

ITALIAN DIALECT MEETING 2015 AND CIDSM X

LEIDEN UNIVERSITY CENTRE FOR LINGUISTICS

22 JUNE 2015, KLEIN AUDITORIUM, ACADEMIEGEBOUW

8:15-9:00 **REGISTRATION**

9:00-9:15 **OPENING**

9:15-10:00 **KEYNOTE SPEAKER**

Michele Loporcaro, University of Zurich

T.B.A.

10:00-10:30 Patrizia Cordin, University of Trento

Origine e uso di una forma interrogativa in area settentrionale

10:30- 11:00 **COFFEE BREAK**

CIDSM X

11:00-11:30 Anna Cardinaletti and Giuliana Giusti, University Ca' Foscari of Venice

Indefinite determiners in Italo-Romance: An insight from a lesser studied central Italian dialect

11:30-12:00 Silvio Cruschina, University of Vienna

The go for construction in Sicilian

12:00-12:30 Lori Repetti and Francisco Ordoñez, Stony Brook University

Negative Imperatives in the Romance Language of Italy

12:30- 14:30 **LUNCH BREAK**

14:30-15:00 Ermenegildo Bidese and Alessandra Tomaselli, University of Trento and University of Verona

Different strategies to circumvent the 'that-trace effect'

15:00-15:30 Delia Bentley, University of Manchester

Agreement impoverishment in Gallo-Italian and the logical structure of VS constructions

15:30-16:15 **KEYNOTE SPEAKER**

Ian Roberts, University of Cambridge

T.B.A.

16:15-16:40 **COFFEE BREAK**

16:40-17:10 Giancarlo Schirru, University of Cassino and Southern Lazio

'Avita fatta'. Forme non etimologiche dell'ausiliare 'avere' nei dialetti meridionali

17:10-17:40 Patrizia Del Puente, University of Basilicata

I dialetti lucano-campani tra innovazione e conservazione

17:40 – 18:10 Stefano Canalis, University of Bologna

The variable nature of intervocalic voicing in Old Tuscan

23 JUNE 2015, LIPSIUS 005

9:15 – 10:00 **KEYNOTE SPEAKER**

Gabriele Iannàccaro, University of Stockholm

Towards an Analytical Sociolinguistics

10:00- 10:30 Simonetta Montemagni, Martijn Wieling and John Nerbonne, ILC-CNR and University of Groningen

The contribution of dialectometry to the study of the dialects of Italy. A case study on Tuscan

10:30-10:50 Alice Idone, University of Zurich

Metrical constraints on the enclisis of possessive adjectives in southern Italian dialect

10:50-11:10 **COFFEE BREAK**

PARALLEL SESSION 1 (LIPSIUS 005)

11:10- 11:40 Diego Pescarini, U.of Zurich

*Impersonal si constructions
in Northern Italian dialects*

11:40- 12:00 Angelapia Massaro, Roma Tre U.

*Double Subject Construction
in Sammarinese*

12:00- 12:30 Laura Bafile, U. of Ferrara

*Segmental phonology and the foot:
the case of proparoxytones in some
Italian dialects*

12:30 – 14:30 **LUNCH**

PARALLEL SESSION 1 (LIPSIUS 005)

14:30- 15:00 Franco Finco, U. of Rijeka

*Scritture in volgare a Trieste nel XV
secolo: tra veneto, toscano e ladino*

15:00-15:30 Edoardo Cavirani, Meertens I.

*Elements on the border: a 'colored'
approach to vowel reduction in
Lunigiana dialects*

15:30-15:50 Daniela Mereu. U. of Bergamo

*Riflessi linguistici della variazione
diatopica nella poesia improvvisata
del sud della Sardegna*

15:50-17:30 **COFFEE BREAK + POSTER SESSION 1**

17:30-18:15 **KEYNOTE SPEAKER**

Gianna Marcato, University of Padua

T.B.A

PARALLEL SESSION 2 (LIPSIUS 147)

Vinko Kovačić, U. of Zagreb

*Elementi veneti nei documenti antichi di una
raccolta francescana dell'isola di Krk (Veglia)*

Alberto Ghia, U. of Turin

*Primo contributo all'analisi formale della
zootoponomastica del Piemonte montano*

Luca Lorenzetti, Tuscia University

*Sulla palatalizzazione di /sC/ nei dialetti del centro
e sud d'Italia*

PARALLEL SESSION 2 (LIPSIUS 147)

Cristina Guardiano, Giuseppe Longobardi, Andrea

Ceolin and Dimitri Michelioudakis, U. MRE & York
*Romance and Greek dialects in southern Italy: a
syntactic classification*

Jan Casalicchio and Federica Cognola, U. Trento

*On the left periphery of relaxed V2 languages: a
comparison between Rhaetoromance and Mòcheno*

Luigi Andriani, U. of Cambridge

Adjectival positions in Barese

24 JUNE 2015, LIPSIUS 005

9:15 – 10:00 **KEYNOTE SPEAKER**

Joan Mascaró, UAB Barcelona

T.B.A.

10:00-10:30 M. Rita Manzini and Leonardo Savoia, University of Florence

Allomorphy and reordering in (Italo-)Romance enclisis

10:30-11:00 Andrea Scala, University of Milan

Regole fonologiche abruzzesi e lessico indiano: il caso della romaní d'Abruzzo

11:00-11:25 **COFFEE BREAK**

11:25-11:45 Jessica Katuscia Ivani, University of Pavia/ Bergamo

Non-iconic feminine plurals in northern Italian dialects: distribution, diachrony and historical reconstruction

11:45-12:05 Irene Micali, University of Florence

La varietà alloglotta di Guardia Piemontese: analisi morfosintattica di un corpus

12:05-12:25 Miriam Di Carlo, Roma Tre University

La deissi spaziale nei dialetti della Tuscia viterbese

12:25-12:45 Giulio Scivoletto, University of Bergamo

Le forme di un suffisso, variazione morfologica e contatto linguistico in alcune varietà piemontesi

12:45-14:45 **LUNCH BREAK**

14:45-15:30 **KEYNOTE SPEAKER**

Michela Russo, University of Paris 8

T.B.A.

15:30-16:00 Emanuele Miola, Nicola Duberti and Maria Teresa Milano, University of Turin

Judaeo-Piedmontese morphosyntax and the origin of Piedmontese Jews

16:00-17:30 **BREAK AND POSTER SESSION 2**

17:30-18:15 **KEYNOTE SPEAKER**

Tullio De Mauro, Sapienza University of Rome

T.B.A.

ALTERNATES

1. Cecilia Poletto and Emanuela Sanfelici, Goethe University Frankfurt

Towards and analysis of relative clauses: insights from Italian varieties

2. Mario Saltarelli, University of Southern California

Polysemy and Linear Order: Adnominals in Marsican(P.), Old Sard, Basque, English

3. Roberta Tedeschi, University of Warsaw

Il dialetto dei giovani: Indagine sociolinguistica nell'area veneta

ALTERNATE STUDENT

1. Mirella De Sisto, Google UK

The rise of a morphological distinction: converging metaphony and RF in Airolano

POSTER SESSION 1

1. Jazidžija Antonia and Ivana Škevin, University of Zadar

Il veneto dalmata a Zara: comportamenti verbali e atti di identità

2. Carlotta D'Addario, University of Turin

Percezione di strutture morfosintattiche dell'italiano regionale "altrui"

3. Martina Da Tos, University of Padua

Morphological Creativity in Northern Italian Dialects

4. Bálint Huszthy, Pázmány Péter Catholic University of Budapest

Te[kk]niko: geminazione preconsonantica come strategia di riparazione nell'italiano meridionale

5. Laura Migliori, Leiden University

Between Latin and (Italo)-Romance. Argument structure, auxiliation and alignment

6. Diana Passino, Roma Tre University

Some observations on the markedness of word-initial and word-final clusters

7. Mario Saltarelli, University of Southern California

Polysemy and Linear Order: Adnominals in Marsican(P.), Old Sard, Basque, English

8. Anna Maria Tesoro

Considerazioni geolinguistiche sui dialetti del Vorposten

9. Shanti Ulfsbjorninn, University College London

Beyond Sonority: Evidence for true and bogus clusters from Tuscan spirantisation

POSTER SESSION 2

1. Jonathan Bucci, University of Nice

L'asimmetria della riduzione vocalica creata da s+C in coratino: un'altra storia di testa?

2. Mirella De Sisto, Google UK

The rise of a morphological distinction: converging metaphony and RF in Airolo

3. Jacopo Garzonio, University Ca' Foscari of Venice

Negated Minimizers as Negative Markers

4. Olga Kellert and Sebastian Lauschnus, University of Goettingen

Reduplication in Italian dialects

5. Paolo Lorusso and Ludovico Franco, University of Florence and University Nova de Lisboa

Parameters of agreement with embedded NPs

6. Diana Passino and Diego Pescarini, Roma Tre University and University of Zurich

Some observation on the vowel-system of San Valentino in Abruzzo Citeriore

7. Cecilia Poletto and Emanuela Sanfelici, Goethe University Frankfurt

Towards and analysis of relative clauses: insights from Italian varieties

8. Domenica Romagno, University of Pisa

The function and distribution of dative clitic pronouns in Northern Calabrian

9. Roberta Tedeschi, University of Warsaw

Il dialetto dei giovani: Indagine sociolinguistica nell'area veneta

**Mechanisms for encoding compositional telicity:
the function and distribution of dative clitic pronouns in Northern Calabrian.**

DOMENICA ROMAGNO

University of Pisa

Department of Philology, Literature and Linguistics

Linguistics Branch

36 S.Maria St., 56126 PISA, ITALY

In the present paper, we examine the function and distribution of specific constructions including a dative clitic pronoun in both the dialect varieties spoken in *Rogliano* and *Santo Stefano di Rogliano* (Cosenza, Italy), and in the regional variety spoken in *Cosenza*. We show how the presence vs. absence of the dative clitic constitutes a strategy for encoding the presence vs. absence of telicity in the event representations denoted by verbs such as “to eat” and “to drink”. Telicity distinguishes events that necessarily entail a specified endpoint (e.g., “to murder”, “to arrive”) from event with no temporal and spatial delimitation or final state (e.g., “to look at”, “to walk”: Vendler, 1967; Dowty, 1979; Bertinetto 1986; Tenny, 1994; Van Valin & La Polla, 1997, among many others). Telicity may be either inherent in the verb lexeme or morphosyntactically encoded, that is, expressed at the verb phrase level and, therefore, «compositionally» determined by the combination of the verb lexeme and its object and/or other elements in the verb phrase that are relevant to the delimitation of the denoted event, such as, for instance, directional prepositional phrases and particles. With two-argument verbs like “to eat”, the degree of telicity is related to the degree of object individuation; only an object expressed as a specified quantity can measure out the event denoted by the verb: “he ate two apples (in five minutes)” = telic vs. “he ate only red apples (for years)” = atelic. With one-argument verbs such as “to run”, the degree of telicity is related to the presence vs. absence of a directional prepositional phrase: “I run to school (in ten minutes)” = telic vs. “I run along the river (for an hour)” = atelic (Verkuyl, 1972, 1993; Dowty, 1991; Tenny 1994; Lazard, 1984; Slabakova, 2001; Alexiadou et al., 2004; Chierchia, 2010; Rothstein, 2010). In the dialect varieties spoken in *Rogliano* and *Santo Stefano di Rogliano*, the presence vs. absence of the dative clitic pronoun in combination with two-argument verbs systematically encodes the distinction between telic and atelic representation of the event. In the alternation [e 'mmɔ 'kkə ttə 'mandʒⁱ] vs. [e 'mmɔ 'kkə 'mmandʒⁱ], both sentences mean “and now what do you eat?”; but only the former refers to a telic event and, therefore, occurs in contexts that require the event of eating up or devouring, whereas the latter is used to ask “in this period, what kind of diet are you following?”, thus showing that the expression ['kkə 'mmandʒⁱ] refers to a state or condition of the subject and not to a delimited event. Significantly also, only the sentence without the dative clitic admits of progressive interpretation: it is well known, indeed, that the imperfective aspect suspends the telic value of a predicate (Bertinetto, 1986; Bertinetto et al., 1995; Bonomi, 1997). Similarly, only constructions without the

clitic pronoun are allowed in contexts such as “which are the American eating habits/which is the American typical food?”: [ar- a'mɛrika 'kkə 'mmandʒənu] vs. *[ar- a'mɛrika 'kkə ssə 'mandʒənu] “in the US, what do they eat?”. The same pattern of functional distribution of the dative clitic pronoun as a strategy for encoding compositional telicity of two-argument verbs such as “to eat”, “to drink”, “to read”, etc. equally applies to both the dialect varieties mentioned above and the regional variety spoken in *Cosenza*. Notably, we did not find variations related to age, gender and number of years of education of the interviewed speakers. Mechanisms for encoding telicity, as well as other properties at the interface between morphosyntax and semantics, in Italian dialects, are almost entirely unknown. Significantly, the results of the study reported here parallel the results of a recent study on Salentino dialect (Fanciullo, 2014), which provided the first hint of this research line.

ALEXIADOU, A. - ANAGNOSTOPOULOU, E. & EVERAERT, M. (eds.), 2004, *The unaccusativity puzzle. Explorations of the syntax-lexicon interface*, Oxford, University Press.

BERTINETTO, P.M. 1986, *Tempo, aspetto e azione nel verbo italiano*, Firenze, Accademia della Crusca.

BERTINETTO, P.M. - BIANCHI, V. - DAHL, Ö. & SQUARTINI, M. (eds.), 1995, *Temporal reference, aspect and actionality*, Torino, Rosenberg & Sellier.

BONOMI, A. 1997, “The progressive and the structure of events”, *Journal of Semantics* 14, pp. 173-205.

CHIERCHIA, G. 2010, “Mass nouns, vagueness, and semantic variation”, *Synthese* 174, pp. 99-149.

DOWTY, D.R. 1979, *Word meaning and Montague grammar*, Dordrecht-Boston-Londra, Reidel.

DOWTY, D. 1991, “Thematic proto-roles and argument selection”, *Language* 67 (3), pp. 547-619.

FANCIULLO, F. (2014), “Telicità e atelicità nei dialetti salentini. Due esempi”, in F. CUGNO, L. MANTOVANI, M. RIVOIRA & S. SPECCHIA (eds.), *Studi linguistici in onore di Lorenzo Massobrio*, Torino, Atlante Linguistico Italiano, pp. 377-388.

LAZARD, G. 1984, “Actance variation and categories of the object”, in PLANK, F. (ed.), *Objects. Towards a theory of grammatical relations*, London-New York, Academic Press, pp. 269-292.

ROTHSTEIN, S. 2010, “Counting and the mass/count distinction”, *Journal of Semantics* 27, pp. 343-397.

SLABAKOVA, R. 2001, *Telicity in the second language*, Amsterdam-Philadelphia, Benjamins.

TENNY, C.L. 1994, *Aspectual roles and the syntax-semantics interface*, Dordrecht-Boston-Londra Kluwer.

VAN VALIN, R.D. JR., & LA POLLA, R.J. (1997), *Syntax. Structure, meaning and function*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

VENDLER, Z. 1967, “Verbs and times”, in *Linguistics in Philosophy*, Ithaca, Cornell University Press, pp. 97-121.

VERKUYL, H.J. 1972, *On the compositional nature of the aspects*, Dordrecht, Reidel.

VERKUYL, H. 1993, *A theory of aspectuality*, Cambridge, University Press.