

Rehabilitation Meets the Metaverse: Human-Centered Design Applied to TERSICORE XRM

Ilaria Vergine
PsiCom - Research Center in
Communication Psychology,
Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore
Milan, Italy
ilaria.vergine@unicatt.it

Marta Pizzolante
PsiCom - Research Center in
Communication Psychology,
Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore
Milan, Italy
marta.pizzolante@unicatt.it

Sara Isernia
IRCCS Fondazione Don Carlo
Gnocchi ONLUS
Milan, Italy
sisernia@dongnocchi.it

Edoardo Palumbo
IRCCS Fondazione Don Carlo
Gnocchi ONLUS
Milan, Italy
epalumbo@dongnocchi.it

Irene Aprile
IRCCS Fondazione Don Carlo
Gnocchi ONLUS Florence
Rome, Italy
iaprile@dongnocchi.it

Antonio Cerasa
Institute of BioImaging and Complex
Biological Systems (IBSBC-CNR)
Catanzaro, Italy
S. Anna Institute
Crotone, Italy
Department of Biomedical Science,
CNR
Rome, Italy
antonio.cerasa@cnr.it

Francesca Baglio
IRCCS Fondazione Don Carlo
Gnocchi ONLUS
Milan, Italy
fbaglio@dongnocchi.it

Carlo Galimberti
PsiCom - Research Center in
Communication Psychology,
Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore
Milan, Italy
carlo.galimberti@unicatt.it

Andrea Gaggioli
PsiCom - Research Center in
Communication Psychology,
Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore
Milan, Italy
andrea.gaggioli@unicatt.it

Abstract

This contribution proposes a participatory human-centered design methodology related to *TERSICORE XRM* project conceived to guide the creation of a “MetaRehabVerse”, a metaverse-based telerehabilitation system targeting cognitive and sensorimotor recovery. By combining virtual environments with the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health to enhance patient management, the system includes five modules accessible through immersive and non-immersive modalities. Three qualitative research phases engage stakeholders: focus groups with clinicians (Phase 1) and patients (Phase 2) and individual interviews with virtual rehabilitation experts (Phase 3). Data analysis is based on applied thematic analysis to ensure the identification of valuable requirements for system usability, adaptability, and sustainability within healthcare contexts in the early development phases. Findings will inform the development of the *TERSICORE XRM* system and its modules, with the potential for replication in future projects and for evaluating the actual product of the project.

CCS Concepts

• **Virtual reality**; • **Mixed / augmented reality**; • **User centered design**; • **Participatory design**;

Keywords

Telerehabilitation, Extended reality, Metaverse, Participatory design

ACM Reference Format:

Ilaria Vergine, Marta Pizzolante, Sara Isernia, Edoardo Palumbo, Irene Aprile, Antonio Cerasa, Francesca Baglio, Carlo Galimberti, and Andrea Gaggioli. 2025. Rehabilitation Meets the Metaverse: Human-Centered Design Applied to TERSICORE XRM. In *CHIItaly 2025: 16th Biannual Conference of the Italian SIGCHI Chapter (CHIItaly 2025)*, October 06–10, 2025, Salerno, Italy. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3750069.3755965>

1 Introduction

The metaverse (with augmented reality and virtual reality technologies) [18] is a medium that combines physical and digital experiences [13], various types of 3D technology, real-time collaboration software, and blockchain-based tools [14]. Given the possibilities that the metaverse offers, the funded project *TERSICORE XRM* wants to exploit the metaverse potential in the field of rehabilitation for Parkinson’s Disease, mild Dementia, Stroke, Multiple Sclerosis, Traumatic Brain Injury, thus creating a MetaRehabVerse (i.e. integration of the domains of International Classification of



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. *CHIItaly 2025, Salerno, Italy*

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-2102-1/2025/10

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3750069.3755965>

Table 1: Overview of the three participatory design phases of the *TERSICORE XRM* development process

Phase	Participants	Objectives	Data production method	Stimuli/tools	Duration	Modality	Data Analysis
Phase 1	Clinicians (not involved in the project)	Evaluate feasibility and collect insights on concept and modules	Semi-structured focus groups	- System dashboard - Clinician's journey - Module storyboards - Immersive test (HMD ^a + collaborative app)	~2 hours	In-person	Applied thematic analysis [11] ^d
Phase 2	Neurological patients (in treatment)	Explore acceptability, usability, and tolerance of XR ^b system	Semi-structured focus groups	- Immersive test (HMD) - Non-immersive test (tablet) - Alternating modalities	~1 hour	In-person	Applied thematic analysis
Phase 3	Academic experts in virtual rehabilitation	Assess conceptual soundness and collect critical feedback	Semi-structured and individual interviews	- Slide-supported presentation of concept and modules	~30 minutes	Online (MS ^c Teams)	Applied thematic analysis

^a HMD = Head-mounted display.

^b XR = extended reality.

^c MS Teams = Microsoft Teams (videoconferencing platform).

^d A qualitative analysis method used to identify and interpret patterns of meaning in qualitative data.

Functioning, Disability, and Health with those of the metaverse) [13] in line with healthcare financing policies in Italy [7–9].

TERSICORE XRM is conceptualized as a multi-user rehabilitation system divided into five modules that will be used in clinical hospital and home-based setting, delivered in the metaverse via immersive (head-mounted displays, taking into account tolerability [10, 17], cognitive fatigue, and cybersickness [12, 16]), and non-immersive (tablets) modalities. The *TERSICORE XRM* system will be integrated with artificial intelligence algorithm to favor the adequate patients' match.

According to Benyon [4], a positive user experience (i.e., cognitive, affective, and conative aspects regarding an activity) requires that the use of a system is accessible, engaging, and exciting. In this way a system can be produced with an effective stakeholder-centered perspective. *TERSICORE XRM* embraces Benyon's thesis. Consequently, this contribution describes the participatory design approach, involving stakeholders (i.e., clinicians, patients, and academic experts), adopted for the development phases of the modules of *TERSICORE XRM*.

2 Methodology

The research question revolves around evaluating the *TERSICORE XRM* concept by collecting insights from stakeholders to guide the further development phases in terms of feasibility, acceptability and clinical requirements on the four aspects included in Benyon's [4] framework (i.e., people, activities, contexts, technologies).

Table 1 will describe, in brief, the strategic and tactical aspects [5] of research design of the participatory design (three parallel [15] and qualitative phases) adopted for the development phases of

TERSICORE XRM system. Further details on the three phases are included in the subsections and in Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4.

2.1 Phase 1

Path 1 will present the metaverse test and, after, will present the storyboards. Path 2 will follow the opposite order. Structuring two paths will prevent the influence of effects in evaluating the stimuli due to the order in which the stimuli will be presented. In Path 1, the risk is that a participant will pay no adequate attention to the storyboard because of cybersickness caused by the metaverse test. Each focus group will follow Path 1 or Path 2.

2.1.1 Population and sample. Inclusion criteria: being a clinician working in the field of neurological rehabilitation of clinical conditions.

Sample: 10 clinicians.

2.2 Phase 2

The stimuli will help answer the questions related to the criticalities and strengths. They will also be used to map further aspects (i.e., time spent being immersed in the metaverse, tolerance in alternating immersive and non-immersive modalities, and reactions to collaboration inside the metaverse).

2.2.1 Population and sample. Inclusion criteria: age > 18; diagnosis of a neurological condition such as Parkinson's Disease, mild Dementia, Stroke, Multiple Sclerosis, Traumatic Brain Injury.

Sample: 10 patients.

Table 2: Clinicians' focus group structure

Path 1	Path 2
Introduction Staff's presentations and participants' presentations Stimulus. Presentation of the system dashboard and clinician's journey Investigating system dashboard and clinician's journey in terms of: - Criticalities - Strengths Stimulus. Metaverse test: clinician tries immersive modality with a head-mounted display by using a collaborative application with other participants (*) (**) Investigating using metaverse and collaborative exergames included in the five modules in terms of: - Criticalities - Strengths Investigating home-based rehabilitation as described by the storyboard in terms of: - Criticalities - Strengths Further Participants' comments Conclusions	Stimulus. Presentation of storyboards of the modules and home-based rehabilitation (**) (*)

Table 3: Patients' focus group structure

Path
Introduction Staff's presentations and participants' presentations Stimuli. Metaverse test: - Patient tries immersive modality with a head-mounted display by using a collaborative application with other participants - Patient uses non-immersive modality with a tablet by using a collaborative application with other participants - Patient uses alternatively immersive and non-immersive modalities by using the previous two collaborative applications with other participants Investigating criticalities using immersive modality in terms of: - With head-mounted display - With collaborative exergames (having more than one patient connected) Investigating strengths using immersive modality in terms of: - With head-mounted display - With collaborative exergames (having more than one patient connected) Further Participants' comments Conclusions

Table 4: Experts' interview structure

Path
Introduction Stimulus. Presentation of <i>TERSICORE XRM</i> concept and modules in brief (supported by slides) Investigating strengths of contents included in the presentation of the <i>TERSICORE XRM</i> concept and modules Investigating criticalities of contents included in the presentation of the <i>TERSICORE XRM</i> concept and modules Further Participant' comments Conclusions

2.3 Phase 3

2.3.1 Population and sample. Inclusion criteria: being an expert in virtual rehabilitation (at least three indexed peer-reviewed articles).

Sample: 10 experts.

3 Conclusions

The three phases are an example of data triangulation [1] during a participatory development phase in a funded project.

Challenges regarding this data triangulation are related to organizational aspects (e.g., selection of effective stimuli and recruitment) and conduction of the data production (e.g., technological substrate and cybersickness). However, the participatory design of the development phase of *TERSICORE XRM* is essential to work in the sense of usability engineering [2] and user-centered design [3] that could guarantee, in addition, social usability (i.e., usability that can be taken into account the organizational aspects linked to the product that is at the center of design [6]) of the project modules.

In terms of future implications, the presented participatory design could be replicated and stimuli can be replaced by the modules realized by the technical partner after the development phase, and it could be an example for similar and future projects.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by Ministry of Enterprises and Made in Italy, Fondo per la Crescita Sostenibile—Accordi per l'innovazione di cui al D.M. 31 Dicembre 2021 e D.D. 14 Novembre 2022 Progetto Cod. F/350201/01–04/X60 “TERSICORE XRM—Tele-Rehabilitation Solutions with Innovative Cores of Extended Reality based on Metaverse”.

References

- [1] American Psychological Association. Data triangulation. *APA dictionary of psychology*. Retrieved May 12, 2025 from <https://dictionary.apa.org/triangulation>
- [2] American Psychological Association. Usability engineering. *APA dictionary of psychology*. Retrieved May 12, 2025 from <https://dictionary.apa.org/usability-engineering>
- [3] American Psychological Association. User-centered design. *APA dictionary of psychology*. Retrieved May 12, 2025 from <https://dictionary.apa.org/user-centered-design>
- [4] David Benyon. 2019. *Designing User Experience: A Guide to HCI, UX and Interaction Design*. Pearson Education Limited.
- [5] Albino Claudio Bosio and Guendalina Graffigna. 2012. ‘Issue-based research’ and ‘process methodology’: reflections on a postgraduate master’s programme in qualitative methods. *Psychol. Learn. Teach.* 11, 1 (March 2012), 52–59. <https://doi.org/10.2304/plat.2012.11.1.52>
- [6] Eleonora Brivio, F Cilento Ibarra, and Carlo Galimberti. 2010. An integrated approach to interactions in cyberplaces: the presentation of self in blogs. In *Handbook of research on discourse behavior and digital communication: Language structures and social interaction*, R Taiwo (ed.). IGI Global, Hershey, PA, 810–829. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.4018/978-1-61520-773-2.ch052>
- [7] Rocco Salvatore Calabrò, Antonio Cerasa, Irene Ciancarelli, Loris Pignolo, Paolo Tonin, Marco Iosa, and Giovanni Morone. 2022. The Arrival of the Metaverse in Neurorehabilitation: Fact, Fake or Vision? *Biomedicine* 10, 10 (October 2022), 2602. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicine10102602>
- [8] Antonio Cerasa, Andrea Gaggioli, Flavia Marino, Giuseppe Riva, and Giovanni Pioggia. 2022. The promise of the metaverse in mental health: the new era of MEDverse. *Heliyon* 8, 11 (November 2022), e11762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11762>
- [9] Antonio Cerasa, Andrea Gaggioli, Giovanni Pioggia, and Giuseppe Riva. 2024. Metaverse in Mental Health: The Beginning of a Long History. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 26, 6 (June 2024), 294–303. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-024-01501-8>
- [10] Pietro Cipresso, Irene Alice Chicchi Giglioli, Mariano Alcañiz Raya, and Giuseppe Riva. 2018. The Past, Present, and Future of Virtual and Augmented Reality Research: A Network and Cluster Analysis of the Literature. *Front. Psychol.* 9, (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02086>
- [11] Greg GUEST, K M MacQueen, and E E Namey. 2011. *Applied thematic analysis*. SAGE Publications.
- [12] T. Hunter, J. Montoya, R. Bakzadeh, H. Khaniani, P. Roghanchi, and M. Hassanian. 2024. Analyzing the Physiological Effects of Cybersickness Onset by Virtual Reality Headsets. In *AIAA Aviat. Forum ASCEND*, 2024. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics Inc, AIAA. <https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2024-4216>
- [13] Giovanni Morone, Irene Ciancarelli, Rocco Salvatore Calabrò, Antonio Cerasa, Marco Iosa, and Francesca Gimigliano. 2025. MetaRehabVerse: The Great Opportunity to Put the Person’s Functioning and Participation at the Center of Healthcare. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* (January 2025), 15459683241309587. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15459683241309587>
- [14] Barbara Pasa, Alessandro Bernes, Andrea Gaggioli, Emanuele Tuccari, Fabiana Zollo, Giorgia Vulpiani, Loris Pignolo, Antonio Scala, and Antonio Cerasa. 2025. LawVerse: The Legal Framework for Clinical Metaverse Content. *Journal of Medical Extended Reality* 2, 1 (January 2025), 13–19. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jmxr.2024.0028>
- [15] Lyn Richards and Janine M Morse. 2009. *Fare ricerca qualitativa: Prima guida [Doing qualitative research: First guide]*. Franco Angeli. (Original work published 2007), Milano, IT.
- [16] L. Simón-Vicente, S. Rodríguez-Cano, V. Delgado-Benito, V. Ausín-Villaverde, and E. Cubo Delgado. 2024. Cybersickness. A systematic literature review of adverse effects related to virtual reality. *Neurologia (English Edition)* 39, 8 (October 2024), 701–709. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nrleng.2022.04.007>
- [17] Brenda K. Wiederhold. 2020. Connecting Through Technology During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic: Avoiding “Zoom Fatigue.” *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 23, 7 (July 2020), 437–438. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2020.29188.bkw>
- [18] Mark Zuckerberg. 2021. The Metaverse and How We’ll Build It Together – Connect 2021. YouTube. Retrieved January 4, 2023 from [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=\\$Uvufun6xer8](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=$Uvufun6xer8)