Tsunami and tephra deposits record interactions between past eruptive activity and landslides at Stromboli Volcano
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ABSTRACT

Devastation associated with tsunamis is well known on the global scale. Flank collapse at volcanic islands is among the mechanisms triggering tsunamis but very few examples document interaction between landslides and volcanic activity. The study of three well-preserved medieval tsunami deposits recently discovered along the coast of Stromboli Volcano (Aeolian Islands, southern Italy) enabled a detailed characterization of the tsunami sequences intercalated with volcaniclastic deposits and primary tephra and reconstruction of the likely sequence of volcanic events. In one case a violent explosion possibly preceded the tsunami, whereas in the youngest event, the lateral collapse of the volcano flank triggered a tsunami wave that was rapidly followed by sustained explosive magmatic activity and ensuing prolonged ash venting. The hypothesized tsunami-triggering dynamics suggests a close link between volcanic activity and flank collapse, further confirming that the persistent activity at Stromboli makes the volcano particularly susceptible to tsunami generation.
INTRODUCTION

Before the seminal works by Atwater (1987) and Dawson et al. (1988), studies on tsunami deposits were mostly isolated post-disaster reports. Widely occurring layers of sand and gravel were typically interpreted as marine transgression events or as storm surges of extraordinary magnitude. Tsunami deposits are the main evidence of past tsunami wave inundations but are inherently difficult to identify in coastal sediment sequences (Bardet et al., 2003; Dawson and Stewart, 2007; Shiki et al., 2008; Matsumoto et al., 2016). Landslides occurring on steeply sloped coastal areas, including coastal volcanoes or volcanic islands, have been shown to produce devastating tsunamis (Hoshizumi et al., 1999; Satake and Kato, 2001, Ward and Day, 2003; Paris et al., 2014; Day et al., 2015; Walter et al., 2019). Surprisingly, there are only a few clear examples worldwide of the relationship between volcanic activity and landslide generation (Paris et al., 2017).

We focus here on the volcanic island of Stromboli (Southern Tyrrhenian Sea) where at least six small-scale tsunamis have occurred since 1900 (Maramai et al., 2005). The largest tsunami of the last century was initiated on 30 December 2002 by two landslides that detached from the submarine and subaerial flanks of the Sciara del Fuoco (SdF) scar (Tinti et al., 2006; Marani et al., 2008). Stromboli has been the source of potentially tsunamigenic large-scale flank failures, as revealed by marine (Di Roberto et al., 2010) and subaerial records (Tibaldi, 2001). Rosi et al. (2019) recently identified three well-preserved medieval tsunami deposits on the NE coast of the island. We present here a complete sedimentological characterization of these tsunami deposits, coupled with a detailed investigation of the intercalated primary volcanic layers. These extremely well preserved tsunami beds document the close link between volcanic events and
tsunamigenic landslides and, in general, indicate that volcanic activity can destabilize volcanic slopes. Results may help better design research on other active volcanic islands and mitigation strategies.

METHODS

Field analyses were conducted in three 2m-deep trenches with a cumulative extension of 80 m, dug in a broadly flat area located on the back side of a coastal dune 1-2 m above sea level (a.s.l.; Figs. 1, DR1). The maximum clast size was determined by measuring the three axes of pebbles collected from selected layers at six sites in the trenches. Nineteen samples were recovered and mechanically dry-sieved for grain-size analyses (Fig. DR2, Table DR1); components and clast shape parameters were determined under a stereomicroscope and by two-dimensional image analysis. Matrix glass compositions of scoriaceous lapilli were determined using an energy-dispersive micro-analytical system (Data Repository).

TSUNAMI DEPOSIT CHARACTERISTICS

The trenches crossed a laterally continuous succession of unconsolidated sediments consisting of massive, fine-grained volcaniclastic deposits intercalated with primary ash and lapilli (Fig. DR1). Three black-sand sheet deposits containing scattered rounded pebbles occur within the succession. These were interpreted as tsunamiites on the basis of the sedimentary structures that commonly characterize such deposits (e.g., erosional basal contacts, landward dispersal, texture and grading, stratification, boulder accumulation, sediment composition; Dawson and Shi, 2000; Morton et al. 2007; Peters and Jaffe, 2010; Engel and Bruckner, 2011). Their sharp contact with the continental fine-grained ash also excludes any links to erratic shoreline migrations (marine
transgression-regression cycles). Although the distinction between tempestites and tsunamiites is not always straightforward, the limited 50 km-wide marine fetch which separates Stromboli from the eastern and southern coasts of Italy makes them unlikely storm deposits.

On the basis of $^{14}$C data obtained from charcoal below the tsunami beds, along with historical accounts and geological and archaeological evidence, Rosi et al. (2019) suggested these tsunamis occurred between the 14th and 15th century.

The Lower Tsunami deposit (LTd) is the thickest, coarsest and laterally most continuous bed, covering a longitudinal distance to the coastline of 60 m. The deposit locally shows an erosive base (Fig. DR1) and bears cm-size fragments of pottery and sporadic angular lava fragments.

The maximum thickness is ~30 cm in Trench 2 and gradually decreases to <5 cm in the higher sites of Trench 1 (230 m from coastline; 2.8 m a.s.l.; Fig. 2). In Trench 3, the LTd comprises two normally-graded, medium to coarse sand beds, one 4 cm thick and the other 13 cm thick, overlain by a 17 cm-thick reversely-graded bed of coarse sand with scattered rounded lava pebbles that can be traced continuously inland to Trench 1, where it is 0-15 cm thick.

The Intermediate Tsunami deposit (ITd) occurs only in Trench 3 (Fig. 1). It consists of a 4 cm-thick, coarse, black sand with sporadic cm-sized rounded pebbles.

The Upper Tsunami deposit (UTd) comprises a normally graded, poorly sorted, medium to coarse sand with an erosive base and containing variable amounts of pebbles and cobbles (Fig. DR1) and a higher abundance of angular juvenile clasts than LTd and ITd. It can be traced up to 220 m inland (3.5 m a.s.l.) of the present shoreline.

LTd and UTd thickness rapidly decreases inland. In LTd, this is coupled with an increase in deposit sorting and a decrease in the average matrix grain-size, with grain-size distribution identical to that of the present-day beach sand (Figs. 2, 3A). The maximum clast size in the
tsunami deposits decreases progressively landward (Figs. 2, 3B). LTd contains the largest clasts, ITd and Utd the smallest and least variable clasts (Fig. 3C). Morphological parameters indicate that most of the clasts are spherical to partially oblate, irrespective of distance from the shoreline (Fig. DR3), much like the coarsest fraction of the present beach deposit. A linear relationship exists among form factor and convexity (Fig. DR3), with the UTd clasts having the largest morphological variability; this agrees with the occurrence of more irregularly-shaped scoria fragments in UTd, and with the greater abundance of smooth, rounded lava clasts in LTd and ITd (Fig. DR4).

The components of the sand fraction in the three tsunami deposits are similar and comprise slightly rounded and abraded dense, black glass (40 wt.%), crystals of clinopyroxene (30 wt.%), olivine (20 wt.) and minor plagioclase, as well as rare red, oxidized lava fragments. The components and their relative abundances, including the lack of macro- and micro-bioclasts, are remarkably similar to those of sand forming the present beach (Fig. DR5).

VOLCANIC AND LANDSLIDE ACTIVITY AT STROMBOLI

Two primary lapilli-bearing tephra fallout sequences, T1 and T2, were found below LTd and above UTd, respectively (Fig. 1). T1 consists of cm-sized, banded light- and dark-colored pumice lapilli (T1pm) overlain by a discontinuous, <2 cm reddish ash (T1a) bed consisting of fresh-to-altered lava, scoria, holocrystalline rock fragments and loose crystals. Most T1a scoria fragments show a µm-thick rim of leached glass characterized by Al₂O₃ loss and SiO₂ gain: an indication of acidic alteration by magmatic gases from the crater area (Wyering et al., 2014; Fig. 4A-D). T1 rests on a soil and is in places separated from LTd by a 2-3 cm-thick, fine-grained muddy sediment containing small charcoals, suggesting either a time lapse between the
explosive eruption and the tsunami or syn-eruptive mud deposition. T2 consists of a plane-parallel bedset composed of three distinct fallout layers (T2a, 2b and 2c from base to top). T2a is a 4 cm-thick, fine to medium ochre-colored ash lying directly above UTd; sparse pumice fragments occur at the top of the layer (T2pm). T2 was also found higher on the volcano slopes, where T2a bears pumice and scoriaceous bombs. T2a particles >500 μm consist of fresh, vesicular scoriae typical of summit explosive activity. The fraction <500 μm consists of fresh to weakly altered lava and scoria fragments, possibly resulting from the breakage and comminution of volcanic rocks that did not undergo summit alteration. T2b is a 2-3 cm-thick, well-sorted layer of coarse ash to fine lapilli mainly composed of fresh, variably vesicular black scoriae. T2c is a 5-6 cm-thick, vesiculated, fine reddish-brown ash composed of fresh to hydrothermally-altered lavas and scoriae, loose crystals and holocrystalline volcanic rock fragments. The matrix glass composition of fresh scoriaceous lapilli (Fig. 4E) shows the typical variability of the high-porphyritic (HP) magma feeding persistent activity at Stromboli (Métrich et al., 2010). Mingled pumices (T1pm and T2pm) contain HP material mingled with the less evolved composition of the low-porphyritic (LP) magma emitted only during Strombolian paroxysms (Métrich et al., 2010).

Sequences T1 and T2 are interpreted as deriving from transient high-energy Strombolian paroxysms that emplaced mingled pumices (T1pm, T2pm), followed by a fallout of red ash (T1a, T2c), as observed during the 1930, 2003 and 2007 eruptions (Rittman, 1931; Rosi et al., 2006; Pistolesi et al., 2011) and in the deposits of older paroxysms. Within T2a, the coexistence of fresh, vesicular scoriae typical of activity at the summit and of fresh to weakly altered lava and scoria fragments suggests that it formed through the simultaneous fall of juvenile material from an eruptive column and dust-rich ash clouds related to subaerial landslides, as observed in a
similar deposit from the December 2002 activity analyzed for comparison. While LTd was possibly immediately preceded by a paroxysmal event (T1), the T2 eruptive succession represents a tsunami-triggering landslide that emplaced UTd and T2a, immediately followed by a paroxysmal event (T2pm, T2b, T2c). The synchronous occurrence of the landslide and paroxysmal activity is further corroborated by the coeval sedimentation of ash from the landslide and scoriaceous and pumice fragments that coarsen uphill, as observed in several outcrops.

DISCUSSION

Field evidence indicates that the tsunami waves deeply eroded the beach and dune deposits as they penetrated inland. The progressive loss of carrying capacity led to deposition, as also demonstrated by the systematic decrease in the maximum diameter of clasts and deposit thickness. Considering the proximity of the sites to the likely source area, and given that tsunami generation from landslides possibly involves more than one wave of notable amplitude and erosion capacity, single normal gradation (UTd) or repeated inversely-graded units (LTd) may be ascribed either to a complex tsunami wave from a single landslide event or to a multi-stage landslide failure mechanism.

Large landslides have repeatedly occurred at Stromboli in the past, as demonstrated by onshore geological evidence (Tibaldi, 2001) and marine sediment records (Di Roberto et al., 2010), suggesting that the studied tsunamis were possibly caused by large-scale landslides in the NW sector of the volcano. Among the landslides in the last 5 ka that generated turbidity currents in distal sites (Di Roberto et al., 2010), two major events stand out. The older event is attributed to the collapse of Neostromboli (~5 ka), whereas the younger one occurred ~1 ka ago, both in agreement with geological subaerial evidence (Arrighi et al., 2004; Speranza et al., 2008).
Interestingly, the ~1 ka turbidite is comparable in age to the medieval tsunamis studied here. The turbidite found in marine cores 24 km from SdF may have resulted from the stacking of three distinct turbidites cogenetic with volcanic landslides at Stromboli, which produced LTd, ITd and UTd. This agrees with the structure of the turbidite, showing at least three stacked, closely spaced sandy units with a sharp base and gradational top (Di Roberto et al., 2010). Although large-volume turbidites resulting from landslides may incorporate variable amounts of sediment due to seafloor and slope erosion, thereby increasing their final volume (Hunt et al., 2011), the small volume of the Stromboli landslides coupled with the proximity of the coring site suggest a first-order correlation between turbidite thickness and landslide volume, as in the known 2002 event (2-3 cm for ~30 Mm$^3$). We estimate a total volume of ~180 Mm$^3$ for the three medieval events: this should be considered a conservative estimate due to the potential erosive activity of the turbidity currents and deposit compaction.

Although we cannot exclude seismic triggers for past slope destabilization, recent eruptive crises at Stromboli suggest that deformation of the volcano flanks linked to magmatic injection is the most probable cause of flank instability. During the 2002-03, 2007 and 2014 eruptions, the injection of magma eventually determined the seaward displacement of a small sector of the SdF and the opening of lateral effusive vents (Calvari et al., 2008; Ripepe et al. 2015; Valade et al., 2017). The significant inflation of the volcano flank culminated on 30 December 2002 with a tsunamigenic landslide. The occurrence of three large-scale tsunamigenic landslides in one century suggests that a high magma discharge rate in that period led to the deposition of a large amount of loose material in a narrow sector of the volcano. The close correlation between the two violent explosions and the tsunamis further suggest a higher magma supply rate. Although the T1-LTd sequence does not provide conclusive evidence, it suggests that a high supply rate
resulted in a paroxysmal explosion followed by flank deformation and a tsunamigenic landslide. The UTd-T2 sequence better indicates that possible flank deformation may result in a tsunamigenic landslide rapidly followed by violent explosive activity. Flank collapses have been successfully linked to subsequent explosive eruptions at Tenerife (Paris et al., 2017) and more recently at Anak Krakatau (Walter et al., 2019). In the case of the 170 ka Tenerife event, the close relationship between volcanic activity and tsunamigenic landslides was revealed by the occurrence of pumice fragments from the El Abrigo eruption in the topmost part of the turbidity sequence. There are only a few examples of coupled slope instability and explosive activity at volcanic islands, possibly due to the complexity of the deposit sequences and their low preservation potential. The clear, well-preserved succession discovered at Stromboli is an excellent example of such multiple events, during which violent explosions immediately precede or rapidly follow tsunamigenic landslides.
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**FIGURE CAPTIONS**

Figure 1. (A) Location of the three trenches. a-a’ topographic profile of Fig. 2 is shown (yellow dots: topographic control points; red dots: elevation of trench corners; green dots: elevation of the different layers in the trenches). (B) Tsunami and tephra sequences in the trenches. Length of
layers reflects differences in grain-size according to the scale above. Image in (A) is from TerraMetrics, ©2018 Google.

Figure 2. (A) Topographic profile (red line) for a segment cross-cutting the trench area (Fig. 1) obtained from a 1×1 m-spaced raster dataset combined with control points (yellow dots) and theodolite measurements. (B) Thickness variation for LTD. (C) Md\(\phi\) trend (straight line, left axis) and average pebble volume trend at each site (dashed line, right axis) for LTd.

Figure 3. (A) Md\(\phi\) vs \(\sigma\phi\) plot of representative samples collected from the three tsunamis (different symbols) at different trenches (different colors). Decreasing marker size indicates increasing distance from the shore. The yellow star refers to a representative matrix sample of beach sand. (B) Equivalent volumes of LTd pebbles at varying distance from the coast. (C) Equivalent volume variability in pebbles from the three tsunami deposits.

Figure 4. Back-scattered images showing (A) the variability of components in deposit T2a (juvenile scoriae and crystal fragments, holocrystalline clasts, and scoriae with leached rims); (B) clast in T2a with mm-thick rim of leached glass; (C) juvenile, vesicular LP pumice clast from T2a; (D) scoriaceous, HP juvenile clast from T2a. Scale bars are 100 µm. (E) SiO\textsubscript{2} vs K\textsubscript{2}O plot showing the chemical variability of matrix glass in the different tephra layers. The dashed lines indicate the variability of high-porphyritic (HP) and low-porphyritic (LP) magmas.

\footnote{GSA Data Repository item 201Xxx, including methods used for topographic, sedimentological and chemical data acquisition, is available online at www.geosociety.org/pubs/ft20XX.htm, or}
by request from editing@geosociety.org or Documents Secretary, GSA, P.O. Box 9140, Boulder, CO 80301, USA.
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