Glimm's method and density of wild data for the Euler system of gas dynamics

Elisabetta Chiodaroli Eduard Feireisl [∗]

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Via F. Buonarroti 1/c, 56127, Pisa, Italy

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic $Zitn\acute{a} 25$, CZ-115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic

Abstract

We adapt Glimm's approximation method to the framework of convex integration to show density of wild data for the (complete) Euler system of gas dynamics. The desired infinite family of entropy admissible solutions emanating from the same initial data is obtained via convex integration of suitable Riemann problems pasted with local smooth solutions. In addition, the wild data belong to BV class.

Keywords: Euler system of gas dynamics, wild data, Riemann problem, convex integration

1 Introduction

The celebrated Glimm method uses solutions of the Riemann problem as building blocks to construct weak solutions to non–linear conservation laws. More recently, the Riemann type initial data gave rise to several examples of ill–posedness of problems in fluid dynamics via the method of convex integration, see [\[6\]](#page-10-0), [\[8\]](#page-10-1), Markfelder and Klingenberg [\[13\]](#page-10-2), or, more recently, Al Baba et al $[1]$, Klingenberg et al. $[12]$ to name only a few. The concept of *wild data* emerged to identify the initial state of a system that leads to multiple solutions that are still physically admissible. Székelyhidi and Wiedemann [\[15\]](#page-10-4) showed that the wild data generating infinitely many energy dissipating solutions of the *incompressible* Euler system are dense in the L^2 -topology. A comparable

[∗]The work of E.F. was partially supported by the Czech Sciences Foundation (GACR), Grant Agreement ˇ 21–02411S. The Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic is supported by RVO:67985840.

result for the isentropic Euler system was obtained by Chen, Vasseur, and Yu [\[5\]](#page-10-5). The admissibility criterion used in [\[5\]](#page-10-5) based on the stipulation that the energy of the system never exceeds the initial energy may be rough and still compatible with non–physical (increasing) energy profiles. The problem of density of wild data for the isentropic Euler system was revisited in [\[7\]](#page-10-6) in the class of physically admissible entropy solutions satisfying the energy inequality in the differential form on a possibly short time interval. Pursuing a similar strategy, we address the same problem in the context of the (complete) Euler system of gas dynamics.

1.1 Euler system

The Euler system of gas dynamics describes the evolution of the density $\rho = \rho(t, x)$, the temperature $\vartheta = \vartheta(t, x)$ and the velocity $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(t, x)$ by a system of field equations

$$
\partial_t \varrho + \text{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \tag{1.1}
$$

$$
\partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \text{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p(\varrho, \vartheta) = 0, \qquad (1.2)
$$

$$
\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho |u|^2 + \varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta) \right) + \text{div}_x \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho |u|^2 + \varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta) + p(\varrho, \vartheta) \right) u \right] = 0 \tag{1.3}
$$

that express the physical principles of conservation of mass, linear momentum, and energy. For definiteness, the pressure $p = p(\rho, \vartheta)$ and the internal energy $e = e(\rho, \vartheta)$ are chosen to obey the standard Boyle–Mariotte law,

$$
p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \varrho \vartheta, \ e(\varrho, \vartheta) = c_v \vartheta, \ c_v > 1.
$$
\n
$$
(1.4)
$$

For technical reasons, we consider the space periodic boundary conditions identifying the physical fluid domain with the flat torus

$$
\Omega = \mathbb{T}^2 = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \middle| x_1 \in [0, 1] |_{\{0, 1\}}, x_2 \in [0, 1] |_{\{0, 1\}} \right\}.
$$

Possible generalizations are discussed in the concluding Section [5.](#page-8-0) The problem is completed by prescribing the initial conditions

$$
\varrho(0,\cdot) = \varrho_0, \quad \vartheta(0,\cdot) = \vartheta_0, \quad \mathbf{u}(0,\cdot) = \mathbf{u}_0. \tag{1.5}
$$

As is well known, see e.g. the monograph by Dafermos [\[9\]](#page-10-7), solutions of the Euler system may develop singularities in the form of shock waves even if the initial data are smooth. Weak (distributional) solutions have been introduced to capture the behaviour of discontinuities along with several *admissibility criteria* to restore uniqueness in this larger class. In particular, the physically admissible solutions to the Euler system are required to satisfy the entropy inequality

$$
\partial_t(\varrho s(\varrho,\vartheta)) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho s(\varrho,\vartheta)\mathbf{u}) \ge 0, \text{ where } s(\varrho,\vartheta) = c_v \log \vartheta - \log \varrho, \tag{1.6}
$$

in the sense of distributions.

1.2 "Wild" solutions/data?

In the last decade, the method of convex integration revealed a number of rather unexpected facts concerning well/ill posedness of problems in fluid mechanics in the framework of weak solutions, see e.g. Buckmaster et al. [\[3\]](#page-10-8), [\[4\]](#page-10-9) and the references cited therein. In particular, the complete Euler system (1.1) – (1.3) , with the initial data (1.5) is ill–posed in the class of admissible weak solutions satisfying, in addition, the entropy inequality [\(1.6\)](#page-1-3), see [\[10\]](#page-10-10) for the periodic or impermeable bounded domain, and [\[1\]](#page-9-0), [\[12\]](#page-10-3) for the Riemann problem. In particular, the Euler system admits wild data - the initial conditions that give rise to infinitely many physically admissible solutions.

Nonetheless, the fact that the energy *equality* (1.3) is included in the system may give some hope that wild data may be somehow "exceptional" for the complete Euler system, see [\[11\]](#page-10-11). In this paper, we show that the wild data for the Euler system with periodic boundary conditions are dense in the L^p -topology. In addition, we show certain regularity of the emerging solutions as well as density of the set on which non–uniqueness holds. In particular,

- the wild data we construct are piecewise smooth, in particular in BV class;
- the corresponding solutions are differentiable with the exception of a set of small measure;
- nonuniqueness occurs in an "almost" dense subset of the physical domain.

Our approach is based on a simple idea motivated by Glimm's approximation technique. First, we divide the physical space into a finite number of components, where we solve a Riemann type problem and make use of the ill–posedness results established in [\[1\]](#page-9-0), [\[12\]](#page-10-3). On the complementary part of the physical space, we consider the local in time strong solution. Thanks to the principle of finite speed of propagation, we paste the solution pieces together obtaining the desired result.

2 Solvability of the Euler system

We review some known facts concerning solvability of the Euler system in the class of both weak and strong solutions.

2.1 Admissible (entropy) solutions

Definition 2.1 (Admissible weak solution). A triple $(\rho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u})$ is called *admissible weak solution* of the Euler system in $[0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2$ emanating from the initial data $(\varrho_0, \vartheta_0, \mathbf{u}_0)$ if the following holds:

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\varrho \partial_t \varphi + \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \right) dx dt = - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varrho_0 \varphi(0, \cdot) dx \qquad (2.1)
$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^1([0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2);$

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho, \vartheta) \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right) dx dt = - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varrho_0 \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}(0, \cdot) dx \qquad (2.2)
$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^1([0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2; R^2);$

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta) \right) \partial_t \varphi + \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta) + p(\varrho, \vartheta) \right) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \right) dxdt
$$

=
$$
- \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho_0 |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 + \varrho_0 e(\varrho_0, \vartheta_0) \right) \varphi(0, \cdot) dxdt
$$
(2.3)

for any $\varphi \in C_c^1([0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2)$; and

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta) \partial_t \varphi + \varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \right) dx dt \le - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varrho_0 s(\varrho_0, \vartheta_0) \varphi(0, \cdot) dx \tag{2.4}
$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^1([0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2)$, $\varphi \ge 0$.

Despite the large number of recent results concerning existence of (infinitely many) weak solutions to the Euler system, the existence of an admissible solution for arbitrary, say bounded, initial data is still an open problem.

2.2 Riemann problem on a strip

A special class of data that plays a crucial role in our analysis are the piece–wise constant so called Riemann data. Consider a spatial domain

$$
\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{1} = \left\{ (x_{1}, x_{2}) \middle| x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, x_{2} \in [0, 1] \middle|_{\{0, 1\}} \right\}
$$

an infinite 2-D strip. The Riemann data are determined by constant vectors

$$
(\varrho_{\ell}, \vartheta_{\ell}, \mathbf{u}_{\ell}), \ (\varrho_{r}, \vartheta_{r}, \mathbf{u}_{r}) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$
\n(2.5)

Definition 2.2 (Riemann solution). A triple $(\varrho_R, \vartheta_R, \mathbf{u}_R)$ is called Riemann solution of the Euler system in $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1$ emanating from the Riemann data data $(\varrho_\ell, \vartheta_\ell, \mathbf{u}_\ell), (\varrho_r, \vartheta_r, \mathbf{u}_r)$ if the following holds: There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$
(\varrho_R, \vartheta_R, \mathbf{u}_R)(t, x) = (\varrho_\ell, \vartheta_\ell, \mathbf{u}_\ell) \text{ if } x_1 < -\lambda t, \ (\varrho_R, \vartheta_R, \mathbf{u}_R)(t, x) = (\varrho_r, \vartheta_r, \mathbf{u}_r) \text{ if } x_1 > \lambda t; \tag{2.6}
$$

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1} \left(\varrho_R \partial_t \varphi + \varrho_R \mathbf{u}_R \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \right) dx dt = - \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1} \left(\mathbb{1}_{x_1 < 0} \varrho_\ell + \mathbb{1}_{x_1 > 0} \varrho_r \right) \varphi(0, \cdot) dx \tag{2.7}
$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^1([0, T) \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1));$

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1} \left(\varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho, \vartheta) \text{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right) dx dt
$$

=
$$
- \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1} \left(\mathbb{1}_{x_1 < 0} \varrho_\ell \mathbf{u}_\ell + \mathbb{1}_{x_1 > 0} \varrho_r \mathbf{u}_r \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}(0, \cdot) dx
$$
 (2.8)

for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^1([0, T) \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1); \mathbb{R}^2);$

$$
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta) \right) \partial_t \varphi + \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta) + p(\varrho, \vartheta) \right) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \right) dxdt
$$

=
$$
- \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1} \left[\mathbb{1}_{x_1 < 0} \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho_\ell |\mathbf{u}_\ell|^2 + \varrho_\ell e(\varrho_\ell, \vartheta_\ell) \right) + \mathbb{1}_{x_1 > 0} \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho_r |\mathbf{u}_r|^2 + \varrho_r e(\varrho_r, \vartheta_r) \right) \right] \varphi(0, \cdot) dxdt
$$
(2.9)

for any $\varphi \in C_c^1([0, T) \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1));$

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{1}} \left(\varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta) \partial_{t} \varphi + \varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{x} \varphi \right) dx dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{1}} \left(\mathbb{1}_{x_{1} < 0} \varrho_{\ell} s(\varrho_{\ell}, \vartheta_{\ell}) + \mathbb{1}_{x_{1} > 0} \varrho_{r} s(\varrho_{r}, \vartheta_{r}) \right) \varphi(0, \cdot) dx \tag{2.10}
$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^1([0, T) \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1)), \varphi \ge 0.$

The following result was proved by Klingenberg et al [\[12,](#page-10-3) Theorem 1.1], see also Al Baba et al. [\[1\]](#page-9-0).

Proposition 2.3. (Ill posedness for the Riemann problem).

There exist Riemann data $(\varrho_\ell, \vartheta_\ell, \mathbf{u}_\ell)$, $(\varrho_r, \vartheta_r, \mathbf{u}_r)$ such that the Riemann problem for the Euler system admits infinitely many solutions $(\varrho_R, \vartheta_R, \mathbf{u}_R)$ in $[0, T) \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1)$, $T > 0$ arbitrary. Moreover, all solutions satisfy (2.6) with the same constant λ , and there are constants

$$
0 < \underline{\vartheta} \le \overline{\vartheta}, \ 0 < \varrho \le \overline{\varrho}, \ \overline{\mathbf{u}} > 0
$$

such that

$$
\underline{\vartheta} \le \vartheta_R \le \overline{\vartheta}, \ \underline{\varrho} \le \varrho_R \le \overline{\varrho}, \ |\mathbf{u}_R| \le \overline{\mathbf{u}} \tag{2.11}
$$

a.e. in $(0, T) \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1)$.

Remark 2.4. As a matter of fact, the result in [\[12\]](#page-10-3) is stated in the spatial domain \mathbb{R}^2 . However, exactly the same arguments yield the desired result on the strip $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^1$. To see this, it is enough to observe that:

- the subsolutions constructed in [\[12\]](#page-10-3) are piecewise constant and independent of x_2 , in particular periodic in x_2 ;
- the oscillatory lemma (Lemma 2.6 in [\[12\]](#page-10-3)) holds on arbitrary domain.

2.3 Local existence of regular solutions

Finally, we recall the well–known results concerning the existence of local–in–time strong solutions emanating from regular initial data, see e.g. Benzoni-Gavage and Serre [\[2,](#page-10-12) Chapter 13, Theorem 13.1].

Proposition 2.5 (Local existence for regular data). Let the initial data

$$
\varrho_0 \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T}^2), \inf_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varrho_0 > 0, \ \vartheta_0 \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T}^2), \inf_{\mathbb{T}^2} \vartheta_0 > 0, \ \mathbf{u}_0 \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T}^2;\mathbb{R}^2), \ k > 2,
$$

be given.

Then there exists $T_{\text{max}} > 0$ depending only on the norm of the data in the aforementioned spaces such that the Euler system admits a classical (continuously differentiable) solution $(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u})$ in $[0, T_{\text{max}}) \times \mathbb{T}^2$, unique in the class

$$
\varrho, \vartheta \in C([0, T]; W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T}^2)), \mathbf{u} \in C([0, T]; W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2))
$$

for any $0 < T < T_{\text{max}}$.

3 Main result

Definition 3.1 (Wild data). The initial data $(\varrho_0, \vartheta_0, \mathbf{u}_0)$ are called *wild* if there exists a time $T > 0$ such that the Euler system admits infinitely many admissible weak solutions emanating from $(\varrho_0, \vartheta_0, \mathbf{u}_0)$ in $[0, \tau] \times \mathbb{T}^2$ for any $0 < \tau < T$.

Theorem 3.2 (Density of wild data). Let

$$
\varrho_0 \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T}^2), \inf_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varrho_0 > 0, \ \vartheta_0 \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T}^2), \inf_{\mathbb{T}^2} \vartheta_0 > 0, \ \mathbf{u}_0 \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2), \ k > 2, \tag{3.1}
$$

and $1 \leq q < \infty$ be given.

•

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist initial data $(\varrho_{0,\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}, \mathbf{u}_{0,\varepsilon})$ enjoying the following properties:

- The data $(\varrho_{0,\varepsilon},\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon},\mathbf{u}_{0,\varepsilon})$ are piecewise smooth, specifically, there are finitely many points x_1^1,\ldots,x_1^N such that $(\varrho_{0,\varepsilon},\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon},\mathbf{u}_{0,\varepsilon})(x_1,x_2)$ are continuously differentiable whenever $x_1 \notin$ ${x_1^1, \ldots, x_1^N}$, $x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1$.
- There exists $T > 0$ such that the Euler system admits infinitely many admissible weak solutions $(\varrho^n, \vartheta^n, \mathbf{u}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^{\infty}([0,T) \times \mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^4)$ emanating from the initial data $(\varrho_{0,\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}, \mathbf{u}_{0,\varepsilon})$ such that

$$
(\varrho^n, \vartheta^n, \mathbf{u}^n)|_{[0,\tau)\times B_{\varepsilon}} \not\equiv (\varrho^m, \vartheta^m, \mathbf{u}^m)|_{[0,\tau)\times B_{\varepsilon}}, \forall \ m \neq n
$$
\n(3.2)

whenever $0 < \tau < T$ and $B_{\varepsilon} \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ is a ball of radius ε .

$$
\left\| \left(\varrho_{0,\varepsilon} - \varrho_0; \vartheta_{0,\varepsilon} - \vartheta_0; \mathbf{u}_{0,\varepsilon} - \mathbf{u}_0 \right) \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^4)} \le \varepsilon; \tag{3.3}
$$

$$
\inf_{[0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^2} \varrho^n > 0, \quad \inf_{[0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^2} \vartheta^n > 0,\tag{3.4}
$$

and there exists a compact set $S \subset \mathbb{T}^2$, $|S| < \varepsilon$ such that $(\varrho^n, \vartheta^n, \mathbf{u}^n)$ are continuously differentiable in $(0, T) \times (\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus S)$ for any $n \in N$.

Corollary 3.3. Wild data are dense in $L^q(\mathbb{T}^2; [0,\infty)^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ for any finite $q \geq 1$.

4 Proof of the main result

4.1 Glimm's partition

•

Fix $N = N(\varepsilon)$ distinct points $x_1^1 < \cdots < x_1^N$, $x_1^i \in \mathbb{T}^1$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$ such that

$$
|x_1^{i+1} - x_1^i| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, N,\tag{4.1}
$$

where we have identified $x_1^{N+1} = x_1^1$. Accordingly, for any (open) ball B_ε of radius ε , there exists m such that

$$
B_{\varepsilon} \cap \left\{ (x_1^m, x_2) \middle| \ x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1 \right\} \neq \emptyset. \tag{4.2}
$$

4.2 Initial data, approximation

Let $(\varrho_0, \vartheta_0, \mathbf{u}_0)$ be given as in [\(3.1\)](#page-5-0). For $\delta > 0$ small enough, we find $(\varrho_{0,\delta}, \vartheta_{0,\delta}, \mathbf{u}_{0,\delta})$ such that

$$
\varrho_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \varrho_0(x_1, x_2), \ \vartheta_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \vartheta_0(x_1, x_2), \ \mathbf{u}_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{u}_0(x_1, x_2) \tag{4.3}
$$

for any $x = (x_1, x_2)$ in the set

$$
x_1, |x_1 - x_1^i| > 3\delta
$$
 for all $i = 1, ..., N, x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1$, (4.4)

where the points x_1^i have been introduced in [\(4.1\)](#page-6-0).

Let $(\varrho_\ell, \vartheta_\ell, \mathbf{u}_\ell), (\varrho_r, \vartheta_r, \mathbf{u}_r)$, be the Riemann data from Proposition [2.3](#page-4-0) giving rise to infinitely many admissible weak solutions to the Euler system. We set

$$
\varrho_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \varrho_{\ell} \text{ if } x_1^i - 2\delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i - \delta, \ \varrho_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \varrho_r \text{ if } x_1^i + \delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i + 2\delta, \ x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1, \n\vartheta_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \vartheta_{\ell} \text{ if } x_1^i - 2\delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i - \delta, \ \vartheta_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \vartheta_r \text{ if } x_1^i + \delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i + 2\delta, \ x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1, \n\mathbf{u}_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{u}_{\ell} \text{ if } x_1^i - 2\delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i - \delta, \ \mathbf{u}_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{u}_r \text{ if } x_1^i + \delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i + 2\delta, \ x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1
$$
\n(4.5)

for $i=1,\ldots,N$.

Next, we consider $\delta > 0$ small so that $(\varrho_{0,\delta}, \vartheta_{0,\delta}, \mathbf{u}_{0,\delta})$ defined in [\(4.3\)](#page-6-1), [\(4.5\)](#page-6-2) may be extended to \mathbb{T}^2 as $(\widetilde{\varrho}_{0,\delta}, \widetilde{\vartheta}_{0,\delta}, \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{0,\delta}),$

$$
\widetilde{\varrho}_{0,\delta} \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T}^2), \inf_{\mathbb{T}^2} \widetilde{\vartheta}_{0,\delta} > 0, \ \widetilde{\vartheta}_{0,\delta} \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T}^2), \inf_{\mathbb{T}^2} \widetilde{\vartheta}_{0,\delta} > 0, \ \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{0,\delta} \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{T}^2; R^2), \ k > 2. \tag{4.6}
$$

Finally, we introduce the initial data $(\varrho_{0,\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}, \mathbf{u}_{0,\varepsilon})$ supplementing (4.3) – (4.5) by

$$
\varrho_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \varrho_{\ell} \text{ if } x_1^i - \delta \le x_1 < x_1^i, \ \varrho_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \varrho_r \text{ if } x_1^i \le x_1 \le x_1^i + \delta, \ x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1, \\
\vartheta_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \vartheta_{\ell} \text{ if } x_1^i - \delta \le x_1 < x_1^i, \ \vartheta_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \vartheta_r \text{ if } x_1^i \le x_1 \le x_1^i + \delta, \ x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1, \\
\mathbf{u}_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{u}_{\ell} \text{ if } x_1^i - \delta \le x_1 < x_1^i, \ \mathbf{u}_{0,\delta}(x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{u}_r \text{ if } x_1^i \le x_1 \le x_1^i + \delta, \ x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1 \tag{4.7}
$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, N$, and fixing $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ so that

$$
\left\| \left(\varrho_{0,\delta(\varepsilon)} - \varrho_0; \vartheta_{0,\delta(\varepsilon)} - \vartheta_0; \mathbf{u}_{0,\delta(\varepsilon)} - \mathbf{u}_0 \right) \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^2;R^4)} \leq \varepsilon.
$$
\n(4.8)

From this moment on, the parameter $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ is fixed. Obviously, the data $(\varrho_{0,\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}, \mathbf{u}_{0,\varepsilon}) =$ $(\varrho_{0,\delta(\varepsilon)},\vartheta_{0,\delta(\varepsilon)},\mathbf{u}_{0,\delta(\varepsilon)})$ are piecewise smooth and satisfy (3.3) in accordance with the conclusion of Theorem [3.2.](#page-5-2)

4.3 Pasting solutions

Given the smooth data $(\tilde{\varrho}_{0,\delta}, \tilde{\vartheta}_{0,\delta}, \tilde{u}_{0,\delta})$ as in [\(4.6\)](#page-6-3), the Euler system admits a (unique) local in time smooth solution $(\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\vartheta}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}})$ defined on a maximal time interval $T_{\text{max}} > 0$. As regular solutions of the Euler system exhibit finite speed of propagation and the initial data satisfy [\(4.5\)](#page-6-2), we deduce

$$
\tilde{\varrho}(t, x_1, x_2) = \varrho_{\ell} \text{ if } x_1^i - \frac{7}{4}\delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i - \frac{5}{4}\delta, \ \tilde{\varrho}(t, x_1, x_2) = \varrho_r \text{ if } x_1^i + \frac{5}{4}\delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i + \frac{7}{4}\delta, \ x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1, \n\tilde{\vartheta}(t, x_1, x_2) = \vartheta_{\ell} \text{ if } x_1^i - \frac{7}{4}\delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i - \frac{5}{4}\delta, \ \tilde{\vartheta}(t, x_1, x_2) = \vartheta_r \text{ if } x_1^i + \frac{5}{4}\delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i + \frac{7}{4}\delta, \ x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1, \n\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(t, x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{u}_{\ell} \text{ if } x_1^i - \frac{7}{4}\delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i - \frac{5}{4}\delta, \ \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(t, x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{u}_r \text{ if } x_1^i + \frac{5}{4}\delta \le x_1 \le x_1^i + \frac{7}{4}\delta, \ x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1
$$
\n(4.9)

for $i = 1, ..., N$ for all $0 < t \leq T_S$ whenever $T_S = T_S(\delta) > 0$ is small enough.

Next, consider the family of solutions $(\varrho_{i,R}^n, \vartheta_{i,R}^n, \mathbf{u}_{i,R}^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defined as

$$
(\varrho_{i,R}^n, \vartheta_{i,R}^n, \mathbf{u}_{i,R}^n)(t, x_1, x_2) = (\varrho_R^n, \vartheta_R^n, \mathbf{u}_R^n)(t, x_1 - x_1^i, x_2), i = 1, ..., N,
$$

where $(\varrho_R^n, \vartheta_R^n, \mathbf{u}_R^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the infinite family of distinct admissible solutions emanating from the Riemann data $(\varrho_\ell, \vartheta_\ell, \mathbf{u}_\ell), (\varrho_r, \vartheta_r, \mathbf{u}_r)$, the existence of which is guaranteed by Proposition [2.3.](#page-4-0) As they satisfy [\(2.6\)](#page-3-0) with the same constant λ , there exists a positive time $T_R = T_R(\delta)$ such that

$$
(\varrho_R^n, \vartheta_R^n, \mathbf{u}_R^n)(t, x_1, x_2) = (\varrho_\ell, \vartheta_\ell, \mathbf{u}_\ell) \text{ for } x_1 < -\delta, x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1
$$

$$
(\varrho_R^n, \vartheta_R^n, \mathbf{u}_R^n)(t, x_1, x_2) = (\varrho_r, \vartheta_r, \mathbf{u}_r) \text{ for } x_1 > \delta, x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1
$$
 (4.10)

for all $0 < t \leq T_R$.

Finally, we set $T = \min\{T_S, T_R\}$ and define a family of solutions emanating from the initial data $(\varrho_{0,\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}, \mathbf{u}_{0,\varepsilon})$ as follows

$$
(\varrho^{n}, \vartheta^{n}, \mathbf{u}^{n})(t, x_{1}, x_{2}) = (\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\vartheta}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}})(t, x_{1}, x_{2}) \text{ if } |x_{1} - x_{1}^{i}| \geq \frac{7}{4}\delta \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., N
$$

$$
(\varrho^{n}, \vartheta^{n}, \mathbf{u}^{n})(t, x_{1}, x_{2}) = (\varrho_{i,R}^{n}, \vartheta_{i,R}^{n}, \mathbf{u}_{i,R}^{n})(t, x_{1}, x_{2}) \text{ if } |x_{1} - x_{1}^{i}| \leq \frac{7}{4}\delta \text{ for some } i = 1, ..., N, (4.11)
$$

 $x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1$, $0 < t \leq T$. In view of [\(4.9\)](#page-7-0), [\(4.10\)](#page-7-1), the solutions $(\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\vartheta}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}})$ and $(\varrho_R^n, \vartheta_R^n, \mathbf{u}_R^n)$ coincide whenever

$$
\frac{5}{4}\delta \le |x_1 - x_1^i| \le \frac{7}{4}\delta
$$
 for some $i = 1, ..., N$

so [\(4.11\)](#page-8-1) yields a collection of admissible solutions satisfying [\(3.2\)](#page-5-3), [\(3.4\)](#page-6-4).

5 Concluding remarks

We have stated the main result in the spatial dimension $d = 2$. As noticed by the authors of [\[12\]](#page-10-3), the ill posedness of the Riemann problems can be easily extended to $d = 3$; whence the same applies to Theorem [3.2.](#page-5-2)

5.1 Entropy producing solutions

The method of proof of Theorem [3.2](#page-5-2) presented in Section [4](#page-6-5) can be slightly modified so to construct, starting from the initial data $(\varrho_{0,\varepsilon},\vartheta_{0,\varepsilon},\mathbf{u}_{0,\varepsilon})$, infinitely many admissible solutions $(\varrho^n,\vartheta^n,\mathbf{u}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which are moreover producing entropy. Indeed, we can proceed as follows:

- we first fix arbitrarily an i^* with $1 \leq i^* \leq N$ and correspondingly select the point $x_1^{i^*}$ i^* among the N distinct points in (4.1) ;
- we proceed with the approximation of the initial data exactly as in Section [4.2;](#page-6-6)
- we paste the solutions as performed in Section [4.3](#page-7-2) for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, $i \neq i^*$;
- for $i = i^*$, we define

$$
(\varrho_{i^*,R}, \vartheta_{i^*,R}, \mathbf{u}_{i^*,R})(t, x_1, x_2) = (\varrho_{RS}, \vartheta_{RS}, \mathbf{u}_{RS})(t, x_1 - x_1^{i^*}, x_2),
$$

where $(\rho_{RS}, \vartheta_{RS}, \mathbf{u}_{RS})$ is the standard self-similar Riemann solution emanating from the Riemann data $(\varrho_\ell, \vartheta_\ell, \mathbf{u}_\ell), (\varrho_r, \vartheta_r, \mathbf{u}_r)$ and we observe that such a self-similar solution will contain a shock due to the choice of the Riemann initial data in Proposition [2.3](#page-4-0) (see [\[12,](#page-10-3) Theorem 1.1]); we also notice that $(\varrho_{i^*,R}, \vartheta_{i^*,R}, \mathbf{u}_{i^*,R})(t, x_1, x_2)$ satisfy [\(4.10\)](#page-7-1);

• finally, we define the family of solutions

$$
(\varrho^{n}, \vartheta^{n}, \mathbf{u}^{n})(t, x_{1}, x_{2}) = (\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\vartheta}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}})(t, x_{1}, x_{2}) \text{ if } |x_{1} - x_{1}^{i}| \geq \frac{7}{4}\delta \text{ for all } i = 1, ..., N
$$

$$
(\varrho^{n}, \vartheta^{n}, \mathbf{u}^{n})(t, x_{1}, x_{2}) = (\varrho_{i,R}^{n}, \vartheta_{i,R}^{n}, \mathbf{u}_{i,R}^{n})(t, x_{1}, x_{2}) \text{ if } |x_{1} - x_{1}^{i}| \leq \frac{7}{4}\delta
$$

for some $i = 1, ..., N, i \neq i^{*}$,

$$
(\varrho^{n}, \vartheta^{n}, \mathbf{u}^{n})(t, x_{1}, x_{2}) = (\varrho_{i^{*}, R}, \vartheta_{i^{*}, R}, \mathbf{u}_{i^{*}, R})(t, x_{1}, x_{2}) \text{ if } |x_{1} - x_{1}^{i^{*}}| \leq \frac{7}{4}\delta
$$

 $x_2 \in \mathbb{T}^1$, $0 < t \leq T$, with T choosen as in Section [4,](#page-6-5) thus obtaining an infinite family of entropy producing admissible solutions emanating from the initial data $(\varrho_{0,\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{0,\varepsilon}, \mathbf{u}_{0,\varepsilon})$.

5.2 Problems on general domains

Our method can be used to show the density of wild data on a general domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, where the Euler system is supplemented with the impermeability boundary condition

$$
\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0. \tag{5.1}
$$

Indeed the construction is easy to adapt as soon as the domain contains a strip $(a, b) \times (c, d)$, specifically

$$
(a,b) \times (c,d) \subset \Omega, \ [a,b] \times \{c\}, \ [a,b] \times \{d\} \subset \partial\Omega. \tag{5.2}
$$

- The necessary local existence result was proved by Schochet [\[14\]](#page-10-13).
- To ensure the satisfaction of the compatibility conditions, we may consider the initial data [\(3.1\)](#page-5-0), with ϱ_0 , ϑ_0 constant and $\mathbf{u}_0 = 0$ in a small neighbourhood of $\partial\Omega$.
- The construction of the modified data on a single strip $(a, b) \times (c, d)$ can be carried out exactly as in Section [4.2](#page-6-6) yielding the data with vanishing second component $u_{0,2}$ in the strip $(a, b) \times (c, d)$, specifically satisfying the impermeability condition [\(5.1\)](#page-9-1) as well as the necessary compatibility conditions.

The above delineated construction yields the same conclusion as Theorem [3.2](#page-5-2) except the property [\(3.2\)](#page-5-3). In particular, Corollary [3.3](#page-6-7) remains valid. The entropy producing solutions claimed in Section [5.1](#page-8-2) can be obtained in the same way.

References

[1] H. Al Baba, C. Klingenberg, O. Kreml, V. Mácha, and S. Markfelder. Nonuniqueness of admissible weak solution to the Riemann problem for the full Euler system in two dimensions. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 52(2):1729–1760, 2020.

- [2] S. Benzoni-Gavage and D. Serre. Multidimensional hyperbolic partial differential equations, First order systems and applications. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.
- [3] T. Buckmaster, C. de Lellis, L. Székelyhidi, Jr., and V. Vicol. Onsager's conjecture for admissible weak solutions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, $72(2):229-274$, 2019.
- [4] T. Buckmaster and V. Vicol. Convex integration and phenomenologies in turbulence. EMS Surv. Math. Sci., 6(1):173–263, 2019.
- [5] R. M. Chen, A. F. Vasseur, and Ch. Yu. Global ill-posedness for a dense set of initial data to the isentropic system of gas dynamics. Adv. Math., 393:Paper No. 108057, 46, 2021.
- [6] E. Chiodaroli, C. De Lellis, and O. Kreml. Global ill-posedness of the isentropic system of gas dynamics. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 68(7):1157–1190, 2015.
- [7] E. Chiodaroli and E. Feireisl. On the density of "wild" initial data for the barotropic Euler system. arxiv preprint No. 2208.04810, 2022.
- [8] E. Chiodaroli and O. Kreml. On the energy dissipation rate of solutions to the compressible isentropic Euler system. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 214(3):1019–1049, 2014.
- [9] C. M. Dafermos. Hyperbolic conservation laws in continuum physics, volume 325 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fourth edition, 2016.
- [10] E. Feireisl, C. Klingenberg, O. Kreml, and S. Markfelder. On oscillatory solutions to the complete Euler system. J. Differential Equations, 269(2):1521–1543, 2020.
- [11] E. Feireisl, C. Klingenberg, and S. Markfelder. On the density of "wild" initial data for the compressible Euler system. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 59(5):Paper No. 152, 17, 2020.
- [12] C. Klingenberg, O. Kreml, V. Mácha, and S. Markfelder. Shocks make the Riemann problem for the full Euler system in multiple space dimensions ill-posed. Nonlinearity, 33(12):6517– 6540, 2020.
- [13] S. Markfelder and C. Klingenberg. The Riemann problem for the multidimensional isentropic system of gas dynamics is ill-posed if it contains a shock. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 227(3):967–994, 2018.
- [14] S. Schochet. The compressible Euler equations in a bounded domain: Existence of solutions and the incompressible limit. Commun. Math. Phys., 104:49–75, 1986.
- [15] L. Székelyhidi and E. Wiedemann. Young measures generated by ideal incompressible fluid flows. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 206:333–366, 2012.