

**Salt-tolerant rootstock increases yield of pepper under salinity through maintenance of photosynthetic performance and sinks strength**

Consuelo Penella<sup>a</sup>, Marco Landi<sup>b</sup>, Lucia Guidi<sup>b</sup>, Sergio G. Nebauer<sup>c</sup>, Elisa Pellegrini<sup>b</sup>, Alberto San Bautista<sup>c</sup>, Damiano Remorini<sup>b</sup>, Cristina Nali<sup>b</sup>, Salvador López-Galarza<sup>c</sup>, Angeles Calatayud<sup>a,\*</sup>

*<sup>a</sup>Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA). Departamento de Horticultural. Ctra. Moncada-Naquera km. 4,5. 46113- Moncada, Valencia, Spain.*

*<sup>b</sup>Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto 80 - 56124 Pisa (Italy).*

*<sup>c</sup>Universitat Politècnica de València. Departamento de Producción Vegetal. Camino de Vera 14, 46020 Valencia, Spain.*

\*Corresponding author: Angeles Calatayud. Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA). Departamento de Horticultural. Ctra. Moncada-Naquera km. 4.5. 46113, Moncada, Valencia, Spain.. Tel.: +34 3424039

*E-mail addresses:* [penella\\_con@gva.es](mailto:penella_con@gva.es) (C. Penella), [marco.landi@for.unipi.it](mailto:marco.landi@for.unipi.it) (M. Landi), [lucia.guidi@unipi.it](mailto:lucia.guidi@unipi.it) (L. Guidi), [sergonne@bvg.upv.es](mailto:sergonne@bvg.upv.es) (S.G. Nebauer), [elisa.pellegrini@for.unipi.it](mailto:elisa.pellegrini@for.unipi.it) (E. Pellegrini), [asanbau@prv.upv.es](mailto:asanbau@prv.upv.es) (A. San Bautista), [damiano.remorini@unipi.it](mailto:damiano.remorini@unipi.it) (D. Remorini), [cristina.nali@unipi.it](mailto:cristina.nali@unipi.it) (C. Nali), [slopez@prv.upv.es](mailto:slopez@prv.upv.es) (S. López-Galarza), [calatayud\\_ang@gva.es](mailto:calatayud_ang@gva.es) (A. Calatayud).

## ABSTRACT

The performance of a salt-tolerant pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) accession (A25) utilized as a rootstock was assessed in two experiments. In a first field experiment under natural salinity conditions, we observed a larger amount of marketable fruit (+75%) and lower Blossom-end Root incidence (-31%) in commercial pepper cultivar Adige (A) grafted onto A25 (A/A25) when compared with ungrafted plants. In order to understand this behavior a second greenhouse experiment was conducted to determine growth, mineral partitioning, gas exchange and chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters, antioxidant systems and proline content in A and A/A25 plants under salinity conditions (80 mM NaCl for 14 days). Salt stress induced significantly stunted growth of A plants (-40.6% of leaf dry weight) compared to the control conditions, while no alterations were observed in A/A25 at the end of the experiment. Accumulation of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> in leaves and roots was similar in either grafted or ungrafted plants. Despite the activation of protective mechanisms (increment of superoxide dismutase, catalase, ascorbate peroxidase activity and non-photochemical quenching), A plants showed severely reduced photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (-45.6% of A<sub>N390</sub>) and substantial buildup of malondialdehyde (MDA) by-product, suggesting the inability to counteract salt-triggered damage. In contrast, A/A25 plants, which had a constitutive enhanced root apparatus, were able to maintain the shoot and root growth under salinity conditions by supporting the maintained photosynthetic performance. No increases in catalase and ascorbate peroxidase activities were observed in response to salinity, and MDA levels increased only slightly; indicating that alleviation of oxidative stress did not

occurs in A/A25 plants. In these plants the increased proline levels could protect enzymatic stability from salt-triggered damage, preserving the photosynthetic performance. The results could indicate that salt stress was vanished by the lack of negative effects on photosynthesis that support the maintained plant growth and increased marketable yield of the grafted plants.

*Keywords:* Antioxidant systems; *Capsicum annuum*; Chlorophyll fluorescence; Grafting; NaCl stress; Proline

## **1. Introduction**

Nowadays, about 7% of the world's land area and 20% of irrigated land are affected by salinity (Ferreira-Silva et al., 2010). In general terms, effects of salinity on plants are the result of both water stress (due to a higher osmotic potential in soil as compared to plant tissues) and a toxic effect caused by the influx of ions mainly Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> into plant tissues (Tuteja, 2007; Munns and Tester, 2008). The result of these effects is a wide range of physiological, metabolic and genomic changes that provoke alterations in photosynthesis, carbohydrate partition, respiration, increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, and an unbalanced uptake of other nutrients (Parida and Das, 2005; Hu and Schmidhalter, 2005; Chaves et al., 2009). Overall, the physiological changes induced by salinity correspond to diminished plant growth and yields.

In spite of these deleterious effects, plants present different degrees of tolerance to salinity, conferred by biochemical pathways, which can alleviate the negative effect of salt toxicity; amongst them: (I) retention and acquisition of water mediated by osmotically-active metabolites (mainly proline, glycine-

betaine or sugars) (Singh et al., 2014); (II) maintenance of ion homeostasis (Rivero et al., 2014; Razzaghi et al., 2015); (III) induction of antioxidant systems (Ashraf et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012; Fini et al., 2014); (IV) over production of hormones (Krasensky and Jonak, 2012; Yoshida et al., 2014) or (V) synthesis of specific stress-associated molecules such as heat-shock proteins (Wang et al., 2004; Krasenski and Jonak, 2012; Pérez-Salamò et al., 2014) and late embryogenesis abundant proteins (Parida and Das, 2005, Radíc et al., 2013). In view of the complexity of salinity tolerance, differences on salt sensitivity occur not only among species, but sometimes even genotypes belonging to the same species perform differently under salinity (Shabala and Munns, 2012).

Pepper is one of the most important crops in Mediterranean area, which is usually classified as a salt-sensitive species (Kurunc et al., 2011; del Amor and Cuadra-Crespo, 2011), even though Aktas et al. (2006) observed that salt tolerance can vary amongst pepper genotypes. A promising perspective to improve pepper resistance to salinity is the use of grafting of commercial cultivars onto salt-tolerant rootstocks (Penella et al., 2013; Penella et al., 2015). The main general objective of using rootstocks is to increase scion growth and development rate, yield and fruit quality (Venema et al., 2008). Tomato and melon are the two commonest herbaceous species in which the grafting practice has been efficiently applied to obtain salt-tolerant plants (Estañ et al., 2005, Edelstein et al., 2011, Orsini et al., 2013). In melon, the favorable effects of grafting on plant growth cannot be ascribed to a more efficient exclusion of  $\text{Na}^+$  or enhanced nutrient uptake but they were associated with a more efficient control of stomatal functions (changes in stomatal index and water relations),

which may indicate that the rootstock may alter hormonal signalling between root and shoot (Orsini et al., 2013). As far as we know, very few studies on grafted pepper plants have been conducted to elucidate whether or not salt tolerance might be conferred by rootstocks.

Given the poor genetic basis of cultivated pepper accessions, the screening of wild pepper accessions has been performed in previous works to assess naturally-occurring genetic variation to salinity in order to select salt-tolerant accessions to be used as rootstocks (Penella et al., 2014). In a previous work, a wild-type pepper accession (code A25) was selected as high salt tolerant. Now, in this study, we used a valid commercial cultivar Adige either ungrafted (A) or grafted onto the rootstock A25 (A/A25) and we found an increased fruit yield under salinity conditions as compared with ungrafted plants. To gain insight into the mechanisms by which the grafting improved plant's yield, we address the question whether or not the increase of the production in these plants was associated with the maintenance of their photosynthetic capacity, ion homeostasis, osmotic regulation and/or water relations under 80 mM NaCl for 14 days. Gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, antioxidant systems, hydric and osmotic relations, and Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> partitioning were assessed to this aim.

## **2. Materials and methods**

### *2.1 Plant material*

Based on previous studies, a pepper accession of *Capsicum annuum* L. from the COMAV Genebank at the UPV university (Valencia, east Spain) was selected, which was tolerant to salinity (code A25). This accession was chosen

to be used as a rootstock and pepper cultivar 'Adige' (A) (Lamuyo type, Sakata Seeds, Japan) was the scion. Seeds of A25 were sown in 96-hole seed trays filled with an enriched substrate for germination. After two months, A plants were grafted onto A25 (A/A25). The graft was performed by the tube-grafting method (Penella et al., 2015). The ungrafted 'Adige' (A) plants were sown two weeks later to obtain plants with a similar biomass to that of the grafted plants at the time of transplantation (10-12 true leaves). The plants obtained by the aforementioned procedure were utilized for both field and greenhouse experiments.

### *2.2. Soil-field experiment*

A preliminary experiment was conducted in spring/early summer 2013 in a field with soil with a moderate salt concentration (pH 8.0; EC as saturated past was 6.64 dS m<sup>-1</sup>; Sand= 76%). The electrical conductivity and pH of the irrigation water were 7.5 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and 7.6, respectively, with 57.5 mM of Na<sup>+</sup> and 71.2 mM of Cl<sup>-</sup>. Plant density was 2.5 plants m<sup>-2</sup> in sandy soil (in polyethylene greenhouses). Fertilizers were applied at a rate of 200 Unit of Fertilizer (UF) N, 50 UF P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 250 UF K<sub>2</sub>O, 110 UF CaO and 35 UF MgO. A randomized complete block design was used with three replicates for A and A/A25, each of them consisting of 25 plants. There was no significant difference among replicates in production. Ripe fruits were harvested from the end of May to the end of July, and marketable and unmarketable fruits, mainly due to BER, were weighed.

### *2.3. Hydroponic greenhouse experiment*

Seeds were sown on January 29<sup>th</sup> (2014) and the grafting for A/A25 performed on March 29<sup>th</sup>. After three weeks of acclimation, 30 plants of each combination (A and A/A25) were separated into two groups: controls (C) and NaCl-treated plants (+NaCl). For salt treatment, 80 mM of NaCl were added to a half-strength Hoagland's solution (pH 6.5±0.1; EC 8.0 dS m<sup>-1</sup>). Both groups were watered daily with excess half-strength Hoagland's solution (pH 6.5±0.1; EC 1.1 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) to minimize salt accumulation in the substrate for the 14 d that the experiment lasted. Potted plants were grown under greenhouse conditions at the facilities provided by the University of Pisa (Pisa, Italy). Temperatures ranged between 8.7 °C and 22.9 °C during the day, and remained above 12 °C at night. Relative humidity (RH) was between 37.7% and 96.3%, with daily maximum photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) levels within the greenhouse range of 850-1530 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (directly provided by sunlight).

All the physiological measurements were taken on fully-expanded mature leaves (3<sup>rd</sup>- 4<sup>th</sup> leaf from the shoot apex) at the end of the salt treatment period. The layout was completely randomised with three replications per combination and treatment with five plants per replication. Two independent physiological determinations were made on each replicate and plant combination, obtained from three plants per treatment and combination.

### *2.3.1. Biomass and ion determination*

Plants were harvested after 14 d of treatment. Leaves and roots were separated and their fresh weight (FW) was recorded. For dry weight (DW) determinations, leaves and roots were dried at 70 °C for 72 h in a laboratory oven and then weighed. Leaves and roots were milled and digested with

concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>. Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> were measured with an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Ultrospec 2100, Pharmacia). Chloride analysis was performed on the water extracts of dry materials. The sample (250 mg DW) was incubated in water at 60 °C for 30 min. Following centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and Cl<sup>-</sup> was determined in an ion chromatograph (DX-100 ion chromatograph Dionex™, Thermo Scientific).

### *2.3.2. Water potential and relative water content*

The leaf water potential at pre-dawn ( $\Psi_w$ ) and the relative water content (RWC) were measured on the leaves sampled at pre-dawn by a standard methodology (Guidi et al., 2008).

### *2.3.3. Gas exchange and PSII photochemistry measurements*

The net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate, stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $C_i$ ) in the saturating light ( $A_{N390}$ , i.e., at  $800 \pm 28 \mu\text{mol quanta m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-2}$  and  $390 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ) determinations were taken on fully expanded leaves (3<sup>rd</sup>- 4<sup>th</sup> leaf from the apex) at room temperature (RT) and 75% RH with a portable LI-COR 6400 (Li-Cor Inc.) infrared gas analyzer. In the same leaves, the response of light-saturated CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation to variable internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations ( $A/C_i$  curves) was measured as reported in Guidi et al. (2008). From the  $A/C_i$  curves, the following photosynthetic parameters were calculated according to Long and Bernacchi (2003): the apparent maximum carboxylation rate of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco),  $V_{\text{cmax}}$ , the maximum rate of the electron transport ( $J_{\text{max}}$ ), which is equivalent to the ribulose-1,5-bisP (RuBP) regeneration rate, and use of triose-P (TPU).



The chlorophyll *a* fluorescence parameters were estimated from the measurements taken on the dark- (for 30 min) and light-adapted leaves (about 800  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ) by IMAGING-PAM (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). The maximum quantum efficiency of PSII was calculated as  $F_v/F_m = (F_m - F_0)/F_m$ . The operating quantum efficiency of PSII photochemistry,  $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ , was calculated as  $(F'_m - F')/F'_m$ . The electron transport rate was calculated as  $\text{ETR} = 0.5 \times \Phi_{\text{PSII}} \times \text{PAR} \times 0.84 \mu\text{equivalents m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . The photochemical quenching ( $q_P$ ) factor was determined as  $(F'_m - F')/(F'_m - F'_0)$ . Non photochemical quenching (NPQ) was expressed as  $F_m/F'_m - 1$ , where  $F'_m$  was maximal fluorescence during a saturating flash of light of about 8000  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , and  $F'_0$  was the minimal fluorescence estimated by the approach of Oxborough and Baker (1997)  $F'_0 = F_0/(F_v/F_m + F_0/F'_m)$ .

#### 2.3.4. Leaf lipid peroxidation

Leaf lipid peroxidation was estimated with the malondialdehyde (MDA) by-products concentration measurements taken by the thiobarbituric acid reaction, as reported in Penella et al. (2015).

#### 2.3.5. Antioxidant enzymes

Antioxidant enzyme activities were measured in the fresh leaf material extracted with 1 mL of 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) that contained ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA). The extract was then centrifuged at 11000  $\times g$  at 4 °C for 15 min, and the supernatant was used for all the enzyme assays, while the protein determinations were performed with the Protein Assay Kit II (Bio Rad®).

Superoxide dismutase (SOD; EC 1.15.1.1) activity was measured at 560 nm, based on the inhibition of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) reduction by SOD (Beyer and Fridovich, 1987). One unit of SOD was defined as the enzymatic amount required to reduce the NBT reduction state by 50%. Catalase (CAT; EC 1.11.1.6) activity was measured at 270 nm by determining the rate of conversion of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> into O<sub>2</sub> and water, as described by Cakmak and Marschner (1992). Catalase activity was expressed as  $\mu\text{mol H}_2\text{O}_2$  per mg protein and per minute. Ascorbate peroxidase (APX; EC 1.11.1.11) activity was determined following the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-dependent oxidation of ascorbate (AsA) at 265 nm in a reaction mixture composed of 50  $\mu\text{M}$  AsA, 90  $\mu\text{M}$  H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 50-100  $\mu\text{g}$  proteins and 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.4) (Nakano and Asada, 1981). APX activity was corrected by subtracting the non-enzymatic H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-dependent AsA oxidation. APX activity was expressed as  $\mu\text{mol AsA}$  per mg protein and per minute.

#### 2.3.6. *Proline*

Proline content was determined according to the method of Bates et al. (1973) with some minor modifications. Plant material (20 mg DW) was ground in an ice-cold mortar with 2 mL of 3% sulfosalicylic acid. Homogenates were centrifuged for 30 min at 10,000  $\times g$  at 4 °C. The supernatant was filtered through 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  Minisart® SRT 15 aseptic filters and 1 mL of the filtrate was mixed with equal volumes of glacial acetic acid and of ninhydrin reagent (1.25 g ninhydrin, 30 mL of glacial acetic acid, 20 mL 6 M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>), and was incubated for 1 h at 100 °C. The reaction was stopped by placing test tubes in ice-cold water. Samples were vigorously mixed with 2 mL toluene. After 20 min, the light absorption of the toluene phase was estimated at 520 nm, with toluene used for

a blank. The proline concentration was determined with a standard curve and calculated on a DW basis.

#### *2.3.7. Tocopherol and $\beta$ -carotene determination*

The amount of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol and  $\beta$ -carotene was determined by HPLC according to Döring et al. (2014). An aliquot of 30 mg of leaves were homogenized in 3 mL of 100% HPLC-grade methanol and incubated overnight at 4 °C in the dark. The supernatant was filtered through 0.2  $\mu$ m Minisart® SRT 15 aseptic filters and immediately analyzed. The analysis was performed at RT with a reverse-phase Dionex column (Acclaim 120, C18, 5  $\mu$ m particle size, 4.6 mm internal diameter  $\times$  150 mm length) and methanol/ethylacetate (68/32, v/v) was used as the mobile phase (flow rate 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>).  $\alpha$ -tocopherol and  $\beta$ -carotene were detected at 280 nm and 445 nm, respectively. Pure authentic standards were used to quantify the  $\alpha$ -tocopherol and  $\beta$ -carotene content of each sample.

#### *2.3.8. Ascorbic acid content*

Dehydroascorbate (DHA), reduced ascorbate (AsA) and their sum (total ascorbate; AsAt) were determined as described by Degl'Innocenti et al. (2005), based on the method of Kampfenkel et al. (1995). The ratio between AsA and total AsA (AsA/AsAt) was reported.

#### *2.4. Statistical analysis*

The hydroponic greenhouse experiment was completely randomized and the results were subjected to a two-way ANOVA (Statgraphics Centurion for

Windows, Statistical Graphics Corp.) with salt treatment and plant type as the variability factors. The data of marketable fruits and the percentage (angularly transformed) of the fruits affected by BER were subjected to a one-way ANOVA with plant type as the variability factor. Means ( $n=6$ ;  $\pm$  SE) were compared using Fisher's least significance difference (LSD) test at  $P < 0.05$ .

### **3. Results**

#### *3.1. Fruit yield*

Plants of A/A25 gave the best response in marketable fruit yield associated with the lowest percentage of BER with significant differences with A plants (Table 1).

#### *3.2. Ion partitioning*

After 14 days of culture in the greenhouse,  $\text{Na}^+$  (Fig. 1A, D) and  $\text{Cl}^-$  (Fig. 1B, E) increased in both roots and shoots under salinity (80 mM NaCl) in both plant types. The  $\text{Cl}^-$  concentration was higher in leaves (Fig. 1E) than in roots (Fig. 1B) (233.81 vs. 184.14 mM, respectively;  $P < 0.01$ ), while no differences were observed in  $\text{Na}^+$  content (73.32 vs. 76.98 mM in leaves and roots, respectively;  $P < 0.01$ ). The  $\text{K}^+/\text{Na}^+$  ratio was higher in leaves than in roots (4-fold;  $P < 0.001$ ), and was significantly lower in both plant organs when salinity was applied (Fig. 1 C, F).

#### *3.3. Water potential*

Leaf water potential ( $\psi_w$ ) significantly decreased following NaCl treatment in both genotypes, and reached values of -0.22 and -0.32 MPa in A and A/A25,

respectively (Fig. 2). However, no differences between the control and stressed plants in RWC were observed (Fig. 2, inside).

#### 3.4. Gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters

At ambient atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, salinity significantly lowered the net assimilation rate at light saturation ( $A_{N390}$ ), but only in A plants, whereas no differences were observed in A/A25 between controls and salt-treated individuals (Table 2). The intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $C_i$ ) lowered in the salt-treated leaves of A/A25, but no differences were observed in A. Stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) decreased significantly in both plant combinations (Table 2). The effects of NaCl treatment on  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  were pronounced in A plants (with a significant difference compared to its control), whereas no effects were detected in A/A25. Interestingly  $A_{N390}$ ,  $g_s$ ,  $V_{cmax}$  and  $J_{max}$  parameters were higher in A/A25 compared to A plants under control. Likewise, no effects on TPU were observed following salt stress in A/A25 and, once again, a significant reduction in the ungrafted A plants was observed (Table 2).

The maximum PSII quantum yield of primary photochemistry ( $F_v/F_m$ ) did not change in both the genotypes following salinity stress, but showed values typical of healthy leaves (Bjorkman and Demmig, 1987) (*data not shown*). The ETRs for each plant combination subjected, or not, to salinity were plotted according to PAR (Fig. 3A, B). When PAR fell within the 0-200  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  range in both plant types, the light-response curves of the ETR for the pepper-stressed plants closely overlapped that of the controls. Yet when PAR was above 200  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , in A-stressed plants, a significant separation of the

light-response curves of ETR occurred (Fig. 3A). In A/A25 plants, the curves for control and salt did not show significant differences due to PAR (Fig. 3B).

The decrease in ETR in A salted plants was mainly caused by the substantial rise in NPQ (Fig. 3C). In A/A25 no differences in the NPQ values between the controls and treated plants were detected (Fig. 3D). The  $q_p$  coefficient remained unchanged in A/A25 under salt stress (Fig. 3F), and lowered in A-stressed plants (Fig. 3E).

### *3.5. Antioxidant enzymes*

SOD activity increased significantly in both genotypes following salinity (Fig. 4A), but the rise in A/A25 was even more pronounced. In A plants, CAT activity increased significantly following salinity conditions (Fig. 4B), whereas no changes in APX activity were recorded (Fig. 4C). A different behavior was observed in A/A25 plants, in which salt stress did not induce changes in CAT activity, but significantly reduced APX activity (Fig. 4B, C).

### *3.6. Effect of salt treatment on lipid peroxidation, $\alpha$ -tocopherol, $\beta$ -carotene, ascorbate and proline*

NaCl treatments led to a significant rise in the levels of the MDA by-products content in both kinds of pepper plants (Fig. 5A), but this increase was higher for A plants under salt stress. The  $\alpha$ -tocopherol concentration (Fig. 5B) was also affected by NaCl treatment in A plants, whose a significant reduction was detected, but no differences were found between controls and treated plants for A/A25 (Fig. 5B). Another important antioxidant in chloroplast is  $\beta$ -carotene, which did not change in all plants following salt stress (Fig. 5C), even though a

smaller amount was found in A/A25 compared to A plants (Fig. 5C). Finally, total AsA significantly increased in A plants under salinity conditions (+256% as compared to the controls). The decrease in the AsA/AsA total ratio in A salt-stressed leaves (from 0.85 to 0.52 in controls) indicated that a large amount of AsA was oxidized into DHA (Fig. 5D). In A/A25 plants, a significant increase in the AsA/AsA total ratio was reported following the salinity treatment (Fig. 5D).

Proline content sharply increased, but only in A/A25 plants following NaCl stress, whereas no changes in A plants were observed (Fig. 6A).

### 3.2. Biomass

A/A25 plants developed a bigger root system than A plants (Fig. 7). No significant effect of salinity was noted on root FW and DW between the same plant types (Fig. 7A, C). The root FW/DW ratio did not change in both plant combinations (Fig. 7E).

A sharper drop in shoot biomass (leaf FW and DW) occurred as a consequence of salinity stress in A plants, but no changes in A/A25 were observed (Fig. 7B, D). The FW/DW leaves ratio did not change in both plants combinations under salinity stress compared with its control (Fig. 7E, F).

## 4. Discussion

Under salinity stress, reduced plant growth is induced by different biochemical, physiological and molecular alterations (Munns, 2002; Krasensky and Jonak, 2012). The selection of salt tolerant accessions to be used as rootstocks could be a promising approach to ameliorate the negative effects of salinity on pepper productivity (Penella et al., 2013; Penella et al., 2015). In the

present study, we demonstrated that Adige peppers grafted onto the accession A25 were less sensitive to salt stress compared to their ungrafted counterparts, in terms of photosynthesis and consequently growth and yield. The lower salt sensitivity exhibited by A/A25 was clearly demonstrated by the lack of negative effects on plant growth, increased marketable yield and the fewer BER symptoms appearing. The ameliorative effect of grafting on plant's growth under salinity conditions fully agrees with other findings in tomato and melon (Santa-Cruz et al., 2002; Estañ et al., 2005; Martínez-Rodríguez et al., 2008; He et al., 2009).

According to Munns biphasic model (Munns and Tester, 2008), salt tolerance can be improved by reducing the negative osmotic effects on growth and/or maintaining leaf-root growth and functions for longer by diluting toxic ions (Balibrea et al., 2000; Yeo, 2007). Maintenance of shoot and root growth is dependent mainly on photosynthetic capacity (Duarte et al., 2014; Penella et al., 2015). Photosynthetic activity remained unchanged in A/A25 plants under salt conditions compared to their controls and, therefore, also in the supply of photosynthates to plants, as confirmed by the absence of reduced plant growth. Higher root development in A/A25 plants could be the reason of the higher photosynthetic rate determined in grafted plants independently to salt stress. Conversely, the leaf CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate sharply dropped in the salt stressed A plants compared to both controls and A/A25 plants. Salt stress has been reported to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation through different mechanisms: (I) decreased stomatal conductance (Chaves et al., 2009; Shabala and Munns, 2012); (II) reduced mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> (Flexas et al., 2004); and (III) impaired Rubisco activity (Galmes et al., 2013). Stomatal closure is certainly



one of the main responses of plants under salinity to minimize water loss (Aroca et al., 2012; Shabala and Munns, 2012).

Stomatal conductance decreased under salt treatment in both the A and A/A25 plants, which could be one of the reasons for their unchanged RWC values, and this suggests a typical conservative water strategy (Tardieu and Simonneau, 1998; Garcia-Sánchez et al., 2010; Sade et al., 2012). Notably, in the grafted plants, the CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate did not change even if  $g_s$  significantly decreased under salinity conditions and leaves of grafted plants also displayed higher values of water use efficiency (WUE) compared with leaves of ungrafted plants (3.98 *versus* 3.39  $\mu\text{mol CO}_2/\text{mmol H}_2\text{O}$ ) following salt treatment indicating a water-use strategy in these plants. In contrast, in A plants the sharp reduction in  $g_s$  induced a marked decrease in  $A_{N390}$  (about -45%), to suggest that mesophyll limitations also occurred, as confirmed by the unchanged  $C_i$ . In fact, the unchanged intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was also likely attributable to the marked reduction in the  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  as observed in A plants. Other authors have reported that carboxylation efficiency under stress conditions is limited by the amount, activity and kinetics of Rubisco, as well as by an effect on CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion (Carmo-Silva and Salvucci, 2012; Koyro et al., 2013). The A/ $C_i$  curves also showed a significant decrease in  $J_{\text{max}}$  in A salt-treated plants and TPU, determined as suggested the Farquhar model (Farquhar et al., 1980), whereas no alterations were observed in grafted plants. These results suggest that carboxylation efficiency, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate regeneration and triose-phosphate utilization were maintained in A/A25, whereas these processes were severely unpaired in A stressed-plants. It has been reported (Liu et al., 2013) the grafting cucumber plants onto salt-tolerant

rootstock enhanced activity and expression of Rubisco-related genes to improve photosynthesis activity.

The TPU rate has been proposed to at least provide an indication of the feedback between growth and CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (Wullschleger, 1993). The sharp drop in TPU in the A salt-treated plants could be related to the decrease in the sink strength of the roots and leaves (Long and Bernacchi, 2003; von Caemmerer, 2000; Sharkey et al., 2007), and thus responsible for the decrease in A<sub>N390</sub> through negative feedback regulation (Nebauer et al. 2011).

Then, the stomatal and biochemical limitations imposed on photosynthesis in A plants submitted to the salt treatment were likely accompanied by a lowered ATP and NADPH consumption rate for CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation, which would imply a lower ETR (Baker and Rosenqvist, 2004). A progressive drop in ETR can be compensated by an increased thermal dissipation (Medrano et al., 2002). Accordingly, NPQ increased once A plants were subjected to salinity, even though they underwent higher excitation pressure on PSII and more reaction centers were closed, as evidenced by an over-reduction of Q<sub>A</sub> (Calatayud and Barreno, 2001; Guidi and Calatayud, 2014; Kalaji et al., 2014). This is particularly evident at high light (800-1000 μmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) when salt stress induced depression of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and the consequently low utilization of energy power in presence of high light, can accelerate photodamage to the reaction center of PSII (Nishiyama and Murata, 2014). Even though the actual PSII efficiency values were compromised, the dissipation mechanisms were able to preserve PSII to irreversible damage, and the F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> values remained unchanged at the end of salinity stress. Conversely, the chlorophyll fluorescence parameters in the A/A25 salt-treated plants

confirmed that no alterations occurred in the biochemical and photochemical chloroplast processes, as previously revealed by gas exchange analyses. These results coincide with previous findings, which highlighted that the use of tolerant rootstock improved the photosynthesis performance of the scion under salinity conditions (Moya et al., 2002; Massai et al., 2004; He et al., 2009; Penella et al., 2015), further confirming the important role of photosynthetic process in salt tolerance of A/A25.

Although the marked accumulation of toxic ions occurred in the A/A25 plants similarly to A plants subjected to salinity, no effects were detected in photosynthesis of A/A25 and the antioxidant systems were not activated in grafted plants, except for SOD activity in response to stress. The accumulation of excessive ROS under stress conditions (Asada, 2006) occurs when the reduction of photosynthesis is much higher than the extent of the reduction in  $\Phi_{PSII}$ , suggesting electron flow to oxygen molecules rather than CO<sub>2</sub> fixation (Baker et al. 2007) as occurs in A plants compared with A/A25 under salinity (9.39 vs 5.66 ETR/A<sub>N</sub> respectively). The activity of the primary antioxidant enzymes involved in removing and/or scavenging ROS (SOD, CAT and APX) were significantly stimulated in the A plants under salinity, trying to cope with oxidative stress. The increased activities of these enzymes and/or the stimulated biosynthesis of antioxidant molecules have long been described as actively involved in responses to several abiotic stresses, including salt toxicity (López-Gómez et al., 2007; He et al., 2009; Sanchez-Rodríguez et al., 2012; Shaheen et al., 2013). In this context, it is assumed that the simultaneous involvement of antioxidant components is necessary to obtain an increase (and/or a faster response) in plant defenses when plants face high salinity

(Jaleel et al., 2009). However, in the A plants the antioxidant system did not efficiently sustain ROS scavenging in relation to salinity-triggered ROS production, as demonstrated by the marked increase in the MDA by-product levels and decreased in photosynthesis activity.

The higher SOD activity observed in A/A25 plants under salinity, leading to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production, could be the signal triggering the cascade of adaptive (genetic and physiological) responses (Bose et al., 2014, Rejeb et al., 2015). Recently, several researches have indicated that proline accumulation occurs in stressed plants and can be mediated by signaling molecules, including H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (e.g. Zhu, 2002; Zhang et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2009; Wen et al., 2013). Accumulation of proline, is a well-known adaptive mechanism in plants against salt stress conditions (Ashraf and Foolad, 2007; Szabados and Saviouré, 2010). Several studies have attributed multiple roles to proline: compatible osmolyte, signaling molecule that influence defense pathways, regulation of complex metabolic and development processes and protective compound (see Szabados and Saviouré, 2010). In A/A25 leaves, proline content increased 2.6-fold in the presence of NaCl excess, compared to a non- significant increase noted in A leaves. Although its role as osmolyte, the proline contribute to lower osmotic potential was negligible (less than -0.05 MPa) in A/A25 plants. An enhanced proline biosynthesis has been described that it can contribute to sustain the electron flow between photosystems, stabilize the redox balance, reduce photoinhibition and damage of the photosynthetic apparatus (Szabados and Saviouré, 2010; Ashraf et al., 2008, Hare and Cress, 1997), as well as stabilizing role on key enzymes, such Rubisco (Hasanuzzaman et al. 2013).

The amount of AsAt increased significantly (about 44% compared to the controls) in the A plants under salinity, and the AsA/AsAt ratio also sharply dropped, which indicates that a high AsA oxidation rate occurred. No differences were observed in the total AsA and AsA/AsAt ratio in the A/A25 plants under salt stress compared to their controls. Despite the increase in the amount of DHA found in A plants under salinity, oxidation of AsA was not sufficient to efficiently sustain the  $\alpha$ -tocopherol regeneration rate being ascorbate essential for  $\alpha$ -tocopherol regeneration (Szarka et al., 2012). The fail of this biochemical mechanism can further increased membrane lipid peroxidation, as revealed by the dramatic increase in the MDA by-products level in A plants under stress.

Overall, our results suggest that A/A25 plants were tolerant to the salt concentration adopted in this experiment given the adjustments made in the physiological processes and starting from a more abundant root biomass production (compared to ungrafted plants). Despite it is undeniable that the roots play an important role in determining the salt tolerance of the scion, little is known about how the scion was influenced by the rootstock (A25) in this experiment. Grafting has been described to increase salt tolerance by excluding or restricting ion toxic accumulation in the shoot (Colla et al., 2013). In fact, we previously reported this mechanism also in pepper by using different salt-tolerant rootstocks (Penella et al. 2015). Differently, in the present work, A/A25 plants accumulated high concentration of toxic ions in their tissues, as also reported by He et al (2009) in salt-tolerant grafted tomato plants. Despite the continued salt ions uptake, the buffer capacity of A/A25 plants was not superseded as testimony by the unaffected biomass production. In view of the

high accumulation of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>, their probable compartmentalization in the vacuole and/or apoplastic space to preserve cytosol from ionic toxic effects could occur; however these mechanisms are not contemplated herein.

To conclude, grafting of commercial varieties onto salt-tolerant rootstocks can be considered a valid strategy for ameliorating the salt tolerance of pepper. This has been testified in this work by the larger amount of marketable fruits and the lower BER incidence in the A/A25 plants grown under field whose soil was affected by salinity. These results can be attributed to the capacity to maintain shoot and root growth under salt stress. This has been related to the A/A25 plant's ability to limit (or protect) the loss of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and sink activity of the growing organs, in spite of the observed accumulation of toxic ions. In addition; other mechanisms not contemplated in this work can have further improved the salt tolerance of the grafted plants, thus making the topic warrant of future investigation.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors are thankful to Miss Luciana Gaccione and Mr Omar Almolla for their assistance in the experiments. This work was financed by INIA (Spain) through Project RTA2013-00022-C02-01 and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). C.P. was a beneficiary of a doctoral fellowship (FPI-INIA).

## **References**

- Aktas H., Abak K., Cakmak .I., 2006. Genotypic variation in the response of pepper to salinity. *Sci Hort.* 110, 260-266.
- Aroca R., Porcel R., Ruiz-Lozano JM., 2012. Regulation of root water uptake under abiotic stress conditions. *J Exp Bot.* 63, 43-57.
- Asada K., 2006. Production and scavenging of reactive oxygen species in chloroplasts and their functions. *Plant Physiol* 141, 391-396.
- Ashraf M.A., Ashraf M., Shahbaz M., 2012. Growth stage-based modulation in antioxidant defense system and proline accumulation in two hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars differing in salinity tolerance. *Flora* 207, 388-397.
- Ashraf M., Athar H.R., Harris P.J.C., Kwon T.R., 2008. Some prospective strategies for improving crop salt tolerance. *Adv. Agronomy* 97, 45-110.
- Ashraf M., Foolad M.R., 2007. Roles of glycine betaine and proline in improving plant abiotic stress resistance. *Env. Exp. Bot.* 59, 206-216.
- Baker N.R., Harbinson J., Kramer D.M., 2007. Determining the limitations and regulation of photosynthetic energy transduction in leaves. *Plant. Cell Environ.* 30, 1107-1125.
- Baker N.R., Rosenqvist E., 2004. Applications of chlorophyll fluorescence can improve crop production strategies: an examination of future possibilities. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55, 1607-1621.
- Balibrea M.E., Dell'Amico J., Bolarín M.A., Pérez-Alfocea F., 2000. Carbon partitioning and sucrose metabolism in tomato plants growing under salinity. *Physiol. Plant.* 110, 503-511.
- Bates L.S., Waldren R.P., Teare J.D., 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. *Plant Soil* 39, 205-207.

- Beyer W.F., Fridovich, I., 1987. Assaying for superoxide dismutase activity: Some large consequences of minor changes in conditions. *Annal Bioch.* 161, 559-566.
- Björkman O., Demmig B., 1987. Photon yield of O<sub>2</sub> evolution and chlorophyll fluorescence characteristics at 77 K among vascular plants of diverse origins. *Planta* 170, 489-504.
- Bose J., Rodrigo-Moreno A., Shabala S., 2014. ROS homeostasis in halophytes in the context of salinity stress tolerance. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 1241-1257.
- Buchanan B., Gruissem W., Jones R., 2002. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Plants*. John Wiley & Sons, p 1408.
- Cakmak I., Marschner H., 1992. Magnesium deficiency and high light intensity enhance activities of superoxide dismutase, ascorbate peroxidase, and glutathione reductase in bean leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 98, 1222-1227.
- Calatayud A., Barreno E., 2001. Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence, antioxidant enzymes and lipid peroxidation in tomato in response to ozone and benomyl. *Environ. Pollut.* 115, 283-289.
- Carmo-Silva A.E., Salvucci M.E., 2012. The temperature response of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation, photochemical activities and Rubisco activation in *Camelina sativa*, a potential bioenergy crop with limited capacity for acclimation to heat stress. *Planta* 236, 1433-1445.
- Chaves M.M., Flexas J., Pinheiro C., 2009. Photosynthesis under drought and salt stress: regulation mechanisms from whole plant to cell. *Ann. Bot.* 103, 551-560.



- Colla G., Rouphael Y., Jawad R., Kumar P., Rea E., 2013. The effectiveness of grafting to improve NaCl and CaCl<sub>2</sub> tolerance in cucumber. *Sci. Hort.* 164, 380-391.
- Degl'Innocenti E., Guidi L., Pardossi A., Tognoni F., 2005. Biochemical study of leaf browning in minimally processed leaves of lettuce. *J. Agricult. Food Chem.* 53, 9980-9984.
- del Amor F.M., Cuadra-Crespo P., 2011. Plant growth-promoting bacteria as a tool to improve salinity tolerance in sweet pepper. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 39, 82-90.
- Döring A.S., Pellegrini E., Campanella A., Trivellini A., Gennai C., Petersen M., Nali C., Lorenzini G., 2014. How sensitive is *Melissa officinalis* to realistic ozone concentration. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 74, 156-164.
- Duarte B., Sleimi N., Caçador I., 2014. Biophysical and biochemical constraints imposed by salt stress: learning from halophytes. *Frontiers in Plant Sci.* 5, article 746
- Edelstein M., Plaut Z., Ben-Hur M., 2011. Sodium and chloride exclusion and retention by non-grafted and grafted melon and *Cucurbita* plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 177-184.
- Estañ M.T., Martínez-Rodríguez M.M., Perez-Alfocea F., Flowers T.J., Bolarin M.C., 2005. Grafting raises the salt tolerance of tomato through limiting the transport of sodium and chloride to the shoot. *J. Exp. Bot.* 56, 703-712.
- Farquhar G.D., von Caemmerer S., Berry J.A., 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C<sub>3</sub> species. *Planta* 149, 78-90.

- Ferreira-Silva S.L., Silva E.N., Carvalho F.E.L., de Lima C.S., Alves F.A.L., Silveira J.A.G., 2010. Physiological alterations modulated by rootstock and scion combination in cashew under salinity. *Sci. Hort.* 127, 39-45.
- Fini A., Guidi L., Giordano C., Baratto M.C., Ferrini F., Brunetti C., Calamai L., Tattini M., 2014. Salinity stress constrains photosynthesis in *Fraxinus ornus* more when growing in partial shading than in full sunlight: consequences for the antioxidant defense system. *Ann. Bot.* 114, 525-538.
- Flexas J., Bota J., Loreto F., Cornic G., Sharkey T.D., 2004 Diffusive and metabolic limitations to photosynthesis under drought and salinity in C3 plants. *Plant Biol.* 6, 269-279.
- Galmés J., Aranjuelo I., Medrano H., Flexas J., 2013. Variation in Rubisco content and activity under variable climatic factors. *Photosyn. Res.* 117: 73-90.
- Garcia-Sánchez F., Rubio F., Martínez V., 2010. Abiotic stresses: salinity and drought. In: Gonzalez-Fontes A., Garate A., Bonilla I., editors. *Agricultural Sciences: Topics in Modern Agriculture*, Studium Press, USA.
- Guidi L., Calatayud A., 2014. Non-invasive tools to estimate stress-induced changes in photosynthetic performance in plants inhabiting Mediterranean areas. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 103, 42-52.
- Guidi L., Degl'Innocenti E., Remorini D., Massai R., Tattini M., 2008. Interaction of water stress and solar irradiance on the physiology and biochemistry of *Ligustrum vulgare*. *Tree Physiol.* 28, 873-883.
- Hare P., Cress W., 1997. Metabolic implications of stress induced proline accumulation in plants. *Plant Growth Regul.* 21,79-102.

- Hasanuzzaman M., Nahar K., Fujita M., 2013. Plant response to salt stress and role of exogenous protectants to mitigate salt-induced damages, in: Ahmad, P., Azooz, M.M., Prasad, M.N.V. (Eds.), *Ecophysiology and responses of plants under salt stress*. Springer, pp. 25-87.
- He Y., Zhu Z., Yang J., Ni X., Zhu B., 2009. Grafting increases the salt tolerance of tomato by improvement of photosynthesis and enhancement of antioxidant enzymes activity. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 66, 270-278.
- Hu L., Li H., Pang H., Fu J., 2012. Responses of antioxidant gene, protein and enzymes to salinity stress in two genotypes of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) differing in salt tolerance. *J. Plant Physio.* 169, 146-156.
- Hu Y., Schmidhalter U., 2005. Drought and salinity: A comparison of their effects on mineral nutrition of plants. *J. Plant Nut. Soil Sci.* 168, 541-549.
- Jaleel C.A., Manivannan, P., Wahid A., Farooq M., Al-Juburir H.J., Somasundaram, R., Panneerselvam R., 2009. Drought stress in plants: a review on morphological characteristics and pigments composition. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 11: 100-105.
- Kalaji H.M., Schansker G., Ladle R.J., Goltsev V., Bosa K., Allakhverdiev S.I., Brestic M., Bussotti F., Calatayud A., Dąbrowski P., Elsheery N.I., Ferroni L., Guidi L., Hogewoning S.W., Jajoo A., Misra A.M., Nebauer S.G., Pancaldi S., Penella C., Poli D., Pollastrini M., Romanowska-Duda Z.B., Rutkowska B., Serôdio J., Suresh K., Szulc W., Tambussi E., Yannicari M., Zivcak M., 2014. Frequently asked questions about in vivo chlorophyll fluorescence: practical issues. *Photo. Res.* 122: 121-158.

- Kampfenkel K., Van Montagu M., Inzè D., 1995. Extraction and determination of ascorbate and dehydroascorbate from plant tissue. *Annal Biochem.* 225, 165-167.
- Koyro H.W., Hussain T., Huchzermeyer B., Khan M.A., 2013. Photosynthetic and growth responses of a perennial halophytic grass *Panicum turgidum* to increasing NaCl concentrations. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 91, 22-29.
- Krasensky J., Jonak C., 2012. Drought, salt, and temperature stress-induced metabolic rearrangements and regulatory networks. *J. Exp. Bot.* 63, 1593-1608.
- Kurunc A., Unlukara A., Cemek B., 2011. Salinity and drought affect yield response of bell pepper similarly. *Acta Agricul Scandinavica, Section B-Soil Plant Sci.* 61, 514-522.
- Liu Z., Bie Z., Huang Y., Zhen A., Niu M., Lei B., 2013. Rootstocks improve cucumber photosynthesis through nitrogen metabolism regulation under salt tolerance. *Acta Physiol. Plant* 35, 2259-2267.
- Long S.P., Bernacchi C.J., 2003. Gas exchange measurements, what can they tell us about the underlying limitations to photosynthesis? Procedures and sources of error. *J. Exp. Bot.* 54, 2393-2401.
- López-Gómez E., San Juan M.A., Díaz-Vivancos P., Beneyto J.M., García-Legaz M.F., Hernández J.A., 2007. Effect of rootstocks grafting and boron on the antioxidant systems and salinity tolerance of loquat plants (*Eriobotrya japonica* Lindl.). *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 60, 151-158.
- Martínez-Rodríguez M.M., Estañ M.T., Moyano E., Garcia-Abellan J.O., Flores F.B., Campos J.F., Al-Azzawi M.J., Flowers T.J., Bolarín M.C., 2008. The

- effectiveness of grafting to improve salt tolerance in tomato when an 'excluder' genotype is used as scion. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 63, 392-401.
- Massai R., Remorini D., Tattini M., 2004. Gas exchange, water relations and osmotic adjustment in two scion/rootstock combinations of *Prunus* under various salinity concentrations. *Plant Soil* 259, 153-162.
- Medrano H., Escalona J.M., Bota J., Gulías J., Flexas J., 2002. Regulation of photosynthesis of C3 plants in response to progressive drought: stomatal conductance as a reference parameter. *Ann. Bot.* 89, 895-905.
- Moya J.L., Tadeo F.R., Gómez-Cadenas A., Primo-Millo E., Talón M., 2002. Transmissible salt tolerance traits identified through reciprocal grafts between sensitive Carrizo and tolerant Cleopatra citrus genotypes. *J. Plant Physiol.* 159, 991-998.
- Munns R., 2002. Comparative physiology of salt and water stress. *Plant Cell Environ.* 25, 239–250.
- Munns R., Tester M., 2008. Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Ann. Rev. Plant Biol.* 59, 651-681.
- Nakano Y., Asada K., 1981. Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate-specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 22, 867-880.
- Nebauer, S., Renau-Morata, B., Guardiola, J.L., Molina, R.V., 2011. Photosynthesis down-regulation precedes carbohydrate accumulation under sink limitation in Citrus. *Tree physiol.* 31, 169-177.
- Nishiyama Y., Murata N., 2014. Revised scheme for the mechanism of photoinhibition and its application to enhance the abiotic stress tolerance of the photosynthetic machinery. *Appl. Micro Biotech.* 98, 8777-8796.

- Orsini F., Sanoubar R., Oztekin G.B., Kappel N., Tepecik M., Quacquarelli C., Tuzel Y., Bona S., Gianquinto G., 2013. Improved stomatal regulation and ion partitioning boosts salt tolerance in grafted melon. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 40, 628-636.
- Oxborough K., Baker NR., 1997. Resolving chlorophyll a fluorescence images of photosynthetic efficiency into photochemical and non-photochemical components – calculation of  $q_P$  and  $F_v'/F_m'$  without measuring  $F_0'$ . *Photo. Res.* 54, 135-142.
- Parida A.K., Das A.B., 2005. Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review. *Ecotoxic. Environ. Safety* 60, 324-349.
- Penella C., Nebauer S.G., SanBautista A., Gorbe E., Calatayud A., 2013. Evaluation for salt stress tolerance of pepper genotypes to be used as rootstocks. *J. Food Agric. Environ.* 11, 1101-1107.
- Penella C., Nebauer S.G., Quiñones A., San Bautista A., López-Galarza S., Calatayud A., 2015. Some rootstocks improve pepper tolerance to mild salinity through ionic regulation. *Plant Sci.* 230, 12-22.
- Penella C., Nebauer S.G., San Bautista A., López-Galarza S., Calatayud A., 2014. Rootstock alleviates PEG-induced water stress in grafted pepper seedlings: Physiological responses. *J. Plant Physiol.* 171, 842-851.
- Pérez-Salamó I., Papdi C., Rigó G., Zsigmond L., Vilela B., Lumbreras V., Nagy I., Horváth B., Domoki M., Darula Z., Medzihradzsky K., Bögre L., Koncz C., Szabados L., 2014. The heat shock factor A4A confers salt tolerance and is regulated by oxidative stress and the mitogen-activated protein kinases MPK3 and MPK6. *Plant Physiol.* 165, 319-334.

- Radić S., Štefanić P.P., Lepeduš H., Roje V., Pevalek-Kozlina B., 2013. Salt tolerance of *Centaurea ragusina* L. is associated with efficient osmotic adjustment and increased antioxidative capacity. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 87, 39-48.
- Razzaghi F., Jacobsen S.E., Jensen C.R., Andersen M.N., 2015. Ionic and photosynthetic homeostasis in quinoa challenged by salinity and drought – mechanisms of tolerance. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 42, 136-148.
- Rejeb K.B., Benzarti M., Debez A., Bailly C., Saviouré A., Abdelly C., 2015. NADPH oxidase-dependent H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production is required for salt-induced antioxidant defense in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J. Plant Physiol.* 174, 5-15.
- Rivero R.M., Mestre T.C., Mittler R., Rubio F., Garcia-Sanchez F., Martinez V., 2014. The combined effect of salinity and heat reveals a specific physiological, biochemical and molecular response in tomato plants. *Plant Cell Environ.* 37, 1059-1073.
- Sade N., Gebremedhin A., Moshelion M., 2012. Risk-taking plants. Anisohydric behavior as a stress-resistance trait. *Plant Signal Behavior* 7, 767-770.
- Sánchez-Rodríguez E., Rubio-Wilhelmi M.M., Blasco B., Leyva R., Romero L., Ruiz J.M., 2012. Antioxidant response resides in the shoot in reciprocal grafts of drought-tolerant and drought-sensitive cultivars in tomato under water stress. *Plant Sci.* 188-189, 89-96.
- Santa-Cruz A., Martínez-Rodríguez M.M., Pérez-Alfocea F., Romero-Aranda R., Bolarin M.C., 2002. The rootstock effect on the tomato salinity response depends on the shoot genotype. *Plant Sci.* 162, 825-831.

- Shabala S., Munns R., 2012. Salinity Stress: physiological constraints and adaptative mechanisms. In: Shabala S, editor. Plant Stress Physiology. CAB International, p. 59-93.
- Shaheen S., Naseer S., Ashrafa M., Akram N.A., 2013. Salt stress affects water relations, photosynthesis, and oxidative defense mechanisms in *Solanum melongena* L. J Plant Interac. 8, 85-96.
- Sharkey T.D., Bernacchi C.J., Farquhar G.D., Singaas E.L., 2007. Fitting photosynthetic carbon dioxide response curves for C3 leaves. Plant Cell Environ. 30, 1035-1040.
- Singh M., Kumar J., Singh V.P., Prasad S.M., 2014. Proline and salinity tolerance in plants. Biochemistry & Pharmacology 3: 1000e170
- Szabados L., Savouré A., 2010. Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. Trends Plant Sci. 15, 89-97.
- Szarka A., Tomasskovics B., Bánhegyi G., 2012. The ascorbate-glutathione- $\alpha$ -tocopherol triad in abiotic stress response. Inter J. Mol. Sci. 13, 4458-4483.
- Tardieu F., Simonneau T., 1998. Variability among species of stomatal control under fluctuating soil water status and evaporative demand: modelling isohydric and anisohydric behaviours. J. Exp. Bot. 49, 419-432.
- Tuteja N., 2007. Mechanisms of high salinity tolerance in plants. Meth Enzymol. 428, 419-438.
- Venema J.H., Dijk B.E., Bax J.B., van Hasselt P.R., Elzenga J.T.M., 2008. Grafting tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) onto the rootstock of a high-altitude accession of *Solanum habrochaites* improves suboptimal-temperature tolerance. Env. Exp. Bot. 63, 359-367.



- Von Caemmerer S., 2000. Biochemical models of leaf photosynthesis. Techniques in Plant Sciences no. 2. Csiro Publishing.
- Wang W., Vinocur B., Shoseyov O., Altman A., 2004. Role of plant heat-shock proteins and molecular chaperones in the abiotic stress response. Trends Plant Sci. 9, 244-252.
- Wang Y., Li L., Cui W., Xu S., Shen W., Wang R., 2012. Hydrogen sulfide enhances alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) tolerance against salinity during seed germination by nitric oxide pathway. Plant Soil 351, 107-119.
- Wen J.F., Gong M., Liu Y., Hu J.L., Deng M.H., 2013. Effect of hydrogen peroxide on growth and activity of some enzymes involved in proline metabolism of sweet corn seedlings under copper stress. Sci. Hort. 164, 366-371.
- Wullschlegel S.D., 1993. Biochemical limitations to carbon assimilation in C3 plants. A retrospective analysis of the A/C<sub>i</sub> curves from 109 species. J. Exp. Bot. 44, 907-920.
- Yang S.L., Lan S.S., Gong M., 2009. Hydrogen peroxide-induced proline and metabolic pathway of its accumulation in maize seedlings. J. Plant Physiol. 166, 1694-1699.
- Yeo A., 2007. Salinity. In: Yeo A., Flowers T. editors. Plant Solute Transport. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford, UK.
- Yoshida T., Mogami J., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K., 2014. ABA-dependent and ABA-independent signaling in response to osmotic stress in plants. Curr. Op. Plant Biol. 21, 133-139.

Zhang, L.P., Mehta S.K., Liu Z.P., Yang Z.M., 2008. Copper-induced proline synthesis is associated with nitric oxide generation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 49, 411-419.

Zhu JK., 2002. Salt and drought stress signal transduction in plants. *Ann. Rev. Plant Biol.* 53, 247-273.

**Table 1.** Marketable fruit yield and percentage of fruit affected by Blossom end Root (BER) under water and soil salinity conditions. Values are the mean of 50 replicates *per* cultivar Adige ungrafted (A) or grafted onto the A25 genotype (A/A25). Different letters in each column indicate significant differences at  $P < 0.05$  using the LSD test, following a one-way ANOVA test with plant type as the variability factor.

| Graft combination | Marketable yield (kg plant <sup>-1</sup> ) | BER (%) |
|-------------------|--|---------|
| A                 | 1.84 b                                     | 49 a    |
| A/A25             | 3.23 a                                     | 18 b    |

**Table 2.** Gas exchange parameters of cultivar Adige ungrafted (A) or grafted onto the A25 genotype (A/A25) under salinity conditions. Plants maintained in optimal nutrient solution represent the controls. The CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate at 390 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> (μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>) (A<sub>N390</sub>), the intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (μmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>) (C<sub>i</sub>) and stomatal conductance to water vapor (mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) (g<sub>s</sub>) were determined from the response curve of the CO<sub>2</sub> photoassimilation *versus* light intensities. The apparent maximum carboxylation rate of Rubisco (V<sub>cmax</sub>, μmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>), the maximum rate of electron transport (J<sub>max</sub>, μmol e<sup>-</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), which is the equivalent to the RuBP regeneration rate, and the use of triose-P (TPU; μ Pi m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) were determined from response curve of CO<sub>2</sub> photoassimilation *vs.* C<sub>i</sub>. Values are the mean of four replicates *per* plant combinations and treatment. Different letters in each column indicate significant differences at P<0.05 using the LSD test, following a two-way ANOVA test with NaCl treatment and plant type as the variability factors.

| Graft combination | Treatment | A <sub>N390</sub> | C <sub>i</sub> | g <sub>s</sub> | V <sub>cmax</sub> | J <sub>max</sub> | TPU    |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|
| A                 | control   | 6.91 b            | 221.0 a        | 0.092 b        | 64.5 b            | 71.5 b           | 4.75 a |
|                   | NaCl      | 3.76 c            | 210.5 ab       | 0.035 c        | 31.0 c            | 44.0 c           | 2.40 b |
| A/A25             | control   | 9.45 a            | 214.0 ab       | 0.135 a        | 124.0 a           | 103.5 a          | 5.55 a |
|                   | NaCl      | 8.18 ab           | 179.0 b        | 0.082 b        | 137.0 a           | 99.5 a           | 4.60 a |

## Figure captions

**Fig. 1.** Mineral content (on a DW basis) in the roots and leaves of the control (white bars) and salt-treated plants (black bars) of pepper cultivar Adige, ungrafted (A) or grafted onto the A25 genotype (A/A25). Means ( $n=6$ ;  $\pm$  SE) with different letters being significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to a two-way ANOVA, with salt treatment and plant type as the variability factors.

**Fig. 2.** Water potential and RWC (inside) of cultivar Adige, ungrafted (A) or grafted onto the A25 genotype (A/A25) under salinity conditions (black bar). Control is represented by white bars. Means ( $n=6 \pm$  SE) with different letters are significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to a two-way ANOVA, with salt treatment and plant type as the variability factors. Absence of letters (inside box) indicates that the F ratio was not significant.

**Fig. 3.** Electron transport rate (ETR), non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) and photochemical quenching coefficient ( $q_P$ ) in response to photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) in cultivar Adige, ungrafted (A) or grafted onto the A25 accession (A/A25) under salinity conditions (closed circles). The plants maintained in optimal nutrient solution represent controls (open circles). Values are the mean of  $6 \pm$  SE replicates *per* plant combination.

**Fig. 4.** Superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activity in leaves of cultivar Adige, ungrafted (A) or grafted onto the A25 genotype (A/A25) under salinity conditions (black bar). Control is represented by white bars. Means ( $n=6$ ;  $\pm$  SE) with different letters are significantly different

at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to the two-way ANOVA, with salt treatment and plant type as the variability factors.

**Fig. 5.** Malondialdehyde by-products (MDA),  $\alpha$ -tocopherol,  $\beta$ -carotene and ascorbic acid in the leaves of cultivar Adige, ungrafted (A) or grafted onto the A25 accession (A/A25) under salinity conditions (black bar). Control is represented by white bars. In graph D, different forms of ascorbate are reported. The numbers above the bars indicate the AsA/AsAt ratio and capital letters indicate the difference. Means ( $n=6 \pm SE$ ) with different letters are significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to the two-way ANOVA, with salt treatment and plant type as the variability factors.

**Fig. 6.** Proline content in the leaves of cultivar Adige, ungrafted (A) or grafted onto the A25 genotype (A/A25) under salinity conditions (black bar). Control is represented by white bars. Means ( $n=6 \pm SE$ ) with different letters are significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to the two-way ANOVA, with salt treatment and plant type as the variability factors.

**Fig. 7.** FW and DW, and their ratio for the root and leaves of cultivar Adige, ungrafted (A) or grafted onto the A25 genotype (A/A25) under salinity conditions (black bar). Control is represented by white bars. Means ( $n=6 \pm SE$ ) with different letters are significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to the two-way ANOVA, with salt treatment and plant type as the variability factors. Absence of letters indicates that the F ratio of the interaction is not significant.