

Abstract

 Keywords: essential oil; *Culex quinquefasciatus*; insect pest; mosquito vector control; *Musca domestica; Spodoptera littoralis*

Introduction

 The eco-friendly management of insect pests is a timely challenge nowadays (Isman 2006; Desneux et al. 2007; Benelli 2015, 2018a,b; Athanassiou et al. 2018). In this framework, essential oils extracted from plants may represent a promising reservoir of effective products for pesticide development (Pavela 2016; Stevenson et al. 2017; Benelli and Pavela 2018a,b; Pavela et al. 2018), due to a wide number of favourable characteristics that are compatible with well Integrated Pest Management (IPM) criteria, including multiple mechanisms of action and low toxicity to vertebrates (Isman 2000, 2015; Pavela and Benelli 2016a,b). *Solidago canadensis* L. (Canada goldenrod) and *Solidago gigantea* Aiton (giant goldenrod) are rhizomatous, long-lived, perennial herbs native to North America. When introduced to Europe and Asia, they became invasive and, by their increased dominance, threatened the stability of native ecosystems (Ledger et al. 2015; Pal et al. 2015). *Solidago canadensis* and *S. gigantea* are generally described as having a broad tolerance with respect to soil moisture, light, nutrient contents, temperature or pH range, although they prefer ruderal habitats, where they are dominant (Werner et al. 1980; Weber and Jakobs 2004). However, their ecological needs overlap and regularly co-exist both in their native and introduced range: *S. canadensis* prefers loose and drier soils than *S. gigantea*, hence *S. canadensis* occurs near to urban areas, roadsides and railways more often and *S. gigantea* occurs mainly on riverside and embankments (Botta-Dukát and Dancza 2004). *Solidago* species (both the two-aforementioned species and *S. virgaurea* L., which is native to Europe) are well-known for their medicinal use in Europe: They are ingredients of the so-called *Herba Solidaginis* included in the ESCOP publication (Kalemba and Thiem 2004). This

preparation is used to treat disorders of urinary tract, prostate and kidney. Regarding the

 secondary metabolites, several groups are reported in the two species, mainly flavonoids, phenolic acids, diterpenes, saponosides and essential oils (Apáti et al. 2003; Kołodziej et al. 2011; Kraujalienė et al. 2017; Zihare and Blumberga 2017). These compounds have been shown to exert anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antioxidant, antispasmodic and diuretic properties (Liu et al. 2016).

 Although these species are close relatives, they have distinct chemical profiles suggesting a possible influence of the geographic origin, genetics (e.g., polyploidy level) and plant part investigated (Radusiene et al. 2018; Kalemba and Thiem 2004; Gruľová et al. 2016; Shelepova et al. 2018; Kalemba et al. 2001; Hull-Sanders et al. 2009).

 Solidago gigantea and *S. canadensis* are consumed by many specialist herbivores in their native range (Pilson and Rausher 1995; Carson and Root 2000; Meyer et al. 2005). On the other hand, in their introduced ranges there are only few generalist insects consuming them (Botta- Dukát and Dancza 2004; Jakobs et al. 2004) suggesting there are no specialist herbivores in the place of introduction. However, Hull-Sanders et al. (2009a) reported lower foliar concentrations of monoterpenes and diterpenes in the introduced *S. gigantea* populations, than in the native populations. The same authors found a higher growth rate of a generalist herbivore, *Spodoptera exigua* (Hubner), fed on introduced plants than on native ones, while the specialist *Trirhabda virgata* LeConte was not influenced (Hull-Sanders et al. (2009b). In contrast, in a common garden experiment, Nagy et al. (2017) found a higher insect resistance of *S. gigantea* populations introduced in Europe compared with native ones. This might support the potential of introduced *Solidago* populations under natural conditions as a source of insecticidal compounds.

 Since *S. gigantea* and *S. canadensis* may represent an ideal bioresource to be exploited for production of highly-valued products, in the present work we evaluated the efficacy of the EOs obtained from their different parts (i.e. leaves, inflorescences and roots), whose

 which were located at least 5 m apart from another, to reduce the risk of resampling the same clone. Individuals were removed, using a hand shovel; rhizomes, leaves and inflorescences were separated immediately with secateurs and placed separately into plastic bags. Collection continued until 2 kg fresh mass were reached from all organs except for roots of *S. canadensis* (1 kg). After collections, samples were air-dried separately, at 24-28 °C in a storage room, without direct light, for one month. The herbarium specimens of the two species were deposited in the Herbarium of the University of Pécs, Hungary, under the codes JPU 82/3630 (*S. gigantea*) and JPU 82/3631 (*S. canadensis*).

Chemicals

 Analytical standards of some essential oil constituents (Table 1) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy) and used for GC-MS peak assignment. Viridiflorol was kindly furnished by Michael Russell, Department of Primary Industries, Industry and Investment NSW, 145 Wollongbar, NSW, Australia. A mix of *n*-alkanes, ranging from octane (C_8) to triacontane (C_{30}) was obtained from Supelco (Bellefonte, CA, USA) and injected using the analytical conditions reported below to determine the temperature-programmed retention index (RI) according to the following formula:

149
$$
R I_x = 100_n + 100(t_x - t_n)/(t_{n+1} - t_n),
$$

 Where n is the number of carbon atoms of the alkane eluting before the compound x, tn and tn+1 are retention times of the reference alkanes eluting before and after compound x, and tx is the retention time of the compound x (Van den Dool and Kratz 1963). All compounds were of analytical standard grade. Analytical grade *n*-hexane solvent was bought from Carlo Erba (Milan, Italy) and distilled by a Vigreux column before use.

- Isolation of *Solidago* essential oils
-

 Different amounts of dry plant organs of *S. gigantea* and *S. canadensis*, namely roots (700 and 625 g, respectively), leaves (650 and 500 g, respectively) and inflorescences (200 and 300 g, respectively) were reduced into small pieces and inserted in 10 L flasks filled with 5-6 L of deionized water, then subjected to hydrodistillation using a Clevenger-type apparatus for 4 h. The EOs were decanted, separated from water and dehydrated using anhydrous Na2SO4. They were stored in amber vials capped with PTFE-faced silicon septa at 4°C until analysed. The yield was calculated as g of EO/100 g of dry matter.

```
166 GC-MS analysis
```
 Chemical analysis of the EOs from various plant parts of the two *Solidago* species was performed by using an Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph coupled to a single quadrupole 5973N mass spectrometer. Separation was achieved on a HP-5 MS (5% phenylmethylpolysiloxane, 30 m, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.1 μm film thickness; J&W Scientific, Folsom) capillary column. The 172 temperature programme used was as follows: 5 min at 60 $^{\circ}$ C then 4 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ up to 220 $^{\circ}$ C, then 11^oC min⁻¹ up to 280 ^oC, held for 15 min. Injector and detector temperatures: 280 ^oC; carrier gas: 174 He; flow rate: 1 ml min⁻¹; split ratio: 1:50; acquisition mass range: $29-400$ m/z ; mode: electron- impact (EI, 70 eV). The EO was diluted 1:100 in *n*-hexane, and 2 µl of the solution were injected into the GC-MS system twice. The MSD ChemStation software (Agilent, Version G1701DA D.01.00) and the NIST Mass Spectral Search Program for the NIST/EPA/NIH EI and NIST Tandem Mass Spectral Library v. 2.3 were used to analyze data. For identification of EO

 (n=20 larvae per replicate) for each tested *Solidago* EO concentration. Acetone without EO served as negative control. α-cypermethrin (Vaztak®) was tested as positive control (Benelli et al. 2018c). Then, *S. littoralis* larvae were moved to a recovery box (10×10×7 cm, with thin holes 230 on each wall to avoid fumigation effects, 26 ± 1 °C, $70\pm3\%$ R.H., and 16:8 L:D) for 24 h, before checking mortality. We tested the following dilution series ranging from 30 to 250 μ g larva⁻¹ to estimate the lethal doses.

Toxicity on non-target earthworms

 Since the *S. canadensis* leaf EO was the only tested product effective against the three selected insect pests, it was selected for non-target tests, along with the leaf EO from *S. gigantea*. The standard OECD (1984) method was followed to test the *Solidago* leaf EO toxicity on *E. fetida* adult earthworms. The artificial soil had the same composition and pH as described for *E. fetida* rearing; the soil was prepared by adding the *Solidago* EOs at concentrations of 200, 100 241 and 50 mg kg⁻¹, mixed with Tween 80 (ratio 1:1 v:v), equivalent to 100, 50 and 25 mg EO a.i. per 242 kg of dry weight basis soil. *α*-cypermethrin at 50.0, 25.0 and 12.5 mg kg⁻¹ of dry soil [i.e., 243 Vaztak® at 1000, 500 and 250 μL kg⁻¹ (v/v)] was the positive control. Distilled water with Tween 244 80 at concentration of 100 mg kg^{-1} of dry soil was used as negative control. An aqueous formulation containing the leaf EO from the two studied *Solidago* species, pure water or *α*- cypermethrin was mixed into the soil (650 g) and 10 *E. fetida* adults were added. Treated and control soil samples were stored in glass pots (1 L) covered with gauze to ensure aeration. *Eisenia fetida* mortality was noted 7 and 14 days post-exposure to the treatments at 20 ± 1 °C, R.H. 80-85%, 16:8 (L:D) and 600 lux (Pavela, 2018).

Statistical analysis

 If control mortality was >20%, the treatment mortality rates were corrected by the 254 Abbott's formula (Abbott 1925). Lethal dose $LD_{50(90)}$ or concentration $LC_{50(90)}$ values, with associated 95% LCL and UCL, were estimated by probit analysis (Finney 1971) using BioStat version 5. **Results** Chemical analysis of *Solidago* essential oils The hydrodistillation of leaves, inflorescences and roots of *S. gigantea* and *S. canadensis* gave similar EO yields, with leaf and flower being richer (0.15-0.16 and 0.18-0.20 %, respectively) than root (0.06 and 0.04 %, respectively). The GC analysis performed by using a combination of MS and RI and, whenever possible, co-elution with available standards, allowed us to identify 121 volatile compounds in the six EOs from the two *Solidago* species (Table 1). Overall, the chemical profiles of leaves of *S. gigantea* and *S. canadensis* species were quite similar, whereas those of inflorescences (Fig. 2 a,b) and, to a major extent, roots exhibited noteworthy differences (Fig. 2 c-f). A total of 80 volatile components were identified in the leaf EO from *S. gigantea*, accounting for 83.3% of the total. This EO was dominated by oxygenated sesquiterpenes (45.1%), followed by sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (19.5%) and oxygenated monoterpenes 273 (15.1%), with cyclocolorenone (15.6%), bornyl acetate (13.7%) and germacrene D (6.3%) as the major compounds. Other components occurring at noteworthy levels were the sesquiterpenes

275 eudesma-4(15), 7-dien-1 β -ol (4.4%), spathulenol (4.3%) epoxysalvial-4(14)-ene (4.1%) and

 isospathulenol (3.0%). A total of 43 compounds were detected in percentages below 1% and 19 at 277 trace levels $(0.1%).$

 Solidago canadensis leaf EO yielded a total of 66 components, corresponding to 85.5% of the total composition, were identified. The oxygenated sesquiterpenes 42.1%) were still the major fraction of this oil, along with similar levels of sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (17.9%) and

oxygenated monoterpenes (17.2%), and minor amounts of monoterpene hydrocarbons (8.3%).

The most abundant components were again bornyl acetate (13.4%), germacrene D (11.0%) and

cyclocolorenone (8.8%), accompanied by minor components like eudesma-4(15),7-dien-1 E-ol

284 (7.1%), α -pinene (4.6%), torilenol (4.1%), and salvial-4(14)-en-1-one (3.0%). Thirty-two

compounds were present in percentages lower than 1% and 13 at trace levels.

 The EO from inflorescences of *S. gigantea* showed a chemical profile (84 identified components accounting for 88.1% of the EO) similar to that of leaf EO, of the same species with oxygenated sesquiterpenes (34.5%), sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (19.1%) and oxygenated monoterpenes (17.7%), and an additional occurrence of monoterpene hydrocarbons (16.0%). 290 Here, the major components were bornyl acetate (11.4%), germacrene D (9.0%), α -pinene (8.1%) and cyclocolorenone (6.4%). Minor contributions derived from eudesma-4(15),7-dien- 1 E-ol (4.6%), *p*-cymene (3.5%), spathulenol (3.4%) and epoxysalvial-4(14)-ene (3.0%). A total of 56 components were present in percentages below 1% and 6 at trace levels. A different profile was found in the EO from inflorescences of *S. canadensis,* where a

total of 71 compounds, accounting for 94.3% of the total, was identified. Here, monoterpenoids

- (monoterpene hydrocarbons 42.3%, oxygenated monoterpenes 30.8%) dominated over
- sesquiterpenes (oxygenated sesquiterpenes 13.6%, sesquiterpene hydrocarbons 5.9%). The major

298 compounds were α -pinene (29.5%) and bornyl acetate (12.2%), with minor contributions of limonene (5.1%), *trans*-verbenol (3.9%) and *p*-mentha-1,5-dien-8-ol (3.8%). Main leaf volatile components such as cyclocolorenone and germacrene D were here poorer (2.9 and 1.0%, respectively). A total of 34 components was present in percentages lower than 1.0% and 14 at trace levels.

 The chemical profiles of the two *Solidago* root EOs differed considerably from each other. In *S. gigantea* EO, we identified 88 compounds accounting for 83.5% of the total composition. Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (29.2%) were the most abundant fraction, followed by oxygenated sesquiterpenes (23.1%), alkenes (14.5%) and monoterpene hydrocarbons (12.9%). Germacrene D (14.4%) and 1-nonene (13.1%) were the most abundant constituents, with minor 308 amounts of β -pinene (4.6%), spathulenol (4.6%), isospathulenol (3.6%), limonene (3.1%) and α -gurjunene (3.0%). A total of 53 constituents were present in percentages below 1% and 12 at trace levels. *Solidago canadensis* EO showed a different profile, with a total of 69 constituents, corresponding to 96.2% of the oil. The EO was dominated by monoterpene hydrocarbons accounting for 74.0% of the total composition. The remaining compounds comprised 313 sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (9.0%), oxygenated monoterpenes (6.2%) and **alkenes** (4.9%). The 314 oil composition was dominated by two components, namely limonene $(32.7%)$ and β -pinene (31.3%), whereas germacrene D (3.9%), E-elemene (3.4%), methylcamphenoate (3.2%) and 2,6- dimethyl-1,3,6-heptatriene (3.0%) were present in low concentrations. Thirty-eight constituents 317 were below 1% and 19 at trace levels. **1-nonene**, i.e., one of the major volatile constituents in the roots of *S. gigantea*, was here present at scant amounts (1.6%).

Insecticidal activity and toxicity on non-target earthworms

 an ester of the monoterpenoid borneol having camphoraceous smell and occurring in many EOs such as those of conifers and valerian (Matsubara et al. 2011). This compound has been proved to exert anti-inflammatory activity (Tung et al. 2008). Interestingly, bornyl acetate is used by some insects, such as *Corythucha marmorata* (Uhler) (Hemiptera: Tingidae), as a source of sex pheromones (Watanabe and Shimizu 2017). 1-Nonene is a linear alkene occurring in the defensive secretions of tenebrionid beetles (Tschinkel 1975). Cyclocolorenone is a tricyclic sesquiterpene ketone occurring also in other species, namely *Pseudowintera colorata* (Raoul) Dandy, *Ledum palustre* L., *Magnolia grandiflora* L. and *S. canadensis* (Kalemba et al. 2001). This compound has been also reported as an allopathic and antimicrobial agent (Jacyno et al. 1991).

 When comparing our data on Hungarian *Solidago* species with those of previously published reports, we found both similarity and differences. For instance, Kalemba et al. (2001) examined a population of *S. gigantea* growing in Poland and reported germacrene D (23.5%) and cyclocolorenone (32.4%) as the major essential oil constituents of aerial parts. The same authors examined the chemical profile of the EO from inflorescences of Polish *S. canadensis* and 382 reported α -pinene (13.0%), limonene (12.0%) and γ -cadinene (27.1%) as the most abundant constituents (Kalemba et al. 1990). The same group also analysed the volatile fraction of micropropagated plants of *S. gigantea* and *S. canadensis* and found α-gurjunene (16.6%), germacrene D (12.8%) and cyclocolorenone (32.8%) as the major compounds in the former, and α -pinene (59.5%), limonene (9.7%) and germacrene D (15.2%) in the latter (Kalemba and Thiem, 2004). Fujita (1980) reported germacrene D (66-77%) and bornyl acetate (5-7%) as the major components of S*. gigantea* EO. Weyerstahl et al. (1993) studied the chemical profile of the EO 389 from *S. canadensis* growing in Poland and found α -pinene (14.7%), germacrene D (19.8%) and

 β -sesquiphellandrene (10.4%) as the most abundant constituents. Synowec et al. (2017) reported α -pinene (26.0%), limonene (11.5%) and germacrene D (27.5%) as the major EO constituents of Polish *S. canadensis*. Gruľová et al. (2016) analysed Slovak populations of *S. gigantea* and *S. canadensis* and found a significant chemical polymorphism depending on the collection site and species. *S. gigantea* was found rich in sesquiterpenes, namely curlone (14.4%), tumerone (14.0%) 395 and δ -cadinene (5.4%); on the other hand, *S. canadensis* contained α -pinene (36.3%), limonene (7.8%) and germacrene D (9.9%) as the main EO constituents. Shelepova et al. (2018) studied different populations of *S. canadensis* growing in Europe (i.e. Austria, Ukraine, Kazakhstan and 398 Russia) and found α -pinene (12.6-52.4%), germacrene D (2.9-36.2%), bornyl acetate (3.4-26.3%) and limonene (6.4-22.5%) as the major EO components. Watanabe and Shimizu (2017) reported bornyl acetate (20.2%) and germacrene D (54.0%) as the major EO components of *S. canadensis* growing in Japan. This oil was slightly phytotoxic against four common weeds (Synowec et al. 2017). Chanotiya and Yadav (2008) analyzed Indian *S. canadensis* and found limonene (0.2- 12.5%) and germacrene D (56.7-75.5%) as the main EO constituents. Liu et al. (2016) examined 404 the EO from leaves of Chinese *S. canadensis* and found α -pinene (53.6%) as the major 405 compound followed by germacrene, limonene and β -pinene. In conclusion, EOs from these two invasive species show significant variability that can be linked to several factors, such as the geographic origin of samples, together with the cytotype, phenological stage and part studied.

Insecticidal activity and toxicity on non-target earthworms

insecticides exhibit a negative effect on the survival of earthworms, especially in

460 concentrations over $25 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ (Datta et al. 2016).

 More in general, it is expected that *S. gigantea* and *S. canadensis* EOs are harmless against pollinators and natural predators such as honeybees and ladybird beetles,

respectively. In this regard, it has been reported that goldenrod is an important source of

nectar for honeybees (Stefanic et al. 2003). Besides, the fact that some major leaf volatile

constituents of *S. gigantea* and *S. canadensis* EOs, such as germacrene D and bornyl

acetate, are pheromones within species belonging to cockroaches and lacewings (Kitamura

et al. 1996; Watanabe and Shimizu 2017), should give a low risk from an ecotoxicological

standpoint. Notably, *Solidago* spp. have are used as feed for cattle and other mammalian

herbivores (Botta-Dukát and Dancza 2004; Werner et al. 1980). Furthermore, *Solidago* spp.

host beneficial invertebrates, such as aphid predators, e.g. *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas)

(Genung et al. 2012; Kamo et al. 2010). Regarding the impact on aquatic ecosystems, it has

been reported that the *S. canadensis* extracts exert low toxicity on *Daphnia magna* Straus

and zebrafish, *Danio rerio* Hamilton (Huang et al. 2014).

 In a broader perspective, the relatively high tolerance of insect pollinators, including social bees, to plant EOs used for pest management purposes has been confirmed by several

researches (Umpierrez et al, 2017, Ribeiro et al., 2018, Palmer-Young et al., 2018). In

addition, this is also substantiated by the fact that EOs are used at relatively high

concentrations to protect bees against *Varroa destructor* (Andreson and Trueman) (Acari:

Varroidae) (Ramzi et al., 2017). Besides, the selectivity of EOs was also determined against

other non-target organisms including native predators of pests (Castilhos et al 2018; Pavela

2018) or larvivorous fish (Govindarajan et al. 2016a,b; AlShebly et al. 2017; Pavela and

Govindarajan 2017).

Benelli G (2018b) Mode of action of nanoparticles against insects. Environ Sci Pollut Res doi:

10.1007/s11356-018-1850-4

Benelli G, Duggan MF (2018) Management of arthropod vector data – Social and ecological

dynamics facing the One Health perspective. Acta Trop 182:80-91

- Benelli G, Romano D (2017) Mosquito vectors of Zika virus. Entomol Gen 36:309–318
- Benelli G, Pavela R (2018) Repellence of essential oils and selected compounds against ticks a systematic review. Acta Trop 179:47–54
- Benelli G, Pavela R (2018) Beyond mosquitoes Essential oil toxicity and repellency against bloodsucking insects. Ind Crops Prod 117:382–392
- Benelli G, Pavela R, Iannarelli R, Petrelli R, Cappellacci L, Cianfaglione K, Afshar FH, Nicoletti
- M, Canale A, Maggi F (2017) Synergized mixtures of Apiaceae essential oils and related
- plant-borne compounds: larvicidal effectiveness on the filariasis vector *Culex*

quinquefasciatus Say. Ind Crops Prod 96:186-195

- Benelli G, Pavela R, Giordani C, Casettari L, Curzi G, Cappellacci L, Petrelli R, Maggi F
- (2018a) Acute and sub-lethal toxicity of eight essential oils of commercial interest against
- the filariasis mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus* and the housefly *Musca domestica*. Ind Crops Prod 112:668–680
- Benelli G, Pavela R, Lupidi G, Nabissi M, Petrelli R, Ngahang Kamte SL, Cappellacci L, Fiorini
- D, Sut S, Dall'Acqua S, Maggi F (2018b) The crop-residue of fiber hemp cv. Futura 75:
- from a waste product to a source of botanical insecticides. Environ Sci Pollut Res
- 25:10515-10525
- Benelli G, Pavela R, Petrelli R, Cappellacci L, Santini S, Fiorini D, Sut S, Dall'Acqua S, Canale
- A, Maggi F (2018c) The essential oil from industrial hemp (*Cannabis sativa* L.) by-

products as an effective tool for insect pest management in organic crops. Ind Crops Prod

- doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.05.032
- Botta-Dukát Z, Dancza I (2004) Magas aranyvessző és kanadai aranyvessző. In: Mihály B, Botta-
- Dukát Z (eds.) Özönnövények. A KvVM Természetvédelmi Hivatalának Tanulmánykötetei
- 9. Természet Búvár Alapítvány Kiadó, Budapest, pp. 289–314
- Carson WP, Root RB (2000) Herbivory and plant species coexistence: community regulation by
- an outbreaking phytophagous insect. Ecol Monogr 70:73-99
- Castilhos RV, Grutzmacher AD, Coats JR (2018) Acute toxicity and sublethal effects of
- terpenoids and essential oils on the predator *Chrysoperla externa* (Neuroptera:
- Chrysopidae). Neotrop Entomol 47:311-317
- Casiglia S, Bruno M, Bramucci M, Quassinti L, Lupidi G, Fiorini D, Maggi F (2017)
- *Kundmannia sicula* (L.) DC: a rich source of germacrene D. J Essent Oil Res 29:437-442
- Chanotiya CS, Yadav A (2008) Natural variability in enantiomeric composition of bioactive
- chiral terpenoids in the essential oil of *Solidago canadensis* L. from Uttarakhand, India. Nat
- Prod Comm 3:263-266
- Datta S, Singh J, Singh S, Singh J (2016) Earthworms, pesticides and sustainable agriculture: a review. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23:8227–8243
- Desneux N, Decourtye A, Delpuech JM (2007) The sublethal effects of pesticides on beneficial arthropods. Annu Rev Entomol 52:81-106
- FFNSC 2 (2012) Flavors and fragrances of natural and synthetic compounds. Mass spectral
- database. Shimadzu Corps, Kyoto
- Finney DJ (1971) Probit Analysis. Cambridge University, London, pp. 68–78
- Fujita S (1980) Koen Yoshishu-Koryo, Terupen oyobi Seiyu Kagaku ni Konsuru Toronkai.
- Chem. Abstr. 92, 143284f

- Isman MB (2015) A renaissance for botanical insecticides? Pest Manag Sci 71(12):1587-1590
- Jacyno JM, Montemurro N, Bates AD, Cutler HG (1991) Phytotoxic and antimicrobial properties
- of cyclocolorenone from *Magnolia grandiflora* L. J Agric Food Chem 39:1166-1168
- Jakobs G, Weber E, Edwards PJ (2004) Introduced plants of the invasive *Solidago gigantea*
- (Asteraceae) are larger and grow denser than conspecifics in the native range. Divers Distrib 10:11-19
- Jankowska M, Rogalska J, Wyszkowska J, Stankiewicz M (2017) Molecular Targets for
- Components of Essential Oils in the Insect Nervous System—A Review. Molecules 23:34
- Jansirani D, Nivethitha S, Singh MVP (2012) Production and utilization of vermicast using
- organic wastes and its impact on *Trigonella foenum* and *Phaseolus aureus*. Int J Res Biol Sci 2:187–189
- Kalemba D, Thiem B (2004) Constituents of the essential oils of four micropropagated *Solidago* species. Flavour Fragr J 19:40-43
- Kalemba D, Góra J, Kurowska A (1990) Analysis of the essential oil of *Solidago canadensis*.
- Planta Med 56:222-223
- Kalemba D, Marschall H, Bradesi P (2001) Constituents of the essential oil of *Solidago gigantea* Ait. (giant goldenrod). Flavour Fragr J 16:19-26
- Kamo T, Tokuoka Y, Miyazaki M (2010) Influence of aphid–host plant pairs on the survivorship
- and development of the multicolored Asian ladybird beetle: implications for the
- management of vegetation in rural landscapes. Ecol Res 25:1141-1149

- aboveground parts of three *Solidago* species: *Solidago virgaurea* L., *Solidago canadensis*
- L. and *Solidago gigantea* Ait. J Med Plant Res 5:6770-6779
- Kraujalienė V, Pukalskas A, Venskutonis PR (2017) Biorefining of goldenrod (*Solidago*
- *virgaurea* L.) leaves by supercritical fluid and pressurized liquid extraction and evaluation
- of antioxidant properties and main phytochemicals in the fractions and plant material. J Funct Foods 37:200-208
- Ledger KJ, Pal RW, Murphy P, Nagy DU, Filep R, Callaway RM (2015) Impact of an invader on
- species diversity is stronger in the non-native range than in the native range. Plant Ecol 216:1285-1295
- Lim SL, Lee LH, Wu TY (2016) Sustainability of using composting and vermicomposting technologies for organic solid waste biotransformation: recent overview, greenhouse gases emissions and economic analysis. J Clean Prod 111:262–278
- Liu S, Shao X, Wei Y, Li Y, Xu F, Wang H (2016) *Solidago canadensis* L. essential oil vapor
- effectively inhibits *Botrytis cinerea* growth and preserves postharvest quality of strawberry as a food model system. Front Microbiol 7:1179
- Lucchi A, Benelli G (2018) Towards pesticide-free farming? Sharing needs and knowledge
- promotes Integrated Pest Management. Environ Sci Poll Res 25:13439–13445
- Matsubara E, Fukagawa M, Okamoto T, Ohnuki K, Shimizu K, Kondo R (2011) (-)-Bornyl

acetate induces autonomic relaxation and reduces arousal level after visual display terminal

- work without any influences of task performance in low-dose condition. Biomed Res
- 32:151-157
- Meyer G, Clare R, Weber E (2005) An experimental test of the evolution of increased
- competitive ability hypothesis in goldenrod, *Solidago gigantea*. Oecologia 144:299-307
- Nagy DU, Stranczinger Sz, Godi A, Weisz A, Rosche C, Suda J, Mariano M, Pal RW (2017)
- Does higher ploidy level increase the risk of invasion? A case study with two geo-cytotypes of *Solidago gigantea* Aiton (*Asteraceae*). J Plant Ecol 11:317–327
- NIST 17 (2017) Mass Spectral Library (NIST/EPA/NIH). Gaithersburg, USA: National Institute
- of Standards and Technology.
- Pal RW, Chen S, Nagy DU, Callaway RM (2015) Impacts of *Solidago gigantea* on other species at home and away. Biol Invasions 17:3317-3325
- Palmer-Young EC, Calhoun AC, Mirzayeva A, Sadd B (2018) Effects of the floral
- phytochemical eugenol on parasite evolution and bumble bee infection and preference. Sci Reports 8:2074
- Pavela R, Sajfrtová M, Sovova H, Bárnet M (2008) The insecticidal activity of *Satureja hortensis*
- L. extracts obtained by supercritical fluid extraction and traditional extraction techniques. Appl Entomol Zool 43:377–382
- Pavela R (2014) Acute, synergistic and antagonistic effects of some aromatic compounds on the

Spodoptera littoralis Boisd. (Lep., Noctuidae). Ind Crops Prod 60:247–258

- Pavela R (2015a) Essential oils for the development of eco-friendly mosquito larvicides: a
- review. Ind Crops Prod 76:174-187
- Pavela R (2015b) Acute toxicity and synergistic and antagonistic effects of the aromatic
- compounds of some essential oils against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say larvae. Parasitol Res 114:3835–3853
- Pavela R (2016) History, presence and perspective of using plant extracts as commercial
- botanical insecticides and farm products for protection against insects–a review. Plant
- Prot Sci 52:229–241

Pavela R (2018) Essential oils from *Foeniculum vulgare* Miller as a safe environmental

- insecticide against the aphid *Myzus persicae* Sulzer. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:10909- 10910
- Pavela R, Benelli G (2016a) Ethnobotanical knowledge on botanical repellents employed in the African region against mosquito vectors - a review. Exp Parasitol 167:103–108
- Pavela R, Benelli G (2016b) Essential oils as eco-friendly biopesticides? Challenges and constraints. Trends Plant Sci 21:1000–1007
- Pavela R, Govindarajan M (2017) The essential oil from *Zanthoxylum monophyllum* a potential mosquito larvicide with low toxicity to the non-target fish *Gambusia affinis*. J Pest Sci 701 90:369-378
- Pavela R, Sedlák P (2018) Post-application temperature as a factor influencing the insecticidal activity of essential oil from *Thymus vulgaris*. Ind Crops Prod 113:46-49
- Pavela R, Maggi F, Lupidi G, Cianfaglione K, Dauvergne X, Bruno M, Benelli G (2017)
- Efficacy of sea fennel (*Crithmum maritimum* L., Apiaceae) essential oils against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say and *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.). Ind Crops Prod 109:603-610
- Pavela R, Maggi F, Lupidi G, Mbuntcha H, Woguem V, Womeni HM, Barboni L, Tapondjou
- LA, Benelli G (2018) *Clausena anisata* and *Dysphania ambrosioides* essential oils: from
- ethno-medicine to modern uses as effective insecticides. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:10493-
- 10503
- Pilson D, Rausher MD (1995) Clumped distribution patterns in goldenrod aphids: genetic and
- ecological mechanisms. Ecol Entomol 20:75-83
- OECD (1984) Guideline for testing of chemicals no. 207. Earthworm, acute toxicity tests,
- OECD—guideline for testing chemicals. Paris, France

germacrene D enantiomers in the moth *Helicoverpa armigera*. Chem Senses 27:143-152

- Werner PA, Gross RS, Bradbury IK (1980) The biology of Canadian weeds: 45. *Solidago*
- *canadensis* L. Can J Plant Sci 60:1393-1409.
- Weyerstahl P, Marschall H, Christiansen C, Kalemba D, Góra J (1993) Constituents of the essential oil of *Solidago canadensis* ("Goldenrod") from Poland-A Correction. Planta Med 59:281-282
- Zihare L, Blumberga D (2017) Insight into bioeconomy. *Solidago canadensis* as a valid resource.
- Brief review. Energy Procedia 128:275-280

Figure 1. *Solidago gigantea* (a) and *S. canadensis* (b) in the collection site (Szentlőrinc, Hungary).

T i m e - -

1
0
0

Figure 2. and TIC-GC/MS chromatograms of the essential oriential oriential oriential contracted from \sim *Solidago gigantea* $(a,c,e,$ respectively) *Solidago canadensis* (b,d,f, respectively). Numbers of main peaks refer to those reported in Table 1.

Figure 3. Marker volatile compounds in the essential oils extracted from different plant parts of *Solidago gigantea* and *Solidago canadensis*

Figure 4. A relationship between *Spodoptera littoralis* larval mortality and the oxygenated sesquiterpene content characterizing the five tested *Solidago* essential oils (all at 150 μg larva⁻¹) was observed. A significant linear relationship was noted (P=0.001). The same was not observed analysing *C. quinquefasciatus* data.

Table 1. Chemical composition of the essential oils obtained from leaves, inflorescences and roots of *Solidago gigantea* and *Solidago canadensis*

homologous series of C_8 - C_{30} alkanes. ⁶ Linear retention index taken from Adams (2007) and NIST 17 (2017). ⁴ Relative percentage values are means of three determinations \pm SD. ^e Identification melods: a, ba homologous series of C8-C30 alkanes. ^c Linear retention index taken from Adams (2007) and NIST 17 (2017). ^d Relative percentage values are means of three determinations \pm SD. Identification methods: a, based on comparison with authentic compounds; b, based on comparison with WILEY, ADAMS, FFNSC2 and NIST 17 MS databases; c, based on comparison of calculated RI with those reported in ADAMS, FFNSC 2 and NIST 17. f tr, % below 0.1%. g RI and MS taken from Kalemba et al. (2001)

 $\overline{}$

Table 2. Acute toxicity of the essential oils from various plant parts of *Solidago canadensis* and *Solidago gigantea* on *Culex quinquefasciatus* ي
¤

instar larvae.

instar larvae.

 $ND = not determined.$ $ND =$ not determined.

ns = not significant $ns = not significant (P>0.05).$

Table 3. Acute toxicity of the essential oils from various plant parts of *Solidago canadensis* and *Solidago gigantea* on *Musca domestica* adult

females.

 $ND = not determined.$ $ND =$ not determined.

ns = not significant $ns = not significant (P>0.05).$

instar larvae. instar larvae. **Table 4.** Acute toxicity of the essential oils from various plant parts of *Solidago canadensis* and *Solidago gigantea* on *Spodoptera littoralis* ي
¤

 $ND = not determined.$ $ND =$ not determined.

ns = not significant $ns = not significant (P>0.05).$

Table 5. Toxicity of the essential oils extracted from *Solidago canadensis* and *Solidago gigantea* leaves, and *α*-cypermethrin on *Eisenia fetida* earthworms. Herein, the *S. canadensis* leaf essential oil was the only tested product effective against the three selected tested pests, therefore it was selected for non-target tests, along with the leaf essential oil from *S. gigantea*

* *E. fetida* mortality (±SD) achieved on the $7th$ and 14^{th} day post-application of *Solidago canadensis* and *Solidago gigantea* essential oils*.* Numbers within a column follower by the same letter do not differ significantly according to ANOVA, Tukey's HSD test at P<0.05.