

Nowhere safe? Exploring the influence of urbanization across mainland and insular seashores in continental Portugal and the Azorean Archipelago

Iacopo Bertocci*^{1,2}, Francisco Arenas¹, Eva Cacabelos³, Gustavo M. Martins³, Maria I. Seabra⁴, Nuno V. Álvaro^{3,5}, Joana N. Fernandes⁴, Raquel Gaião¹, Nuno Mamede⁴, Martina Mulas¹, Ana I. Neto³

¹CIIMAR/CIMAR, Centro Interdisciplinar de Investigação Marinha e Ambiental,
Rua dos Bragas, 289, 4050-123, Porto, Portugal

²Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Villa Comunale, 80121, Naples, Italy

³CE3c-Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes/Azorean Biodiversity Group
- Universidade dos Açores, Rua da Mãe de Deus 13A, 9501-801 Ponta Delgada, Azores,
Portugal

⁴MARE, Marine and Environmental Science Centre, Laboratório de Ciências do Mar,
Universidade de Évora, Avenida Vasco da Gama, Apartado 190, 7521-903 Sines, Portugal

⁵CMMG-Centro do Clima, Meteorologia e Mudanças Globais da Universidade dos Açores,
Pólo Universitário de Angra do Heroísmo, 9701-851 Angra do Heroísmo, Azores, Portugal

* corresponding author

e-mail: ibertocci@ciimar.up.pt

Tel.: +39-0815833201

Fax: +39-0817641355

1 ABSTRACT

2 Urban sprawl is a main anthropogenic disturbance in coastal areas. Differences in the structure
3 and functioning of intensively urbanized *vs.* less human-affected systems are reported, but such
4 evidence is available for a much larger extent in terrestrial than in marine systems. We
5 examined the hypotheses that (i) urbanization was associated to different patterns of variation
6 of intertidal assemblages between urban and extra-urban environments; (ii) such patterns were
7 consistent across mainland and insular systems, spatial scales from 10s centimetres to 100s
8 kilometres, and a three months period. Several trends emerged: (i) a more homogeneous
9 distribution of most algal groups in the urban compared to the extra-urban condition and the
10 opposite pattern of most invertebrates; (ii) smaller/larger variances of most organisms where
11 these were, respectively, less/more abundant; (iii) largest variability of most response variables
12 at small scale; (iv) no facilitation of invasive species by urbanization and larger cover of
13 canopy-forming algae in the insular extra-urban condition. Present findings confirm the
14 acknowledged notion that future management strategies will require to include representative
15 assemblages and their relevant scales of variation associated to urbanization gradients on
16 both the mainland and the islands.

17
18 *Keywords:* Human impacts – Rocky intertidal – Algal and invertebrate assemblages – Spatio-
19 temporal scales – Variance components

20

21 **1. Introduction**

22 The evidence that patterns of distribution, abundance and diversity of species and
23 assemblages vary across biogeographic scales has been gathered for decades by ecologists,
24 although progressively developing from the qualitative appreciation to the design of descriptive
25 and manipulative experiments (Maurer, 1999). Several abiotic and biological factors vary
26 across large scales, such as along latitudinal gradients, and can modulate the strength and

27 nature of biological interactions (Menge et al., 2003) and the biological responses to
28 anthropogenic perturbations, including climate change (Parmesan and Yohe, 2003).

29 Despite an increasing interest in assessing the generality *vs.* context-dependency of
30 ecological processes (Chamberlain et al., 2014) and the development of approaches to
31 indirectly test for the effects of large-scale drivers and overcome the logistic difficulty of
32 manipulating them in the field (Menge et al., 2002), experimental analyses allowing
33 comparisons across broad spatial scales are still scarce (but see, for example, Pennings and
34 Silliman, 2005).

35 Among anthropogenic disturbances, urban coastal sprawl is one of the strongest, most
36 widespread and rising (Barragán and de Andrés, 2015). Urban development can be associated
37 to habitat destruction (Dugan et al., 2011), introduction of alien species (Airoidi et al., 2015),
38 pollution (Lotze et al., 2006) and contamination by marine debris (Leite et al., 2014). The
39 separate and/or combined impacts of such stressors may be responsible for the decline, up to
40 the extinction, of native species, landscape modifications, and biotic homogenization at local to
41 regional, or even global, scales (McKinney and Lockwood, 1999; Knop, 2016) and across all
42 levels of biological organization (Lotze et al., 2006; Halpern et al., 2008; Aronson et al., 2014).
43 Recent progress of research in urban ecology has indicated differences in the structure and
44 functioning of intensively urbanized *vs.* less human-affected systems, but such evidence was
45 provided for a much larger extent in terrestrial than in marine systems (Bulleri, 2006).

46 Moreover, previous studies on the impacts of human pressure provided inconsistent
47 evidence. An overall reduction of species diversity and evenness, through the replacement of
48 numerous, relatively low abundant, sensitive species by a few, but very abundant, ones has
49 been widely hypothesized and documented in marine and terrestrial systems (Olden and
50 Rooney, 2006; Magura et al., 2009; Tamburello et al., 2011). Such ecological change may also
51 lead to a homogenization of biodiversity among sites, i.e. a reduced beta diversity (e.g. Balata
52 et al., 2007; Bevilacqua et al., 2012). However, it has been reported that such outcome may

53 occur more frequently under extremely harsh conditions (McKinney, 2008). Moderate
54 disturbances, in contrast, may increase heterogeneity, such as where native species are lost at
55 slower rates than exotic species are added (McKinney, 2006), or where disturbance generates a
56 mosaic of resources in otherwise more homogeneous habitats and assemblages (Sousa, 2001).
57 Under such circumstances, testing whether anthropogenic activities are associated to a
58 homogenization or an increased heterogeneity of organisms is crucial to detect their realized
59 impacts and to guide effective mitigation strategies (Elmqvist et al., 2003). Hierarchical
60 sampling designs provide a tool to unambiguously identify an actual environmental impact
61 over the scale at which it actually occurs (Underwood, 1993; Bishop et al., 2002).

62 In the context of testing hypotheses on large-scale ecological processes, islands provide
63 convenient systems, especially when compared with analogous processes on the mainland
64 (Benedetti-Cecchi et al., 2003; González-Castro et al., 2012; Bertocci et al., 2014). Compared
65 with less isolated systems, oceanic archipelagos are generally poorer of species, richer in
66 endemism and more sensitive to human disturbance (Whittaker and Fernández-Palacios, 2007).
67 Variable patterns of dispersal ability, immigration, speciation and extinction, dependent on
68 factors such as the distance from sources of colonists and the size of islands, have been
69 considered responsible, under pre-human contact conditions, for the unique biota observed in
70 some islands, according to the classical Island Biogeography Theory (Mac Arthur and Wilson,
71 1967; Whittaker et al., 2008). Human contact has then determined events of anthropogenic
72 immigration of species, habitat transformation and declines or extinction of populations,
73 which, together with other historical traits, physical processes and biological interactions may
74 have contributed to maintain insular environments different than mainland ones (Lomolino,
75 2005; Whittaker et al., 2008). However, biogeographic theory has been historically based on
76 analyses of species-diversity patterns of islands, while functional traits of organisms and
77 assemblages have been largely overlooked. In fact, variation in patterns of distribution and
78 abundance of species with contrasting life-traits, regardless of their richness and endemic,

79 native or exotic nature, could differentiate island and mainland systems not only in terms of
80 functional diversity, but also of their relative ability to respond to anthropogenic perturbations,
81 such as those related to urbanization (Whittaker et al., 2014).

82 The present study took advantage of biogeographic discontinuities existing along the
83 Portuguese mainland coast and in the Azorean Archipelago, to examine the influence of
84 urbanization on algal and invertebrate assemblages of rocky seashores. A latitudinal gradient in
85 environmental variables (sea surface and air temperature, irradiation) is described along the
86 Portuguese continental coast, with a 'cooler' northern region and a 'warmer' central and
87 southern region (e.g. Tuya et al., 2012). This is associated to the overlapped distribution of
88 boreal and Lusitanian species (Lima et al., 2007), the occurrence of southern and northern
89 ranges of distribution of species with affinity for, respectively, cold and warm water (Lima et
90 al., 2007), and clines in the abundance of several species (Boaventura et al., 2002).

91 The Azorean Archipelago includes nine major islands organized into three spatial groups
92 (eastern, central and western). Clear latitudinal and climatic gradients do not occur in the
93 Azores. This feature, adding to younger age, lower topographic heterogeneity and reduced
94 climatic oscillations over evolutionary scales, was invoked as a possible explanation for the
95 traditionally described lower number of single-island endemics in the Azores compared to
96 other oceanic islands, such as the Canary Archipelago (Cardoso et al., 2010; Carine and
97 Schaefer, 2010; Triantis et al., 2012). However, such apparent distinctive trait of the Azores
98 has been questioned by recent molecular investigations, which suggested that, in particular, the
99 diversity and degree of local endemism of the Azorean flora would be much larger than it was
100 assessed so far due to inadequate taxonomic tools, making this archipelago more similar, in
101 terms of biogeographic discontinuities, to other island systems than it was previously assumed
102 (Schaefer et al., 2011). Moreover, by coupling model projections of ocean circulation patterns
103 and empirical data on oceanographic variables, it has been demonstrated that the western,
104 central and eastern group of the Azores differ significantly for their respective ability to capture

105 and retain drifting particles and organisms (Sala et al., 2016). Such differential capacity may
106 directly affect the delivery of biomass originating outside the region and, consequently, the
107 patterns of recruitment and distribution of organisms on islands belonging to each group
108 (Morato et al., 2009) and their responses to environmental fluctuations (Santos et al., 1995).

109 Here, for the first time in a single empirical study, the variability in the structure of rocky
110 intertidal assemblages and in the abundance of groups of algal and invertebrate organisms
111 characterized by different life-history traits and functions was quantified and compared
112 between urban (close to coastal cities, in densely populated and/or industrial or commercial
113 areas) and reference ('extra-urban': far from coastal cities, in less anthropogenically disturbed
114 areas) locations in mainland and insular systems, over multiple spatial scales ranging from 10s
115 cm to 100s km and a temporal scale of three months. The main tested hypotheses were that (i)
116 urbanization was associated to different patterns of variation of whole assemblages and
117 individual morpho-functional groups at different scales, and (ii) responses were consistent
118 independently of the mainland or insular trait. The lack of previous similar studies and the
119 contrasting evidence provided by the literature on the effects of urbanization-related stress, and
120 of human disturbances in general, on coastal populations and assemblages prevented to
121 anticipate the more likely direction of responses towards a reduced or increased
122 homogenization.

123

124 **2. Materials and methods**

125 *2.1. Study systems*

126 The studied mainland system comprised two regions (northern and southern) spanning
127 ~400 km and ~4° of latitude along the continental coast of Portugal (Fig. 1). This almost
128 rectilinear coastline is oriented from north to south and greatly exposed to prevailing westerly
129 and north-westerly winds and waves. Such prevailing winds are responsible, during the
130 summer, for nearshore upwelling of nutrient-rich water and consequent promotion of primary

131 productivity (Lima et al., 2007). Along the coast, rocky stretches (limestone, sandstone, shale
132 or granitic, typically 100s m long) are interspersed within extensive beaches entirely sandy or
133 with sand and boulders mixed.

134 The insular system included the islands of São Miguel and Terceira, belonging,
135 respectively, to the eastern and the central group (~130 km apart, corresponding to the
136 'regional' scale of the present study) of the Azorean Archipelago. These are the two most
137 populated islands of the archipelago, collectively hosting about 80% of the total population and
138 the two main cities (the capital Ponta Delgada and Angra do Heroísmo, respectively), where
139 most port, commercial, touristic and even military activities also occur. The coastline is
140 topographically complex, with steep cliffs alternating with rocky (mainly basaltic) beaches,
141 and, analogously to the Portuguese continental shores, exposed to high levels of wave action.
142 Based on the local input of nutrients only, the Azorean waters are normally designated as
143 oligotrophic, but some upwelling hotspots may be possible due to particular topographic
144 conditions affecting the circulation of water (Sala et al., 2016).

145 At both study systems, the tidal regime is semi-diurnal, with maximum spring tides
146 reaching 3.5-4 m and ~2 m above Chart Datum (CD) on the mainland and in the archipelago,
147 respectively. However, the range of distribution of several intertidal organisms in the Azores
148 can extend much higher due to favourable conditions maintained by the large and frequent
149 wave splash and swell (Martins et al., 2008).

150 The study was done on macroalgal and invertebrate assemblages from the emergent
151 rocky habitat located at low (0-0.5 m above CD) and mid (2-2.5 m and 1-1.5 m above CD on
152 the mainland and the islands, respectively) intertidal heights. The dominant organisms at these
153 shore heights and systems were described in detail elsewhere (Martins et al., 2008; Bertocci et
154 al., 2014). In spite of some specific differences (for instance, mussels dominate midshore space
155 on the mainland, especially in northern Portugal, but they are virtually absent in the Azores),
156 the overall distribution of assemblages is comparable between the mainland and the islands,

157 with turf-forming algae dominating lower on the shore, barnacles dominating highshore and
158 gastropods (mostly limpets) representing the most common grazers throughout the tidal range.
159

160 2.2. *Sampling design and collection of data*

161 The study was done at four rocky locations (100s m long) interspersed within 10s km of
162 each mainland and insular region. Two locations are in highly urbanized areas. Such areas are
163 adjacent to coastal cities (on the mainland: Porto and Vila Nova de Gaia in the north, and Sines
164 and Vila Nova de Milfontes in the south; in the Azores: Ponta Delgada and Rabo de Peixe at
165 São Miguel, and Angra do Heroísmo and Praia da Vitória at Terceira) characterized by dense
166 population and/or commercial and industrial activities. The other two locations occur 10s km
167 far from them, in areas with small resident population and no large industrial and commercial
168 plants (Fig. 1). At all locations, the sampled shore is characterized by natural rock, high wave
169 exposure and easy access.

170 At both mainland and insular systems, two areas (~20 m long, 10s m apart) were
171 randomly selected within each urban and extra-urban location, with 15 quadrats (25 x 25 cm,
172 10 s cm apart) in each area. The same design was adopted at each of two times (June-July 2015
173 and September-October 2015, hereafter indicated as Time 1 and Time 2, respectively). New
174 sets of independent areas and quadrats were chosen at random at each sampling time.

175 At each time, organisms in each replicate quadrat were visually sampled by means of a
176 square frame divided into twenty-five 5 x 5 cm sub-quadrats. The abundance of most sessile
177 organisms was estimated as percentage cover (Dethier et al., 1993), while that of solitary
178 sessile and mobile animals was quantified as number of individuals per quadrat. When species
179 could not be identified in the field, they were lumped into broader taxonomic or morphological
180 groups (Steneck and Dethier, 1994).

181

182 2.3. *Statistical analyses*

183 Separately for the midshore and the lowshore habitat, patterns of spatial variability of the
184 structure of whole assemblages were quantified and compared between the urban and the extra-
185 urban condition by calculating independent estimates of pseudo-variance components (Terlizzi
186 et al., 2007) at each scale. As illustrated in Oliveira et al., (2014a), the procedure started with
187 randomly establishing three groups of five, out of the total 15, replicates sampled in each area
188 and location. For each time, condition (urban vs. extra-urban), system (mainland vs. island) and
189 randomly assembled group, this produced a three-way model including the random factors
190 (two levels each) Region, Location (nested in Region) and Area (nested in Location and
191 Region), with three replicates. Pseudo-variance components at each scale (including the
192 among-quadrats one) were then calculated with permutational multivariate analysis of variance
193 (PERMANOVA, Anderson, 2001) based on Bray-Curtis untransformed dissimilarities, by
194 equating observed and expected mean squares (Underwood, 1997). Obtained negative pseudo-
195 variance estimates were set to zero, following the logic illustrated by Underwood (1996). This
196 procedure originated three independent estimates of pseudo-variance components for each
197 combination of four spatial scales, two systems, two conditions and two times of sampling,
198 which were finally analysed with a four-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) including the
199 crossed factors: Time (2 levels, random), System (2 levels, fixed), Condition (2 levels, fixed)
200 and Scale (4 levels, fixed). The assumption of homogeneity of variance was checked with
201 Cochran's *C* test and data were transformed when necessary. When heterogeneous variances
202 could not be stabilised by transformation, untransformed data were analysed and results
203 considered robust if significant at $p < 0.01$ (instead of $p < 0.05$), to compensate for increased
204 probability of type I error. SNK tests were used for post-hoc comparisons of means. For the
205 present purposes, only within-condition comparisons for each combination of other factors
206 involved in significant interactions were considered relevant.

207 The same statistical procedures were used for variance components of the abundance of
208 individual morpho-functionally, and likely ecologically, similar groups of organisms

209 (Appendix A). These were established by *a posteriori* collapsing taxa (with the exclusion of
210 cyanobacteria and lichens) into five algal groups, i.e. articulated calcareous, foliose, corticated,
211 filamentous and encrusting (adapted from Steneck and Dethier, 1994), and five invertebrate
212 groups, i.e. grazers (typically herbivore), predators (typically carnivore), detritus-feeders,
213 solitary and gregarious sessile species (adapted from Knox, 2001). Basing on widespread
214 evidence that urbanization may facilitate biological invasions (Airoidi et al., 2015) and be
215 associated to a decline of habitat-forming macroalgae (e.g. Benedetti-Cecchi et al., 2001;
216 Airoidi and Beck, 2007; Mangialajo et al., 2008), two additional groups were isolated,
217 including invasive and canopy-forming algae, respectively (Appendix A). Groups that were too
218 low abundant and sparse to allow meaningful statistical tests were not formally analysed.

219

220 **3. Results**

221 A total of 147 taxa, including 103 algae, 39 invertebrates, 4 cyanobacteria and 1 fungi
222 (Appendix A), were identified over the course of the study and used in PERMANOVA.

223

224 *3.1. Midshore habitat*

225 Differences between the urban and the extra-urban condition in pseudo-variance
226 components of assemblages were not consistent across sampling times, systems and spatial
227 scales (Fig. 2A and Appendix B). At Time 1, assemblages on the mainland were more
228 heterogeneously distributed in extra-urban compared to urban areas. This pattern at the area
229 scale was reversed in the insular system, where, by contrast, a greater multivariate variance in
230 the extra-urban condition was shown at the two larger scales, i.e. between locations and
231 regions. More heterogeneous assemblages were also found at Time 2 on the mainland at the
232 regional scale, and on the islands at the quadrat scale (Fig. 2A).

233 A significant interaction involving all experimental factors was detected for the variance
234 of articulated calcareous algae, corticated algae, filamentous algae (Appendix C), encrusting

235 algae and gregarious invertebrates (Appendix D). Larger variation in the extra-urban than in
236 the urban condition was shown by: articulated calcareous algae at the regional scale on the
237 mainland and at both the quadrat and the location scale in the insular system, at Time 2 only
238 (Fig. 3A); corticated algae at both the quadrat and the location scale on the mainland and at the
239 location scale on the islands at Time 1, and at all, but the between-areas, scales on the mainland
240 and at the area scale on the islands at Time 2 (Fig. 3C); filamentous algae at the quadrat and the
241 location scale in the insular system at Time 1 (Fig. 3D); encrusting algae at the two smallest
242 scales on the islands at Time 1, and at the quadrat scale on the mainland at Time 2 (Fig. 4A).
243 The opposite pattern in extra-urban vs. urban differences was shown by: corticated algae at the
244 area and the regional scale on the islands at Time 1 only (Fig. 3C); filamentous algae at the
245 quadrat scale on the islands at Time 2 (Fig. 3D); encrusting algae at the quadrat scale on the
246 mainland at Time 1 (Fig. 4A); gregarious invertebrates at the location scale on the mainland at
247 Time 2 (Fig. 4C).

248 Irrespectively of the sampling time, differences in the urban vs. extra-urban variance of
249 both the foliose (Appendix C) and the canopy-forming algae (Appendix D) depended on the
250 system and scale. However, the detected significant patterns were all in the direction of a
251 relatively larger heterogeneity of these taxa in the extra-urban condition. For foliose algae, this
252 occurred at the location scale in both the mainland and the insular system, and at the scales of
253 areas and regions on the mainland and of quadrats on the islands (Fig. 3B). Such more
254 heterogeneous distribution in the extra-urban condition characterized canopy-forming algae
255 only at the smallest spatial scale in the insular system (Fig. 4D).

256 In all combinations of factors displaying significant differences, grazers were more
257 heterogeneously distributed in the urban condition (Fig. 4B and Appendix D). Such a situation
258 was shown, in particular, on the mainland at both sampling times, irrespectively of the spatial
259 scale, and at both the quadrat and the location scale, consistently across times and systems.

260 Predatory, detritus-feeding and solitary sessile animals and invasive algae were not
261 formally tested as consistently too low abundant (Appendix G, panels G, H, I and K,
262 respectively).

263 Besides the above illustrated patterns, it was also evident that the main contribution to the
264 spatial variability of midshore assemblages was provided by the 'extreme' scales (among-
265 quadrats and between-regions: Fig. 2A). Several single groups of organisms also showed the
266 largest proportion of variance at the smallest scale (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). However, very small to
267 null variances, leading to obvious significant or non-significant patterns, were generally
268 associated to very small to null mean abundance values (Appendix G).

269

270 3.2. *Lowshore habitat*

271 Urban vs. extra-urban differences in the variance of lowshore assemblages also varied
272 with sampling times, systems and scales (Fig. 2B and Appendix B). More heterogeneous
273 assemblages in the urban than in the extra-urban condition were found, at Time 1, at the
274 location scale on the mainland. At Time 2, the same urbanization-related pattern was shown at
275 both the area and the location scale on the mainland and at the regional scale on the islands.
276 Conversely, a relatively larger heterogeneity of extra-urban assemblages was found, only at
277 Time 2, at the largest and smallest scales in the mainland and in the insular system,
278 respectively (Fig. 2B).

279 Significant urbanization-related differences depending on combinations of all other
280 factors were shown by grazers, gregarious sessile animals and invasive algae (Appendix F). At
281 Time 1, grazers on the mainland were more heterogeneously distributed in the urban condition
282 at all scales excepted the between-areas one; such a pattern at the location scale was
283 maintained at Time 2, when, instead, the variability of this group at the regional scale switched
284 to a relatively larger value in the extra-urban condition (Fig. 6B). Noticeably, however, grazers
285 were virtually absent in the lowshore habitat in the islands, which was associated to minimal or

286 null variances and the consequent lack of any significant difference within this system (Fig. 6B
287 and Appendix H, panel F). Gregarious animals were also virtually absent in the insular system
288 at this shore level (Appendix H, panel J), hence significant differences between conditions
289 were limited to the mainland. These consisted in a larger variance in the urban condition at the
290 regional scale at Time 1 and at the area scale at Time 2, while the opposite pattern occurred at
291 the quadrat scale at Time 2 (Fig. 6C and Appendix F). Conversely, relatively large and frequent
292 cover values of invasive algae were found only at Time 1 in the extra-urban condition of the
293 islands (Appendix H, panel K), resulting in larger variance at both the quadrat and the area
294 scale, compared to the urban condition (Fig. 7A and Appendix F).

295 Urban vs. extra-urban differences varying with the sampling time and spatial scales, but
296 consistent across the two systems, were shown by articulated calcareous, corticated,
297 filamentous and encrusting algae (Appendix E). Relatively larger variance in the urban
298 condition characterized articulated calcareous algae at the regional scale at both sampling times
299 and at the location scale at Time 2 (Fig. 5A), and corticated algae at the location scale at both
300 sampling times (Fig. 5C). Larger variance in the extra-urban condition was shown by:
301 articulated calcareous algae at the smallest scale at Time 2 (Fig. 5A); corticated algae at the
302 area and the regional scale at Time 1 (Fig. 5C); filamentous algae at the quadrat scale at both
303 sampling times, at the area scale at Time 1 and at the regional scale at Time 2 (Fig. 5D);
304 encrusting algae at the area and the regional scale at Time 1 and Time 2, respectively (Fig. 6A).

305 Irrespectively of the spatial scale, urbanization-related patterns of variance of articulated
306 calcareous, foliose and encrusting algae varied between sampling times and systems (Appendix
307 E). Only at Time 2, the first group was more heterogeneously distributed in the urban condition
308 in both systems, although the difference was more pronounced in the mainland (Fig. 5A). In
309 the islands, urbanization was associated to a larger variance of foliose algae at both times,
310 while the opposite occurred in the mainland, but only at Time 2 (Fig. 5B). Encrusting algae in

311 the islands were relatively more heterogeneous in the extra-urban condition at Time 1 (Fig.
312 6A).

313 The variance of foliose algae in the urban vs. the extra-urban condition also varied
314 between systems and among scales, independently of the sampling times (Appendix E). In the
315 mainland, this variable was relatively larger in the extra-urban condition at both the quadrat
316 and the location scale, while the opposite occurred in the islands at the quadrat scale (Fig. 5B).
317 The 'Sy x Co x Sc' interaction was significant also for canopy-forming algae (Appendix F).
318 The variance of this group at the quadrat and the regional scale was larger in the urban
319 condition, but only in the insular system (Fig. 7B). Although algal canopies were absent at
320 most locations in both systems, this pattern matched their much smaller and close to zero mean
321 cover values on the mainland compared to the islands (Appendix H, panel L).

322 Analogously to the midshore habitat, the distribution of most single groups of lowshore
323 organisms was characterized by the largest variability at small (among-quadrats) scale (Fig. 6
324 and Fig. 7).

325 Other groups were not tested as consistently too low abundant (Appendix H, panels G, H
326 and I).

327

328 **4. Discussion**

329 This study compared patterns of variation of whole intertidal assemblages and individual
330 groups of organisms at a range of scales between the urban and the extra-urban condition,
331 testing whether urbanization-related differences in homogeneity/heterogeneity were consistent
332 between mainland and insular systems. This hypothesis was not supported by present findings,
333 as a multiplicity of different, in several cases contrasting, responses was found both between
334 and within systems and depending on the sampling time and scale. Under these circumstances,
335 it is difficult to draw conclusions on patterns of variability of rocky intertidal organisms that
336 would generally discriminate the urban and the extra-urban condition over and above the

337 variability of different systems due to the effects of other drivers acting there. Nevertheless, the
338 large context-dependency of more or less heterogeneous distributions of populations and
339 assemblages could be considered itself as a common characteristic of urbanization-affected
340 environments. In fact, while increased variability among samples has been hypothesized to be a
341 main and general trait of stressed environments (Warwick and Clarke, 1993), it was
342 empirically demonstrated only in some cases (e.g. Oliveira et al., 2014a). Other studies
343 reported that the degree of variability of assemblages within control (undisturbed) and
344 disturbed conditions were comparable (Chapman et al., 1995), or dependent on the examined
345 spatial scale more than on the disturbance gradient itself (Bishop et al., 2002).

346 A likely critical point is that coastal urbanization is a general concept comprising a wide
347 range of types and intensities of sources of disturbance, including, *inter alia*, water quality and
348 action (Balata et al., 2008), chemical pollution (Koop and Hutchins, 1996) and nutrient input
349 (Carpenter et al., 1998). For example, storm disturbance, even when possibly occurring in
350 comparable ways in urban and extra-urban environments, may exert drastically different effects
351 when associated with varying levels of other local anthropogenic stress (Oliveira et al., 2014b).
352 Separately examined, the ecological effects of some of these stressors in terms of increased or
353 decreased homogenization of biodiversity may be rather predictable. Conversely, when they
354 are combined with each other and superimposed on fluctuations of environmental conditions
355 and to the influence of other factors not directly related to urbanization varying at a range of
356 spatial and temporal scales, generalizations become much more difficult, if not impossible
357 (Fraterrigo and Rusak, 2008). This might be well the case of the present systems, which,
358 analogously to almost any other worldwide, are likely exposed to multiple and concomitant
359 stressors acting from local to global scales and true pristine conditions, if actually existing, are
360 increasingly difficult to find (Airoldi and Beck, 2007; McKinney, 2008). On the other hand,
361 both the identification of current impacts and the possible implementation of future
362 management and mitigation strategies can not be effectively achieved if the scales and the

363 context-dependency of such variability are not explicitly taken into account in sampling
364 designs aimed at assessing impacts (Underwood, 1993; Bishop et al., 2002). In this context, the
365 importance attributed to variability *per se* as an indicator measure of the actual effect of natural
366 or anthropogenic disturbance has raised in the last decades, in association with increasing
367 concern by ecologists about the need for examining it even independently of changes in the
368 mean (Benedetti-Cecchi, 2003). As a result, the present variable findings should not be
369 interpreted as the failure of this study to identify general patterns related to urbanization, but as
370 an accurate representation of a key characteristic of systems exposed to urbanization. Such a
371 characteristic could not be described and appreciated through a sampling design including, for
372 instance, a single system examined at a single time at a single or smaller number of spatial
373 scales. This option would potentially lead to extrapolate to general context patterns that were
374 applicable to that specific situation only. In both studied systems, urbanization, in particular,
375 seemed unable to exert a strong enough influence to overwhelm other natural or anthropogenic
376 drivers of variability of most examined response variables, as their significant variation at a
377 range of scales was observed in both the urban and the extra-urban condition. In the future,
378 specifically designed studies should focus on particular combinations of system, habitat, scale
379 and time here identified as being associated to significant urban vs. extra-urban differences,
380 and quantify the relative contribution of possible weak effects of particular urbanization-related
381 disturbance and of strong effects of other concomitant factors to such differences.

382 In spite of the inconsistency of present findings, some other general considerations can be
383 done based on the most consistent results of this study.

384 First, when significant differences were found, urbanization tended to be associated to a
385 more homogeneous distribution of most algal groups and to an increased spatial variance of
386 most invertebrates. Identifying and testing the actual mechanisms of such responses was
387 beyond the present goals, but it could be suggested that the net influence of urbanization-
388 related pressures affected negatively several algal groups, which were relatively more abundant

389 (but not as dominant as to generally monopolize space with, consequently, a very
390 homogeneous distribution) in the extra-urban condition. Such overall reduced abundances may
391 have directly reduced their variability between different patches of the substratum. This was
392 evident, for instance, for corticated and foliose algae from the midshore habitat, which were, in
393 general, relatively less abundant and more homogeneously distributed in the urban than in the
394 extra-urban condition in both systems. Although not consistent through time, a smaller
395 variability, particularly at small scale, of algae (*Enteromorpha* spp.) was also documented by
396 Bishop et al. (2002) in close proximity, compared to larger distance, to a shoreline sewage
397 outfall. Such a response, however, was likely driven by the increased abundance of such algae
398 close to that particular source of disturbance, leading to their dominance of space and
399 consequent more homogeneous distribution there. By contrast, sessile invertebrates, such as the
400 gregarious group here examined, for which the available space is a critical resource, are likely
401 to respond negatively to larger covers of algae. This would explain their generally lower
402 abundance in the urban condition. Moreover, they could have occurred only within small
403 portions of the substratum left available by dominant algal competitors, resulting in a more
404 heterogeneous distribution compared to the extra-urban condition. Concomitantly, the overall
405 trend of the difference between the urban and the extra-urban condition in the abundance of
406 grazers was in the opposite direction of that of dominant algal group. Although grazers might
407 directly and positively respond to fluctuations in the abundance of their food resources, their
408 activities can also be jeopardized in patches monopolized by sessile organisms, including algae
409 (Underwood and Jernakoff, 1981). Accordingly, it is not surprising that their overall abundance
410 was relatively larger in the urban condition where potential algal food items were also more
411 abundant, but their trend in spatial variability reversed that of algae.

412 Second, but strictly related to the previous point, smaller and larger variances of most
413 groups of organisms were found where these were, respectively, less or more abundant.

414 Analogous evidence was obtained by previous studies examining patterns of abundance and

415 distribution of rocky shore organisms in response to urbanization in general (Oliveira et al.,
416 2014a) and to particular human-related disturbances (Benedetti-Cecchi et al., 2006).
417 Independently of the driving factors and underlying mechanisms of changes in abundances,
418 this circumstance can be interpreted as a direct consequence of the scaling relationship between
419 the mean and the variance, according to which very low mean values of a variable would
420 intrinsically hinder large fluctuations around them (Taylor, 1961).

421 Third, irrespectively of any other examined factor, most variability of the majority of
422 examined response variables was found at the smallest (among-quadrats) scale. This seems to
423 be an ubiquitous feature of benthic assemblages in coastal habitats (Fraschetti *et al.*, 2005) in
424 response to local physical and biological processes which are common in marine systems (e.g.
425 Coleman et al., 2002; Terlizzi et al., 2007). In this context, provided that changes in small-scale
426 variability have been suggested as indicative of impacted assemblages (Warwick and Clarke,
427 1993), examining in detail, such as in the present study, the direction of such changes in a
428 range of systems and habitats is essential to separate the variability due to the examined
429 disturbance from the large variability characterizing assemblages even in non-impacted
430 conditions.

431 Fourth, contrarily to the reported positive relationship between urban-related influences
432 and the number and/or spread of biological invasions (Bulleri and Airoidi, 2005; Tyrrell and
433 Byers, 2007), urbanization in our study systems did not appear to facilitate invasive species.
434 Almost all locations where these species were present and/or relatively abundant belonged,
435 instead, to the extra-urban condition. Once again, the specific drivers of this pattern could not
436 be elucidated by the present study, but it is worth noting that several previous studies reporting
437 a facilitation of invasions in marine systems focused on the role of artificial structures within
438 urban environments (Bulleri and Airoidi, 2005; Glasby et al., 2007; Tyrrell and Byers, 2007).
439 The same circumstances did not apply here as present comparisons were all done on natural
440 rocky substratum. There is evidence, however, that gradients of urbanization *per se* may be

441 poor predictors of patterns of distribution and abundance of invasive macroalgae. These
442 might, instead, respond more directly to the relative availability of favourable and
443 unfavourable habitats, a variable that is not necessarily related to urbanization and to its
444 associated overall degradation of environmental conditions in a consistent way (Bulleri et al.,
445 2011).

446 Finally, especially in the midshore habitat, canopy-forming algae in the islands were
447 generally more abundant in the extra-urban condition, while on the mainland they tended to
448 be less abundant or completely absent everywhere. This finding agrees in part with previous
449 evidence of the loss of canopy-forming algae along gradients of urbanization (Benedetti-
450 Cecchi et al., 2001; Connell et al., 2008; Mangialajo et al., 2008) and of their larger
451 abundance in insular compared to mainland systems (Benedetti-Cecchi et al., 2003).
452 Moreover, there is the general perception that algal canopies, such as kelp beds, that used to
453 be common along the Portuguese continental coast have now dramatically declined (Assis et
454 al., 2009). Hence, it can be suggested that rocky shores far from urban areas in the islands are
455 relatively more pristine compared to those sampled on the mainland. As such, they would
456 represent a spatial refuge for structurally complex species, which may undergo local
457 extinction on the mainland due to past and current excessive disturbance even relatively far
458 from coastal cities (e.g. Benedetti-Cecchi et al., 2003).

459 In conclusion, although the particular mechanisms underlying the complex set of
460 urbanization-related responses still need to be elucidated, the present study provides the first
461 example where the influence of urbanization on patterns of distribution of whole assemblages
462 and individual taxa were tested across mainland and insular systems. This is crucially
463 important as mainland and insular environments may differ in their isolation and size and/or
464 in local relevant processes, with consequent different patterns of distribution and abundance
465 of populations and assemblages in response to anthropogenic pressures in urban areas.
466 Addressing such issues is important to assess whether islands provide unique environments

467 relatively 'safe' from pervasive urbanization-related influences, or such influences are as
468 strong and pervasive as to determine patterns of biodiversity comparable to those on the
469 mainland. Present findings suggest that effects of urbanization in the studied systems might
470 not be strong enough to overwhelm those of other processes making the islands and the
471 mainland system intrinsically different, but also that specific patterns of distribution and
472 abundance of rocky shore organisms in response to urbanization are evident in islands too. In
473 a broader perspective, these results strengthen the notion, supported by previous evidence
474 from intertidal (e.g. Bishop et al., 2002; Benedetti-Cecchi et al., 2003), subtidal (e.g.
475 Chapman et al., 1995; Balestri et al., 2004; Terlizzi et al., 2007) and terrestrial (e.g. Pryke
476 and Samways, 2015) systems, that multiple spatial scales should be simultaneously
477 examined, by means of proper sampling designs, in assessments of responses of coastal
478 assemblages to human disturbance. As a direct consequence, any effective management and
479 conservation strategy would require to include (for instance, within implemented protected
480 areas) representative assemblages and their relevant scales of variation associated to
481 gradients of urbanization, both on the mainland and the islands.

482

483 **Acknowledgements**

484 This study was partially supported by the Strategic Funding UID/Multi/04423/2013 and
485 UID/BIA/00329/2013 through national funds provided by Foundation for Science and
486 Technology (FCT) and European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), in the framework of
487 the programme PT2020. MARE was supported by FCT through the strategic project
488 UID/MAR/04292/2013. Logistic support in the Azores was provided by CIRN (Centro de
489 Investigação de Recursos Naturais, University of the Azores). GMM was supported by a
490 postdoctoral grant (SFRH/BDP/108114/2015) from FCT. NVA was supported by a doctoral
491 grant (M3.1.2/F/015/2011) from Governo dos Açores – Fundo Regional para a Ciência e
492 Tecnologia (FRCT). Special thanks to S. Celestino for helping with the identification of algae.

493

494 **References**

- 495 Airoldi, L., Beck, M.W. 2007. Loss, status and trends for coastal marine habitats of Europe.
496 *Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev.* 35, 345-405.
- 497 Airoldi, L., Turon, X., Perkol-Finkel, S., Rius, M. 2015. Corridors for aliens but not for
498 natives: effects of marine urban sprawl at a regional scale. *Divers. Distrib.* 21, 755-768.
- 499 Anderson, M.J. 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance.
500 *Austral Ecol.* 26, 32-46.
- 501 Aronson, M.F.J., La Sorte, F.A., Nilon, C.H., Katti, M., Goddard, M.A., Lepczyk, C.A.,
502 Warren, P.S., Williams, N.S.G., Cilliers, S., Clarkson, B., Dobbs, C., Dolan, R., Hedblom,
503 M., Klotz, S., Kooijmans, J.L., Kühn, I., MacGregor-Fors, I., McDonnell, M., Mörtberg, U.,
504 Pyšek, P., Siebert, S., Sushinsky, J., Werner, P., Winter, M. 2014. A global analysis of the
505 impacts of urbanization on bird and plant diversity reveals key anthropogenic drivers. *Proc.*
506 *Royal Soc. B* 281, 20133330.
- 507 Assis, J., Tavares, D., Tavares, J., Cunha, A., Alberto, F., Serrão, E.A. 2009. Findkelp, a GIS-
508 based community participation project to assess Portuguese kelp conservation status. *J.*
509 *Coastal Res.* 56, 1469-1473.
- 510 Balata, D., Piazzzi, L., Benedetti-Cecchi, L. 2007. Sediment disturbance and loss of beta
511 diversity on subtidal rocky reefs. *Ecology* 88, 2455-2461.
- 512 Balata, D., Bertocci, I., Piazzzi, L., Nesti, U. 2008. Comparison between epiphyte assemblages
513 of leaves and rhizomes of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* subjected to different levels of
514 anthropogenic eutrophication. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 79, 533-540.
- 515 Balestri, E., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Lardicci, C. (2004). Variability in patterns of growth and
516 morphology of *Posidonia oceanica* exposed to urban and industrial wastes: contrasts with
517 two reference locations. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 308, 1-21.

518 Barragán, J.M., de Andrés, M. 2015. Analysis and trends of the world's coastal cities and
519 agglomerations. *Ocean Coast. Manage.* 114, 11-20.

520 Benedetti-Cecchi, L. 2003. The importance of the variance around the mean effect size of
521 ecological processes. *Ecology* 84, 2335-2346.

522 Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Pannacciulli, F., Bulleri, F., Moschella, P.S., Airoldi, L., Relini, G.,
523 Cinelli, F. 2001. Predicting the consequences of anthropogenic disturbance: large-scale
524 effects of loss of canopy algae on rocky shores. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 214, 137-150.

525 Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Maggi, E., Bertocci, I., Vaselli, S., Micheli, F., Osio, G.C., Cinelli, F.
526 2003. Variation in rocky shore assemblages in the northwestern Mediterranean: contrasts
527 between islands and the mainland. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 293, 193-215.

528 Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Bertocci, I., Vaselli, S., Maggi, E. 2006. Temporal variance reverses the
529 impact of high mean intensity of stress in climate change experiments. *Ecology* 87, 2489-
530 2499.

531 Bertocci, I., Seabra, M.I., Domínguez, R., Jacinto, D., Ramírez, R., Coca, J., Tuya, F. 2014.
532 Effects of loss of algal canopies along temperature and irradiation gradients in continental
533 Portugal and the Canary Islands. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 506, 47-60.

534 Bevilacqua, S., Plicanti, A., Sandulli, R., Terlizzi, A. 2012. Measuring more of β -diversity:
535 quantifying patterns of variation in assemblage heterogeneity. An insight from marine
536 benthic assemblages. *Ecol. Indic.* 18, 140-148.

537 Bishop, M.J., Underwood, A.J., Archambault, P. 2002. Sewage and environmental impact on
538 rocky shores: necessity of identifying relevant spatial scales. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 236, 121-
539 128.

540 Boaventura, D., Ré, P., Cancela da Fonseca, L., Hawkins, S.J. 2002. Intertidal rocky shore
541 communities of the continental Portuguese coast: analysis of distribution patterns. *Mar. Ecol.*
542 23, 69-90.

543 Bulleri, F. 2006. Is it time for urban ecology to include the marine realm? Trends Ecol. Evol.
544 21, 658-659.

545 Bulleri, F., Airoidi, L. 2005. Artificial marine structures facilitate the spread of a non-
546 indigenous marine alga, *Codium fragile* spp. *tomentosoides*, in the north Adriatic Sea. J.
547 Appl. Ecol. 42, 1063-1072.

548 Bulleri, F., Alestra, T., Ceccherelli, G., Tamburello, L., Pinna, S., Sechi, L., Benedetti-Cecchi,
549 L. 2011. Determinants of *Caulerpa racemosa* distribution in the north-western
550 Mediterranean. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 431, 55-67.

551 Cardoso, P., Arnedo, M.A., Triantis, K.A., Borges, P.A.V. 2010. Drivers of diversity in
552 Macaronesian spiders and the role of species extinctions. J. Biogeogr. 37, 1034-1046.

553 Carine, M.A., Schaefer, H. 2010. The Azorean diversity enigma: why are there so few Azorean
554 endemic flowering plants and why are they so widespread? J. Biogeogr. 37, 77-89.

555 Carpenter, S., Caraco, N.F., Correll, D.L., Howarth, R.W., Sharpley, A.N., Smith, V.H. 1998.
556 Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. Ecol. Appl. 8, 559-568.

557 Chamberlain, S.A., Bronstein, J.L., Rudgers, J.A. 2014. How context dependent are species
558 interactions? Ecol. Lett. 17, 881-890.

559 Chapman, M.G., Underwood, A.J., Skilleter, G.A. 1995. Variability at different spatial scales
560 between a subtidal assemblage exposed to the discharge of sewage and two control
561 assemblages. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 189, 103-122.

562 Coleman, R.A., Browne, M., Theobalds, T. 2002. Small-scale spatial variability in intertidal
563 and subtidal turfing algal assemblages and the temporal generality of these patterns. J. Exp.
564 Mar. Biol. Ecol. 267, 53-74.

565 Connell, S.D., Russell, B.D., Turner, D.J., Shepherd, S.A., Kildea, T., Miller, D., Airoidi, L.,
566 Chesire, A. 2008. Recovering a lost baseline: missing kelp forests from a metropolitan coast.
567 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 360, 63-72.

568 Dethier, M.N., Graham, E.S., Cohen, S., Tear, L.M. 1993. Visual versus random-point percent
569 cover estimations: 'objective' is not always better. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 96, 93-100.

570 Dugan, J.E., Airolidi, L., Chapman, M.G., Walker, S.J., Schlacher, T. 2011. Estuarine and
571 coastal structures: environmental effects, a focus on shore and nearshore structures. In:
572 Wolanski, E., McLusky, D. (eds) *Treatise on Estuarine and Coastal Science*, Academic Press,
573 Waltham, pp. 17-41.

574 Elmqvist, T., Folke, C., Nyström, M., Peterson, G., Bengtsson, J., Walker, B., Norberg, J.
575 2003. Response diversity, ecosystem change, and resilience. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 1, 488-
576 494.

577 Frascchetti, S., Terlizzi, A., Benedetti-Cecchi, L. 2005. Patterns of distribution of marine
578 assemblages from rocky shores: evidence of relevant scales of variation. *Mar. Ecol. Prog.*
579 *Ser.* 296, 13-29.

580 Fraterrigo, J.M., Rusak, J.A. 2008. Disturbance-driven changes in the variability of ecological
581 patterns and processes. *Ecol. Lett.* 11, 756-770.

582 Glasby, T.M., Connell, S.D., Holloway, M.G., Hewitt, C.L. 2007. Nonindigenous biota on
583 artificial structures: could habitat creation facilitate biological invasions? *Mar. Biol.* 151,
584 887-895.

585 González-Castro, A., Traveset, A., Nogales, M. 2012. Seed dispersal interactions in the
586 Mediterranean Region: contrasting patterns between islands and mainland. *J. Biogeogr.* 39,
587 1938-1947.

588 Halpern, B.S., Walbridge, S., Selkoe, K.A., Kappel, C.V., Micheli, F., D'Agrosa, C., Bruno,
589 J.F., Casey, K.S., Ebert, C., Fox, H.E., Fujita, R., Heinemann, D., Lenihan, H.S., Madin,
590 E.M.P., Perry, M.T., Selig, E.R., Spalding, M., Steneck, R., Watson, R. 2008. A global map
591 of human impact on marine ecosystems. *Science* 319, 948-952.

592 Knop, E. 2016. Biotic homogenization of three insect groups due to urbanization. *Glob.*
593 *Change Biol.* 22, 228-236.

594 Koop, K., Hutchins, P. 1996. Disposal of sewage to the ocean - a sustainable solution? Mar.
595 Poll. Bull. 33, 121-123.

596 Knox, G.A. 2001. The ecology of seashores. CRC Press, Boca Raton.

597 Leite, A.S., Santos, L.L., Costa, Y., Hatje, V. 2014. Influence of proximity to an urban center
598 in the pattern of contamination by marine debris. Mar. Poll. Bull. 81, 242-247.

599 Lima, F., Ribeiro, P.A., Queiroz, N., Hawkins, S.J., Santos, A. 2007. Do distributional shifts of
600 northern and southern species of algae match the warming pattern? Glob. Change Biol. 13,
601 2592-2604.

602 Lomolino, M.V. 2005. Body size evolution in insular vertebrates: generality of the island rule.
603 J. Biogeogr. 32, 1683-1699.

604 Lotze, H.K., Lenihan, H.S., Bourque, B.J., Bradbury, R.H., Cooke, R.G., Kay, M.C., Kidwell,
605 S.M., Kirby, M.X., Peterson, C.H., Jackson, J.B.C. 2006. Depletion, degradation, and
606 recovery potential of estuaries and coastal seas. Science 312, 1806-1809.

607 MacArthur, R.H., Wilson, E.O. 1967. The theory of island biogeography. Princeton University
608 Press, Princeton.

609 Magura, T., Lövei, G.L., Tóthmérész, B. 2009. Does urbanization decrease diversity in ground
610 beetle (Carabidae) assemblages? Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 19, 16-26.

611 Mangialajo, L., Chiantore, M., Cattaneo-Vietti, R. 2008. Loss of furoid algae along a gradient
612 of urbanisation, and structure of benthic assemblages. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 358, 63-74.

613 Martins, G.M., Thompson, R.C., Hawkins, S.J., Neto, A.I., Jenkins, S.R. 2008. Rocky
614 intertidal community structure in oceanic islands: scales of spatial variability. Mar. Ecol.
615 Prog. Ser. 356, 15-24.

616 Maurer, B.A. 1999. Untangling ecological complexity. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

617 McKinney, M.L. 2006. Urbanization as a major cause of biotic homogenization. Biol. Conserv.
618 127, 247-260.

619 McKinney, M.L. 2008. Effects of urbanization on species richness: a review of plants and
620 animals. *Urban Ecosyst.* 11, 161-176.

621 McKinney, M.L., Lockwood, J.L. 1999. Biotic homogenization: a few winners replacing many
622 losers in the next mass extinction. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 14, 450-453.

623 Menge, B.A., Sanford, E., Daley, B.A., Freidenburg, T.L., Hudson, G., Lubchenco, J. 2002.
624 Inter-hemispheric comparison of bottom-up effects on community structure: insights
625 revealed using the comparative-experimental approach. *Ecol. Res.* 17, 1-16.

626 Menge, B.A., Bracken, M., Foley, M., Freidenburg, T., Gaines, S.D., Hudson, G., Krenz, C.,
627 Leslie, H., Menge, D.N.L., Russell, R., Webster, M.S. (2003) Coastal oceanography sets the
628 pace of rocky intertidal community dynamics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100, 12229-12234.

629 Morato, T., Bulman, C., Pitcher, T.J. 2009. Modelled effects of primary and secondary
630 production enhancement by seamounts on local fish stocks. *Deep Sea Res. Part II* 56, 2713-
631 2719.

632 Olden, J.D., Rooney, T.P. 2006. On defining and quantifying biotic homogenization. *Glob.*
633 *Ecol. Biogeogr.* 15, 113-120.

634 Oliveira, J.P., Sousa-Pinto, I., Weber, G.M., Bertocci, I. 2014a. Urban vs. Extra-urban
635 environments: Scales of variation of intertidal benthic assemblages in north Portugal., *Mar.*
636 *Environ. Res.* 97, 48-57.

637 Oliveira, J.P., Sousa-Pinto, I., Weber, G.M., Bertocci, I. 2014b. Interplay of experimental
638 harvesting and climate-related disturbance on benthic assemblages of rocky seashores. *Mar.*
639 *Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 495, 131-142.

640 Parmesan, C., Yohe, G. 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across
641 natural systems. *Nature* 421, 37-42.

642 Pennings, S., Silliman, B.R. 2005. Linking biogeography and community ecology: latitudinal
643 variation in plant-herbivore interaction strength. *Ecology* 86, 2310-2319.

644 Pryke, J.S., Samways, M.J. 2015. Conserving natural heterogeneity is crucial for designing
645 effective ecological networks. *Landsc. Ecol.* 30, 595-607.

646 Sala, I., Harrison, C.S., Caldeira, R.M.A. 2016. The role of the Azores Archipelago in
647 capturing and retaining incoming particles. *J. Mar. Syst.* 154, 146-156.

648 Santos, R.S., Hawkins, S., Monteiro, L.R., Alves, M., Isidro, E.J. 1995. Case studies and
649 reviews. *Marine research, resources and conservation in the Azores. Aquat. Conserv.* 11,
650 311-354.

651 Schaefer, H., Moura, M., Maciel, M.G.B., Silva, L., Rumsey, F.J., Carine, M.A. 2011. The
652 Linnean shortfall in oceanic islands biogeography: a case study in the Azores. *J. Biogeogr.*
653 38, 1345-1355.

654 Sousa, W.P. 2001. Natural disturbance and the dynamics of marine benthic communities. In:
655 Bertness, M.D., Gaines, S.D., Hay, M.E. (eds) *Marine Community Ecology*, Sinauer
656 Associates, Sunderland, pp. 85-130.

657 Steneck, R.S., Dethier, M.N. 1994. A functional group approach to the structure of algal-
658 dominated communities. *Oikos* 69, 476-498.

659 Tamburello, L., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Ghedini, G., Alestra, T., Bulleri, F. 2011. Variation in
660 the structure of subtidal landscapes in the NW Mediterranean Sea. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 457,
661 29-41.

662 Taylor, L.R. (1961) Aggregation, variance, and the mean. *Nature* 189, 732-735.

663 Terlizzi, A., Anderson, M.J., Fraschetti, S., Benedetti-Cecchi, L. 2007. Scales of spatial
664 variation in Mediterranean subtidal sessile assemblages at different depths. *Mar. Ecol. Prog.*
665 *Ser.* 332, 25-39.

666 Triantis, K.A., Hortal, J., Amorim, I., Cardoso, P., Santos, A.M.C., Gabriel, R., Borges, P.A.V.
667 2012. Resolving the Azorean knot: a response to Carine & Schaefer (2010). *J. Biogeogr.* 39,
668 1179-1187.

669 Tyrrell, M.C., Byers, J.E. 2007. Do artificial substrates favor nonindigenous fouling species
670 over native species? *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 342, 54-60.

671 Tuya, F., Cacabelos, E., Duarte, P., Jacinto, D., Castro, J.J., Silva, T., Bertocci, I., Franco, J.N.,
672 Arenas, F., Coca, J., Wernberg, T. 2012. Patterns of landscape and assemblage structure
673 along a latitudinal gradient in ocean climate. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 466, 9-19.

674 Underwood, A.J. 1993. The mechanisms of spatially replicated sampling programmes to detect
675 environmental impact in a variable world. *Aust. J. Ecol.* 18, 99-116.

676 Underwood, A.J. 1996. Spatial patterns of variance in density of intertidal populations. In:
677 Floyd, R.B., Sheppard, A.W., DeBarro, P.J. (eds) *Frontiers of Population Ecology*, CSIRO
678 Publishing, Melbourne, pp. 369-389.

679 Underwood, A.J. 1997. *Experiments in Ecology. Their logical design and interpretation using*
680 *analysis of variance.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

681 Underwood, A.J., Jernakoff, P. 1981. Effects of interactions between algae and grazing
682 gastropods on the structure of a low-shore intertidal algal community. *Oecologia* 48, 221-
683 233.

684 Warwick, R.M., Clarke, K.R. 1993. Increased variability as a symptom of stress in marine
685 communities. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 172, 215-226.

686 Whittaker, R.J., Fernández-Palacios, J.M. 2007. *Island biogeography: Ecology, evolution, and*
687 *conservation.* Oxford University Press, Oxford.

688 Whittaker, R.J., Triantis, K.A., Ladle, R.J. 2008. A general dynamic theory of oceanic island
689 biogeography. *J. Biogeogr.* 35, 977-994.

690 Whittaker, R.J., Rigal, F., Borges, P.A.V., Cardoso, P., Terzopoulou, S., Casanoves, F., Pla, L.,
691 Guilhaumon, F., Ladle, R.J., Triantis, K.A. 2014. Functional biogeography of oceanic islands
692 and the scaling of functional diversity in the Azores. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 13709-
693 13714.

694

695 FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Map of the study locations (nTGC indicates the rocky shore adjacent to the Terminal of General Cargo breakwater in the Sines city area).

Figure 2. Multivariate square root-transformed variance (mean + SE, $n = 3$) of the structure of midshore (A) and lowshore (B) assemblages from the urban and the extra-urban condition at four spatial scales (Qua = quadrat, Are = area, Loc = location, Reg = region) and two times of sampling at the mainland and the island system. Stars above bars indicate significantly different ($* = p < 0.05$, $** = p < 0.01$) means (SNK tests; only comparisons within each scale are appropriate).

Figure 3. Univariate measures of variance (mean + SE, $n = 3$ in both panels A, C and D, $n = 6$ in panel B) of the abundance of morpho-functional groups of algae at midshore height. Symbols and abbreviations as in Figure 2. Panels A, B and C are on logarithmic scale, panels D are on untransformed scale.

Figure 4. Univariate measures of variance (mean + SE, $n = 3$ in both panels A and C, $n = 12$ in all panels B, $n = 6$ in panel D) of the abundance of morpho-functional groups of algae or invertebrates at midshore height. Symbols and abbreviations as in Figure 2. Panels A, C and D are on untransformed scale, panels B are on square root-transformed scale.

Figure 5. Univariate measures of variance (mean + SE, $n = 12$ in both the first and the third panel A and B, $n = 6$ in all other panels) of the abundance of morpho-functional groups of algae at lowshore height. Symbols and abbreviations as in Figure 2. All panels A are on square root-transformed scale, panels B and C are on logarithmic scale, panels D are on untransformed scale.

Figure 6. Univariate measures of variance (mean + SE, $n = 12$ in all panels A, $n = 3$ in panels B and C) of the abundance of encrusting algae and grazers and gregarious sessile animals at lowshore height. Symbols and abbreviations as in Figure 2. Panels A are on square root-transformed scale, panels B and C are on untransformed scale.

Figure 7. Univariate untransformed measures of variance (mean + SE, $n = 3$ in both panels A, $n = 6$ in panel B) of the abundance of invasive and canopy-forming algae at lowshore height. Symbols and abbreviations as in Figure 2.




Fig. 1 Bertocci et al.




Fig. 2 Bertocci et al.




Fig. 3 Bertocci et al.




Fig. 4 Bertocci et al.




Fig. 5 Bertocci et al.




Fig. 6 Bertocci et al.




Fig. 7 Bertocci et al.