

1 **Allocation pattern, ion partitioning, and chlorophyll *a* fluorescence in *Arundo donax***
2 **L. in responses to salinity stress**

3

4 A. POMPEIANO¹, M. LANDI^{2*}, G. MELONI², F. VITA², L. GUGLIELMINETTI², &
5 L. GUIDI²

6

7 ¹Laboratory of Plant Ecological Physiology, Global Change Research Centre, Czech
8 Academy of Sciences, Bělidla 4a, CZ-60300 Brno, Czech Republic

9 ²Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

10

11 ***Corresponding author:**

12 Address:

13 Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa

14 Via del Borghetto, 80 - I56124, Pisa, Italy

15 Tel: +39 050 2216620

16 Email: marco.landi@for.unipi.it

17

18 **Acknowledgements**

19 The authors are thankful to Mr. Fausto Marchini and Mr. Andrea Martini for the assistance
20 with data collection and wish to express their sincere gratitude to Dr. Thais Huarancca
21 Reyes for helpful comments and critical review of the whole manuscript.

22

23 **Word count:** 6467 words

24 **Figures:** 5

25

26

27 **Abstract**

28 Biometric and physiological analyses of salt stress responses were performed in two time-
29 course experiments on giant reed (*Arundo donax* L). Experiment I evaluated biomass
30 production in plants exposed to 128, 256, 512 mM NaCl for 84 days. For Experiment II,
31 plants grown under 256 mM NaCl were further assessed for chlorophyll *a* fluorescence,
32 ionic partitioning and proline content at 14 and 49 days after treatment (DAT). Biomass
33 allocation was affected with all the concentrations of NaCl used from 28 DAT onward.
34 Proline biosynthesis in leaves was more stimulated than that in roots after salt stress.
35 Photosynthetic efficiency of photosystem II (PSII) was not affected by salt stress up to 42
36 DAT, whilst 49 DAT plants exhibited a significant reduction of both potential (Φ_{PSII}) and
37 maximal (F_v/F_m) PSII quantum yield. *A. donax* resulted a moderately sensitive species in
38 response to 256 and 512 mM NaCl, concentrations that are however higher than that
39 commonly found in most marginal lands (such as 128 mM or lower), where the biomass
40 yield is appreciable especially in short-term cultivation (56 DAT here). Altogether, this
41 study indicates that *A. donax* can be considered as a promising and valuable energy crop
42 for exploiting Mediterranean marginal land.

43

44 **Keywords:** *Biomass allocation, Giant reed, Photoinhibition, Proline, Salt stress*

45 **Introduction**

46 A significant proportion of agricultural land has become saline owing to land clearance or
47 irrigation, both of which cause that water tables rise and concentrate the salts in the root
48 zone. Salt toxicity occurs when Na^+ and Cl^- accumulate above the concentrations required
49 at whole plant level (Shabala & Munns 2012). A hypersaline environment results in
50 reduced plant water availability which is decreased primarily due to the salt-induced
51 osmotic stress (Chaves et al. 2009; Munns 1993). In addition, accumulation of Na^+ can
52 compete with the uptake of other major cations, such as K^+ and Ca^{2+} (Niu et al. 1995). For
53 example, in barley the maintenance of efficient CO_2 assimilation was mainly attributable
54 to the maintenance of high K^+ , low Na^+ homeostasis, and the resulting high K^+/Na^+ ratio in
55 the cytoplasm of mesophyll cells (Munns et al. 2006). In addition to the toxic effect of Na^+ ,
56 some species exposed to high Cl^- are also negatively affected since Cl^- accumulation
57 significantly impairs the photosynthetic process (Tavakkoli et al. 2010).

58 Photosynthesis alteration under salt stress can be firstly a consequence of stomatal
59 closure induced by the effect of osmotic stress perceived at root level due to the
60 accumulation of salt outside the roots (Shabala & Munns 2012). In these conditions the
61 CO_2 supply into the leaf is limited as well as the utilization of ATP and NADH leading to
62 an excess of excitation energy (Megdiche et al. 2008; Takahashi & Badger 2011). The final
63 consequence of this process is the increase of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production
64 (Takahashi & Badger 2011) which can trigger oxidative damage to the chloroplasts (Niyogi
65 1999; Triantaphylidès & Havaux 2009). Metabolic limitations of photosynthesis in plants
66 affected by salinity can also result from harmful concentrations of Na^+ and Cl^- in the leaf
67 tissue (Munns et al. 2006). Ion excess (Na^+ and Cl^-) induces a reduction of leaf expansion
68 as a consequence of reduced leaf transpiration rate; this, in turn, results into a buildup of
69 photosynthates surplus in growing tissues (Munns et al. 2000). Excess of photosynthates
70 induces a feedback signal which down-regulates photosynthesis to compensate the lower
71 sugar request due to plant growth inhibition (Paul & Foyer 2001). Accumulation of salt at
72 cytosolic or chloroplastic level inhibits the activity of photosynthesis-related enzymes,
73 thereby further reducing CO_2 photoassimilation (Munns 1993).

74 Salt tolerance is achieved by plants through different strategies, such as the selective
75 accumulation or exclusion of ions, the control of ion uptake by roots and transport into
76 leaves, the compartmentalization of ions at the cellular and whole-plant levels, the change
77 in photosynthetic pathway, the alteration in membrane structure, the induction of
78 antioxidant systems and plant hormones, and the synthesis of compatible solutes (Parida &
79 Das 2005), including proline. In plants grown under high salinity conditions, proline plays
80 a key role in the stabilization of proteins and protein complexes in the chloroplast and
81 cytosol, and in the protection of the photosynthetic apparatus (as shown in the salt-
82 hypersensitive *p5cs1* Arabidopsis mutant) (Szabados & Saviouré 2010).

83 *Arundo donax* L. (giant reed) is a perennial rhizomatous grass widely distributed
84 from the Mediterranean basin to subtropical wetlands, and is considered as an invasive
85 species in many Mediterranean countries including Italy (Mascia et al. 2013). Due to its
86 high vigor, *A. donax* has been recently identified as a leading candidate crop for
87 lignocellulosic feedstock, thanks to its positive energy balance, productivity in terms of
88 yield potential, biomass characteristics, and low ecological/agro-management
89 requirements (Lewandowski et al. 2003; Pompeiano et al. 2013) or under adverse
90 environmental constrains (Jones et al. 2014), including salinity (Nackley & Kim 2015;
91 Williams et al. 2009). Despite the general interest for *A. donax*, few and controversy studies
92 have investigated the effect of salinity on biomass production and plant physiology.

93 Since the production of nonfood species (such as *A. donax*) has been promoted in
94 marginal lands (Testa et al. 2014), such species should be selected based on their
95 performance under less than favorable conditions found in those areas. The aim of the
96 present research was thus to (i) determine response curves and species-specific threshold
97 values for aboveground biomass of *A. donax* under salt-induced stress, and (ii) characterize
98 the physiological response of *A. donax* to prolonged salinity exposure, by assessing the
99 allocation of ions mainly perturbed by salinity stress, the accumulation of proline, and the
100 spatial variation in photosystem II (PSII) efficiency within the leaf lamina of giant reed
101 under salinity stress.

102

103 **Material and methods**

104 *Plant material and growth conditions*

105 Two independent studies, addressing each of the objectives stated, were performed, during
106 the 2013 growing season at the research facilities of the Department of Agriculture, Food
107 and Environment of the University of Pisa (Pisa, Italy). Four-week-old *A. donax in vitro*
108 propagated plants were placed into 96-hole seed trays filled with a peat-based mix, and
109 kept in growth chambers for four weeks at 22 ± 1.0 °C with a 12-h photoperiod (light
110 intensity: $200 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$). Plants were watered daily to prevent wilting, and fertilizer was
111 applied weekly with a half-strength Hoagland's solution (pH 6.5 ± 0.1 , EC 1.1 dS m^{-1}).
112 Uniform plants (approximately 15 cm in height with a minimum of two stems) were
113 transplanted into 0.7-L plastic pots filled with a mixture of 3:2:1 volume ratio of sphagnum
114 moss peat, silica sand, and perlite. From this point on, the plants were grown under
115 controlled climate greenhouse conditions. Plants were daily watered with tap water for the
116 first week, and the next three weeks with daily tap water and half-strength Hoagland's
117 solution (20 mL per pot) once a week.

118 To avoid salinity shock, NaCl levels were gradually increased by 64 mM per day
119 until the final concentrations were reached. Plants were placed in pots suspended in nutrient
120 tanks which contain 2 L of a continuously aerated, half-strength modified Hoagland's
121 solution supplemented with the appropriate salinity concentration in deionized water
122 (Epstein & Bloom 2005). The solutions were changed three times a week in order to avoid
123 salt accumulation. Salinity concentrations were monitored by measuring electrical
124 conductivity (EC) of the solution at 22 °C with a Crison conductivity meter (Pt-100,
125 Barcelona, E). Throughout all the experiment period, the pots were rotated within their
126 blocks at one-week intervals to minimize the confounding effects of other external factors.
127 Greenhouse air temperature ranged between 22 and 32 °C during the day, and remained
128 above 13 °C during night, RH between 65 and 80%, with daily maximum
129 photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) levels ranging from 850 to $1530 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$,
130 provided by sunlight.

131

132 *Experiment I: Salinity tolerance*

133 Giant reed plants were irrigated with half-strength modified Hoagland's nutrient solution
134 [control, with electrical conductivity (EC) = 1.1 dS m⁻¹], and half-strength modified
135 Hoagland's nutrient solution with 128 mM NaCl (EC = 11.7 dS m⁻¹), 256 mM NaCl
136 solution (EC = 23.4 dS m⁻¹), and 512 mM NaCl (EC = 42.2 dS m⁻¹) for 12 weeks. These
137 concentrations of salt represented moderate to severe salinity stress for the species based
138 on preliminary observations. All the treatments were performed in parallel. For each
139 treatment, ten plants were removed at each time point (0, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 12 weeks after
140 treatment) to assess biometric parameters. Plants were harvested and separated into leaf
141 blades, stems, roots and the remaining rhizome fraction. Each fraction was washed twice
142 in deionized water to remove the bulk of dust and debris, rapidly dried with paper, and the
143 fresh weight (FW) was measured. Each fraction was then dried in a ventilated oven at 60 °C
144 until constant weight in order to assess the dry weight (DW) of the sample.

145

146 *Experiment II: Physiological responses to salt stress*

147 Based on results from Experiment I, the intermediate salinity treatment of 256 mM NaCl
148 solution (EC = 23.4 dS m⁻¹) was selected for physiological analysis. That salinity level was
149 selected as the concentration limit for which *A. donax* plants do not have any reduction on
150 biomass until 14 DAT. In addition, we selected two data points: 14 and 49 days after
151 treatment (DAT), which were expected to span the range from a very early stage of stress
152 to 75% of aboveground dry matter of the intermediate salinity treatment, based on
153 Experiment I. At each data point, leaves, stems, and roots were collected from plants
154 exposed to all treatments. Samples were immediately processed or ground in liquid
155 nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for mineral and proline analysis.

156 Dried samples of roots, leaves and stems were finely grounded. Cations (Na⁺, Ca²⁺,
157 Mg²⁺ and K⁺) were extracted with concentrated HNO₃ and determined by atomic
158 absorption spectrophotometer. Cl⁻ content was determined on water extract of lyophilized
159 material. Briefly, 200 mg of sample was incubated in 5 mL of double distilled water for 30
160 min at 60 °C and the supernatant was collected after centrifugation. This procedure was

161 repeated twice. The supernatants were pooled, evaporated to dryness and the residues were
162 resuspended in 2 mL of water. Cl⁻ contents were determined by using ion chromatograph
163 (D-X-100 ion chromatograph, Dionex).

164 Chlorophyll fluorescence imaging was performed using an IMAGING-PAM
165 Chlorophyll Fluorometer (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). Details of the capture of
166 chlorophyll fluorescence imaging are reported by Guidi et al. (2007). Images of the
167 fluorescence parameters were displayed by means of a false colour code ranging from 0.00
168 (black) to 1.00 (purple). To determine the light response curve of apparent electron
169 transport rate (ETR), the leaves were adapted to the desired irradiance for 5 min. For the
170 estimation of ETR, the absorption coefficient of leaves over the 400–700 nm wavebands
171 was estimated with an Ocean Optics USB2000 spectrometer (Ocean Optics Inc., Dunedin,
172 FL, USA). Absorption coefficient averaged 0.84 for leaves from control and salt-treated
173 plants.

174 Proline was extracted from 200 mg of fresh leaf tissue in 4 mL of 3% (w/v) 5-
175 sulfosalicylic acid and measured using the acid-ninhydrin procedure, as reported in
176 Pompeiano et al. (2015). Free proline concentrations were measured using L-proline as a
177 standard and calculated on a FW basis.

178

179 *Statistical analysis*

180 Pots were arranged in a randomized complete-block design with ten replicates for each
181 time point. Scatter plots of the partitioning of aboveground biomass in relation to the whole
182 plant biomass (percentage of aboveground DW) data versus DAT showed a nonlinear
183 relationship. The data were fitted to a logistic regression model: aboveground DW (g) =
184 $100 / \{1 + 10^{[(BR_{50} - DAT) \text{ Slope}]}\}$ where BR₅₀ (50% of biomass reduction at a certain
185 DAT) and Slope are estimated model parameters. Parameter estimates were used to
186 calculate confidence intervals (95%) for the number of DAT withheld until giant reed in
187 each treatment reached 75, 50, and 25% aboveground DW (BR₇₅, BR₅₀, and BR₂₅,
188 respectively) for each treatment (Motulsky & Christopoulos 2003). At each aboveground
189 DW yield percentage, the treatments were considered significantly different if their

190 confidence intervals did not overlap. Following Bartlett's test for homogeneity of variance,
191 data were subjected to one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA), and means separation of
192 treatment effects was accomplished using the least significant difference (LSD) test. For
193 pair mean comparisons of control vs salt-treated plants within each time point (Experiment
194 II), Student's *t*-test was applied. Percentage values were angularly transformed. All
195 computations were performed with R 3.1.1 (R Core Team 2014), and the R package
196 *agricolae* (de Mendiburu 2014) was used.

197

198 **Results**

199 *Experiment I*

200 Under salinity stress, *A. donax* exhibited a reduction in plant DW over time (Figure 1A).
201 The first reduction in DW was observed with 512 mM NaCl at 14 DAT, and from that
202 period the DW reduction was continuous with the severity of treatment. Of note, at the end
203 of the experiment (84 DAT) *A. donax* plants did not survive at 512 mM NaCl (Figure 1A).
204 During plant growth, controls allocated more biomass in leaves and stems than in roots,
205 while under salinity conditions a significant change in biomass allocation was observed.
206 Indeed, in salt-treated plants leaves exhibited a decline from 25 to 18% (of the whole plant
207 biomass) under 512 mM NaCl at 56 DAT as compared to controls. Conversely, stem and
208 belowground fraction increased from 38 to 43% and 37 to 40%, respectively on whole
209 plant bases (Figure 1A).

210 Under all the salt conditions, *A. donax* exhibited increasing susceptibility along with
211 the time exposure, as testimony by the reduction of aboveground biomass. The sigmoid
212 models used to predict aboveground DW provided a good fit of the aerial biomass data,
213 resulting in average R^2 values of 0.96 during salinity stress (data not shown). No significant
214 difference in the estimated BR_{75} , the predicted number of days until the plant reached 75%
215 aboveground DW, between intermediate and high salinity conditions was observed,
216 averaging 21.1 DAT (Figure 1B). Marked differences were recorded among treatments in
217 the estimated BR_{50} and BR_{25} . The estimated BR_{50} value was 55.0 ± 1.42 d for giant reed in
218 low salinity conditions, whereas it was significantly abrupt ($P < 0.001$) when the species

219 was subjected to increased salinity levels (36.6 ± 3.12 d and 30.5 ± 1.26 d, respectively for
220 256 and 512 mM NaCl treatments). The response of the species under saline conditions
221 recorded for BR₂₅ was reflected in the patterns observed in the previous estimated
222 parameter (71.1 ± 2.02 d, 50.2 ± 3.57 d, and 41.6 ± 2.02 d, respectively for 128, 256, and 512
223 mM NaCl treatments).

224 After 56 DAT, salinity stress caused a significant decrease in FW together with the
225 reduction of plant height and stalk number (Table 1). Conversely, the percentage of dry
226 matter allocated in the shoots increased significantly following salt severity as shown in
227 Table 1 (i.e., 25.6% in controls vs 30.5%, 32.7%, 44.5% for 128, 256 and 512 mM NaCl,
228 respectively). Although the most relevant negative effects were induced by the highest
229 NaCl treatment, even 256 mM significantly affected plant performance (e.g., compared to
230 the controls -86, -44, -38% for FW, stalk number, and height, respectively).

231

232 *Experiment II*

233 At 14 DAT, Na⁺ and Cl⁻ significantly increased by 1.23 and 1.71% respectively under
234 salinity stress, whereas Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ were relatively stable (Figure 2). In contrast, K⁺
235 concentrations decreased slightly in response to salinity in all the tissues analyzed, although
236 it was only significant in the roots. As expected, at 49 DAT, ion concentration in plant
237 tissues increased, likely due to ontogenesis in both control and treated plants (*data not*
238 *shown*). However, in stressed plants, ion accumulation was even higher and particularly
239 pronounced for Ca²⁺ and K⁺ (Figure 2).

240 Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis was carried out weekly starting from 14 to 49 DAT.
241 Since between 14 and 49 DAT no effects on chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were
242 observed (*data not shown*), figure 3 reports data at the beginning (14 DAT) and the end of
243 the experiment (49 DAT). At 14 DAT, no changes in any parameters were observed,
244 confirming the healthy status of PSII. Chlorophyll parameters were homogeneous on leaf
245 lamina in both controls and stressed plants (Figure 3). A significant reduction in the
246 maximum photochemical PSII efficiency (F_v/F_m), 0.70 vs 0.82 in salt-treated plants and
247 controls, respectively, was recorded at 49 DAT. The decline in F_v/F_m in salt-stressed plants

248 was accompanied by a 30.8% decrease in the operational photochemical PSII efficiency
249 (Φ_{PSII}) and a 10.3% decline in the photochemical quenching (q_P) values. No significant
250 changes in non-photochemical quenching (q_{NP}) coefficient were recorded.

251 The response of ETR in increasing light intensities was comparable in controls and
252 salt-treated plants at 14 DAT, while a pronounced decline in ETR values was found at 49
253 DAT especially at a PAR higher than $175 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (Figure 4). Levels of ETR at
254 saturating light conditions were 27.9% lower in treated plants compared to the controls.
255 Values of ETR were higher in young control plants (14 DAT) compared to 49 DAT plants.

256 Leaf and root proline content increased dramatically during salt stress (Figure 5). In
257 control plants, proline was below the threshold of detectable values, both in leaves and
258 roots. At 14 DAT, proline concentrations ranged from 4.8 to $2.4 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ FW in leaves
259 and roots of stressed plants, respectively. A more pronounced increase in proline was
260 recorded in plants exposed for 49 DAT to salinity stress (about 4-fold higher than that at
261 14 DAT).

262

263 **Discussion**

264 Giant reed performance under salinity was measured in terms of changes in biometric traits
265 and time-dependent alterations in physiological attributes. It is well known the detrimental
266 effect of high salinity on plants which can be observed at whole-plant level as the death of
267 plants and/or decrease in productivity (Parida & Das 2005). The reduction of plant growth
268 is the result of the deleterious effects of root zone salinity that induce low osmotic potential,
269 nutritional imbalance, specific ion effect and/or combination of these factors. Each salinity
270 level used in our experiments negatively impacted the biomass production even though in
271 a short period (56 DAT) when the biomass yield can still be considered a valuable amount
272 for marginal lands, at least under 128 and 256 mM NaCl. Indeed, on the bases of the
273 economic analyses of *A. donax* cultivation vs other food crops made by Testa et al. (2016),
274 a reduction of DW of about the 70% (as recorder in this work with 256 mM at 56 DAT)
275 still allows an annual gross margin not far from the profitability of some crops cultivated
276 in arable areas (such as tomato). When the salinity stress is prolonged (84 DAT), plants

277 showed a strong reduction in biomass production and even mortality at the highest NaCl
278 concentration (512 mM). Even the lowest salt concentration (128 mM NaCl) was sufficient
279 to cause severe reduction of biomass after prolonged salt exposure (84 DAT). Nackley &
280 Kim (2015) reported an interesting experiment in which *A. donax* plants were grown for
281 60 days under a wide range of salinity (0-42 dS m⁻¹). The authors found effects of salinity
282 treatment only when EC was higher than 6 dS m⁻¹. At level of EC similar to that used in
283 Experiment II (23 dS m⁻¹) the reduction in biomass found by Nackley & Kim was about
284 70% as compared to 86% found in our experiment. In agreement with Nackley & Kim
285 (2015), mortality of plants did not occur before 56 days of salt exposure, even at the highest
286 solute concentration utilized by the authors (~ 512 mM NaCl).

287 The maintenance of ion balance is crucial for an optimal plant growth under salinity
288 because of one of the main effect of salinity is the disruption of ion homeostasis (Adams
289 et al. 1992). Despite Cl⁻ toxicity is considered as a detrimental factor when plants are
290 exposed to excessive NaCl, the perturbation of cation homeostasis (principally K⁺, Ca²⁺,
291 and Mg²⁺) provoked by high Na⁺ uptake can also be considered deleterious (Tavakkoli et
292 al. 2011). It is well known that K⁺ is essential for osmoregulation and protein synthesis,
293 maintaining cell turgor and stimulating photosynthesis (Flowers et al. 2015). In addition,
294 both K⁺ and Ca²⁺ are required for maintaining the integrity and functionality of cell
295 membranes (Cakmak 2014), while Mg²⁺ is the key component of chlorophylls. In
296 Experiment II, the main significant change was a slight reduction in K⁺ (significant only in
297 roots) at 14 DAT, but at the end of the experiment (49 DAT) plants under stress were able
298 to rebalance ion partitioning within tissue. It is also remarkable the strong increment of
299 Ca²⁺ found in each plant tissue at 49 DAT. It has been demonstrated that maintenance of
300 Ca²⁺ acquisition and transport under salt stress is also an important determinant of salinity
301 tolerance (Shabala & Munns 2012), and other authors reported that the main response to
302 salt stress is also a change in Ca²⁺ homeostasis (Rengel 1992). It is well known that abscisic
303 acid (ABA) and Ca²⁺ play a key role in stomata aperture (Blatt 2000; Evans et al. 2001)
304 and that ABA can induce an increase of cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ in guard cells, which in turn
305 results in stomatal closure (McAinsh et al. 2000). In addition, Ca²⁺ has also been reported

306 as a second messenger capable of enhancing or even switching on genes involved in salt-
307 stress tolerance (Mahajan & Tuteja 2005). Thus, in agreement with previous findings in
308 other species (Cramer et al. 1994; Rengel 1992), the maintenance of adequate K^+ and Ca^{2+}
309 may be a response of *A. donax* to counteract the detrimental effect of high salinity. Na^+
310 accumulation observed in the stressed leaf tissues during the experiment was lower than
311 that in the roots, especially at 14 DAT (Figure 2), and leaf/root Na^+ decreased under salinity
312 (*data not shown*). This revealed the ability of giant reed to partially restrict Na^+
313 translocation and accumulation in the shoots. This mechanism is a typical response of
314 glycophytes to salt exposure (Niu et al. 1995), even though *A. donax* is not usually
315 classified as a glycophyte species, but rather as a halophyte (Quinn et al. 2015; Williams
316 et al. 2009). However, in view of the considerable accumulation of Na^+ and Cl^- in the
317 leaves, the compartmentalization mechanism (for instance, vacuole storage) is likely to
318 assist *A. donax* after exposure to salt toxicity once Na^+ and Cl^- have been inevitably
319 absorbed and translocated to the leaf tissues (Zhu 2003).

320 Beside compartmentation and translocation, ion homeostasis could be also
321 maintained by the reduced stomatal conductance, which reduces transpiration flux, salt
322 transport and accumulation (Everard et al. 1994). Nackley & Kim (2015) reported that
323 stomatal closure is the main protective mechanism in *A. donax* against salinity. In turn,
324 stomatal closure limits the supply of CO_2 and thus a decrease in the demand of energy and
325 reducing power occurred. Therefore, the excess of excitation energy that is not tunneled to
326 electron transport can alter the photochemical activity of photosystems. The result is an
327 increase in the reduction state of PSII reaction centers that is recorded in *A. donax* plants
328 under salinity (decrease in q_p). The maximal PSII activity (estimated by the ratio F_v/F_m) of
329 dark-adapted leaves for control and NaCl stressed plants were similar until 42 DAT (Table
330 S1). The leaf photochemistry, therefore, was rather resistant to salt stress as determined by
331 Brugnoli & Björkman (1992) in salt-stressed cotton grown at different seawater
332 concentrations and by Delfine et al. (1999) in spinach cultivated with saline water (175
333 mM) for 22 days. However, we found that either F_v/F_m as well as Φ_{PSII} of the salt stressed
334 leaves of *A. donax* decreased at 49 DAT, indicating that prolonged high salt concentrations

335 affected leaf photochemistry. The significant decrease in Φ_{PSII} was not accompanied by an
336 increase in q_{NP} , thus the decline in F_v/F_m was not attributable to an enhancement in thermal
337 dissipation in the attempt to avoid photodamage. Conversely, the decrease in F_v/F_m can be
338 attributed to the down-regulation of PSII activity and/or impairment of its photochemical
339 activity, suggesting damages to the photosynthetic apparatus (Gallé et al. 2007). The
340 decreased PSII activity observed at 49 DAT may also occurred due to the leaves senescence
341 (Lu & Zhang 1998) exacerbated by salinity. Consequently, even ETR declined at 49 DAT
342 in NaCl-treated plants and the effect was more pronounced at light intensities higher than
343 $300 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$, it is plausible that the accumulation of Na^+ and Cl^- ions could have
344 affected the thylakoid membrane structure by disrupting the lipid bilayers or lipid-protein
345 complexes and impairing the electron transport activity. As a consequence, salt stress could
346 also have triggered higher ROS production in chloroplast due to the impaired electron
347 transport processes (Miller et al. 2010).

348 The enhancement of the biosynthesis of compatible solutes, in particular proline, is
349 another common response induced by salinity (Iqbal et al. 2014; Verbruggen & Hermans
350 2008). Proline is a multifunctional amino acid as well as a signalling molecule acting as a
351 plant growth regulator by triggering cascade signalling processes (Yang et al. 2009).
352 Proline is preferred as a common osmolyte in plants and up-regulated against different
353 stresses, including salt stress (Szabados & Saviouré 2010). On the other hand, some authors
354 reported that the increment in proline content was not correlated with salt tolerance in
355 barley, but rather only a consequence of salt excess in plant tissue (Chen et al. 2007;
356 Szabados & Saviouré 2010; Widodo et al. 2009). To confirm the not obvious relation
357 between proline biosynthesis and salt tolerance, Liu & Zhu (1997) reported that a salt-
358 sensitive *Arabidopsis* mutant had a higher proline content compared to the less sensitive
359 control. Our data are in agreement with the latter hypothesis and suggest that proline
360 accumulation is likely as a consequence of salt stress rather than an indicator of stress
361 tolerance, thus the high proline content in *A. donax* salt-treated plants was not correlated
362 with ameliorative effects on plant physiology (especially at 49 DAT).

363 Overall, our dataset reveals that *A. donax* is able to grow without any detectable
364 alteration of photosynthetic apparatus during a short/medium period of high salt exposure
365 (256 mM of NaCl for 42 DAT). Certainly, the biomass reduction detected at the early stage
366 of treatment, even at 128 mM NaCl (28 DAT), is indicative of the negative effect of salinity
367 on plant physiology. The reduction in the rate of leaf surface expansion as salt
368 concentration increases represents indeed an immediate response to salinity (Munns &
369 Termaat 1986; Wang & Nii 2000) as a strategy adopted by a wide range of plant species to
370 improve water use efficiency and minimize evapotranspiration loss (Nackley & Kim 2015).
371 To conclude, even though some authors classified this species as a halophyte (Peck 1998;
372 Perdue 1958; Quinn et al. 2015; Williams et al. 2009), we consider *A. donax* a moderately
373 salt-sensitive species in accordance with Nackley & Kim (2015). However, the
374 concentrations of NaCl applied in our experiments (256 and 512 mM) are higher than those
375 normally found in salt-enriched marginal land of Mediterranean areas and, in agreement
376 with Nassi o Di Nasso et al. (2013), *A. donax* could become an important energy crop due
377 to its high yield, low agronomic input requirements, and the capacity to grow in marginal
378 land, therefore not competing with the arable land used for food production.

379

380 **References**

381 Adams P, Thomas JC, Vernon DM, Bohnert HJ, Jensen RG. 1992. Distinct cellular and

382 organismic responses to salt stress. *Plant Cell Physiol* 33: 1215-1223

383 Blatt MR. 2000. Ca²⁺ signalling and control of guard-cell volume in stomatal movements. *Curr*

384 *Opin Plant Biol* 3: 196-204

385 Brugnoli E, Björkman O. 1992. Growth of cotton under continuous salinity stress: influence on

386 allocation pattern, stomatal and non-stomatal components of photosynthesis and dissipation of

387 excess light energy. *Planta* 187: 335-347

388 Cakmak I 2014. Major functions of calcium and magnesium in crop plants. In: de Melo Benites
389 V. (ed), 16th World Fertilizer Congress of CIEC. CIEC, Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brazil, pp. 30-32

390 Chaves MM, Flexas J, Pinheiro C. 2009. Photosynthesis under drought and salt stress: regulation
391 mechanisms from whole plant to cell. *Ann Bot-London* 103: 551-560

392 Chen Z, Cuin TA, Zhou M, Twomey A, Naidu BP, Shabala S. 2007. Compatible solute
393 accumulation and stress-mitigating effects in barley genotypes contrasting in their salt tolerance. *J*
394 *Exp Bot* 58: 4245-4255

395 Cramer GR, Alberico GJ, Schmidt C. 1994. Salt tolerance is not associated with the sodium
396 accumulation of two maize hybrids. *Funct Plant Biol* 21: 675-692

397 de Mendiburu F 2014. *agricolae*: statistical procedures for agricultural research. R package
398 version 1.2-1. p. 60

399 Delfine S, Alvino A, Villani MC, Loreto F. 1999. Restrictions to carbon dioxide conductance and
400 photosynthesis in spinach leaves recovering from salt stress. *Plant Physiol* 119: 1101-1106

401 Epstein E, Bloom AJ. 2005. Mineral nutrition of plants: Principles and perspective. Sinauer
402 Assoc., Sunderland, MA.

403 Evans NH, McAinsh MR, Hetherington AM. 2001. Calcium oscillations in higher plants. *Curr*
404 *Opin Plant Biol* 4: 415-420

405 Everard JD, Gucci R, Kann SC, Flore JA, Loescher WH. 1994. Gas exchange and carbon
406 partitioning in the leaves of celery (*Apium graveolens* L.) at various levels of root zone salinity.
407 Plant Physiol 106: 281-292

408 Flowers TJ, Munns R, Colmer TD. 2015. Sodium chloride toxicity and the cellular basis of salt
409 tolerance in halophytes. Ann Bot-London 115: 419-431

410 Gallé A, Haldimann P, Feller U. 2007. Photosynthetic performance and water relations in young
411 pubescent oak (*Quercus pubescens*) trees during drought stress and recovery. New Phytol 174:
412 799-810

413 Guidi L, Mori S, Degl'Innocenti E, Pecchia S. 2007. Effects of ozone exposure or fungal
414 pathogen on white lupin leaves as determined by imaging of chlorophyll a fluorescence. Plant
415 Physiol Bioch 45: 851-857

416 Iqbal N, Umar S, Khan NA, Khan MIR. 2014. A new perspective of phytohormones in salinity
417 tolerance: Regulation of proline metabolism. Environ Exp Bot 100: 34-42

418 Jones MB, Finnan J, Hodkinson TR. 2014. Morphological and physiological traits for higher
419 biomass production in perennial rhizomatous grasses grown on marginal land. Glob Change Biol
420 Bioenergy: n/a-n/a

421 Lewandowski I, Scurlock JMO, Lindvall E, Christou M. 2003. The development and current
422 status of perennial rhizomatous grasses as energy crops in the US and Europe. Biomass Bioenerg
423 25: 335-361

424 Liu J, Zhu JK. 1997. Proline accumulation and salt-stress-induced gene expression in a salt-
425 hypersensitive mutant of Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 114: 591-596

426 Mahajan S, Tuteja N. 2005. Cold, salinity and drought stresses: An overview. *Arch Biochem*
427 *Biophys* 444: 139-158

428 Mascia F, Fenu G, Angius R, Bacchetta G. 2013. *Arundo micrantha*, a new reed species for Italy,
429 threatened in the freshwater habitat by the congeneric invasive *A. donax*. *Plant Biosyst* 147: 717-
430 729

431 McAinsh MR, Gray JE, Hetherington AM, Leckie CP, Ng C. 2000. Ca²⁺ signalling in stomatal
432 guard cells. *Biochem Soc T* 28: 476-481

433 Megdiche W, Hessini K, Gharbi F, Jaleel CA, Ksouri R, Abdelly C. 2008. Photosynthesis and
434 photosystem 2 efficiency of two salt-adapted halophytic seashore *Cakile maritima* ecotypes.
435 *Photosynthetica* 46: 410-419

436 Miller G, Suzuki N, Ciftci-Yilmaz S, Mittler RON. 2010. Reactive oxygen species homeostasis
437 and signalling during drought and salinity stresses. *Plant Cell Environ* 33: 453-467

438 Motulsky H, Christopoulos A 2003. Fitting models to biological data using linear and nonlinear
439 regression: A practical guide to curve fitting. GraphPad Software, Inc. San Diego, CA.

440 Munns R. 1993. Physiological processes limiting plant growth in saline soils: some dogmas and
441 hypotheses. *Plant Cell Environ* 16: 15-24

- 442 Munns R, James RA, Läuchli A. 2006. Approaches to increasing the salt tolerance of wheat and
443 other cereals. *J Exp Bot* 57: 1025-1043
- 444 Munns R, Passioura JB, Guo J, Chazen O, Cramer GR. 2000. Water relations and leaf expansion:
445 importance of time scale. *J Exp Bot* 51: 1495-1504
- 446 Munns R, Termaat A. 1986. Whole-plant responses to salinity. *Funct Plant Biol* 13: 143-160
- 447 Nackley LL, Kim S-H. 2015. A salt on the bioenergy and biological invasions debate: salinity
448 tolerance of the invasive biomass feedstock *Arundo donax*. *Glob Change Biol Bioenergy* 7: 752–
449 762
- 450 Nassi o Di Nasso N, Roncucci N, Bonari E. 2013. Seasonal dynamics of aboveground and
451 belowground biomass and nutrient accumulation and remobilization in giant reed (*Arundo donax*
452 L.): A three-year study on marginal land. *Bioenerg Res* 6: 725-736
- 453 Niu X, Bressan RA, Hasegawa PM, Pardo JM. 1995. Ion homeostasis in NaCl stress
454 environments. *Plant Physiol* 109: 735-742
- 455 Niyogi KK. 1999. Photoprotection revisited: genetic and molecular approaches. *Annu Rev Plant*
456 *Phys* 50: 333-359
- 457 Parida AK, Das AB. 2005. Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review. *Ecotox Environ*
458 *Safe* 60: 324-349

- 459 Paul MJ, Foyer CH. 2001. Sink regulation of photosynthesis. *J Exp Bot* 52: 1383-1400
- 460 Peck GG 1998. Hydroponic growth characteristics of *Arundo donax* L. under salt stress. In: Bell
461 C. E. (ed), *Arundo and saltcedar: the deadly duo: Proceedings of a workshop on combating the*
462 *threat from arundo and saltcedar*. University of California, Cooperative Extension, Ontario, CA.
463 Holtville, CA
- 464 Perdue R. 1958. *Arundo donax*: Source of musical reeds and industrial cellulose. *Econ Bot* 12:
465 368-404
- 466 Pompeiano A, Guglielminetti L, Bargiacchi E, Miele S. 2013. Responses in chemical traits and
467 biomass allocation of *Arundo donax* L. to deficit resources in the establishment year. *Chil J Agric*
468 *Res* 73: 377-384
- 469 Pompeiano A, Vita F, Miele S, Guglielminetti L. 2015. Freeze tolerance and physiological
470 changes during cold acclimation of giant reed [*Arundo donax* (L.)]. *Grass Forage Sci* 70: 168-175
- 471 Quinn L, Straker K, Guo J, Kim S, Thapa S, Kling G, Lee DK, Voigt T. 2015. Stress-tolerant
472 feedstocks for sustainable bioenergy production on marginal land. *Bioenerg Res*: 1-20
- 473 R Core Team 2014. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for
474 *Statistical Computing*, Vienna, Austria
- 475 Rengel Z. 1992. The role of calcium in salt toxicity. *Plant Cell Environ* 15: 625-632

- 476 Shabala S, Munns R. 2012. Salinity stress: physiological constraints and adaptive mechanisms.
477 In: Shabala S. (ed), *Plant Stress Physiol.* CABI, Wallingford, UK, pp 59-93
- 478 Szabados L, Saviouré A. 2010. Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. *Trends Plant Sci* 15: 89-97
- 479 Takahashi S, Badger MR. 2011. Photoprotection in plants: a new light on photosystem II damage.
480 *Trends Plant Sci* 16: 53-60
- 481 Tavakkoli E, Fatehi F, Coventry S, Rengasamy P, McDonald GK. 2011. Additive effects of Na⁺
482 and Cl⁻ ions on barley growth under salinity stress. *J Exp Bot* 62: 2189-2203
- 483 Tavakkoli E, Rengasamy P, McDonald GK. 2010. High concentrations of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions in soil
484 solution have simultaneous detrimental effects on growth of faba bean under salinity stress. *J Exp*
485 *Bot* 61: 4449-4459
- 486 Testa R, Di Trapani AM, Foderà M, Sgroi F, Tudisca S. 2014. Economic evaluation of
487 introduction of poplar as biomass crop in Italy. *Renew Sust Energ Rev* 38: 775-780
- 488 Testa R, Foderà M, Di Trapani AM, Tudisca S, Sgroi F. 2016. Giant reed as energy crop for
489 Southern Italy: An economic feasibility study. *Renew Sust Energ Rev* 58: 558-564
- 490 Triantaphylidès C, Havaux M. 2009. Singlet oxygen in plants: production, detoxification and
491 signaling. *Trends Plant Sci* 14: 219-228

- 492 Verbruggen N, Hermans C. 2008. Proline accumulation in plants: a review. *Amino Acids* 35:
493 753-759
- 494 Wang Y, Nii N. 2000. Changes in chlorophyll, ribulose biphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase,
495 glycine betaine content, photosynthesis and transpiration in *Amaranthus tricolor* leaves during
496 salt stress. *Journal of Horticultural Science & Biotechnology* 75: 623-627
- 497 Widodo, Patterson JH, Newbiggin E, Tester M, Bacic A, Roessner U. 2009. Metabolic responses
498 to salt stress of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) cultivars, Sahara and Clipper, which differ in salinity
499 tolerance. *J Exp Bot* 60: 4089-4103
- 500 Williams CMJ, Biswas TK, Black ID, Marton L, Czako M, Harris PL, Pollock R, Heading S,
501 Virtue JG 2009. Use of poor quality water to produce high biomass yields of giant reed (*Arundo*
502 *donax* L.) on marginal lands for biofuel or pulp/paper. In: Jaenicke H., Ganry J., Hoeschle-
503 Zeledon I. and Kahane R. (eds), *International Symposium on Underutilized Plants for Food*
504 *Security, Nutrition, Income and Sustainable Development*. Int Soc Horticultural Science, Arusha,
505 Tanzania, pp. 595-602
- 506 Yang S-L, Lan S-S, Gong M. 2009. Hydrogen peroxide-induced proline and metabolic pathway
507 of its accumulation in maize seedlings. *J Plant Physiol* 166: 1694-1699
- 508 Zhu J-K. 2003. Regulation of ion homeostasis under salt stress. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 6: 441-445

509 **Caption of figures**

510

511 Figure 1. (A) Dry weight of *Arundo donax* plant portion in relation to days of treatment
512 with 0, 128, 256 and 512 mM NaCl (first, second, third and fourth bars, respectively).
513 Black: root and rhizome fraction; Grey: stem fraction; White: leaf fraction. At time 0 only
514 controls were represented. At 84 days after treatment (DAT), the highest NaCl
515 concentration is not shown since plant did not survive. For each sampling data, bars with
516 similar letters are not statistically different from one to another according to Fisher's
517 protected LSD ($\alpha = 0.05$). (B) The 95% confidence intervals for the number of DAT until
518 each treatment reached 75% (square symbol), 50% (triangle symbol), and 25% (circle
519 symbol) aboveground dry yield. Within each aboveground dry yield percentage, treatments
520 with overlapping bars were not significant different ($p \geq 0.05$).

521

522 Figure 2. Percentage variation (difference between salt-treated and control plants) of
523 element content in leaf, stem, and root tissues of *Arundo donax* grown with 256 mM NaCl
524 at 14 (gray bars) and 49 (black bars) days after treatment (DAT). For each bar the
525 significance of the differences between control and treated plants means (five replicates)
526 after Student's *t*-test is reported (n.s. = $p \geq 0.05$; * = $p \leq 0.05$; ** = $p \leq 0.01$; *** = $p \leq 0.001$).

527

528 Figure 3. Maximum (F_v/F_m) and operational (Φ_{PSII}) photochemical PSII efficiency,
529 photochemical quenching (q_p) and non-photochemical quenching coefficient (q_{NP}) in
530 leaves of *Arundo donax* grown with (256 mM) or without NaCl in the nutrient solution at
531 14 and 49 days after treatment (DAT). For each parameter and for each date, Student's *t*-
532 test was applied for pair mean comparisons of control vs salt-treated plants (n.s. = $p \geq 0.05$;
533 * = $p \leq 0.05$; ** = $p \leq 0.01$; *** = $p \leq 0.001$).

534

535 Figure 4. Light response curves of electron transport rate (ETR) in leaves of *Arundo donax*
536 grown in control condition (open circle) and in a nutrient solution containing 256 mM NaCl
537 (closed circle) at 14 and 49 days after treatment (DAT). Data (mean \pm SD) are fitted using
538 a polynomial second-order curves.

539

540 Figure 5. Percentage variation (difference between salt-treated and control plants) of
541 proline content in leaves and roots of *Arundo donax* grown with 256 mM NaCl at 14 days
542 after treatment (DAT) (grey bar) and 49 DAT (black bar). For each bar the significance of
543 the differences between control and treated plants means after Student's *t*-test is reported
544 (***) = $p \leq 0.001$).