

# Divergences in response to UV radiation between polar and non-polar eukaryotic microorganisms.

Journal:	Microbial Ecology		
Manuscript ID:	MECO-2011-0191		
Manuscript Type:	Short Communications		
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Apr-2011		
Complete List of Authors:	Di Giuseppe, Graziano; University of Pisa, Dipartimento di Biologia Cervia, Davide; University of Tuscia, Dipartimento di Scienze Ambientali Vallesi, Adriana; University of Camerino, Dipartimento di Scienze Ambientali e Naturali		
Key Words:	marine polar ciliates, Euplotes, UV-A and UV-B radiation, UV sensitivity		





e-mail: adriana.vallesi@unicam.it

Submission date: April 27, 2011

Abstract Ultraviolet radiation exerts detrimental effects on terrestrial and marine ecosystems, in particular in polar regions where elevated solar radiation is caused by stratospheric ozone reduction. We explored the sensitivity to ultraviolet radiation of two polar species of the ciliate *Euplotes*, *Euplotes focardii* and *Euplotes nobilii*, in comparison with their close phylogenetic relatives inhabiting mid-latitude and tropical waters. Results showed that the two polar species face UV radiation more efficiently than their non-polar relatives, however by adopting different mechanisms. While *E. focardii* survives for longer times to UV radiation, *E. nobilii* recovers faster from UV-induced damages.

# Introduction

Among the environmental factors influencing the temporal and spatial distribution of aquatic microorganisms, a dominant role is played by the intensity of ultraviolet (UV) radiation and depth of UV penetration into the water column. These factors are particularly detrimental to microbial communities [4, 16, 25, 26] because UV-radiation may cause cell-damage on several cell targets, either directly through absorption of the hazardous short-wavelength (280-320 nm) UV-B radiation, or indirectly through the generation of reactive oxygen species induced by the longer wavelength (320-400 nm) UV-A radiation [1, 15, 19, 24]. Polar organisms are particularly exposed to UV-induced cellular damage, especially those of the Antarctic regions where the continuous decline in stratospheric ozone tends to substantially increase the levels of UV-radiation [5, 6, 11, 20].

In polar ecosystems, species of the ubiquitous ciliate *Euplotes* are a very common component of marine microbial communities and their isolates can easily be adapted to grow in stable laboratory cultures [12, 13]. We used two sets of these cultures, one representative of *E. focardii*, a species endemic to Antarctic waters [22], and the other one representative of *E. nobilii*, which is distributed in both Arctic and Antarctic waters [7, 21], in order to compare polar species of *Euplotes* with their non-polar relatives in terms of their capacity to withstand UV-radiation. Based on the knowledge of phylogenetic trees of *Euplotes* species generated by matching of the small subunit (SSU)-rRNA nuclear gene sequences [8, 23], *E. quinquecarinatus* and *E. raikovi* were chosen as non-polar relatives of *E. focardii* and *E. nobilii*, respectively. From this comparison we obtained clear evidence that the polar species of *Euplotes* are either more resistant to UV exposure (*E. focardii*), or can more easily repair the UV-induced cell damages (*E. nobilii*).

### **Materials and Methods**

# Strain origin and cultivation

#### **Microbial Ecology**

Collection sites of the *Euplotes* strains used in this study are presented in Table 1. The *E. focardii* and *E. nobilii* cultures were maintained at 4 °C and the cultures of *E. quinquecarinatus* and *E. raikovi* at 23 °C. All were fed with the green algae *Dunaliella tertiolecta* grown in defined salt artificial seawater (32‰, pH 8.0). Cells were washed free of food and re-suspended in fresh sea water for 2 days before being used in experiments.

Irradiation experiments

Irradiation experiments were carried out in 96-well plates using aliquots of 10,000 cells/well in 100  $\mu$ l of seawater and fluorescent lamps (UVP Inc., Upland, CA, USA) of 365 nm and 15 W for UV-A, and 302 nm and 15 W for UV-B. Any other light source was excluded throughout irradiation. The distance between the plates and the lamps was set to 10 cm in order to expose cells at UV-A and UV-B to average intensities of 3.90 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> and 4.00 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The UV outputs were monitored with a UVX Digital Radiometer (UVP Inc., Upland, CA, USA), supplied with a 365 (UVX-36) and 310 (UVX-31) nm detector placed at the same distance from the UV source as the plates.

## Cell viability assays

The cell viability was assayed according to a previously described standard protocol [2]. After the UV irradiation, cells were incubated for 60 min in the presence of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) (10  $\mu$ l/well from a 5 mg/ml solution), which is reduced to formazan by viable cells. The reaction was stopped through the addition of DMSO to dissolve the insoluble purple formazan, quantified by absorbance at 570 nm using a Microplate Reader 680 XR

Spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The statistical significance of the data was evaluated by combining ANOVA and Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison post-test.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The sensitivity to UV-radiation of the two polar species, E. focardii and E. nobilii, was first compared with the sensitivity of the two non-polar species, E. quinquecarinatus and E. raikovi, by exposing equivalent cell samples to UV-A or UV-B radiation in temperature-controlled chambers (the polar species at 4 °C and the non-polar at 23 °C), and measuring the cell viability at increasing intervals of UV exposure. This exposure ranged from 10 min (23.32 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> UV dose) to 8 h (1119.36 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> UV dose) for UV-A, and from 3 min (7.20 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> UV dose) to 5 h (720.00 kJ m<sup>-2</sup>) UV dose) for UV-B. As shown in Fig. 1, viability in all four species progressively decreased after UV exposure. However, their survival rates appeared to be markedly different. E. focardii was much more resistant than any other species to both UV-A and UV-B radiation; its viability was approximately 70% at 3 h of UV-A exposure, remaining above 65% even at 8 h of UV-A exposure, and was still above 50% at 30 min of exposure to the more harmful UV-B radiation. In contrast, E. quinquecarinatus (the E. focardii non-polar relative) was the least resistant species, as the majority of cells were no longer viable after 3 h of UV-A and 30 min of UV-B radiation. However, no significant variations in survival rates were detected between E. nobilii and E. raikovi: the values of viability of both species were about 40% at 3 h of UV-A exposure, and 20-30 % at 30 min of UV-B exposure.

In a second set of experiments, we assessed the cell capacity to recover from UV-induced damage by exposing cells to the sub-maximal/maximal doses of UV-A or UV-B radiation (3 h equivalent to 419.76 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> for UV-A, and 1 h equivalent to144.00 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> for UV-B) and comparing the cell viability immediately after UV exposure and at 6 h of recovery in the dark. As

#### **Microbial Ecology**

shown in Fig. 2, while UV-B radiation was indistinctly lethal to all four species, UV-A radiation was lethal to all species except *E. nobilii*. Contrary to viability rates in *E. focardii* and the two other non-polar species, which did not recover from the negative effects of the UV-A radiation, the *E. nobilii* viability rate nearly doubled at 6 h of rescue in the dark by increasing from 34% to 60% in the Antarctic strains and from 44% to 83% in the Arctic ones.

The conclusive evidence that arises from these results is that the polar species of *Euplotes* withstand UV radiation much more efficiently than their phylogenetically non-polar relatives, albeit by apparently adopting different strategies. While *E. focardii* appears to be strongly protected against direct UV radiation and unable to recover from UV damage, *E. nobilii* seems to be poorly protected against UV radiation and counterbalances this scarce protection with a remarkable capacity to quickly repair UV damage. We suggest that these differences between *E. focardii* and *E. nobilii* in withstanding UV radiation reflect differences in the adaptive evolution of these two species to the polar environment.

*E. focardii* is a strictly psychrophilic species endemic to the Antarctic waters [22], characterized by an unusually reduced spectrum of transcriptional responses of its heat-shock protein (*hsp-70*) genes [10]. This suggests a close correlation between the ancient adaptation of *E. focardii* to the UV-radiation in Antarctica and the evolution of a specific defense mechanism based on the constitutive synthesis of photo-protective compounds such as the sunscreen mycosporine-like amino acids [9]. Enzymes of the shikimate pathway responsible for the production of these amino acids are ancient in the evolution of eukaryotes [14], and the finding of these enzymes are widely distributed in aquatic organisms [3], including ciliates [14, 17, 18], credits the hypothesis that *E. focardii* relies on them (rather than on the activity of the *hsp-70* genes) to prevent the deleterious effects of UV radiation.

Differently from *E. focardii*, *E. nobilii* is not endemic to Antartica, but it is a bipolar species represented by Antarctic and Arctic populations characterized by a promptly inducible *hsp-70* gene

activity [10]. A strengthening of this activity, and presumably of other genes encoding anti-oxidant enzymes, would thus well explain the *E. nobilii* unusual capacity to rapidly repair UV-induced damage.

Acknowledgments The author thank F. Frontini (University of Pisa) for excellent technical assistance, and M. Dunbar for her help with the English. This research received financial support from the Italian "Programma Nazionale di Ricerche in Antartide" (PNRA). G.D.G. acknowledges financial support from the project "BIOlogical responses to CLIMAte change: from genes to ecological communities (BIOCLIMA)".

## References

- [1] Buma AGJ, Boelen P, Jeffrey WH (2003) UVR-induced DNA damage in aquatic organisms. In: Helbling EW, Zagarese HE (eds) UV Effects in Aquatic Organisms and Ecosystems, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, England, pp 291-327
- [2] Cervia D, Di Giuseppe G, Ristori C, Martini D, Gambellini G, Bagnoli P, Dini F (2009) The secondary metabolite euplotin C induces apoptosis-like death in the marine ciliated protist Euplotes vannus. J Euk Microbiol 56: 263-269
- [3] Dahms HU, Lee JS (2010) UV radiation in the marine ectotherms: molecular effects and responses. Aquat Toxicol 97:3-14
- [4] Davidson AT (2006) Effects of ultraviolet radiation on microalgal growth, survival and production. In: Rao SVS (ed) Algal Cultures, Analogues of Blooms and Applications, Science Publishers, Enfield, New Hampshire, USA, pp 715-767
- [5] Davidson AT, Belbin L (2002) Exposure of natural Antarctic marine microbial assemblages to ambient UV radiation: effects on the marine microbial community. Aquat Microb Ecol 27:159-

#### **Microbial Ecology**

ર	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
1	
8	
9	
1	$\sim$
	0
1	1
1	2
1	2
1	5
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	2
1	1
1	8
1	a
1 0	0
2	υ
2	1
2	2
~	~
2	3
2	4
2	5
~	5
2	6
2	7
$\overline{2}$	Ω
2	0
2	9
3	0
2	1
5	1
3	2
3	2
<u> </u>	J
2	ວ ⊿
3	3 4 -
3 3	3 4 5
3	3 4 5 6
3333	5 4 5 6 7
3333	5 4 5 6 7
3 3 3 3 3	3 4 5 6 7 8
3 3 3 3 3 3 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	5 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4	5 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4	3456789012
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4	54567890123
333334444	34567890123
3333344444	345678901234
3333344444	3456789012345
333334444444	34567890123456
3333344444444	345678901234567
3333344444444	345678901234567
3333344444444444	3456789012345678
33333444444444444	34567890123456789
3333344444444444	345678901234567890
333334444444444	345678901234567890
33333444444444455	3456789012345678901
3333334444444444555	34567890123456789012
33333344444444445555	345678901234567890120
33333444444444455555	345678901234567890123
33333344444444444555555	3456789012345678901234
333333444444444445555555	34567890123456789012345
333333444444444445555555555555555555555	345678901234567890123450
033333344444444445555555555555555555555	345678901234567890123456
333333444444444445555555555555555555555	3456789012345678901234567
333334444444444555555555555555555555555	34567890123456789012345678
333333444444444445555555555555555555555	345678901234567890123456789
333333444444444445555555555555555555555	345678901234567890123456789

[6] Davidson AT, Marchant HJ, de la Mare WK (1996) Natural UVB exposure changes the species composition of Antarctic phytoplankton in mixed culture. Aquat Microb Ecol 10:299-305

- [7] Di Giuseppe G, Erra F, Dini F, Alimenti C, Vallesi A, Pedrini B, Wüthrich K, Luporini P (2011) Antarctic and Arctic populations of the ciliate *Euplotes nobilii* show common pheromonemediated cell-cell signaling and cross-mating. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA108:3181-3186
- [8] Jiang J, Zhang Q, Warren A, Al-Rasheid KAS, Song S (2010) Morphology and SSU rRNA gene-based phylogeny of two marine *Euplotes* species, *E. orientalis* spec. nov. and *E. raikovi* Agamaliev, 1966 (Ciliophora, Euplotida). Eur J Protistol 46:121-132
- [9] Klisch M, H\u00e4der DP (2008) Mycosporine-like amino acids and marine toxins the common and the different. Mar Drugs 6:147-163
- [10] La Terza A, Papa G, Miceli C, Luporini P (2001) Divergence between two Antarctic species of the ciliate *Euplotes*, *E. focardii* and *E. nobilii*, in the expression of heat-shock protein 70 genes. Mol Ecol 10:1061-1067
- [11] Martínez R (2007) Effects of ultraviolet radiation on protein content, respiratory electron transport system (ETS) activity and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity of Antarctic plankton.
   Polar Biol 30:1159-1172
- [12] Petz W (2005) Ciliates. In: Scott FJ, Marchant HJ (eds) Antarctic Marine Protists, Australian Biological Resources Study, Canberra, Australia, pp 347-448
- [13] Petz W, Valbonesi A, Schiftner U, Quesada A, Cynan Ellis-Evans J (2007) Ciliate biogeography in Antarctic and Arctic freshwater ecosystems: endemism or global distribution of species? FEMS Microbiol Ecol 59:396-408
- [14] Richards TA, Dacks JB, Campbell SA, Blanchard JL, Foster PG, McLeod R, Roberts CW(2006) Evolutionary origins of the eukaryotic shikimate pathway: gene fusions, horizontal gene transfer, and endosymbiotic replacements. Eukaryot Cell 5:1517-1531

- [15] Sinha RP, H\u00e4der DP (2002) Life under solar UV radiation in aquatic organisms. Adv Space Res 30:1547-1556
- [16] Sommaruga R, Buma AGJ (2000) UV-induced cell damage is species-specific among aquatic phagotrophic protists. J Eukaryot Microbiol 47:450-455
- [17] Sommaruga R, Whitehead K, Shick JM, Lobban CS (2006) Mycosporine-like amino acids in the Zooxanthella-ciliate symbiosis *Maristentor dinoferus*. Protist 157:185-191.
- [18] Sonntag B, Summerer M, Sommaruga R (2007) Sources of mycosporine-like amino acids in planktonic *Chlorella*-bearing ciliates (Ciliophora). Freshwat Biol 52:1476-1485,
- [19] Tevini M. (1993) Molecular biological effects of ultraviolet radiation. In: Tevini M (ed) UV-B
  Radiation and Ozone Depletion: Effects on Humans, Animals, Plants, Microorganisms and
  Materials, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, pp1-15
- [20] Thomson PG, Davidson AT, Cadman N (2008) Temporal changes in effects of ambient UV radiation on natural communities of Antarctic marine protists. Aquat Microb Ecol 52:131-147
- [21] Valbonesi A, Luporini P (1990) Description of two new species of *Euplotes* and *Euplotes rariseta* from Antarctica. Polar Biol 11:47-53
- [22] Valbonesi A, Luporini P (1993) Biology of *Euplotes focardii* an Antarctic ciliate. Polar Biol13:489-493
- [23] Vallesi A, Di Giuseppe G, Dini F, Luporini P (2008) Pheromone evolution in the protozoan ciliate *Euplotes*: The ability to synthesize diffusible forms is ancestral and secondarily lost. Mol Phylogenet Evol 47:439-442
- [24] Vincent WF, Neale PJ (2000) Mechanisms of UV damage to aquatic organisms. In: de Mora S, Demers S, Vernet M (eds) The Effects of UV Radiation in the Marine Environment, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, pp 149-176
- [25] Vincent WF, Roy S (1993) Solar UV-B and aquatic primary production: damage, protection and recovery. Environ Rev 1:1-12

[26] Williamson CE, Neale PJ, Grad G, De Lange HJ, Hargreaves BR (2001) Beneficial and detrimental effects of UV on aquatic organisms: implications of spectral variation. Ecol Appl 11:1843-1857

For peer period

**Figure 1** Effects of UV radiation on cell viability. Cells were treated with UV-A (**a**) or UV-B (**b**) for increasing times before MTT assay. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM of 4 independent experiments, each one in eight replicates, and are expressed as percent of control, taking as 100% the value of reduced MTT absorbance in equivalent samples of not-irradiated cells of the respective species. \$P < 0.001.

Figure 2 Recovery from UV-radiation damage. Cells were treated with UV (light columns), or with UV followed by a period of dark (gray columns) before MTT assay, as indicated. Data represent the mean  $\pm$  SEM from 3 independent experiments, each one in eight replicates, and are expressed as percent of control, taking as 100% the value of reduced MTT absorbance in not-irradiated cells of the respective species. \*P < 0.001.

Species	Strain	Collection Site	Latitude/Longitude
E. nobilii	Ed.Po-6	Edmonson Point (Antarctica)	S 74°19'/E 165°07'
	5QAA15	Qaanaaq, Greenland (Denmark)	N 77°28'/W 69°20'
E. focardii	95	Terra Nova Bay (Antarctica)	S 74°42'/E 164°06'
E. quinquecarinatus	HAB1	Hapuna Beach (Hawaii, U.S.A.)	N 19°59'/W 155°49'
E. raikovi	LPSA5	Lampedusa Island, Sicily (Italy)	N 35°30'/E 12°36'

**Table 1** Geographic origin and strain denomination of *Funlotes* species used in this study



