they might widely differ depending on the age of blindness onset: while non-visual connections to the occipital cortex in congenital/early-blind individuals might be due to the lack of pruning typically triggered by visual experience, cross-modal responses in late-blind individuals might reflect the unmasking of non-visual pre-existing connections (normally supporting multisensory integration in sighted individuals) which can be progressively reinforced and result in permanent structural changes with new synapsis formation (Merabet et al., 2008).

Whatever the cause and onset of blindness or the precise mechanisms underlying cross-modal plasticity or functional repurposing might be, it can potentially interfere with the outcome of restoration techniques (Collignon et al., 2011a; Merabet et al., 2005). This possibility has been clearly demonstrated in deaf children who underwent cochlear implant: sustained and prolonged periods of deafness induced stronger cross-modal reorganization of acoustic cortex (as measured by glucose hypometabolism) and hampered recovery after cochlear implant (Lee et al., 2001). Although the predictive link between the extent of cross-modal reorganization and successful outcome of vision restoration still has to be directly demonstrated, one potential prognostic predictor of treatment effectiveness might be V1 cortical thickness: Aguirre et al. (2016) showed that this parameter is strictly related to the strengths of cross-modal responses, independently of the patient age and blinding pathology.

Thus, cross-modal reorganization might pose a major challenge to vision restoration therapies, and if we take into account the lower plasticity in adulthood, it seems even more difficult to imagine successful visual recovery in late-blinds. On the other hand however, it is possible that sight restoration might be more feasible in late than in early-blind individuals, because visual cortex wiring occurred successfully before the disease and much of its organization can endure blindness: a recent study showed that functional connectivity between visual areas is still retinotopically organized in blind adults affected with juvenile macular degeneration, even after prolonged periods of visual deprivation, strengthening the possibility of positive outcomes from sight restoration techniques that can rely on a relatively intact visual system (Haak et al., 2016). Yet, while these findings are encouraging, they do not guarantee that the adult visual brain retains the plastic potential necessary to mask the non-visual inputs potentiated or newly created during the blindness period and to boost the responses to the restored visual input; nor is it obvious that the visual system would 'learn to see again' with an artificial and monocular input.

3.3. Cortical plasticity after sight restoration

Behavioral studies in individuals who gained sight after removal of congenital cataracts showed a considerable variability in the recovery of different visual and multisensory functions. For example, immediately after surgery (maximum 48 h later), the newly sighted were susceptible to the Ponzo and Müller-Lyer illusions (Gandhi et al., 2015), whereas visuo-haptic multisensory integration was not recovered soon after sight restoration: the ability to visually match an object to a haptically sensed sample started improving only after five days from

surgery (Held et al., 2011). Some aspects of visual and multisensory perception may not ever recover, if they were not first experienced during their sensitive period. For example, a study found that despite prolonged exposure to audiovisual inputs, treated congenitally blind individuals never gained audio-visual nor audio-haptic sound-shape association (Sourav et al., 2019). Even after one year from surgery, congenital cataract-reversal adults showed higher visual motion thresholds and impaired visual ERPs modulation with respect to blind adults with developmental or congenital incomplete cataracts (Bottari et al., 2018).

In early blind people, attempts to track behavioral and neural changes following vision restoration in adulthood showed only partial recovery, limited to the visual experience before blindness (Dormal et al., 2015; Fine et al., 2003; Gregory and Wallace, 1963; Saenz et al., 2008). For example, patient MM became blind at the age of three years old, and once his sight was restored in his 40ies, he regained perception of simple forms, color and motion, while perception of more complex 3D forms, objects and faces remained severely impaired even after several years of restored vision (Fine et al., 2003; Huber et al., 2015). fMRI studies on patient MM and on another early-blind patient whose vision was partially restored in adulthood, showed that cortical plasticity was also limited although not completely absent: several months after vision restoration, cross-modal auditory responses continued to coexist with the restored visual activations in area V1 (Dormal et al., 2015) and MT (Saenz et al., 2008). Interestingly, Dormal et al. (2015) observed that cross-modal responses in extra striate areas decreased after surgery and vision improved, suggesting that cross-modal reorganization can be partially reversed in early-blind patients.

Very few studies tracked the cortical reorganization process in late-blind adults after vision restoration. One study tracked the cortical responses in an 80 year old woman with wet age-related AMD undergoing intravitreal antiangiogenic injections (ranibizumab) over about a 1 year period (Baseler et al., 2011a). Microperimetry showed that the scotoma decreased over time, visual acuity, fixation stability and reading skills improved as well. Interestingly after the first treatment, BOLD responses elicited by full-field flickering lights showed a tendency to be located in more posterior occipital regions, corresponding to the patient scotoma, although not filling it completely. Cunningham et al. (2015a) tested two late-blind patients affected by retinitis pigmentosa (RP) implanted with Argus II Retinal Prosthesis and showed that the strength of tactile-evoked responses in V1 depended from the time from surgery: cross-modal activations were much reduced in the patient implanted for fifteen weeks before the scanning with respect to the patient who had the implant only for six weeks (Fig. 2A). No visual responses were detected in this study, potentially due to the relatively short time period between the implantation and the scanning. A more recent study tested RP patients implanted with the same system at least six months after surgery and found that visual responses to flashes of lights increased in LGN and V1 after the surgery (Fig. 2B) (Castaldi et al., 2016). Importantly, visual recovery (quantified as the behavioral performance on a challenging grating detection task) depended on the time from surgery and practice with the device (Fig. 2C) and was mirrored by enhanced BOLD responses to flashes after surgery, suggesting that the activity measured in visual areas had a functional relevance. Interestingly some weak visual activations were present already before the surgery, although participants never reported perceiving the flashing lights during the scanning. In particular, responses in extra striate areas were stronger before the surgery, whereas V1 showed stronger activations after the surgery. It is possible that even before surgery some spared visual input reached the patients' V1, given the consistent albeit small BOLD response observed in patients with RP even at advanced stages of the disease (Castaldi et al., 2019). However, these visual signals might have been actively suppressed by extra striate areas, probably because the visual stimulation was not sufficiently reliable and aberrant. The suppression could have cross-sensory or motor origins, but also visual given that direct thalamic visual input to associative

cortex have been repeatedly observed in normal brain (Ajina et al., 2015; Tamietto and Morrone, 2016). Non-human animal models reported spontaneous ganglion cells hyperactivity during photoreceptors degeneration process (Ivanova et al., 2016; Trenholm and Awatramani, 2015; Zeck, 2016). Suppressing such paroxysmal discharges might be beneficial to prompt faster cross-modal reorganization or functional repurposing. This possibility is in line with the idea of a dysfunctional gating mechanism in blind individuals which would allow feedback projections to freely interact with the incoming input signal (Masuda et al., 2010), even when this is noisy and aberrant and the nature of this interaction might be inhibitory.

Taken together the results of these experiments suggest that when visual signals are restored in late-blind individuals, not only should cross-modal responses in V1 be attenuated, but also the suppression of the incoming visual input to V1 should be released, and both these processes might take a long time and require an intensive visual training.

The amelioration of visual performance after visual training in sight restored patients, associated with a plastic reorganization of the primary visual cortex (Castaldi et al., 2016; Cunningham et al., 2015a) corroborates the suggestion that visual training is a preferable strategy with respect to cross-modal training. However, in many other pathologies, like in hemianopia or homonymous visual field defects, cross-modal training has been demonstrated to be highly beneficial especially for the gaze orientation to visual target in the blind field (Dundon et al., 2015; Sabel et al., 2011), but the effect is probably not mediated by the primary visual cortex. Multisensory stimulation may trigger exogenous shifts of spatial attention toward a specific region in space and can increase the chances of perceiving visual stimuli. During the neurotypical development there is a mutual calibration across sensory modalities, with the most mature one initially dominating the other and promoting multisensory integration and fusion (Gori, 2015). The lack of one sensory modality can impact on the function of the remaining modalities that rely on it for calibration. For example, haptic orientation discrimination and auditory spatial bisection are impaired in congenitally blind children (Gori et al., 2010) and adults (Gori et al., 2014). Probably a cross-modal sensory training in these individuals after sight restoration, could improve recalibration and integration of the spared sensory modalities. While at present it is an open question whether cross-modal sensory training or pure visual training are the most appropriate to endorse better visual recovery in late-blind adults after sight restoration, it is important to demonstrate that also cross-modal interaction can improve with training. Unpublished results in individuals implanted with Argus II for more than 1.5 years showed that auditory-visual interaction can be restored, with the strength of this effect correlating with the duration of prosthesis use (Stiles and Patel, 2019). This suggests that a balanced cross-talk between senses can be restored with prosthetic vision in late-blind adults. This result, together with the decreased tactile-evoked responses (Cunningham et al., 2015a) and the increased visual BOLD signal in V1 (Castaldi et al., 2016) in patients who used the prosthesis for a longer time, suggests that a spared plastic potential is retained by the adult visual brain and encourages continuative research to overcome the major obstacles limiting the expected outcome of prosthetic vision. These obstacles include, among others, the limited quality of visual percepts that can be obtained (at best) with the current technology - for a review on advantages and limitations of different vision restoration methodologies see: (Fine and Boynton, 2015). For example, simulation of the likely perceived images with epiretinal devices showed that they might look extremely distorted because the electrode array stimulate, unspecifically, axons of the ganglion cells together with their cellular bodies (Fine and Boynton, 2015). It is therefore not surprising that patients find it particularly difficult to recover complex aspects of visual perception such as correctly discriminating the direction of drifting gratings (Castaldi et al., 2016). Although the technology can certainly be further improved, several studies have already shown that, after




Fig. 2. After sufficient time and practice the adult visual brain can learn to see again with artificial vision.

(A) BOLD responses elicited by three different tactile tasks in two RP patients implanted with Argus II retinal prosthesis (subjects A1 and A2) who underwent fMRI scanning 6 and 15 weeks after surgery. Participants were required to haptically determine the symmetry of raised-line shapes (shape task), count the number of dots in Braille letters (Braille-dot counting task), and evaluate the roughness of sandpaper discs (sandpaper task). Independently of the task, strong tactile evoked responses can be observed in occipital cortex after only 6 weeks after surgery (subject A1). The occipital cross-modal activation is much reduced after 15 weeks from surgery (subject A2). (B) BOLD responses elicited by visual stimuli (flashes of lights) before (blue) vs after (red) surgery in a group of RP patients implanted with Argus II. After the surgery visual BOLD signal is enhanced in V1. Crucially participants were scanned at least 6 months after the surgery. (C) RP patients were asked to choose the interval, demarcated by sound, in which a large high contrast grating was presented. The behavioral performance in a contrast detection task improves as a function of time from surgery. Patients needed time and practice to learn how to interpret and use the restored visual input. (A) Reproduced with permission from Figure 3 from Cunningham SI, Shi Y, Weiland JD, et al. Feasibility of structural and functional MRI acquisition with unpowered implants in Argus II retinal prosthesis patients: a case study. *Trans Vis Sci Tech.* 2015;4(6):6., ARVO copyright holder. (B, C) Reproduced from Figure 2 and Figure 5 from Castaldi et al. (2016) Visual BOLD Response in Late Blind Subjects with Argus II Retinal Prosthesis. *PLOS Biology* 14(10): e1002569. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002569>, published under the Creative Commons Attribution license (CC BY).

extensive training, RP patients implanted with retinal prosthesis can learn to perform some easy task, which can considerably improve the patients' quality of life, such as moving independently in space, locating large sources of light and even read large highly contrasted letters (Barry et al., 2012; Castaldi et al., 2016; Chader et al., 2009; da Cruz et al., 2013; Dorn et al., 2013; Rizzo et al., 2014). Improvement of visual acuity and visual field perimetry were reported in individuals implanted with both epiretinal and subretinal implants (Chow, 2013; Chow et al., 2004, 2010; Rizzo et al., 2014, 2015). Interestingly visual field improvement expanded outside the retinotopic regions directly stimulated by the implant (Rizzo et al., 2014) and even in the unoperated eye (Rizzo et al., 2015). The fact that the visual field recovery is not strictly limited to the stimulation site might suggest that the neuroplastic response acts 'peripherally' and originates from the release of retinal trophic factors induced by the current injection which diffuse to non-stimulated regions of the retina (Ciavatta et al., 2009). However, it is also possible that the artificial vision provided by retinal implants reopens visual plasticity at 'central level', which would better explain the visual recovery of the fellow eye observed at least in one study (Rizzo et al., 2015). Perhaps the fellow eye benefits from an anterograde effect mediated by cross talk of neural discharges along the optic nerve after the chiasma or at the level of LGN where the projections from the two eyes are closely interlayered. Interestingly some increase of BOLD response has also been observed at LGN level after prolonged use of the prosthetic devise (Castaldi et al., 2016).

In summary, despite a long time and extensive training being needed to recover a functional use of artificial vision and although the specific source of such neuroplastic responses is currently unknown, the

reviewed results suggest that vision restoration techniques can rely on residual neuroplasticity retained by the adult brain and that, especially for late-blind individuals, it might be possible to restore vision even after several years of blindness.

4. Conclusions

We reviewed behavioral and neural evidence suggesting that a considerable degree of neuroplasticity is preserved well beyond the closure of the critical periods for vision. Behavioral and neural evidence indicates that in sighted individual short periods of monocular deprivation can trigger homeostatic plasticity and that this strategy can be successfully used to improve visual perception in amblyopic adults. Evidence for cross-modal reorganization and functional repurposing of the visual brain of blind individuals is compelling and recent findings suggest that this process can be reverted even after several years of blindness. However, it is important to acknowledge the fact that this neuroplasticity is a very slow process and that the quality of the regained visual perception is limited. Yet, the field of visual restoration techniques is still new and considerable developments can be expected in the upcoming years. Certainly, neuroscientists can contribute to the development of this field by exploring the properties, characteristics and mechanisms of the spared plastic potential retained by the human visual brain to calibrate optimally vision restoration therapies and maximize their expected outcome.

Perhaps, the most outstanding question to answer is whether we can boost neuroplasticity to make visual recovery more effective and rapid. Although the answer might depend on the age of blindness onset and on

the cause determining the visual deficit, identifying some common principles and mechanisms guiding neuroplasticity during development and adulthood, and across modalities, might lead to developing strategies that are effective under a wide range of circumstances and diseases. Hopefully in the next decades we will have the complete approach to rescue visual function, facilitating the adult brain to learn to “see” again, even after several years of blindness or abnormal visual experience.

Acknowledgments

This research has received funding from Fondazione Roma under the Grants for Biomedical Research: Retinitis Pigmentosa (RP)-Call for proposals 2013 (<http://wf-fondazioneroma.cbim.it/>), project title: Cortical Plasticity in Retinitis Pigmentosa: an Integrated Study from Animal Models to Humans and from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme Grant Agreement number 832813 – ADG GenPercept “Spatio-temporal mechanisms of generative perception”.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.02.028>.

References

- Abbott, L.F., Nelson, S.B., 2000. Synaptic plasticity: taming the beast. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3 (Suppl.), 1178–1183.
- Aguirre, G.K., Datta, R., Benson, N.C., Prasad, S., Jacobson, S.G., Cicciocioppo, A.V., Bridge, H., Watkins, K.E., Butt, O.H., Dain, A.S., Brandes, L., Gennatas, E.D., 2016. Patterns of individual variation in visual pathway structure and function in the sighted and blind. *PLoS One* 11, e0164677.
- Ajina, S., Pestilli, F., Rokem, A., Kennard, C., Bridge, H., 2015. Human blindsight is mediated by an intact geniculocortical pathway. *eLife* 4.
- Amedi, A., Raz, N., Azulay, H., Malach, R., Zohary, E., 2010. Cortical activity during tactile exploration of objects in blind and sighted humans. *Restor. Neurol. Neurosci.* 28, 143–156.
- Bai, J., Dong, X., He, S., Bao, M., 2017. Monocular deprivation of Fourier phase information boosts the deprived eye's dominance during interocular competition but not interocular phase combination. *Neuroscience* 352, 122–130.
- Baker, C.I., Peli, E., Knouf, N., Kanwisher, N.G., 2005. Reorganization of visual processing in macular degeneration. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 614–618.
- Baker, C.I., Dilks, D.D., Peli, E., Kanwisher, N., 2008. Reorganization of visual processing in macular degeneration: replication and clues about the role of foveal loss. *Vision Res.* 48, 1910–1919.
- Baroncelli, L., Sale, A., Viegi, A., Maya Vetencourt, J.F., De Pasquale, R., Baldini, S., Maffei, L., 2010. Experience-dependent reactivation of ocular dominance plasticity in the adult visual cortex. *Exp. Neurol.* 226, 100–109.
- Baroncelli, L., Bonaccorsi, J., Milanese, M., Bonifacino, T., Giribaldi, F., Manno, I., Cenni, M.C., Berardi, N., Bonanno, G., Maffei, L., Sale, A., 2012. Enriched experience and recovery from amblyopia in adult rats: impact of motor, social and sensory components. *Neuropharmacology* 62, 2388–2397.
- Barry, M.P., Dagnelie, G., Argus, I.I.S.G., 2012. Use of the Argus II retinal prosthesis to improve visual guidance of fine hand movements. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 53, 5095–5101.
- Baseler, H.A., Gouws, A., Crossland, M.D., Leung, C., Tufail, A., Rubin, G.S., Morland, A.B., 2011a. Objective visual assessment of antiangiogenic treatment for wet age-related macular degeneration. *Optom. Vis. Sci.* 88, 1255–1261.
- Baseler, H.A., Gouws, A., Haak, K.V., Racey, C., Crossland, M.D., Tufail, A., Rubin, G.S., Cornelissen, F.W., Morland, A.B., 2011b. Large-scale remapping of visual cortex is absent in adult humans with macular degeneration. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 649–655.
- Bedny, M., 2017. Evidence from blindness for a cognitively pluripotent cortex. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 21, 637–648.
- Bedny, M., Konkle, T., Pelphrey, K., Saxe, R., Pascual-Leone, A., 2010. Sensitive period for a multimodal response in human visual motion area MT/MST. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 1900–1906.
- Bedny, M., Pascual-Leone, A., Dodel-Feder, D., Fedorenko, E., Saxe, R., 2011. Language processing in the occipital cortex of congenitally blind adults. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 4429–4434.
- Berardi, N., Pizzorusso, T., Maffei, L., 2000. Critical periods during sensory development. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 10, 138–145.
- Berardi, N., Pizzorusso, T., Ratto, G.M., Maffei, L., 2003. Molecular basis of plasticity in the visual cortex. *Trends Neurosci.* 26, 369–378.
- Berardi, N., Sale, A., Maffei, L., 2015. Brain structural and functional development: genetics and experience. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* 57 (Suppl 2), 4–9.
- Beyeler, M., Rokem, A., Boynton, G.M., Fine, I., 2017. Learning to see again: biological constraints on cortical plasticity and the implications for sight restoration technologies. *J. Neural Eng.* 14, 051003.
- Binda, P., Lunghi, C., 2017. Short-term monocular deprivation enhances physiological pupillary oscillations. *Neural Plast.* 2017, 6724631.
- Binda, P., Thomas, J.M., Boynton, G.M., Fine, I., 2013. Minimizing biases in estimating the reorganization of human visual areas with BOLD retinotopic mapping. *J. Vis.* 13, 13.
- Binda, P., Kurzwski, J.W., Lunghi, C., Biagi, L., Tosetti, M., Morrone, M.C., 2018. Response to short-term deprivation of the human adult visual cortex measured with 7T BOLD. *eLife* 7.
- Blake, R., Logothetis, N., 2002. Visual competition. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3, 13–21.
- Boroojerdi, B., Bushara, K.O., Corwell, B., Immisch, I., Battaglia, F., Muellbacher, W., Cohen, L.G., 2000. Enhanced excitability of the human visual cortex induced by short-term light deprivation. *Cereb. Cortex* 10, 529–534.
- Boroojerdi, B., Battaglia, F., Muellbacher, W., Cohen, L.G., 2001. Mechanisms underlying rapid experience-dependent plasticity in the human visual cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 14698–14701.
- Bottari, D., Kekunnaya, R., Hense, M., Troje, N.F., Sourav, S., Roder, B., 2018. Motion processing after sight restoration: no competition between visual recovery and auditory compensation. *NeuroImage* 167, 284–296.
- Braddick, O., Atkinson, J., 2011. Development of human visual function. *Vision Res.* 51, 1588–1609.
- Buchel, C., Price, C., Frackowiak, R.S., Friston, K., 1998. Different activation patterns in the visual cortex of late and congenitally blind subjects. *Brain* 121 (Pt 3), 409–419.
- Burton, H., 2003. Visual cortex activity in early and late blind people. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 4005–4011.
- Burton, H., McLaren, D.G., 2006. Visual cortex activation in late-onset, Braille naive blind individuals: an fMRI study during semantic and phonological tasks with heard words. *Neurosci. Lett.* 392, 38–42.
- Burton, H., Snyder, A.Z., Conturo, T.E., Akbudak, E., Ollinger, J.M., Raichle, M.E., 2002a. Adaptive changes in early and late blind: a fMRI study of Braille reading. *J. Neurophysiol.* 87, 589–607.
- Burton, H., Snyder, A.Z., Diamond, J.B., Raichle, M.E., 2002b. Adaptive changes in early and late blind: a fMRI study of verb generation to heard nouns. *J. Neurophysiol.* 88, 3359–3371.
- Burton, H., Diamond, J.B., McDermott, K.B., 2003. Dissociating cortical regions activated by semantic and phonological tasks: a fMRI study in blind and sighted people. *J. Neurophysiol.* 90, 1965–1982.
- Calford, M.B., Chino, Y.M., Das, A., Eysel, U.T., Gilbert, C.D., Heinen, S.J., Kaas, J.H., Ullman, S., 2005. Neuroscience: rewiring the adult brain. *Nature* 438, E3–E4; discussion.
- Carandini, M., Heeger, D.J., 2011. Normalization as a canonical neural computation. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 13, 51–62.
- Castaldi, E., Cicchini, G.M., Cinelli, L., Biagi, L., Rizzo, S., Morrone, M.C., 2016. Visual BOLD response in late blind subjects with argus II retinal prosthesis. *PLoS Biol.* 14, e1002569.
- Castaldi, E., Cicchini, G.M., Falsini, B., Binda, P., Morrone, M.C., 2019. Residual visual responses in patients with retinitis pigmentosa revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Transl. Vis. Sci. Technol.* 8 (6), 44. <https://doi.org/10.1167/tvst.8.6.44>. eCollection 2019 Nov.
- Chader, G.J., Weiland, J., Humayun, M.S., 2009. Artificial vision: needs, functioning, and testing of a retinal electronic prosthesis. *Prog. Brain Res.* 175, 317–332.
- Chow, A.Y., 2013. Retinal prostheses development in retinitis pigmentosa patients-progress and comparison. *Asia-pacific J. Ophthalmol.* 2, 253–268.
- Chow, A.Y., Chow, Y.Y., Packo, K.H., Pollack, J.S., Peyman, G.A., Schuchard, R., 2004. The artificial silicon retina microchip for the treatment of vision loss from retinitis pigmentosa. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 122, 460–469.
- Chow, A.Y., Bittner, A.K., Pardue, M.T., 2010. The artificial silicon retina in retinitis pigmentosa patients (an American Ophthalmological Association thesis). *Trans. Am. Ophthalmol. Soc.* 108, 120–154.
- Ciavatta, V.T., Kim, M., Wong, P., Nickerson, J.M., Shuler Jr, R.K., McLean, G.Y., Pardue, M.T., 2009. Retinal expression of Fgf2 in RCS rats with subretinal microphotodiode array. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 50, 4523–4530.
- Collignon, O., Voss, P., Lassonde, M., Lepore, F., 2009. Cross-modal plasticity for the spatial processing of sounds in visually deprived subjects. *Exp. Brain Res.* 192, 343–358.
- Collignon, O., Champoux, F., Voss, P., Lepore, F., 2011a. Sensory rehabilitation in the plastic brain. *Prog. Brain Res.* 191, 211–231.
- Collignon, O., Vandewalle, G., Voss, P., Albouy, G., Charbonneau, G., Lassonde, M., Lepore, F., 2011b. Functional specialization for auditory-spatial processing in the occipital cortex of congenitally blind humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 4435–4440.
- Collignon, O., Dormal, G., Albouy, G., Vandewalle, G., Voss, P., Phillips, C., Lepore, F., 2013. Impact of blindness onset on the functional organization and the connectivity of the occipital cortex. *Brain* 136, 2769–2783.
- Cunningham, S.I., Weiland, J.D., Bao, P., Tjan, B.S., 2011. Visual cortex activation induced by tactile stimulation in late-blind individuals with retinitis pigmentosa. In: *Conference Proceedings: ... Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. Annual Conference* 2011. pp. 2841–2844.
- Cunningham, S.I., Shi, Y., Weiland, J.D., Falabella, P., Olmos de Koo, L.C., Zacks, D.N., Tjan, B.S., 2015a. Feasibility of structural and functional MRI acquisition with unpowered implants in argus II retinal prosthesis patients: a case study. *Transl. Vis. Sci. Technol.* 4, 6.
- Cunningham, S.I., Weiland, J.D., Bao, P., Lopez-Jaime, G.R., Tjan, B.S., 2015b.

- Correlation of vision loss with tactile-evoked V1 responses in retinitis pigmentosa. *Vision Res.* 111, 197–207.
- da Cruz, L., Coley, B.F., Dorn, J., Merlino, F., Filley, E., Christopher, P., Chen, F.K., Wuyyuru, V., Sahel, J., Stanga, P., Humayun, M., Greenberg, R.J., Dagnelie, G., Argus, I.I.S.G., 2013. The Argus II epiretinal prosthesis system allows letter and word reading and long-term function in patients with profound vision loss. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 97, 632–636.
- Di Russo, F., Martinez, A., Sereno, M.I., Pitzalis, S., Hillyard, S.A., 2002. Cortical sources of the early components of the visual evoked potential. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 15, 95–111.
- Diamond, J.P., 2001. The pupil. *Anatomy, physiology and clinical applications.* *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 85, 121E.
- Dilks, D.D., Baker, C.I., Peli, E., Kanwisher, N., 2009. Reorganization of visual processing in macular degeneration is not specific to the "preferred retinal locus". *J. Neurosci.* 29, 2768–2773.
- Dilks, D.D., Julian, J.B., Peli, E., Kanwisher, N., 2014. Reorganization of visual processing in age-related macular degeneration depends on foveal loss. *Optom. Vis. Sci.* 91, e199–206.
- Donahue, M.J., Near, J., Blicher, J.U., Jezard, P., 2010. Baseline GABA concentration and fMRI response. *NeuroImage* 53, 392–398.
- Dormal, G., Lepore, F., Harissi-Dagher, M., Albouy, G., Bertone, A., Rossion, B., Collignon, O., 2015. Tracking the evolution of crossmodal plasticity and visual functions before and after sight restoration. *J. Neurophysiol.* 113, 1727–1742.
- Dorn, J.D., Ahuja, A.K., Caspi, A., da Cruz, L., Dagnelie, G., Sahel, J.A., Greenberg, R.J., McMahon, M.J., Gus, I.I.S.G., 2013. The detection of motion by blind subjects with the epiretinal 60-Electrode (Argus II) retinal prosthesis. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 131, 183–189.
- Doshier, B., Lu, Z.L., 2017. Visual perceptual learning and models. *Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci.* 3, 343–363.
- Dumoulin, S.O., Knapen, T., 2018. How visual cortical organization is altered by ophthalmologic and neurologic disorders. *Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci.* 4, 357–379.
- Dundon, N.M., Bertini, C., Ladavas, E., Sabel, B.A., Gall, C., 2015. Visual rehabilitation: visual scanning, multisensory stimulation and vision restoration trainings. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 9, 192.
- Fagioli, M., Hensch, T.K., 2000. Inhibitory threshold for critical-period activation in primary visual cortex. *Nature* 404, 183–186.
- Fagioli, M., Fritschy, J.M., Low, K., Mohler, H., Rudolph, U., Hensch, T.K., 2004. Specific GABA circuits for visual cortical plasticity. *Science* 303, 1681–1683.
- Ferreira, S., Pereira, A.C., Quendera, B., Reis, A., Silva, E.D., Castelo-Branco, M., 2017. Primary visual cortical remapping in patients with inherited peripheral retinal degeneration. *NeuroImage Clin.* 13, 428–438.
- Fierro, B., Brighina, F., Vitello, G., Piazza, A., Scalia, S., Giglia, G., Daniele, O., Pascual-Leone, A., 2005. Modulatory effects of low- and high-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on visual cortex of healthy subjects undergoing light deprivation. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 565, 659–665.
- Fine, I., Boynton, G.M., 2015. Pulse trains to percepts: the challenge of creating a perceptually intelligible world with sight recovery technologies. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* 370, 20140208.
- Fine, I., Park, J.M., 2018. Blindness and human brain plasticity. *Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci.* 4, 337–356.
- Fine, I., Smallman, H.S., Doyle, P., MacLeod, D.I., 2002. Visual function before and after the removal of bilateral congenital cataracts in adulthood. *Vision Res.* 42, 191–210.
- Fine, I., Wade, A.R., Brewer, A.A., May, M.G., Goodman, D.F., Boynton, G.M., Wandell, B.A., MacLeod, D.I., 2003. Long-term deprivation affects visual perception and cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 6, 915–916.
- Fiorentini, A., Berardi, N., 1980. Perceptual learning specific for orientation and spatial frequency. *Nature* 287, 43–44.
- Fronius, M., Cirina, L., Ackermann, H., Kohnen, T., Diehl, C.M., 2014. Efficiency of electronically monitored amblyopia treatment between 5 and 16 years of age: new insight into declining susceptibility of the visual system. *Vision Res.* 103, 11–19.
- Fuchs, E., Flugge, G., 2014. Adult neuroplasticity: more than 40 years of research. *Neural Plast.* 2014, 541870.
- Gandhi, T., Kalia, A., Ganesh, S., Sinha, P., 2015. Immediate susceptibility to visual illusions after sight onset. *Curr. Biol.* 25, R358–359.
- Gilbert, C.D., Wiesel, T.N., 1992. Receptive field dynamics in adult primary visual cortex. *Nature* 356, 150–152.
- Goesaert, E., Van Baelen, M., Spileers, W., Wagemans, J., Op de Beeck, H.P., 2014. Visual space and object space in the cerebral cortex of retinal disease patients. *PLoS One* 9, e101870.
- Gori, M., 2015. Multisensory integration and calibration in children and adults with and without sensory and motor disabilities. *Multisens. Res.* 28, 71–99.
- Gori, M., Sandini, G., Martinoli, C., Burr, D., 2010. Poor haptic orientation discrimination in nonsighted children may reflect disruption of cross-sensory calibration. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 223–225.
- Gori, M., Sandini, G., Martinoli, C., Burr, D.C., 2014. Impairment of auditory spatial localization in congenitally blind human subjects. *Brain* 137, 288–293.
- Gregory, R., Wallace, J., 1963. Recovery from Early Blindness: a Case Study. Heffer, Cambridge.
- Haak, K.V., Cornelissen, F.W., Morland, A.B., 2012. Population receptive field dynamics in human visual cortex. *PLoS One* 7, e37686.
- Haak, K.V., Morland, A.B., Engel, S.A., 2015. Plasticity, and its limits, in adult human primary visual cortex. *Multisens. Res.* 28, 297–307.
- Haak, K.V., Morland, A.B., Rubin, G.S., Cornelissen, F.W., 2016. Preserved retinotopic brain connectivity in macular degeneration. *Ophthalmic Physiol. Opt.* 36, 335–343.
- Hanover, J.L., Huang, Z.J., Tonegawa, S., Stryker, M.P., 1999. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor overexpression induces precocious critical period in mouse visual cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 19, RC40.
- Harauzov, A., Spolidoro, M., DiCristo, G., De Pasquale, R., Cancedda, L., Pizzorusso, T., Viegi, A., Berardi, N., Maffei, L., 2010. Reducing intracortical inhibition in the adult visual cortex promotes ocular dominance plasticity. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 361–371.
- He, H.Y., Hodoss, W., Quinlan, E.M., 2006. Visual deprivation reactivates rapid ocular dominance plasticity in adult visual cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 2951–2955.
- Heeger, D.J., 1992. Normalization of cell responses in cat striate cortex. *Vis. Neurosci.* 9, 181–197.
- Heimel, J.A., van Versendaal, D., Levelt, C.N., 2011. The role of GABAergic inhibition in ocular dominance plasticity. *Neural Plast.* 2011, 391763.
- Heimler, B., Weisz, N., Collignon, O., 2014. Revisiting the adaptive and maladaptive effects of crossmodal plasticity. *Neuroscience* 283, 44–63.
- Held, R., Ostrovsky, Y., de Gelder, B., Gandhi, T., Ganesh, S., Mathur, U., Sinha, P., 2011. The newly sighted fail to match seen with felt. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 551–553.
- Hensch, T.K., Fagioli, M., 2005. Excitatory-inhibitory balance and critical period plasticity in developing visual cortex. *Prog. Brain Res.* 147, 115–124.
- Hensch, T.K., Quinlan, E.M., 2018. Critical periods in amblyopia. *Vis. Neurosci.* 35, E014.
- Hensch, T.K., Fagioli, M., Mataga, N., Stryker, M.P., Baekkeskov, S., Kash, S.F., 1998. Local GABA circuit control of experience-dependent plasticity in developing visual cortex. *Science* 282, 1504–1508.
- Holig, C., Focker, J., Best, A., Roder, B., Buchel, C., 2014. Crossmodal plasticity in the fusiform gyrus of late blind individuals during voice recognition. *NeuroImage* 103, 374–382.
- Huang, Z.J., Kirkwood, A., Pizzorusso, T., Porciatti, V., Morales, B., Bear, M.F., Maffei, L., Tonegawa, S., 1999. BDNF regulates the maturation of inhibition and the critical period of plasticity in mouse visual cortex. *Cell* 98, 739–755.
- Hubel, D.H., Wiesel, T.N., 1970. The period of susceptibility to the physiological effects of unilateral eye closure in kittens. *J. Physiol.* 206, 419–436.
- Hubel, D.H., Wiesel, T.N., LeVay, S., 1977. Plasticity of ocular dominance columns in monkey striate cortex. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* 278, 377–409.
- Huber, E., Webster, J.M., Brewer, A.A., MacLeod, D.I., Wandell, B.A., Boynton, G.M., Wade, A.R., Fine, I., 2015. A lack of experience-dependent plasticity after more than a decade of recovered sight. *Psychol. Sci.* 26, 393–401.
- Ivanova, E., Yee, C.W., Baldoni, R., Sagdullaev, B.T., 2016. Aberrant activity in retinal degeneration impairs central visual processing and relies on Cx36-containing gap junctions. *Exp. Eye Res.* 150, 81–89.
- Jiang, F., Stecker, G.C., Fine, I., 2014. Auditory motion processing after early blindness. *J. Vis.* 14, 4.
- Jiang, F., Beauchamp, M.S., Fine, I., 2015. Re-examining overlap between tactile and visual motion responses within hMT+ and STS. *NeuroImage* 119, 187–196.
- Jiang, F., Stecker, G.C., Boynton, G.M., Fine, I., 2016. Early blindness results in developmental plasticity for auditory motion processing within auditory and occipital cortex. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 10, 324.
- Joshi, S., Li, Y., Kalwani, R.M., Gold, J.I., 2016. Relationships between pupil diameter and neuronal activity in the locus coeruleus, Colliculi, and cingulate cortex. *Neuron* 89, 221–234.
- Kanjlia, S., Lane, C., Feigenson, L., Bedny, M., 2016. Absence of visual experience modifies the neural basis of numerical thinking. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, 11172–11177.
- Kasamatsu, T., Pettigrew, J.D., Ary, M., 1979. Restoration of visual cortical plasticity by local microperfusion of norepinephrine. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 185, 163–181.
- Kasamatsu, T., Pettigrew, J.D., Ary, M., 1981. Cortical recovery from effects of monocular deprivation: acceleration with norepinephrine and suppression with 6-hydroxydopamine. *J. Neurophysiol.* 45, 254–266.
- Kiorpes, L., Kiper, D.C., O'Keefe, L.P., Cavanaugh, J.R., Movshon, J.A., 1998. Neuronal correlates of amblyopia in the visual cortex of macaque monkeys with experimental strabismus and anisometropia. *J. Neurosci.* 18, 6411–6424.
- Lee, D.S., Lee, J.S., Oh, S.H., Kim, S.K., Kim, J.W., Chung, J.K., Lee, M.C., Kim, C.S., 2001. Cross-modal plasticity and cochlear implants. *Nature* 409, 149–150.
- Levelt, W.J., 1965. On Binocular Rivalry Soesterberg. Institution for Perception, Netherlands.
- Levelt, C.N., Hubner, M., 2012. Critical-period plasticity in the visual cortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 35, 309–330.
- Levi, D.M., Carkeet, A., 1993. Amblyopia: a consequence of abnormal visual development. In: Simons, K. (Ed.), *Early Visual Development, Normal and Abnormal*. Oxford University Press, New York, NY, pp. 391–408.
- Lewis, L.B., Saenz, M., Fine, I., 2010. Mechanisms of cross-modal plasticity in early-blind subjects. *J. Neurophysiol.* 104, 2995–3008.
- Lou, A.R., Madsen, K.H., Paulson, O.B., Julian, H.O., Prause, J.U., Siebner, H.R., Kjaer, T.W., 2011. Monocular visual deprivation suppresses excitability in adult human visual cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 21, 2876–2882.
- Lunghi, C., Sale, A., 2015. A cycling lane for brain rewiring. *Curr. Biol.* 25, R1122–1123.
- Lunghi, C., Burr, D.C., Morrone, M.C., 2011. Brief periods of monocular deprivation disrupt ocular balance in human adult visual cortex. *Curr. Biol.* 21, R538–539.
- Lunghi, C., Burr, D.C., Morrone, M.C., 2013. Long-term effects of monocular deprivation revealed with binocular rivalry gratings modulated in luminance and in color. *J. Vis.* 13 (6).
- Lunghi, C., Berchicci, M., Morrone, M.C., Di Russo, F., 2015a. Short-term monocular deprivation alters early components of visual evoked potentials. *J. Physiol.* 593, 4361–4372.
- Lunghi, C., Emir, U.E., Morrone, M.C., Bridge, H., 2015b. Short-term monocular deprivation alters GABA in the adult human visual cortex. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 1496–1501.
- Lunghi, C., Sframeli, T., Lepri, A., Lepri, M., Lisi, D., Sale, A., Morrone, M.C., 2019. A new counterintuitive training for adult amblyopia. *Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol.* 6, 274–284.
- MacSweeney, M., Capek, C.M., Campbell, R., Woll, B., 2008. The signing brain: the neurobiology of sign language. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 12, 432–440.
- Maffei, A., Turrigiano, G., 2008a. The age of plasticity: developmental regulation of

- synaptic plasticity in neocortical microcircuits. *Prog. Brain Res.* 169, 211–223.
- Maffei, A., Turrigiano, G.G., 2008b. Multiple modes of network homeostasis in visual cortical layer 2/3. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 4377–4384.
- Malenka, R.C., Bear, M.F., 2004. LTP and LTD: an embarrassment of riches. *Neuron* 44, 5–21.
- Masuda, Y., Dumoulin, S.O., Nakadomari, S., Wandell, B.A., 2008. V1 projection zone signals in human macular degeneration depend on task, not stimulus. *Cereb. Cortex* 18, 2483–2493.
- Masuda, Y., Horiguchi, H., Dumoulin, S.O., Furuta, A., Miyauchi, S., Nakadomari, S., Wandell, B.A., 2010. Task-dependent V1 responses in human retinitis pigmentosa. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 51, 5356–5364.
- Maurer, D., Lewis, T.L., Mondloch, C.J., 2005. Missing sights: consequences for visual cognitive development. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 9, 144–151.
- Maurer, D., Mondloch, C.J., Lewis, T.L., 2007. Effects of early visual deprivation on perceptual and cognitive development. *Prog. Brain Res.* 164, 87–104.
- Maya Vetencourt, J.F., Sale, A., Viegi, A., Baroncelli, L., De Pasquale, R., O'Leary, O.F., Castren, E., Maffei, L., 2008. The antidepressant fluoxetine restores plasticity in the adult visual cortex. *Science* 320, 385–388.
- Merabet, L.B., Rizzo, J.F., Amedi, A., Somers, D.C., Pascual-Leone, A., 2005. What blindness can tell us about seeing again: merging neuroplasticity and neuroprostheses. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 6, 71–77.
- Merabet, L.B., Swisher, J.D., McMains, S.A., Halko, M.A., Amedi, A., Pascual-Leone, A., Somers, D.C., 2007. Combined activation and deactivation of visual cortex during tactile sensory processing. *J. Neurophysiol.* 97, 1633–1641.
- Merabet, L.B., Hamilton, R., Schlaug, G., Swisher, J.D., Kiriakopoulos, E.T., Pitskel, N.B., Kauffman, T., Pascual-Leone, A., 2008. Rapid and reversible recruitment of early visual cortex for touch. *PLoS One* 3, e3046.
- Morishita, H., Miwa, J.M., Heintz, N., Hensch, T.K., 2010. Lynx1, a cholinergic brake, limits plasticity in adult visual cortex. *Science* 330, 1238–1240.
- Muthukumaraswamy, S.D., Edden, R.A., Jones, D.K., Swettenham, J.B., Singh, K.D., 2009. Resting GABA concentration predicts peak gamma frequency and fMRI amplitude in response to visual stimulation in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 8356–8361.
- Ooi, T.L., He, Z.J., 2001. Sensory eye dominance. *Optometry* 72, 168–178.
- Pascual-Leone, A., Hamilton, R., 2001. The metamodal organization of the brain. *Prog. Brain Res.* 134, 427–445.
- Pascual-Leone, A., Amedi, A., Fregni, F., Merabet, L.B., 2005. The plastic human brain cortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 28, 377–401.
- Pietrini, P., Furey, M.L., Ricciardi, E., Gobbi, M.I., Wu, W.H., Cohen, L., Guazzelli, M., Haxby, J.V., 2004. Beyond sensory images: Object-based representation in the human ventral pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 5658–5663.
- Pitskel, N.B., Merabet, L.B., Ramos-Estebanez, C., Kauffman, T., Pascual-Leone, A., 2007. Time-dependent changes in cortical excitability after prolonged visual deprivation. *Neuroreport* 18, 1703–1707.
- Pizzorusso, T., Medini, P., Berardi, N., Chierzi, S., Fawcett, J.W., Maffei, L., 2002. Reactivation of ocular dominance plasticity in the adult visual cortex. *Science* 298, 1248–1251.
- Ptito, M., Moesgaard, S.M., Gjedde, A., Kupers, R., 2005. Cross-modal plasticity revealed by electroacoustic stimulation of the tongue in the congenitally blind. *Brain* 128, 606–614.
- Ramamurthy, M., Blaser, E., 2018. Assessing the kaleidoscope of monocular deprivation effects. *J. Vis.* 18, 14.
- Ricciardi, E., Pietrini, P., 2011. New light from the dark: what blindness can teach us about brain function. *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* 24, 357–363.
- Ricciardi, E., Vanello, N., Sani, L., Gentili, C., Scilingo, E.P., Landini, L., Guazzelli, M., Bicchieri, A., Haxby, J.V., Pietrini, P., 2007. The effect of visual experience on the development of functional architecture in hMT+. *Cereb. Cortex* 17, 2933–2939.
- Ricciardi, E., Bonino, D., Pellegrini, S., Pietrini, P., 2014a. Mind the blind brain to understand the sighted one! Is there a supramodal cortical functional architecture? *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 41, 64–77.
- Ricciardi, E., Tozzi, L., Leo, A., Pietrini, P., 2014b. Modality dependent cross-modal functional reorganization following congenital visual deprivation within occipital areas: a meta-analysis of tactile and auditory studies. *Multisens. Res.* 27, 247–262.
- Ritter, M., Hummer, A., Ledolter, A., Holder, G., Windischberger, C., Schmidt-Erfurth, U., 2018. Correspondence between retinotopic cortical mapping and conventional functional and morphological assessment of retinal disease. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.*
- Rizzo, S., Belting, C., Cinelli, L., Allegrini, L., Genovesi-Ebert, F., Barca, F., di Bartolo, E., 2014. The Argus II Retinal Prosthesis: 12-month outcomes from a single-study center. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* 157, 1282–1290.
- Rizzo, S., Belting, C., Cinelli, L., Allegrini, L., 2015. Visual field changes following implantation of the Argus II retinal prosthesis. *Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* 253, 323–325.
- Roder, B., Stock, O., Bien, S., Neville, H., Rosler, F., 2002. Speech processing activates visual cortex in congenitally blind humans. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 16, 930–936.
- Sabel, B.A., Henrich-Noack, P., Fedorov, A., Gall, C., 2011. Vision restoration after brain and retina damage: the "residual vision activation theory". *Prog. Brain Res.* 192, 199–262.
- Saenz, M., Lewis, L.B., Huth, A.G., Fine, I., Koch, C., 2008. Visual motion area MT+/V5 responds to auditory motion in human sight-recovery subjects. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 5141–5148.
- Sale, A., Maya Vetencourt, J.F., Medini, P., Cenni, M.C., Baroncelli, L., De Pasquale, R., Maffei, L., 2007. Environmental enrichment in adulthood promotes amblyopia recovery through a reduction of intracortical inhibition. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10, 679–681.
- Sale, A., Berardi, N., Maffei, L., 2014. Environment and brain plasticity: towards an endogenous pharmacotherapy. *Physiol. Rev.* 94, 189–234.
- Schumacher, E.H., Jacko, J.A., Primo, S.A., Main, K.L., Moloney, K.P., Kinzel, E.N., Ginn, J., 2008. Reorganization of visual processing is related to eccentric viewing in patients with macular degeneration. *Restor. Neurol. Neurosci.* 26, 391–402.
- Sereno, M.I., 2005. Neuroscience: plasticity and its limits. *Nature* 435, 288–289.
- Singh, A.K., Phillips, F., Merabet, L.B., Sinha, P., 2018. Why does the cortex reorganize after sensory loss? *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 22, 569–582.
- Smirnakis, S.M., Brewer, M.C., Schmid, M.C., Tolias, A.S., Schuz, A., Augath, M., Inhoffen, W., Wandell, B.A., Logothetis, N.K., 2005. Lack of long-term cortical reorganization after macaque retinal lesions. *Nature* 435, 300–307.
- Smith, G.B., Heynen, A.J., Bear, M.F., 2009. Bidirectional synaptic mechanisms of ocular dominance plasticity in visual cortex. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* 364, 357–367.
- Sourav, S., Kekunnaya, R., Shareef, I., Banerjee, S., Bottari, D., Roder, B., 2019. A protracted sensitive period regulates the development of cross-modal sound-shape associations in humans. *Psychol. Sci.* 30, 1473–1482.
- Speed, H.D., Morrone, M.C., Burr, D.C., 1991. Effects of monocular deprivation on the development of visual inhibitory interactions in kittens. *Vis. Neurosci.* 7, 335–343.
- Spolidoro, M., Sale, A., Berardi, N., Maffei, L., 2009. Plasticity in the adult brain: lessons from the visual system. *Exp. Brain Res.* 192, 335–341.
- Stiles, N.R.B., Patel, V.R., 2019. Auditory-visual interactions in the blind with artificial vision: are multisensory perceptions restored after decades of blindness? *bioRxiv*.
- Stryker, M.P., 2014. A neural circuit that controls cortical state, plasticity, and the gain of sensory responses in mouse. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 79, 1–9.
- Sunness, J.S., Liu, T., Yantis, S., 2004. Retinotopic mapping of the visual cortex using functional magnetic resonance imaging in a patient with central scotomas from atrophic macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 111, 1595–1598.
- Tamietto, M., Morrone, M.C., 2016. Visual plasticity: blindsight bridges anatomy and function in the visual system. *Curr. Biol.* 26, R70–R73.
- Trenholm, S., Awatramani, G.B., 2015. Origins of spontaneous activity in the degenerating retina. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 9, 277.
- Turrigiano, G., 2012. Homeostatic synaptic plasticity: local and global mechanisms for stabilizing neuronal function. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 4, a005736.
- Turrigiano, G., Nelson, S.B., 2000. Hebb and homeostasis in neuronal plasticity. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 10, 358–364.
- Voss, P., 2013. Sensitive and critical periods in visual sensory deprivation. *Front. Psychol.* 4, 664.
- Voss, P., 2019. Brain (re)organization following visual loss. *Wiley interdisciplinary reviews. Cogn. Sci.* 10, e1468.
- Voss, P., Gougoux, F., Lassonde, M., Zatorre, R.J., Lepore, F., 2006. A positron emission tomography study during auditory localization by late-onset blind individuals. *Neuroreport* 17, 383–388.
- Wandell, B.A., Smirnakis, S.M., 2009. Plasticity and stability of visual field maps in adult primary visual cortex. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 10, 873–884.
- Watanabe, T., Sasaki, Y., 2015. Perceptual learning: toward a comprehensive theory. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 66, 197–221.
- Webber, A.L., Wood, J., 2005. Amblyopia: prevalence, natural history, functional effects and treatment. *Clin. Exp. Optom.* 88, 365–375.
- Wiesel, T.N., Hubel, D.H., 1963. Effects of visual deprivation on morphology and physiology of cells in the cats lateral geniculate body. *J. Neurophysiol.* 26, 978–993.
- Wiesel, T.N., Hubel, D.H., 1965a. Comparison of the effects of unilateral and bilateral eye closure on cortical unit responses in kittens. *J. Neurophysiol.* 28, 1029–1040.
- Wiesel, T.N., Hubel, D.H., 1965b. Extent of recovery from the effects of visual deprivation in kittens. *J. Neurophysiol.* 28, 1060–1072.
- Zeck, G., 2016. Aberrant activity in degenerated retinas revealed by electrical imaging. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 10, 25.
- Zhou, J., Clavagnier, S., Hess, R.F., 2013. Short-term monocular deprivation strengthens the patched eye's contribution to binocular combination. *J. Vis.* 13.
- Zhou, J., Reynaud, A., Hess, R.F., 2014. Real-time modulation of perceptual eye dominance in humans. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 281.