1	MIS 5e relative sea-level changes in the Mediterranean Sea: contribution of isostatic		
2	disequilibrium		
3			
4	Paolo Stocchi ^{1,*} , Matteo Vacchi ^{2,3} , Thomas Lorscheid ^{4,5} , Bas de Boer ⁶ , Alexander R. Simms ⁷ ,		
5	Roderik van de Wal ⁶ , Bert L.A. Vermeersen ^{8,9} , Marta Pappalardo ¹⁰ , Alessio Rovere ^{4,5}		
6			
7	¹ NIOZ - Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Coastal Systems (TX), and Utrecht		
8	University, P.O. Box 59, 1790 AB, Den Burg, Texel, The Netherlands		
9	² Université P. Valéry Montpellier 3, CNRS ASM, UMR 5140, 34970 Montpellier, France		
10	³ Geography, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter EX44RJ,		
11	UK.		
12	⁴ MARUM - Center for Marine Environmental Sciences, University of Bremen, Leobener Straße 8,		
13	28359, Bremen, Germany		
14	⁵ ZMT - Leibniz Centre for Tropical Marine Research, Fahrenheitstraße 6, 28359 Bremen, Germany		
15	⁶ IMAU – Institute for Marine and Atmospheric research Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The		
16	Netherlands		
17	⁷ University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara (CA), USA		
18	⁸ NIOZ - Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Estuarine and Deltaic Systems (YK), and		
19	Utrecht University, Korringaweg 7, 4401 NT, Yerseke, The Netherlands		
20	⁹ TU Delft, Faculty of Aerospace Engineering, Delft, The Netherlands		
21	¹⁰ Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Pisa, Italy		
22			
23	*Corresponding author		
24			
25			
26			
27			

28

29 Abstract

30 Sea-level indicators dated to the Last Interglacial, or Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 5e, have a 31 twofold value. First, they can be used to constrain the melting of Greenland and Antarctic Ice 32 Sheets in response to global warming scenarios. Second, they can be used to calculate the vertical 33 crustal rates at active margins. For both applications, the contribution of glacio- and hydro-isostatic 34 adjustment (GIA) to vertical displacement of sea-level indicators must be calculated. In this paper, 35 we re-assess MIS 5e sea-level indicators at 11 Mediterranean sites that have been generally 36 considered tectonically stable or affected by mild tectonics. These are found within a range of 37 elevations of 2-10 m above modern mean sea level. Four sites are characterized by two separate 38 sea-level stands, which suggest a two-step sea-level highstand during MIS 5e. Comparing field data 39 with numerical modeling we show that (i) GIA is an important contributor to the spatial and 40 temporal variability of the sea-level highstand during MIS 5e, (ii) the isostatic imbalance from the 41 melting of the MIS 6 ice sheet can produce a >2.0 m sea-level highstand, and (iii) a two-step 42 melting phase for the Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets reduces the differences between 43 observations and predictions. Our results show that assumptions of tectonic stability on the basis of 44 the MIS 5e records carry intrinsically large uncertainties, stemming either from uncertainties in 45 field data and GIA models. The latter are propagated to either Holocene or Pleistocene sea-level 46 reconstructions if tectonic rates are considered linear through time.

47

48 Keywords: Pleistocene; Sea Level changes; Europe; Geomorphology, coastal

49

50 1. Introduction

51 Sea-level changes are primarily a reflection of water mass transfer between continents, where water 52 is stored as ice during cold periods, and oceans, where meltwater is introduced during warmer 53 periods. This process is known as glacial eustasy (Suess, 1906) and occurs in response to changes in 54 atmosphere and ocean temperatures related to variations in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and 55 Milankovitch-driven insolation (Stocker et al., 2013). A fundamental aspect for the study of past 56 climate change over glacial-interglacial time scales is the collection, analysis and interpretation of 57 Relative Sea Level (RSL) indicators, that are fossil landforms, deposits or biological assemblages 58 with a known relationship with a paleo sea level (Hibbert et al., 2016; Rovere et al., 2016a). Once 59 vertical movements associated with Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA) (Lambeck and Purcell, 60 2005), tectonics (Simms et al., 2016) or other post-depositional processes (Rovere et al., 2016b) are 61 taken into account, paleo RSL indicators can be used to constrain ice-mass variations in response to 62 changes in atmospheric and ocean temperatures during past interglacials (Dutton et al., 2015). In 63 turn, estimates of paleo global mean sea level can be used to constrain processes regulating ice 64 melting in paleo ice-sheet models, which eventually may be used to gauge the sensitivity of present-65 day polar ice sheets to future scenarios of global warming (e.g. DeConto and Pollard, 2016). 66 The most studied past interglacial is the Marine Isotopic Stage 5e (MIS 5e, 117-127 ka), which is 67 the last period of the Earth's history when climate was warmer than today. Generally, MIS 5e sea-68 level studies are oriented towards two main goals. The first is to understand how to account for 69 processes causing departures from eustasy (e.g., GIA, tectonics) in order to produce reliable 70 estimates of past global mean sea levels. The second consists on the calculation of tectonic 71 movements starting from the elevation of RSL indicators and assumptions on eustatic sea-level 72 changes. This aspect is particularly relevant for the understanding of the long-term vertical 73 movement of coastal areas, which is in turn important for the planning of coastal infrastructures in 74 active geodynamic settings and need to be accounted for to correct future climate-related rates of 75 RSL change (Antonioli et al., 2017). 76 Despite the common consideration in isolation, the two aims outlined above are mutually dependent 77 and they are both tied to GIA predictions. In fact, to achieve the second goal, one must calculate the 78 climate-related and GIA-modulated RSL elevations, which are the result of the first goal. The latter,

79 however, stems from *a priori* information on long-term tectonic motions, which is the result of this

80 second goal. Studies on MIS 5e RSL change in the Mediterranean Sea have often either adopted

81 standard ESL values to calculate vertical tectonic rates at active sites or neglected the GIA overprint

82 in the calculation of the ESL signal (Ferranti et al., 2006).

83 In this paper we focus on MIS 5e sea-level variations in the Mediterranean Sea. We investigate the

GIA contributions to the spatiotemporal variability of RSL change during MIS 5e within the basin

85	using GIA numerical simulations that incorporate the solid Earth and gravitational response to three		
86	glacial-interglacial cycles prior to MIS 5e and that evolve towards present. We also evaluate the		
87	GIA-modulated contribution of four scenarios for GrIS and AIS melting during MIS 5e. We		
88	compare our RSL predictions to observations from 11 sites that have been previously hypothesized		
89	as tectonically stable based on the low elevation of the MIS 5e shoreline.		
90	We use field data and numerical GIA predictions at these sites to address the following questions:		
91	1. How much of the observed MIS 5e RSL variability in the Mediterranean can be explained		
92	by GIA?		
93	2. How significant are the uncertainties in GIA, as well as GrIS and AIS melting scenarios		
94	when using MIS 5e shorelines to calculate tectonic vertical motions?		
95			
96	2.Materials and methods		
97	2.1 Paleo Relative Sea-level indicators		
98	The Mediterranean Sea has been a central focus for studies on sea level changes for over two		
99	centuries (Benjamin et al., 2017). The basin is characterized by different tectonic regimes (Figure 1,		
100	see Supplementary Text for a brief outline) and its relatively low tidal amplitudes and low wave		
101	energy helped to preserve RSL indicators almost ubiquitously (see Figure 1 in Ferranti et al., 2006		
102	for an overview and detailed reports in Anzidei et al., 2014; Ferranti et al., 2006; Galili et al., 200		
103	Mauz et al., 2012; Pedoja et al., 2014).		
104	In the absence of MIS 5e reefs (Dutton and Lambeck, 2012; Hibbert et al., 2016), the main		
105	Mediterranean Pleistocene RSL indicators can be divided into three main categories: i)		
106	Depositional, consisting mostly of cemented beach or shallow marine deposits (Figure 2a-c,e,f). ii)		
107	Biological, consisting of fossil remains of benthic organisms living attached to hard substrates		
108	(Rovere et al., 2015) or traces of bioeroding organisms (e.g. L. lithophaga boreholes, Figure 2d). iii)		
109	Geomorphological: all landforms formed by the action of the sea over time. Typical		
110	geomorphological MIS 5e markers include fossil shore platforms or tidal notches (Figure 2d, f,		
111	Antonioli et al., 2015). Often, dating of Mediterranean MIS 5e RSL indicators is challenging		
112	because the preservation of <i>in situ</i> corals for U-series measurements is rare.		

113 To calculate the paleo RSL from the measured elevation of a RSL indicator, it is essential to 114 decouple the actual measured elevation of the indicator and the interpretation of the paleo sea level 115 that it represents (Düsterhus et al., 2016). This is done by subdividing the measured elevation, 116 which should be done at the highest possible accuracy and should always be referenced to a tidal 117 datum, and the indicative meaning of the RSL indicator (Shennan, 1982, 1989; Hijma et al., 2015; 118 Shennan et al., 2014; Shennan and Horton, 2002; Van de Plassche, 1986). The indicative meaning 119 is composed of the indicative range (IR, the range over which an indicator forms, e.g. from the 120 uppermost tide to the mean lowest tide) and the reference water level (RWL, the midpoint of the 121 indicative range) (see Vacchi et al., 2016 for examples on Holocene Mediterranean RSL indicators). 122 In this study, we assess the elevation and indicative meaning of MIS 5e RSL indicators from 11 123 sites among the most representative for the Mediterranean (Figure 1). To calculate paleo RSL from 124 the elevation of RSL indicators we followed the approach and formulas suggested by Rovere et al., 125 2016a. Figure 2 shows geological sketches (a-f) and pictures of sites 5,6 and 11 (f,d,b, 126 respectively). In the Supplementary Materials, we present a spreadsheet with details on how the 127 indicative meaning has been calculated at each site and a text file including an example of paleo 128 RSL calculation for Cala Mosca (site 8, Figure 2c). At sites 3 and 6 the elevation was re-measured 129 with high-accuracy differential GPS (Trimble ProXRT receiver and Trimble Tornado antenna 130 receiving OmniSTAR HP+G2 real-time corrections) and referred to mean sea level using local tidal 131 datums. For the remaining sites, the elevation of the RSL indicators and its accuracy were extracted 132 from published data.

133

134 2.2 Glacial- and hydro-isostatic adjustment (GIA)

135The GIA process is formally described by the linear and integral Sea Level Equation (SLE; Farrell

and Clark, 1976). Solving the SLE for a prescribed ice-sheet model and solid Earth rheological

137 model yields the gravitationally self-consistent RSL changes on a global scale and as a function of

time. We solve the SLE by means of the SELEN program (Spada and Stocchi, 2007), which uses

the pseudo-spectral method (Mitrovica and Peltier, 1991) and includes solid the Earth rotation, the

140 shift of the center of mass of the Earth as well as the migration of coastlines (time-dependent ocean

141 function). We employ a spherically symmetric, radially stratified, deformable but non-

142 compressible, self-gravitating and rotating solid Earth model. The physical and rheological

- 143 parameters depend on the radius only, which implies that the rheological model is 1D. We assume a
- 144 purely elastic lithosphere (outer shell) and keep its thickness fixed to 100 km. The mantle is
- 145 discretized in three layers, which are characterized by a linear Maxwell viscoelastic rheology, and
- are called, from top to bottom, Upper Mantle (UM), Transition Zone (TZ) and Lower Mantle (LM).
- 147 We compare the performance of three different mantle viscosity profiles (MVP) that are
- 148 characterized by an increase of viscosity gradient from top to bottom (see Table 1 for details)
- 149
- 150 2.2.1 MIS 5e glacioeustatic scenarios

151 We make use of the existing global ice-sheet model that was generated by De Boer et al. (2014) by

using ANICE-SELEN coupled ice-sheet -- sea-level model. The model describes ice-sheets

thickness variation for the last 410 ka and consists of a system of four 3-D regional ice-sheet-shelf

154 models (Eurasia, North America, Greenland and Antarctica) that simulate ice flow with a

155 combination of shallow ice and shelf approximations (de Boer et al., 2014). The topography

156 variations that accompany ANICE-SELEN simulations account for the GIA-induced RSL changes

that follow from the solution of the SLE (Spada and Stocchi, 2007). In the ANICE-SELEN model,

the four regional ice-sheet models and the induced RSL changes, which in turn drive the

topographic variations, are run simultaneously and coupled at every time-step. Hence, the four

160 regional ice-sheet models fully and dynamically incorporate all the GIA feedbacks described by the

161 SLE.

162 We follow the original ice-sheet chronology starting from 410 ka through the MIS 6 glacial

163 maximum and match the end of MIS 6 Eurasia and North America ice sheets's deglaciation at 127

164 ka. By the same time, the thickness of Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets (GrIS and AIS,

165 respectively) are scaled to reach the present-day volume, which implies a eustatic sea level of 0.0 m

above present-day sea level. We keep the GrIS and AIS thicknesses constant between 127 and 116

167 ka. After 126 ka, the four ice sheets follow the original simulation presented in De Boer et al.

168 (2014) and undergo the fourth (and last) glacial-interglacial cycle. We call this model "background

169 model" and the associated GIA response between 127 and 116 ka "background GIA", implying that

it accounts for the GIA contribution of the three glacial-interglacial cycles previous to MIS 5einterglacial.

172

173 Subsequently, the melting of the GrIS and AIS between 127 and 116 ka is over-imposed to the

background model according to the following four scenarios (see Figure 3):

175 - Scenario 1. This scenario reflects the traditional view of MIS 5e sea-level history, with the

176 melting of both GrIS (2.0m) and AIS (5.0m) occurring early in the interglacial, and not changing

until insolation in both hemispheres decreases and glacial conditions start to resettle (see Figure 3).

178 - Scenario 2. This scenario includes a two-step highstand. However, the GrIS contributes 2.0 m of

- 179 ESL equivalent between 127 and 116 ka while the AIS contributes 5.0m only after 120 ka (Figure
- 180 3).

181 - Scenario 3. The GrIS and AIS release, respectively, 2.5 and 1.0 m ESL at 127 ka. GrIS remains

182 stable until 116 ka, while AIS releases 4.5 m ESL after 120 ka (Figure 3). The two-step retreat of

183 GrIS and AIS, therefore, results in a maximum eustatic peak of 8.0 m between 119 and 117 ka.

184 Scenarios 2 and 3 are in line with the timing and magnitudes proposed by O'Leary et al. (2013).

185 - Scenario 4. This scenario is chronologically opposite to the scenario and at odds with O'Leary et

al. (2013). The GrIS and AIS melt to their maximum extent early in the interglacial, and ice

187 formation is forced in Antarctica towards the end of MIS 5e (Figure 3).

188

189 2.2.2 Numerical predictions

190 We compute, evaluate and discuss (i) maximum RSL elevations along a transect that connects the

191 11 sites of Figure 1, *(ii)* RSL curves at each site, RSL changes across the whole Mediterranean Sea

192 (maps), *(iii)* differences between observed and predicted RSL elevations

193

194

195 *3. Results*

196 *3.1 RSL data*

197 The difference between the measured elevation of the RSL indicators and the actual paleo sea level

198 can be significant once the indicative meaning is properly accounted for (Figure 2g, see

199 Supplementary Materials for details on the calculation of the indicative meaning at each site and the

200 Supplementary Text for a working example). The set of 11 revised RSL sites from supposedly

stable areas in the Mediterranean shows a MIS 5e RSL highstand in the range of 2-10 m above

202 present-day sea level (Figure 2g). Two distinct elevations of the MIS 5e sea level are locally

recorded at Mallorca, Tyrrhenian Sea, Sardinia and Tunisia (Figure 2g, sites no.3,5,8 and 9).

204

205 3.2 Background GIA in the Mediterranean

206 The background GIA contributes to a generalized RSL highstand during MIS 5e that is

207 characterized by a significant spatial variability (Figure 4). According to MVP1 (red curve in

Figure 4), a maximum RSL elevation of ~2.0 m is predicted at site no. 1 (Al Hoceima, Morocco),

while for the other sites the predictions fall within a range of 0.5 and 1.25 m above present-day sea

210 level. The larger gradient between UM and LM viscosity, which characterizes MVP2, yields higher

high-stands in the central Mediterranean sites, while the RSL elevation at site no.1 reduces to ~1.3

212 m (green curve in Figure 4). A further increase in the viscosity gradient UM and LM, as described

by MVP3, exacerbates this pattern and results in a higher RSL elevation in the central

214 Mediterranean, while a reduction occurs at sites no.1 and no.11 (blue curve in Figure 4). The

absolute maximum high-stand (> 2.0 m) is predicted at sites no.7 and 8 (Sardinia, Italy) for MVP3

(Figure 4). This value is comparable to the glacioeustatic contribution of the GrIS as proposed sofar.

218 The predicted maximum RSL highstands of Figure 4 occur at different times as a function of the

219 geographic location (see Figure 5 a-c). At site no. 1 (Al Hoceima, Morocco; solid red curve in

Figure 5a), MVP1 results in a RSL rise ~2.0 m above present-day sea level between 125 and 126

ka. This is followed by a RSL drop that reaches present-day sea level at 116 ka. According to

222 MVP1 and moving eastwards along the transect (i.e. towards the center of the basin), the predicted

223 RSL curves are characterized by lower high-stands that occur later in time. At site no. 4 (Bergeggi,

Italy; dotted red curve in Figure 5a) the predicted RSL exceeds present-day sea level after 125 ka.

i.e. 2.0 ka later than at site no. 1, while the maximum elevation occurs 3.0 ka later. At site no. 5

226 (Cala Mosca, Sardinia, Figure 5a) the predicted maximum RSL elevation occurs by 116 ka.

227 Results for MVP2 show a reduction of the maximum RSL elevation at western and eastern sites and

steeper RSL curves (i.e. higher RSL rates; Figure 5b). According to MVP3, the maximum elevation

is attained at site 8 (Cala Mosca, Sardinia) at 116 ka (dashed curve in Figure 5c), while site no.1

experiences a high-stand peak that is half the MVP1 prediction and that occurs 6-7 ka later (solid

curve in Figure 5c).

232

233 To investigate the role of the water-loading term and its interaction with the solid Earth we perform 234 the same simulations of Figures 4 and 5 but neglecting the ice-loading contribution for the whole 235 background model (Background GIA – Ocean loading, see Figure 6a). Therefore, when ice sheets 236 grow (or shrink), water is taken from (or placed to) the oceans without being compensated by ice 237 loads on the continents. The predicted maximum RSL elevations are largely different from the 238 standard background GIA solutions (Figure 6a). The spatial variability of the RSL change is 239 significantly reduced. The sites located in the center of the basin (no.3, and no.5-8) together with 240 the three sites along North Africa (no.9-11) experience a maximum RSL rise that is close to the 241 eustatic value (i.e. 0.0 m above present-day sea level). A maximum RSL elevation of ~0.5 m is 242 predicted at sites no.7 and 8 (Sardinia) for MVP1 (red dots in Figure 6). The maximum elevation 243 decreases with the increasing viscosity gradient between UM and LM in MVP2 and MVP3. This 244 trend is generally opposite to the standard background GIA, where the maximum RSL elevation is 245 calculated for MVP3 (see Figure 4). The maximum RSL elevations are predicted, with decreasing 246 height, at sites no.1, 2 and 4. Also here, as well as at sites no.7 and 8, the viscosity profile has an 247 opposite effect with respect to the standard background GIA solutions of Figure 4. Similarly to the 248 latter, the maximum RSL elevations occur at different times according to the geographical location 249 (solid curves in Figure 6c-h). At sites no.1 and 4 (Figure 6c and d, respectively), the maximum 250 highstand occurs at 127 ka, which corresponds to the end of MIS 6 ice-sheets deglaciation. For all 251 the three mantle viscosity profiles, the highstands are followed by a RSL drop that closely resemble 252 the standard background GIA prediction for MVP1 at site no.1 (see Figure 5a). Conversely, an

almost monotonous RSL rise characterizes the predictions at the central sites no.6 and 8 between
127 and 116 ka (Figure 6e, f). Lower positive RSL rates are predicted at sites no.10 and 11 (Figure

255 6g, h), where the curves are very close to eustatic.

256

257 Neglecting the ice-loading term of the Eurasian aggregate only results in an upward shift of 0.5-1.0 258 m of the maximum predicted RSL at sites no. 3 and no. 5-11 (Background GIA – Partial ocean 259 loading, see Figure 6b) and with respect to the background GIA – Ocean loading (Figure 6a). At 260 sites no. 1,2 and 3, instead, the maximum elevations are 0.5-1.0 m lower than the background GIA 261 - Ocean loading. The effect of the mantle viscosity profile is in line with the standard background 262 GIA (Figure 4, 5). In fact, the RSL highstand increases in the center of the Mediterranean basin 263 (sites no.3, 7 and 9) when moving from MVP1 to MVP3. The opposite occurs at sites no.1, 2 and 4. 264 The predicted RSL curves at sites no. 1 and 4 are characterized by a lower early highstand peak at 265 127 ka and by a longer duration of the RSL drop phase (dashed curves in Figure 6c,d). At sites no. 266 6 and 8 (Figure 6e, f), the ice-loading term results in ~1.0 m highstand between 121 and 116 ka. 267 Similarly to sites no.1 and 4, an early peaked highstand is obtained at sites no. 10 and 11 (Figure 268 6g, h).

269

270 *3.3 Scenarios 1-4*

271 Our results account for the background GIA as well as for the GIA that accompanies and follows

AIS and GrIS melting during MIS 5e, according to scenarios 1-4 (Figure 3a-d). Figure 7a shows the

273 predicted RSL (with respect to present-day) at 122 ka according to scenario 1 and MVP1. A RSL

elevation that is ~0.5-1.0 m higher than eustatic (7.0 m) is already attained by 122 ka along most of

the northern coastlines (Figure 7a) and in southern Spain (site no. 2) and Morocco (site no. 1). At

sites no. 3, 7 and 8 a maximum value of ~6.0 m is predicted. Therefore, a maximum difference of

277 ~1.5 m is predicted between the coastal areas and the center of the Mediterranean basin, where the

background GIA results in a delay in the appearance of the highstand.

279 Predictions for MVP2 (Figure 7b) and MVP3 (Figure 7c) reveal the role of mantle viscosity profile

and, in particular, of the viscosity contrast between UM and LM. According to MVP2, values equal

- to or 0.5 m higher than the eustatic remain in southeastern Spain and Morocco. At sites no. 3-8 a
- 282 maximum value of 5-6 m is predicted. Therefore a maximum ~2.5 m difference exists between the
- 283 center of the Mediterranean basin and the southeastern coasts. This trend increases when moving to
- 284 MVP3, which in fact results in a further delay of the MIS 5e highstand (Figure 7c).
- 285
- The predicted RSL curves for scenario 1 and MVP2 show that, by 122 ka (Figure 8), the RSL is
- dropping at site no. 1, while at sites no. 4, 5, 7 and 8, it is still rising towards the maximum
- elevation, which then occurs by 116 ka. The predicted RSL trend at site no.1 and between 122 and
- 289 116 ka is at odds with the predictions at site no.7. Opposite RSL trends are also predicted at
- different sites for scenarios 2 and 3 (Figure 8, black and pink curves). This holds in particular
- between 119 and 117 ka, i.e. after meltwater is released from the AIS (see Figure 3b,c). Both
- scenarios 3 and 4 result in a maximum highstand peak of 8 m, which occurs between 119 and 117
- ka according to scenario 3 and between 127 and 120 ka according to scenario 4.
- Our results show that, when scenario 3 is combined with MVP2, the maximum eustatic peak is
- reached and even surpassed by 119 ka at sites 4, 5, 7 and 10. Instead, the role of background GIA
- inhibits the appearance of the maximum peak when scenario 4 is considered. This stems from the
- delayed subsidence of the sea bottom in response to the melting of MIS 6 ice-sheets.
- 298
- To quantify the differences between predictions and observations we make a heuristic use of thechi-square merit function:

301
$$\chi^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(s_i^o - s_i^p)^2}{(\sigma_i^o)^2}$$
 Eq. (1)

where *N* is the number of observations, S_i^o is the paleo RSL elevation obtained from field data and considerations on the indicative meaning as described in this paper, σ_i^o is the standard deviation of the observation and S_i^p is the predicted maximum sea level. We first assume that the sea-level observations at the 11 sites considered in this study represent the maximum elevations attained by the sea level during MIS 5e. At the four sites that record two different sea-level stands (Figure 2), 307 we neglect the lower stand and consider the higher elevation only. We predict the highest elevation

308 reached by sea level during MIS 5e according to scenarios 1-4 and MVP 1-3 at each site and then

309 compute the χ^2 (see Eq. 1). Scenario 3 stands out clearly as the worst solution for each of the three

310 mantle viscosity profiles (see Figure 9a). The relatively large misfit mostly stems from the

311 difference between predicted and observed low sea level at site no. 11 (Israel). The latter suggests

that each observation does not necessarily correspond to the local maximum highstand attained

during MIS 5e. However, the lack of reliable dating techniques prevents a more detailed

314 comparison between data and predictions.

315 Secondly, we assume that the observed RSL indicators that are below +5.0 m represent a lower

highstand, while those above +5.0 m indicate a higher sea-level stand (which might be the

317 maximum MIS 5e local highstand). To locate the events in time we assume that the lower

318 highstands (<= 5.0 m) occurred before120 ka, while the higher occurred after 120 ka. Accordingly,

at sites where one sea level only is observed, we assume that it represents either the lower or the

320 higher highstand. At sites where two different sea levels are observed, these record two consecutive

321 highstands. To compare predictions with the observations, we calculate the maximum peaks before

and after 120 ka and compare them, respectively, to the lower and higher observed elevations. For

323 Scenario 4 (see Figure 3) we invert the chronological order of the peaks. The comparison between

data and predictions (Figure 9b) reveals that scenario 1 is now the least appropriate, being not able

to satisfactorily fit a two-step signal. Scenario 3 and 4 are equivalent.

326

327 3.4 Tectonic stability from MIS 5e RSL histories

328 The previous sections show that field data, glacioeustatic scenarios and GIA calculations bring

329 large uncertainties in the reconstruction of MIS 5e sea-level history. These uncertainties must be

reflected in tectonic estimates from MIS 5e sea-level observations. In this paragraph we use the

field data, GIA and glacioeustatic scenarios (and their uncertainties) described above to answer the

332 question: how significant are field-related, GIA and eustatic sea-level uncertainties when attempting

to use MIS 5e shorelines to calculate tectonic vertical deformations?

To answer this question, we use the following equation to calculate uplift/subsidence rates fromMIS 5e sea-level histories:

336 $PDr = \left[\frac{S_T^o - S_T^p}{T}\right]$ Eq. (2)

Where *PDr* is the post-depositional rate of uplift (positive) or subsidence (negative), S_T^o is the 337 observed paleo RSL (see also Eq. 1), S_T^p is the predicted sea level that stems from Scenarios 1-4 338 339 (see Figure 8) and T is time. At each site, we reiterate 1000 solutions of Eq.2 for each time step 340 (each 100 years between 116 and 126 ka, n=11) and for each GIA model and eustatic scenario 341 (n=12), randomly sampling a Gaussian distribution where μ is the paleo RSL at each site and δ is the associated paleo RSL uncertainty to represent S_T^o . We calculate 132,000 possible PDr rates, that 342 343 we plot using simple histograms (blue histograms in Figure 10). We compare this solution with a simpler solution of Eq.2 where, instead of accounting for GIA, we set S_T^p equal to 6 meters, a value 344 345 often considered as representative of MIS 5e ESL (gray histograms in Figure 10). Although it is 346 possible to affirm that all the 11 sites are characterized by mild rates of tectonic motions, the 347 uncertainties surrounding such assumptions are relevant when GIA and different ESL scenarios are 348 considered (Figure 10).

349

350 4. Discussion

351 Our numerical simulations show that the Earth is not in isostatic equilibrium during the MIS 5e.

352 The GIA processes that accompany and follow the melting of GrIS and AIS during the MIS 5e

353 (scenarios 1-4) add up to the background GIA to increase the regional RSL variability. Each

354 location, within the Mediterranean Sea and during MIS 5e, is characterized by a local RSL curve

that can be significantly different from the eustatic.

356 The GIA-induced spatial variability of the RSL change is small if compared to the vertical tectonic

357 rates (see red and blue squares in Figure 7 a,b,c for southern and northern Italy respectively: sites

that are below sea level and above 15 m are considered tectonically active or affected by subsidence

359 because no sensible combination of ESL and GIA can explain such low / high values). However,

the GIA signal is significant and definitely non-negligible in the tectonically stable areas (greensquares).

362

363 The ocean-loading term is an important contributor to the background GIA in the Mediterranean 364 Sea. The central Mediterranean areas are affected by uplift during the MIS 6 glacial maximum in 365 response to water removal. The melt-water redistribution that follows the melting of MIS 6 ice 366 sheets causes subsidence in the bulk of the basin and results in a monotonous RSL rise during the 367 MIS 5e (Figure 6e,f). An opposite trend affects the marginal areas to the West (Morocco and 368 southern Spain; see sites no.1,2 and 4 of Figure 1, 6), where subsidence occurs during the MIS 6 369 glacial period and uplift during the MIS 5e. The latter is known as continental levering and 370 describes the upward tilt of the continental margin in response to the ocean-load-induced 371 subsidence of the center of the basin (Clark and Lingle, 1979; Stocchi and Spada, 2007). This 372 process is particularly strong at sites no. 1, 2 and 4 (Figure 6a), which are pushed upwards in 373 response to the water-load-induced central subsidence of the Mediterranean Sea and the Atlantic 374 Ocean. 375 Overall, the ocean loading-term alone results in a uniform RSL response within the Mediterranean 376 basin. The RSL variability, in fact, is mostly reduced because of the lack of the collapsing forebulge 377 around Fennoscandia. The latter is induced by the Fennoscandian ice-loading term and is 378 characterized by a strong latitudinal dependence. The crustal deformations that accompany the 379 collapse of the forebulge, in fact, decrease from north to south across the Mediterranean. 380 381 The inclusion of the ice-loading contribution from the distant ice sheets (North America, Greenland 382 and Antarctica) already results in significantly different RSL curves and in higher maximum RSL 383 elevations (see Figure 6). The predicted RSL curves at sites no.10 and 11 (Figure 6g, h) reveal an 384 interesting feedback from the ice-loading term. The latter, in fact, results in an early highstand (127

ka) that is then followed by RSL drop (compared dashed and solid curves of Figure 6g,h). The

reason for this is found in the subsidence of peripheral uplifted forebulges that surrounded the

387 formerly glaciated areas (North America, Greenland and Antarctica) at the MIS 6. As a result, water

moves from the far-field areas (such as eastern Mediterranean) towards the forebulge regions in
order to conserve the ocean mass. This process is known as ocean syphoning (Mitrovica and Milne,
2002) and usually adds to the continental levering. Stocchi and Spada (2007) have shown that this
RSL pattern can be found in the Mediterranean during the late Holocene.

392

393 The ocean- and ice-loading terms are characterized by different areal extent and interact with

different vertical portions of the mantle. Accordingly, the vertical gradient of viscosity is an

important parameter in modulating the GIA signal (Stocchi and Spada, 2007, 2009).

396 Mantle viscosity profiles with higher viscosity contrast tend to delocalize the GIA effects. This is

397 because deformation mainly happens in the upper mantle and so flow deformation – tend to stretch

398 out laterally rather then with depth. So, for the full background GIA, this results in s southwards

399 shift of the collapsing forebulge, which now interferes with the RSL changes in the Mediterranean.

400 As a result, the maximum RSL elevation occurs later and is higher in the center of the basin (sites

401 no. 7, 8).

402

403 By comparing the predicted RSL in the Mediterranean Sea with the values expected in the Gulf of

404 Biscay and in the Black Sea we can appreciate the contribution of the ice-loading term to the

405 regional RSL variability (Figure 7). By 122 ka the Gulf of Biscay and the Black Sea are

406 characterized by a sea level that is still 2-3 m below the eustatic (7.0 m). This delay is related to the

407 slow subsidence of the peripheral forebulge that uplifted around the Fennoscandia ice sheet during

408 the MIS 6. The subsidence is characterized by a clear N-S trend.

409

410 The data-models comparison shows that the differences between observations and predictions

411 generally decrease when a two-step melting chronology for AIS and GrIS (scenario 2-4) is

412 assumed and the observations divided into two age groups (before and after 122 ka). This implies

413 that the observations do not correspond to the maximum eustatic elevation, do not necessarily

414 record the local maximum RSL elevation, and that the latter does not occur at the same time

415 everywhere in the Mediterranean.

416

417 Our results are in line with those obtained by other studies that highlighted the importance of 418 including GIA when calculating tectonics or subsidence from MIS 5e shorelines (Creveling et al., 419 2015; Simms et al., 2016). We remark that the GIA models we used in this study account for a 420 limited (albeit representative of commonly used solutions) number of mantle viscosities (see 421 Austermann et al., 2017) and a single representation of MIS 6 ice sheet configuration. The latter, if 422 varied, may lead to significant departures in RSL predictions (Sivan et al., 2016; Dendy et al., 2017; 423 Rohling et al., 2017). This result becomes even more interesting when the tectonic rates are 424 extrapolated linearly through time (Figure 11). Although this should be considered as a theoretical 425 exercise, as tectonics are never linear through time, it shows that calculating long-term (e.g. 426 Pliocene) or recent (e.g. Holocene) tectonic stability on the basis of the MIS 5e RSL indicators can 427 only give very general indications and must be used accordingly. 428 429 5. Conclusions 430 1. The observed range of MIS 5e RSL highstand from 11 tectonically stable sites in the

431 Mediterranean is comprised between 2 and 10 m above present msl. The observed hoghstands are

432 not necessarily coeval. Evidences of two MIS 5e RSL stands are found in Mallorca, northern

433 Tyrrhenian coast of Italy, southeastern Sardinia and Tunisia.

434 2. The GIA-induced RSL changes across the Mediterranean are characterized by a significant

regional variability throughout the MIS 5e. The Earth is in isostatic imbalance and a generalized

436 RSL highstand above present sea level is predicted. The maximum highstand elevation of 2-2.5 m,

437 which is locally predicted according to the background GIA only, is comparable to the

438 hypothesized eustatic contribution from the GrIS as well as to the lower limit of the observations.

439 3. According to GIA, the MIS 5e RSL highstand occurs at different times as a function of the

440 geographical location in the Mediterranean.

3. To precisely quantify the GrIS and AIS retreat during MIS 5e on the basis on RSL data, requires

that the maximum extent, thickness and retreat of the MIS 6 ice sheets, and in particular of

443 Fennoscandia, are constrained.

444 4. A two-step melting chronology where the GrIS and AIS retreat is out of phase is capable of

reconciling predictions and observations provided that the GIA processes are included.

5. Neglecting the uncertainties that are related to RSL indicators and GIA may lead to over- or

447 underestimations of local crustal motions even at sites that are considered tectonically stable. As a

- 448 consequence, we suggest that caution should be exercised when extrapolating long-term tectonic
- tates from MIS 5e shorelines.
- 450

451 Acknowledgments

- 452 AR and TL's research is financially supported by: The Institutional Strategy of the University of
- 453 Bremen, funded by the German Excellence Initiative [ABPZuK-03/2014]; The ZMT, the Leibniz
- 454 Centre for Tropical Marine Research. The authors acknowledge USSP Urbino Summer School in
- 455 Paleoclimatology (Urbino, Italy), MEDFLOOD Modeling Paleo Processes (INQUA CMP projects
- 456 1203P and 1603P), PALSEA (PAGES/INQUA working group) and PAIS 2017 (Trieste, Italy), for
- 457 the useful discussions. We are grateful to F. Antonioli, L. Carobene, M. Firpo, J.J. Fornós, E. Galili,
- 458 L. Gomez-Pujol, P.J. Hearty and D. Sivan for field visits, useful discussions and insights on some
- 459 of the sites mentioned in this text.
- 460

461

462 **References**

463 Angelier, J., J. P. Cadet, G. Delibrias, J. Fourniguet, M. Gigout, M. Guillemin, M. T. Hogrel, C.

464 Lalou, and G. Pierre, 1976, Les déformations du Quaternaire marin, indicateurs

- 465 néotectoniques. Quelques exemples méditerranéens: Revue de Géographie Physique et de
 466 Géologie Dynamique, v. XVIII, p. 427-448.
- Antonioli, F, Dai Pra, G, Hearty, P. J., 1988. I sedimenti quaternari nella fascia costiera della Piana
 di Fondi (Lazio meridionale). Bollettino Societa Geologica Italiana 107, 491–501.
- 469 Antonioli, F., Ferranti, L., 1992. Geomorfologia costiera e subacquea e considerazioni
- 470 paleoclimatiche sul settore compreso tra S. Maria Navarrese e Punta Goloritzé (Golfo di
- 471 Orosei, Sardegna). G. di Geol. 54, 66–89.

472	Antonioli, F., D'Orefice, M., Ducci, S., Firmati, M., Foresi, L.M., Graciotti, R., Pantaloni, M.,			
473	Perazzi, P., Principe, C., 2011. Palaeogeographic reconstruction of northern Tyrrhenian			
474	coast using archaeological and geomorphological markers at Pianosa island (Italy). Quat.			
475	Int. 232, 31–44.			
476	Antonioli, F., Lo Presti, V., Rovere, A., Ferranti, L., Anzidei, M., Furlani, S., Mastronuzzi, G.,			
477	Orru, P.E., Scicchitano, G., Sannino, G., Spampinato, C.R., Pagliarulo, R., Deiana, G., de			
478	Sabata, E., Sansò, P., Vacchi, M., Vecchio, A., 2015. Tidal notches in Mediterranean Sea: a			
479	comprehensive analysis. Quat. Sci. Rev. 119, 66-84. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.03.016			
480	0 Antonioli, F., Anzidei, M., Amorosi, A., Lo Presti, V., Mastronuzzi, G., Deiana, G., De Falco, G.,			
481	Fontana, A., Fontolan, G., Lisco, S., Marsico, A., Moretti, M., Orrù, P.E., Sannino, G.M.,			
482	Serpelloni, E., Vecchio, A., 2017. Sea-level rise and potential drowning of the Italian			
483	coastal plains: Flooding risk scenarios for 2100. Quat. Sci. Rev. 158, 29-43.			
484	doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.12.021			
485	Anzidei, M., Lambeck, K., Antonioli, F., Furlani, S., Mastronuzzi, G., Serpelloni, E., Vannucci, G.,			
486	2014. Coastal structure, sea-level changes and vertical motion of the land in the			
487	Mediterranean. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. doi:10.1144/SP388.20			
488	Austermann, J., Mitrovica, J.X., Huybers, P., Rovere, A., 2017. Detection of a dynamic topography			
489	signal in last interglacial sea level records. Sci. Adv. 3, e1700457.			
490	Bardají, T., Goy, J.L., Zazo, C., Hillaire-Marcel, C., Dabrio, C.J., Cabero, A., Ghaleb, B., Silva,			
491	P.G., Lario, J., 2009. Sea level and climate changes during OIS 5e in the Western			
492	Mediterranean. Geomorphology 104, 22–37.			
493	Benjamin, J., Rovere, A., Fontana, A., Furlani, S., Vacchi, M., Inglis, R.H.H., Galili, E., Antonioli,			
494	F., Sivan, D., Miko, S., Mourtzas, N., Felja, I., Meredith-Williams, M., Goodman-			
495	Tchernov, B., Kolaiti, E., Anzidei, M., Gehrels, R., 2017. Late Quaternary sea-level			
496	changes and early human societies in the central and eastern Mediterranean Basin: An			
497	interdisciplinary review. Quat. Int. 449, 29–57. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2017.06.025			

- 498 Carobene, L., 2015. Marine Notches and Sea-Cave Bioerosional Grooves in Microtidal Areas :
- 499 Examples from the Tyrrhenian and Ligurian Coasts Italy. J. Coast. Res. 31, 536 556.
 500 doi:10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-14-00068.1
- 501 Clark, J.A., Lingle, C.S., 1979. Predicted relative sea-level changes (18 000 years BP to present)
 502 caused by late-glacial retreat of Antarctic ice sheet. Quaternary Research, 11, 279-298.
- 503 Creveling, J.R., Mitrovica, J.X., Hay, C.C., Austermann, J., Kopp, R.E., 2015. Revisiting tectonic
- 504 corrections applied to Pleistocene sea-level highstands. Quat. Sci. Rev. 111, 72–80.
 505 doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.01.003
- 506 De Boer, B., Stocchi, P., Van De Wal, R., others, 2014. A fully coupled 3-D ice-sheet-sea-level
 507 model: algorithm and applications. Geosci. Model Dev. 7, 2141–2156.
- 508 Deconto, R.M., Pollard, D., 2016. Contribution of Antarctica to past and future sea-level rise.
 509 Nature 531, 591–597. doi:10.1038/nature17145
- 510 Dendy, S., Austermann, J., Creveling, J.R., Mitrovica, J.X., 2017. Sensitivity of Last Interglacial
 511 sea-level high stands to ice sheet configuration during Marine Isotope Stage 6. Quat. Sci.
 512 Rev. 171, 234–244. doi:10.1016/j.guascirev.2017.06.013
- 513 Düsterhus, A., Rovere, A., Carlson, A.E., Horton, B.P., Klemann, V., Tarasov, L., Barlow, N.L.M.,
- 514 Bradwell, T., Clark, J., Dutton, A., Gehrels, W.R., Hibbert, F.D., Hijma, M.P., Khan, N.,
- 515 Kopp, R.E., Sivan, D., Törnqvist, T.E., 2016. Palaeo-sea-level and palaeo-ice-sheet
- 516 databases: problems, strategies, and perspectives. Clim. Past 12, 911–921. doi:10.5194/cp517 12-911-2016
- 518 Dutton, A., Lambeck, K., 2012. Ice Volume and Sea Level During the Last Interglacial. Science
 519 337, 216–219. doi:10.1126/science.1205749
- 520 Dutton, A., Carlson, A.E.E., Long, A.J.J., Milne, G.A.A., Clark, P.U.U., DeConto, R., Horton, B.P.,
- Rahmstorf, S., Raymo, M.E., 2015. Sea-level rise due to polar ice-sheet mass loss during
 past warm periods. Science 349, aaa4019-aaa4019. doi:10.1126/science.aaa4019
- 523 Faccenna, C., Becker, T.W., Auer, L., Billi, A., Boschi, L., Brun, J.P., Capitanio, A.A., Funicello,
- 524 F., Horvàt, F., Jolivet, L., Piromallo, C., Royden, L., Rossetti, F., and Serpelloni, E., 2014.

- 525 Mantle dynamics in the Mediterranean. Reviews of Geophysics,
- 526 doi:10.1002/2013RG000444
- 527 Farrell, W.E., Clark, J.A., 1976. On postglacial sea level. Geophys. J. Int. 46, 647–667.
- 528 doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1976.tb01252.x
- Federici, P.R., Pappalardo, M., 2006. Evidence of Marine Isotope Stage 5.5 highstand in Liguria
 (Italy) and its tectonic significance. Quat. Int. 145–146, 68–77.
- 531 Ferranti, L., Antonioli, F., Mauz, B., Amorosi, A., Dai Pra, G., Mastronuzzi, G., Monaco, C., Orrù,
- 532 P., Pappalardo, M., Radtke, U., Renda, P., Romano, P., Sansò, P., Verrubbi, V., 2006.
- 533 Markers of the last interglacial sea-level high stand along the coast of Italy: Tectonic
 534 implications. Quat. Int. 145–146, 30–54.
- Galili, E., Zviely, D., Ronen, A., Mienis, H.K., 2007. Beach deposits of MIS 5e high sea stand as
 indicators for tectonic stability of the Carmel coastal plain, Israel. Quat. Sci. Rev. 26, 2544–
 2557. doi:10.1016/j.guascirev.2007.06.027/ISSN 0277-3791
- 538 Goy, J. L., C. Zazo, T. Bardaji, L. Somoza, C. Causse, and C. Hillaire Marcel, 1993, Eléments
- d'une chronostratigraphie du Tyrrhénien des régions d'Alicante-Murcie, Sud-Est de
 l'Espagne: Geodinamica Acta, v. 6, p. 103-119.
- 541 Graciotti, R., Foresi, L.M., Pantaloni, M., 2002. Caratteristiche geomorfologiche dell'Isola di
- 542 Pianosa (Arcipelago toscano). Atti Soc. toscana di Sci. Nat. Mem., Ser. A 108, 95–111.
- 543 Hearty, P.J., 1986. An inventory of last interglacial (s.l.) age deposits from the Mediterranean basin:
- a study in isoleucine epimerization and U-series dating. Zeitschrift für Geomorphol.
 Supplement, 62, 51–69.
- Hearty, P.J., Hollin, J.T., Neumann, a. C., O'leary, M.J., McCulloch, M., O'Leary, M.J., 2007.
- 547 Global sea-level fluctuations during the Last Interglaciation (MIS 5e). Quat. Sci. Rev. 26,
 548 2090–2112. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2007.06.019
- Hibbert, F.D., Rohling, E.J., Dutton, A., Williams, F.H., Chutcharavan, P.M., Zhao, C., Tamisiea,
- 550 M.E., 2016. Coral indicators of past sea-level change: A global repository of U-series dated
- benchmarks. Quat. Sci. Rev. 145, 1–56. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.04.019

Hijma, M.P., Engelhart, S.E., Törnqvist, T.E., Horton, B.P., Hu, P., Hill, D.F., 2015. A protocol for
a geological sea-level database, in: Shennan, I., Long, A.J., Horton, B.P. (Eds.), Handbook

of Sea-Level Research. Wiley Online Library, pp. 536–553.

doi:10.1002/9781118452547.ch34

- Lambeck, K., Purcell, A., 2005. Sea-level change in the Mediterranean Sea since the LGM: model
 predictions for tectonically stable areas. Quaternary Science Reviews, 24, 1969-1988.
- Lorscheid, T., Stocchi, P., Casella, E., Gómez-Pujol, L., Vacchi, M., Mann, T., Rovere, A., 2017.
- 559
 Paleo sea-level changes and relative sea-level indicators: Precise measurements, indicative
- 560 meaning and glacial isostatic adjustment perspectives from Mallorca (Western
- 561 Mediterranean). Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 473, 94–107.
- 562 doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.02.028
- 563 Mauz, B., Antonioli, F., 2009. Comment on "Sea level and climate changes during OIS 5e in the
- 564 Western Mediterranean" by T. Bardají, J.L. Goy, J.L., C. Zazo, C. Hillaire-Marcel, C.J.
- 565Dabrio, A. Cabero, B. Ghaleb, P.G. Silva, J. Lario, Geomorphology 104 (2009), 22–37.

566 Geomorphology 110, 227–230.

567 Mauz, B., Fanelli, F., Elmejdoub, N., Barbieri, R., 2012. Coastal response to climate change:

568 Mediterranean shorelines during the Last Interglacial (MIS 5). Quat. Sci. Rev. 1–10.

- 569 Mitrovica, J.X., Peltier, W.R., 1991. On postglacial geoid subsidence over the equatorial oceans. J.
 570 Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 96, 20053–20071. doi:10.1029/91JB01284
- 571 Mitrovica, J.X., Milne, G.A., 2002. On the origin of late Holocene sea-level highstands within
 572 equatorial ocean basins. Quat. Sci. Rev. 21, 2179–2190.
- 573 Muhs, D.R., Simmons, K.R., Meco, J., Porat, N., 2015. Uranium-series ages of fossil corals from
 574 Mallorca, Spain: The "Neotyrrhenian" high stand of the Mediterranean Sea revisited.
- 575 Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 438, 408–424. doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2015.06.043
- 576 Murray-Wallace, C. V, Belperio, A.P., 1991. The last interglacial shoreline in Australia—a review.

577 Quat. Sci. Rev. 10, 441–461.

- 578 O'Leary, M.J., Hearty, P.J., Thompson, W.G., Raymo, M.E., Mitrovica, J.X., Webster, J.M., 2013.
- 579 Ice sheet collapse following a prolonged period of stable sea level during the last
 580 interglacial. Nat. Geosci. 6, 796–800. doi:10.1038/ngeo1890
- 581 Paskoff, R., Sanlaville, P., 1983. Les cotes de la Tunisie: variations du niveau marin depuis le
 582 Tyrrhenien. Collect. LA MAISON L'ORIENT MÉDITERRANÉEN 14.
- 583 Pedoja, K., Husson, L., Johnson, M.E., Melnick, D., Witt, C., Pochat, S.S., Nexer, M.M.,
- 584 Delcaillau, B., Pinegina, T., Poprawski, Y., Authemayou, C., Elliot, M., Regard, V.,
- 585 Garestier, F., 2014. Coastal staircase sequences reflecting sea-level oscillations and tectonic
- uplift during the Quaternary and Neogene. Earth-Science Rev. 132, 13–38.
- 587 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.01.007
- 588 Peltier, W.R., 2004. Global glacial isostasy and the surface of the ice-age Earth: The ICE-5G
 589 (VM2) model and GRACE. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 32, 111–149.
- Raymo, M.E., Mitrovica, J.X., O'leary, M.J., DeConto, R.M., Hearty, P.J., 2011. Departures from
 eustasy in Pliocene sea-level records. Nat. Geosci. 4, 328–332.
- Rohling, E.J., Hibbert, F.D., Williams, F.H., Grant, K.M., Marino, G., Foster, G.L., Hennekam, R.,
- de Lange, G.J., Roberts, A.P., Yu, J., Webster, J.M., Yokoyama, Y., 2017. Differences
- between the last two glacial maxima and implications for ice-sheet, δ 180, and sea-level
- 595 reconstructions. Quat. Sci. Rev. 176, 1–28. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.09.009
- 596 Rovere, A., Antonioli, F., Bianchi, C.N., 2015. Fixed biological indicators, in: Shennan, I., Long,
- A.J., Horton, B.P. (Eds.), Handbook of Sea-Level Research. Wiley Online Library, pp.
 268–280.
- 599 Rovere, A., Raymo, M.E., Vacchi, M., Lorscheid, T., Stocchi, P., Gómez-Pujol, L., Harris, D.L.,
- 600 Casella, E., O'Leary, M.J., Hearty, P.J., 2016a. The analysis of Last Interglacial (MIS 5e)
- 601 relative sea-level indicators: Reconstructing sea-level in a warmer world. Earth-Science
- 602 Rev. 159, 404–427. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.06.006
- Rovere, A., Stocchi, P., Vacchi, M., 2016b. Eustatic and Relative Sea Level Changes. Curr. Clim.
 Chang. Reports 2, 221–231. doi:10.1007/s40641-016-0045-7

605 Shennan, I., 1982. Interpretation of Flandrian sea-level data from the Fenland, England. Proc. Geol.

606 Assoc. 83, 53–63. doi:10.1016/S0016-7878(82)80032-1

- 607 Shennan, I., 1989. Holocene crustal movements and sea-level changes in Great Britain. J. Quat. Sci.
 608 4, 77–89. doi:10.1002/jqs.3390040109
- Shennan, I., Horton, B., 2002. Holocene land- and sea-level changes in Great Britain. J. Quat. Sci.
 17, 511–526.
- 611 Shennan, I., Long, A.J., Horton, B.P., 2014. Handbook of Sea-level Research. Wiley Online
 612 Library.
- 613 Simms, A.R., Rouby, H.H., Lambeck, K., 2016. Marine terraces and rates of vertical tectonic
- 614 motion: The importance of glacio-isostatic adjustment along the Pacific coast of central
 615 North America. Bull. Geol. Soc. Am. 128, 81–93. doi:10.1130/B31299.1
- 616 Sivan, D., Sisma-Ventura, G., Greenbaum, N., Bialik, O.M., Williams, F.H., Tamisiea, M.E.,
- 617 Rohling, E.J., Frumkin, A., Avnaim-Katav, S., Shtienberg, G., Stein, M., 2016. Eastern
- 618 Mediterranean sea levels through the last interglacial from a coastal-marine sequence in
- 619 northern Israel. Quat. Sci. Rev. 145, 204–225. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.06.001
- Spada, G., Stocchi, P., 2007. SELEN: A Fortran 90 program for solving the "sea-level equation."
 Comput. Geosci. 33, 538–562.
- Stocchi, P., and Spada, G., 2007. Glacio and hydro-isostasy in the Mediterranean Sea: Clark's zones
 and role of remote ice sheets. Annals of Geophysics, 50, 741-761.
- Stocchi, P., and Spada, G., 2009. Influence of glacial isostatic adjustment upon current sea level
 variations in the Mediterranean. Tectonophysics, 474, 56-68.
- 626 Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y.,
- 627 Bex, V., Midgley, P.M., 2013. Climate Change 2013. The Physical Science Basis. Working
- 628 Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
- 629 Climate Change-Abstract for decision-makers.
- 630 Suess, E., 1906. The Face of the Earth, vol. 2, F. Tempsky, Vienna.
- 631 Ulzega, A., Hearty, P.J., 1986. Geomorphology, stratigraphy and geochronology of Late Quaternary
 632 marine deposits in Sardinia. Zeitschrift für Geomorphol. Suppl. Bd., 119–129.

633	Vacchi, M., Marriner, N., Morhange, C., Spada, G., Fontana, A., Rovere, A., Marriner, N.,		
634	Morhange, C., Spada, G., Fontana, A., 2016. Multiproxy assessment of Holocene relative		
635	sea-level changes in the western Mediterranean: variability in the sea-level histories and		
636	redefinition of the isostatic signal. Earth Sci. Rev. 155, 172-197.		
637	doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.02.002		
638	Van de Plassche, O., 1986. Sea-level research: a manual for the collection and evaluation of data: A		
639	manual for the collection and evaluation of data. Springer. doi:10.1007/978-94-009-4215-		
640	8_15		
641	Zazo, C., Goy, J.L., Dabrio, C.J., Bardají, T., Hillaire-Marcel, C., Ghaleb, B., González-Delgado,		
642	JÁ., Soler, V., Bardají, T., Hillaire-Marcel, C., Ghaleb, B., González-Delgado, JÁ.,		
643	Soler, V., 2003. Pleistocene raised marine terraces of the Spanish Mediterranean and		
644	Atlantic coasts: records of coastal uplift, sea-level highstands and climate changes. Mar.		
645	Geol. 194, 103–133.		
646	Zazo, C., Goy, J.L.L., Dabrio, C.J.J., Lario, J., González-Delgado, J. a. A., Bardají, T., Hillaire-		
647	Marcel, C., Cabero, a., Ghaleb, B., Borja, F., Silva, P.G.G., Roquero, E., Soler, V., 2013.		
648	Retracing the Quaternary history of sea-level changes in the Spanish Mediterranean-		
649	Atlantic coasts: Geomorphological and sedimentological approach. Geomorphology 196,		
650	36-49. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.10.020		
651			
652	Figures and Tables captions		
653	Figure 1. Tectonics map of the Mediterranean Sea and geographical locations of the 11 RSL sites		
654	that considered in this study. Faults are modified after Faccenna et al. (2014). Site names: 1-		
655	Morocco-Al Hoceima; 2- Italy-Pianosa; 3- Spain-Cala Blava; 4- Italy-Bergeggi; 5- Italy-Pianosa; 6-		
656	Italy-Pisco Montano; 7- Italy-Cala Luna; 8- Italy-Cala Mosca; 9- Tunisia-Hergla-S; 10- Libia-W		
657	Libia; 11- Israel-Nahal Galim.		
658	Figure 2. Geological sketches of some of the eleven MIS 5e Mediterranean sites reviewed in this		
659	study (see Figure 1 for location). a) Site 9 - MIS 5e beach deposits, Hergla South, Tunisia (redrawn		
660	and adapted from Paskoff and Sanlaville, 1983); b) Site 11 - MIS 5e beach deposits, Nahal Galim,		

- Israel; c) Site 8 MIS 5e beach deposits, Cala Mosca, Sardinia, Italy (redrawn from Hearty, 1986);
- d) Site 6 Tidal notch and associated deposits, Pisco Montano, Italy; e) Site 3 MIS 5e beach
- 663 deposits, Cala Blava, Mallorca, Spain; f) Site 5 Biological sea level markers and MIS 5e beach
- deposits, Pianosa, Italy; g) Measured RSL marker (black line) vs paleo RSL elevation (blue band)
- for all the locations shown in Figure 1 (letters indicate the outcrops described in a-f). References for
- 666 sites in g): Site 1 Angelier et al, 1976; Site 2 Mauz & Antonioli, 2009; Bardajii et al., 2009;
- 667 Zazo et al., 2003; Goy et al., 1993; Site 3 Zazo et al., 2003; Zazo et al., 2013; Lorscheid et al.,
- 668 2015; Hearty, 1986; Muhs et al., 2015; Site 4 Carobene et al., 2014; Ferranti et al., 2006; Federici
- and Pappalardo, 2006; Site 5 Antonioli et al., 2011; Graciotti et al., 2002; Site 6 Antonioli et al.,
- 670 1998; Site 7 Antonioli & Ferranti, 1992; Site 8 Ulzega and Hearty, 1986; Hearty, 1986; Site 9 -
- Hearty et al., 2007; Paskoff and Sanlaville, 1983; Site 10 Pedoja et al., 2014; Site 11 Galili et al.,
- 672 2007; Mauz et al., 2012.
- 673 Figure 3. GrIS and AIS melting scenarios reflecting the uncertainties in MIS5e glacioeustatic
- 674 contributions (m ESL). See text for the description of each scenario.
- 675 Figure 4. Predicted maximum RSL elevation between 127 and 116 ka for the background GIA and
- according to MVP1 (red), MVP2 (green) and MVP3 (blue). The predicted values are computed
- along the transect connecting the sites shown in Figure 1 from West to East. Colored squares
- 678 correspond to the 11 investigated sites mapped in Figure 1.
- 679 Figure 5. Predicted RSL curves at each of the 11 sites of Figure 1 according to the background
- 680 GIA. Red, green and blue colors stem for, respectively, MVP1, 2 and 3 (a-c). Thick solid curve
- represents site no. 1 (Al Hoceima, Morocco); thick dotted curve represents site no.4 (Bergeggi,
- 682 Italy); Cala Mosca (Italy); thick dashed curve represents site no.8 (Cala Mosca, Sardinia, Italy). The
- black thin solid curves represent the remaining 8 sites for the three MVPs and show the spatio-
- 684 temporal variability of GIA in the area.
- **Figure 6.** The role of ocean- and ice-loading terms. a) predicted maximum RSL elevation according
- to background GIA and considering the ocean-loading term only (i.e. the ice-loading term is
- 687 neglected); b) predicted maximum RSL elevation according to background GIA and neglecting the

688 ice-loading term for the Eurasian ice-sheets aggregate only; c-h) RSL curves at 6 sites according to689 a (solid curves) and b (dashed curves). See text for explanations.

690 Figure 7. Predicted RSL elevation at 122 ka according to glacioeustatic scenario 1 and MVP1-3 (a-

691 c). The colored squared indicate the elevation of MIS5e markers (Pedoja et al., 2014). According to

the eustatic approximation, scenario 1 would result in a 7.0 m highstand. However, background

693 GIA combined with the GIA that accompanies and follows the melting of GrIS and AIS (scenario

1) results in a regionally varying RSL at 122 ka. According to MVP1, the predicted RSL exceeds

the eustatic value along the northern coasts on the Mediterranean basin (a). Moving toward larger

696 viscosity gradients between upper mantle and lower mantle (b-c) results in lower RSL elevation at

697 122 ka.

Figure 8. Predicted RSL curves at sites no.1, 4, 5, 7 and 10 according to scenario 1 (blue curve),

scenario 2 (pink curve), scenario 3 (black curve), scenario 4 (green curve), background GIA (dotted
black curve) and for MVP2.

701 Figure 9. Predictions vs observations: chi-square estimator. a) chi-square as function of mantle

viscosity profile and glacioeustatic scenarios (1-3) and using the highest observed sea level (if there

is more than one as in sites no.3, 5, 8 and 9) at each RSL site (see Figure 1, 2) and comparing it to

the highest predicted RSL; b) same as (a) but assuming that, where there is one observed sea level

only, if it is above or below 5.0 m it is the maximum attained sea level after or before 122 ka

respectively. Where two sea levels are observed (sites no.3, 5, 8 and 9), the lower one represents the

maximum sea level before 122 ka while the higher one represents the maximum sea level after 122

ka. For scenario 4 the order is inverted in order to be consistent with the ice-sheets chronology

709 (higher peak first, then followed by lower peak).

Figure 10. Histograms showing the relative frequency of the post-depositional rates (PDr)

calculated for the 11 Mediterranean sites addressed in this study. Blue histograms show the PDr

calculated using the GIA+ESL correction presented in this paper. Gray histograms show the PDr

calculated using ESL=6m. The last panel on the lower right shows the cumulative relative

frequency for all sites. At sites 3,5,8,9 the histograms represent the results of the calculation of PDr

vising two RSL indicators.

- **Figure 11.** Example of extrapolation of the PDr shown in Figure 10 for two Mediterranean sites:
- Pianosa (5) and Cala Luna (7). The lower panels represent details of the upper ones. MPWP = Mid
- 718 Pliocene Warm Period (Raymo et al., 2011). The blue bands represent the maximum-minimum
- vplift/subsidence calculated using the GIA+ESL predictions, while the dashed bands represent the
- vplift/subsidence calculated using ESL=6m in Eq. (2).
- 721 **Table 1.** Mantle viscosity profiles (MVP1-3) characterized by different UM, TZ and LM viscosity
- values. The depth of UM/TZ boundary is 400 km; The depth of TZ/LM boundary is 670 km. The
- depth of LM/outer core boundary is 3480 km. MVP1 is a simplification of the original VM1
- 724 (Peltier, 1996). MVP2 is a simplification of the VM2 profile that is usually employed with the ICE-
- 5G ice-sheet model (Peltier, 2004); MVP3 follows the mantle viscosity profile used by Lambeck et
- 726 al. (2004).







Figure 3





Figure 4











Figure 9











Table 1

	UM	TZ	LM
	$x10^{21}$ Pa•s	$x10^{21}$ Pa•s	$x10^{21}$ Pa•s
MVP1	1.0	1.0	2.0
MVP2	0.5	0.5	5.0
MVP3	0.25	0.5	10.0