

HOSTED BY



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine

journal homepage: <http://ees.elsevier.com/apjtm>Original research <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtm.2017.10.023>Some pathogenic characters of paratyphoid *Salmonella enterica* strains isolated from poultryFabrizio Bertelloni¹, Giovanni Tosi², Paola Massi², Laura Fiorentini², Maria Parigi², Domenico Cerri¹, Valentina Virginia Ebani¹¹Department of Veterinary Science, University of Pisa, Viale Delle Piagge 2, 56124 Pisa Italy²Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale Della Lombardia e Dell'Emilia Romagna, Sezione Diagnostica di Forlì, Via Don E. Servadei 3E/3F, 47122 Forlì (FC) Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 Aug 2017

Received in revised form 26 Sep 2017

Accepted 25 Oct 2017

Available online 31 Oct 2017

Keywords:

Paratyphoid salmonellae

Poultry

Gastric acid resistance

Virulence genes

Antimicrobial resistance

ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate some pathogenic characters of *Salmonella enterica* strains isolated from poultry.**Methods:** Twenty-three genetically distinct *Salmonella enterica* strains, of different serovars and pulsotype, were examined for virulence traits. Resistance to gastric acid environment was estimated by measuring the percentage of survived bacterial cells after exposure for 2 h to a synthetic gastric juice. Strains were analyzed with PCR for the presence of the following virulence genes: *mgtC* and *rhuM* located on SPI-3, *sopB* and *pipB* located on SPI-5, *Salmonella* virulence plasmid (*spv*) R (*spvR*), *spvB* and *spvC* located on *Salmonella* plasmid virulence and *sodCI*, *sopE*, and *gipA* located on prophage. Finally, resistance to 21 antibiotics was tested with Kirby–Bauer method.**Results:** A percentage of 82.60% of strains were resistant to gastric environment after induction and 60.87% of the strains exhibited constitutive resistance too. Nineteen different virulence profiles were detected. The phage related genes *sodCI* and *sopE* and the plasmid mediated operon *spvR*, *spvB* and *spvC* (*spvRBC*) were detected in 82.60%, 47.82% and 52.17% of strains, respectively. Typhimurium and Enteritidis strains showed the highest number of virulence genes. Twenty-one different antibiotic resistance profiles were obtained and two isolates (Typhimurium and Enteritidis) resulted sensible to all the tested molecules. The ampicillin, streptomycin, sulfonamide and tetracycline resistance profile was detected in seven isolates (30.43%).**Conclusion:** Our results show that paratyphoid *Salmonella* strains with several characters of pathogenicity, that may be cause of severe pathology in animals and humans, are circulating among poultry.

1. Introduction

Salmonellosis is one of the most important zoonosis worldwide. The preferential route of transmission from animals to humans is through contaminated food or foodstuffs and, in particular, eggs, egg products and poultry meat are the primary source of infection for humans [1].

More than 2600 *Salmonella* serovars exist and all may be pathogenic for humans and animals, at least as cause of intestinal disorders [2]. However, only a limited number of serovars,

mainly Typhimurium and Enteritidis, are most frequently associated to human infections [1].

Almost all salmonellae have salmonella pathogenicity island-1 (SPI-1) and salmonella pathogenicity island-2 (SPI-2), that include genes encoding for factors for intestinal and systemic infections, respectively [2,3].

However, more genes, less conserved in the genus *Salmonella*, determine the pathogenicity of this bacterium [4,5]. Salmonella pathogenicity island-3 (SPI-3) is involved in intracellular proliferation and Mg²⁺ uptake, and it contributes to systemic dissemination. Salmonella pathogenicity island-5 (SPI-5) has genes encoding for effector proteins for SPI-1 and SPI-2 and they are important to the development of intestinal symptoms and for intracellular surviving [4].

Virulence genes could be transferred between salmonellae by bacteriophage. In particular, many virulence factors carried on

[✉]First and corresponding author: Fabrizio Bertelloni, Department of Veterinary Science, University of Pisa, Viale delle Piagge, 2, 56124 Pisa Italy.

Tel: +39 050 2216969

Fax: +39 050 2210655

E-mail: fabriziobertelloni@gmail.com

Peer review under responsibility of Hainan Medical University.

prophages have been described, for serovars Typhimurium and Enteritidis, like *sopE* and *gipA* involved in intestinal colonization, and *sodCI*, an enzyme that protects salmonellae from the “oxidative burst” in macrophage environment [6,7]. Moreover, many non-typhoid *Salmonella* strains associated with extra-intestinal infections in humans and animals carry an additional locus termed *Salmonella* virulence plasmid (*spv*), located on *Salmonella* virulence plasmid [8].

Resistance to antimicrobials influences the infection development. This could be related to different factors: treatment failure and consequent persistence of the infection, disruption of the normal competitive gut flora and, moreover, it is demonstrated that antibiotics can directly improve the bacterial virulence [9].

The aim of the present study was to investigate some pathogenic characters of *Salmonella enterica* (*S. enterica*) strains isolated from poultry, in particular: 1) resistance to gastric acid environment, 2) presence of virulence genes of SPI-3, SPI-5, plasmids and prophages, 3) antibiotic resistance.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Bacterial strains

Forty-four *S. enterica* strains isolated from 2010 to 2014 were selected for the study. All strains were isolated from asymptomatic poultry during routine investigations. The isolates included: 19 *S. ser.* Enteritidis, 13 *S. ser.* Typhimurium, 8 *S. ser.* Infantis, 3 *S. ser.* Typhimurium monophasic variant and 1 *S. ser.* Thompson.

Isolates were screened with pulsed field gel electrophoresis, following the protocol reported by other authors [10], and only the strains belonging to different pulsotypes were further analyzed in order to avoid isolates redundancy.

2.2. Resistance to gastric environment

Constitutive and inducible gastric acid resistance was evaluated following the protocol previously described by Xia *et al* [11]. Briefly, *S. enterica* isolates were grown at 37 °C overnight in LB-MOPS (Luria Bertani broth, plus morpholinepropane-sulfonic acid, 100 mmol/L, pH 8.0) and LB-MES (Luria Bertani broth, plus morpholineethanesulfonic acid, 100 mmol/L, pH 5.5) broths to evaluate the constitutive and inducible resistance, respectively. Cultures were diluted 1:200 in synthetic gastric juice (8.3 g proteose-peptone, 3.5 g glucose, 2.05 g NaCl, 0.6 g KH₂PO₄, 0.11 g CaCl₂, 0.37 g KCl, 0.05 g porcine bile, 0.1 g lysozyme, 13.3 mg, ultrapure water 1 L; pH was adjusted to 3.0 with 6 mol/L HCl) and incubated at 37 °C in water bath for 2 h. Viable cell counts were determined before and after incubation by plating serial dilutions in PBS (pH 7.2) on LB agar. Results were expressed as the percentage of survived cells after synthetic gastric juice challenge. Three replicates were done for each strain. The minimum percentage of survived cells to consider a strain resistant was fixed to 1%.

2.3. Presence of virulence genes

DNA was extracted from overnight cultures of each isolate using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and stored at 4 °C until used as template for PCR assays.

The presence of *spvR*, *spvB*, *spvC*, *sodCI*, *mgtC*, *sopE*, *sopB*, *pipB*, *rhuM* and *gipA* genes was evaluated. Table 1 shows target genes, their location and amplification products size. Single PCR was executed for each gene, following protocols reported by other authors [7,12–15].

2.4. Antibiotic resistance

Resistance to 22 antibiotics was evaluated by the standard disk diffusion method of Kirby–Bauer, on Mueller Hinton Agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK), as describe in Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) manual [16]. The following antibiotics were employed (Oxoid): amoxicillin–clavulanic acid (30 µg), ampicillin (10 µg), amikacin (30 µg), cephalothin (30 µg), cefotaxime (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), chloramphenicol (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), colistin (10 µg), enrofloxacin (5 µg), florfenicol (30 µg), gentamycin (10 µg), kanamycin (30 µg), nalidixic acid (2 µg), nitrofurantoin (300 µg), streptomycin (10 µg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (25 µg), sulfonamide (300 µg), tetracycline (30 µg), tigecycline (15 µg), tobramycin (10 µg), trimethoprim (5 µg).

Results were interpreted following European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) breakpoint tables and, where not possible, according to National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) indications [17,18].

3. Results

3.1. Bacterial strains

Twenty-three *Salmonella* strains were selected on the basis of the pulsed field gel electrophoresis results. Ten different pulsotypes were obtained for ser. Typhimurium, 9 for ser. Enteritidis, 2 for ser. Typhimurium monophasic variant, and 1 for ser. Infantis.

3.2. Resistance to gastric environment

Four strains (17.39%), one *S. ser.* Thompson and three *S. ser.* Enteritidis, resulted sensible to the gastric acid environment, both before and after induction. Five strains (21.74%) were resistant only after induction. The remaining 14 strains (60.87%) showed both constitutive and induced resistance. Table 2 reports the results obtained for each analyzed strain, in relation with virulence genes and antibiotic resistance profiles.

3.3. Presence of virulence genes

The genes *spvR*, *spvB* and *spvC* (*spvRBC*) were always found in association. The gene *sodCI* was detected in 19/23 (82.60%) strains, *mgtC* in 13/23 (56.52%), *spvRBC* in 12/23 (52.17%) strains, *sopE* in 11, *sopB* in 11 and *pipB* in 11/23 (47.82%) strains, *rhuM* in 4/23 (17.39%) strains and *gipA* in 2/23 (8.69%) strains.

Table 3 shows the distribution of the virulence genes among the analyzed serovars. In particular, the *S. ser.* Infantis isolate did not show any investigated genes. *S. ser.* Thompson strains had only *sopB* and *pipB* genes. The genes *sopE* and *spvRBC* were detected in Enteritidis and Typhimurium strains. *gipA* was observed only in Typhimurium isolates. A total of 19 different profiles were detected.

Table 1

Investigated virulence genes, employed primers and expected PCR product size.

Gene	Location	Primers	Sequence (5'→3')	Product size (bp)	Reference
<i>mgtC</i>	SPI3	mgtCF mgtCR	CAT CGG CTG TAC CCG ACT AT CAG CAC GCT GAT GAA TGA GT	196	[12]
<i>pipB</i>	SPI5	pipBF pipBR	AAT ATC GGA TGG GGG AAA AG AAC CTG ACT CAC GCA GAC CT	230	[12]
<i>rhuM</i>	SPI3	rhuMF rhuMR	CAT CGG CTG TAC CCG ACT AT CAG CAC GCT GAT GAA TGA GT	222	[12]
<i>sopB</i>	SPI5	sopBF sopBR	TCA GAA GRC GTC TAA CCA CTC TAC CGT CCT CAT GCA CAC TC	517	[13]
<i>spvR</i>	Plasmid	spvRF spvRR	GCA GTG CGT GAT CTG TTG AT TTT CAT GAG GGG GCT AAA AA	202	[14]
<i>spvC</i>	Plasmid	spvCF spvCR	AAT TTG CCG GTG ACA AGT TC CGT GTC TTG TGG AGA AAC GA	235	[14]
<i>spvB</i>	Plasmid	spvBF spvBR	CTA TCA GCC CCG CAC GGA GAG CAG TTT TTA GGA GGA GGC GGT GGC GGT GGC ATC ATA	717	[15]
<i>gipA</i>	Prophage	gipAF gipAR	ACG ACT GAG CAG GCT GAG TTG GAA ATG GTG ACG GTA GAC	421	[13]
<i>sodCI</i>	Prophage	sodCIF sodCIR	TAT CGG AGT AAT TGT CAC CG ACA ATA TTG TCG CTG GTA GC	465	[7]
<i>sopE</i>	Prophage	sopEF sopER	AAT TCA TCA ATC AGA TGG AC TCA TAT TAA TCA GGA AGA GG	869	[7]

Table 2Gastric acid resistance, virulence genes and antibiotic resistance profiles of each analyzed *S. enterica* isolate.

Strain	Serovar	Gastric environment resistance (mean ± SD)		Virulence genes profile	Antibiotic resistance profile
		Constitutive	Induced		
S28	Typhimurium	1.08 ± 0.82	8.17 ± 0.47	<i>sopB rhuM pipB mgtC gipA</i>	S3
S111	Thompson	0.05 ± 0.06	0.31 ± 0.40	<i>sopB pipB</i>	AMP S3
S176	Typhimurium	2.81 ± 0.61	18.09 ± 3.64	<i>sodCI spvRBC sopB pipB mgtC gipA</i>	
S207	Infantis	3.77 ± 1.61	67.02 ± 7.94	no genes	NA S TE TGC S3 W SXT F
S217	Enteritidis	3.35 ± 1.99	74.50 ± 6.99	<i>sodCI sopE spvRBC</i>	NA TGC S3 F
S218	Enteritidis	4.23 ± 5.90	44.63 ± 7.74	<i>sodCI sopE</i>	NA TGC S3 F
S219	Enteritidis	0.12 ± 0.16	29.80 ± 10.61	<i>sodCI sopE spvRBC</i>	AMP AMC F
S220	Enteritidis	0.13 ± 0.16	29.38 ± 9.51	<i>sodCI sopE spvRBC</i>	S3
S221	Enteritidis	0.01 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.00	<i>sodCI sopE spvRBC</i>	S3 F
S232	Enteritidis	0.03 ± 0.04	0.39 ± 0.41	<i>sodCI sopE spvRBC sopB pipB</i>	S3 F
S233	Enteritidis	0.04 ± 0.05	0.01 ± 0.01	<i>sodCI sopE sopB rhuM mgtC</i>	S3 F
S234	Enteritidis	0.83 ± 1.17	3.11 ± 4.16	<i>sodCI sopE spvRBC sopB rhuM mgtC</i>	
S236	Enteritidis	21.61 ± 4.53	25.79 ± 7.45	<i>sodCI sopE spvRBC sopB</i>	S3 F
S239	Typhimurium monophasic variant	3.18 ± 3.59	40.27 ± 8.75	<i>sodCI rhuM</i>	AMP KF S TE S3 F
S240	Typhimurium	4.63 ± 3.54	35.91 ± 14.28	<i>sodCI sopB pipB mgtC</i>	AMP S AK TE TGC S3
S241	Typhimurium	14.78 ± 0.36	40.90 ± 0.75	<i>sodCI sopB pipB mgtC</i>	AMP KF S TE TGC S3
S244	Typhimurium monophasic variant	5.39 ± 5.10	41.04 ± 12.75	<i>sodCI mgtC</i>	AMP S TE TGC S3
S245	Typhimurium	9.62 ± 0.33	47.05 ± 10.97	<i>sodCI spvRBC sopB pipB mgtC</i>	TGC
S250	Typhimurium	4.17 ± 0.57	24.39 ± 3.52	<i>sodCI spvRBC sopB pipB mgtC</i>	S TGC
S251	Typhimurium	2.41 ± 3.32	16.57 ± 0.25	<i>sodCI sopE mgtC</i>	AMP S TE TGC S3
S252	Typhimurium	3.37 ± 2.33	16.88 ± 4.19	<i>sodCI sopE spvRBC pipB mgtC</i>	AMP S TE S3 F C FFC
S258	Typhimurium	0.59 ± 0.78	34.49 ± 1.64	<i>sodCI spvRBC pipB mgtC</i>	AMP AMC S TE TGC S3 F C FFC
S261	Typhimurium	0.12 ± 0.13	7.68 ± 5.64	<i>pipB mgtC</i>	S S3 F

AMC = amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, AMP = ampicillin, AK = amikacin, KF = cephalothin, C = chloramphenicol, FFC = florphenicol, F = nitrofurantoin, S = streptomycin, S3 = sulfonamide, TE = tetracycline, TGC = tigecycline.

3.4. Antibiotic resistance

All the 23 strains resulted sensible to ciprofloxacin and ceftazidime. Many strains (percentage ≥ 80%) were susceptible to nalidixic acid, enrofloxacin, cephotaxime, trimethoprim, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, chloramphenicol and florfenicol.

Resistance was mainly detected to ampicillin, streptomycin, tetracycline, tigecycline, sulfonamide and nitrofurantoin (Table 4).

Twenty-one different antibiotic resistance profiles were obtained. Only 2 isolates (8.69%) resulted sensible to all tested molecules. The remaining 21 strains (91.30%) were resistant to 1–9 antibiotics; in particular 10 strains (43.47%) resulted resistant to four or more classes of antibiotics.

Table 3Distribution of virulence genes among different analyzed *Salmonella* isolates.

Serovar	Number of analyzed strains	Virulence genes							
		<i>sodCI</i>	<i>sopE</i>	<i>spvRBC</i>	<i>sopB</i>	<i>rhuM</i>	<i>pipB</i>	<i>mgtC</i>	<i>gipA</i>
Typhimurium	10	8	2	5	6	1	9	10	2
Enteritidis	9	9	9	7	4	2	1	2	0
Typhimurium monophasic variant	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Infantis	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thompson	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Total	23	19	11	12	11	4	11	13	2

Table 4Antimicrobial resistance of *S. enterica* isolates [*n* (%)].

Antibiotic		Susceptible	Intermediate	Resistant	Non-susceptible
Fluoroquinolones	Nalidixic acid	20 (86.96)	0 (0.00)	3 (13.04)	3 (13.04)
	Ciprofloxacin	23 (100.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
	Enrofloxacin	20 (86.96)	3 (13.04)	0 (0.00)	3 (13.04)
Penicillins	Ampicillin	14 (60.87)	0 (0.00)	9 (39.13)	9 (39.13)
	Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	18 (78.26)	3 (13.04)	2 (8.70)	5 (21.74)
Cephems (cephalosporins)	Cephotaxime	20 (86.96)	3 (13.04)	0 (0.00)	3 (13.04)
	Cephalothin	18 (78.26)	3 (13.04)	2 (8.70)	5 (21.74)
	Cephtazidime	23 (100.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
Aminoglycosides	Gentamycin	18 (78.26)	5 (21.74)	0 (0.00)	5 (21.74)
	Kanamycin	7 (30.43)	16 (69.57)	0 (0.00)	16 (69.57)
	Streptomycin	4 (17.39)	9 (39.13)	10 (43.48)	19 (82.61)
	Amikacin	15 (65.22)	7 (30.43)	1 (4.35)	8 (34.78)
	Tobramycin	14 (60.87)	9 (39.13)	0 (0.00)	9 (39.13)
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	11 (47.83)	4 (17.39)	8 (34.78)	12 (52.17)
	Tigecycline	1 (4.35)	12 (52.17)	10 (43.48)	22 (95.65)
Folate pathway inhibitors	Sulfonamide	5 (21.74)	0 (0.00)	18 (78.26)	18 (78.26)
	Trimethoprim	22 (95.65)	0 (0.00)	1 (4.35)	1 (4.35)
	Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	22 (95.65)	0 (0.00)	1 (4.35)	1 (4.35)
Others	Colistin	23 (100.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
	Nitrofurantoin	5 (21.74)	6 (26.09)	12 (52.17)	18 (78.26)
	Chloramphenicol	21 (91.30)	0 (0.00)	2 (8.70)	2 (8.70)
	Florphenicol	19 (82.61)	2 (8.70)	2 (8.70)	4 (17.39)

The resistance profile ampicillin, streptomycin, sulfonamide and tetracycline (ASSuT) was detected in 7 isolates (30.43%).

4. Discussion

The first character of pathogenicity evaluated in the present study was the resistance to gastric acid environment, because the ability to survive the gastric pH is essential for enteric pathogens to reach the intestine, primary site of infection. In particular, constitutive and inducible acid resistance was evaluated. The 60.87% of the strains exhibited both kinds of resistance, while the 21.74% of strains only showed inducible resistance. The ability to acquire resistance to low pH after growing in mildly acid condition represents an advantage for the foodborne pathogens. Our results showed that the majority of the tested strains had this property. Moreover, the isolates with constitutive resistance (Enteritidis, Infantis, and Typhimurium) after induction showed higher resistance, with percentages of survived cells until 74.5%.

The second investigated character was the presence of some virulence genes, present in SPI-3, SPI-5, prophages and plasmids. The most frequently detected gene was *sodCI*. This gene, carried by a phage, contributes to the survival of salmonellae in macrophage, protecting the bacteria from the “oxidative burst”, and it is important for systemic dissemination [7,19]. All *S. ser.* Enteritidis,

S. ser. Typhimurium monophasic variant, and 8/10 *S. ser.* Typhimurium isolates, included in this study, had this gene.

The *spv* was detected in 7/9 *S. ser.* Enteritidis and in 5/10 *S. ser.* Typhimurium, whereas none of the other isolates carried this gene. The *spv* gene concurs to survival of salmonellae inside the macrophage and to their systemic spreading.

Eleven of 23 strains (47.82%) harbored the gene *sopE*. This gene, carried by a phage, is involved in epithelial cells invasion at intestinal level and stimulation of the host inflammatory response. The present study found *sopE* in all examined *S. ser.* Enteritidis and 2/10 Typhimurium strains.

The present survey found the gene *gipA* in two Typhimurium strains. It is involved in Peyer's patch survival and it concurs to salmonella colonization of the M cells in the ileal Peyer's patch [20].

The 47.82% of the strains had the genes *sopB* and *pipB*, both located on SPI-5. *sopB* contributes to enterocytes invasion and mediate fluid secretion, whereas *pipB* is involved in the bacteria survival in the intracellular environment [21,22].

Others investigated chromosomal genes were *mgtC* and *rhuM*, both located on SPI-3 and linked to the capacity to produce a systemic infection [23,24]. *mgtC*, important for the bacterial metabolism in the phagosome, resulted the second most frequently detected gene, with 56.52% of positive strains. On the other hand, only 4 strains (17.39%) harbored *rhuM*, all but one in association with *mgtC*.

The investigated genes, with the exception of *rhuM*, are considered by some authors to be present in most *Salmonella* isolates [25]. Our study found a limited spreading of these genes, as documented by other authors [13,14,25].

Nineteen different profiles were identified. Only one strain, ser. Infantis, resulted negative for all the investigated genes. The remaining strains had 2–6 genes in association and Typhimurium and Enteritidis strains resulted the most virulent serovars.

The last investigated feature was the antimicrobial resistance. Quinolones, cephalosporins, phenicols, amoxicillin–clavulanate, colistin, trimethoprim and the association trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole resulted effective against more than 80% of tested strains.

Most of the isolates (78.26%) showed resistance to sulfonamide. This is one of the most common antimicrobials used in chicken flocks in a large number of countries, thus the observed high resistance is not surprising.

A total of 78.26% (52.17% resistant and 26.09% intermediate) resulted non-susceptible to nitrofurantoin. This is a molecule active against a broad range of bacteria, that in the past has been largely used in veterinary medicine. In 1993, in European Union (EU), it was banned because of the risk to human health due to the occurrence of toxic residues in food products. The currently detected resistance to nitrofurantoin could be related to a selection of resistant strains in the past or to its continuous illegal use [26].

A very high percentage (95.65%) of isolates resulted no-susceptible to tigecycline. This is a member of the glycylcycline group of antibiotics, and was registered in the EU in April 2006. It is a bacteriostatic antibiotic active against a broad range of bacteria, with only few naturally resistant exceptions. Specifically, tigecycline is considered effective against multidrug resistant bacteria, including Enterobacteriaceae. Reports of resistance to tigecycline have been rare in naturally susceptible pathogens; however, resistant variants may be encountered [27].

Our results suggest that resistance to tigecycline is not so rare and it represents an emerging problem for the bacterial treatment in veterinary and human medicine, as supposed by other authors [28].

Moderate resistance was observed against tetracycline, confirming that this antibiotic, largely employed in veterinary medicine, often is not effective in the treatment of bacterial infections, as reported by other studies [29,30].

The 82.61% (43.48% resistant and 39.13% intermediate) of isolates were no-susceptible to streptomycin. The tested isolates, even though not resistant, resulted intermediate to the other aminoglycosides.

Overall 16 different resistance profiles were observed. All but two strains resulted resistant at least to one antibiotic. In particular, 10 strains were resistant to four or more different antibiotics belonging to four or more different antibiotic classes. ASSuT profile was encountered in 5 *S. ser* Typhimurium and 2 *S. ser* Typhimurium monophasic variant isolates. This resistance type, usually found in Typhimurium and in its monophasic variant and often associated to resistance to other antibiotics, is considered typical of the most virulent *Salmonella* strains [31].

The present study shows that paratyphoid *Salmonella* strains with several characters of pathogenicity are circulating among poultry. Most of the isolates resulted able to survive to the gastric acid environment, especially after induction, a feature indispensable to establish intestine infection. Great variability was observed in the distribution of virulence genes; however, most of the investigated virulence genes were frequently

detected in Enteritidis and Typhimurium isolates, confirming these serovars as the most potentially pathogenic salmonellae.

The antibiotic resistance is an increasing threat for the therapy of *Salmonella* and other bacterial infections. The present investigation found good effectiveness of fluoroquinolones, cephalosporins and colistin against the tested isolates. Surprising an high percentages of no-susceptible isolates were observed against tigecycline, a relatively new molecule often indicated for the *Salmonella* infection treatment, and nitrofurantoin that has been banned for several years.

No correlation was observed between antibiotic resistance and serovars, but ASSuT profile, considered as often associated to the most virulent strains, was detected only in serovars Typhimurium and Typhimurium monophasic variant.

The obtained results underline that poultry may be infected by virulent paratyphoid *Salmonella* strains. Even though infected animals often do not develop clinical disease, they represent a potential source of salmonellae for humans, because of the contamination of poultry products.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). ECDC (European centre for disease prevention and control). The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2013. *EFS J* 2015; **13**: 162.
- [2] Porwollik S, Wong RM, McClelland M. Evolutionary genomics of *Salmonella*: gene acquisitions revealed by microarray analysis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002; **99**(13): 8956-8961.
- [3] LaRock DL, Chaudhary A, Miller SI. *Salmonellae* interactions with host processes. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2015; **13**(4): 191-205.
- [4] Roer L, Hendriksen RS, Leekitcharoenphon P, Lukjancenko O, Kaas RS, Hasman H, et al. Is the evolution of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* linked to restriction-modification systems? *Msystems* 2016; **21**(3): e00009-00016.
- [5] Sabbagh SC, Forest CG, Lepage C, Leclerc JM, Daigle F. So similar, yet so different: uncovering distinctive features in the genomes of *Salmonella enterica* serovars Typhimurium and Typhi. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2010; **305**(1): 1-13.
- [6] Ehrbar K, Hardt WD. Bacteriophage-encoded type III effectors in *Salmonella enterica* subspecies 1 serovar Typhimurium. *Infect Genet Evol* 2005; **5**(1): 1-9.
- [7] Karasova D, Havlickova H, Sisak F, Rychlik I. Deletion of *sodCI* and *spvBC* in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis reduced its virulence to the natural virulence of serovars Agona, Hadar and Infantis for mice but not for chickens early after infection. *Vet Microbiol* 2009; **139**(3-4): 304-309.
- [8] Guiney DG, Fierer J. The role of the *spv* genes in *Salmonella* pathogenesis. *Front Microbiol* 2011; **2**: 129.
- [9] Brunelle BW, Bearson BL, Bearson SM. Chloramphenicol and tetracycline decrease motility and increase invasion and attachment gene expression in specific isolates of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *Front Microbiol* 2015; **5**: 801.
- [10] Kérouanton A, Marault M, Lailler R, Weill FX, Feurer C, Espié E, et al. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis subtyping database for foodborne *Salmonella enterica* serotype discrimination. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2007; **4**(3): 293-303.
- [11] Xia X, Zhao S, Smith A, McEvoy J, Meng J, Bhagwat AA. Characterization of *Salmonella* isolates from retail foods based on serotyping, pulse field gel electrophoresis, antibiotic resistance and other phenotypic properties. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2009; **129**(1): 93-98.

- [12] Bhowmick PP, Devegowda D, Karunasagar I. Virulotyping of seafood associated *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* isolated from Southwest coast of India. *Biotechnol Bioinf Bioeng* 2011; **1**: 63-69.
- [13] Huehn S, La Ragione RM, Anjum M, Saunders M, Woodward MJ, Bunge C, et al. Virulotyping and antimicrobial resistance typing of *Salmonella enterica* serovars relevant to human health in Europe. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2010; **7**(5): 523-535.
- [14] Parvathi A, Vijayan J, Murali G, Chandran P. Comparative virulence genotyping and antimicrobial susceptibility profiling of environmental and clinical *Salmonella enterica* from Cochin, India. *Curr Microbiol* 2011; **62**(1): 21-26.
- [15] Skyberg JA, Logue CM, Nolan LK. Virulence genotyping of *Salmonella* spp. with multiplex PCR. *Avian Dis* 2006; **50**(1): 77-81.
- [16] M02-A1 CLSI. *Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests. Approved standard*. 11th ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2012.
- [17] M100-S17 CLSI. *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Seventeenth informational supplement*. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2007.
- [18] M31-A2 NCCLS. *Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals. Approved Standard*. 2nd ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2002.
- [19] Rushing MD, Slauch JM. Either periplasmic tethering or protease resistance is sufficient to allow a SodC to protect *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium from phagocytic superoxide. *Mol Microbiol* 2011; **82**(4): 952-963.
- [20] Stanley TL, Ellermeier CD, Slauch JM. Tissue-specific gene expression identifies a gene in the lysogenic phage Gifsy-1 that affects *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium survival in Peyer's patches. *J Bacteriol* 2000; **182**(16): 4406-4413.
- [21] Piscatelli HL, Li M, Zhou D. Dual 4- and 5-phosphatase activities regulate SopB-dependent phosphoinositide dynamics to promote bacterial entry. *Cell Microbiol* 2016; **18**(5): 705-719.
- [22] Knodler LA, Vallance BA, Hensel M, Jäckel D, Finlay BB, Steele-Mortimer O. *Salmonella* type III effectors PipB and PipB2 are targeted to detergent-resistant microdomains on internal host cell membranes. *Mol Microbiol* 2003; **49**(3): 685-704.
- [23] Blanc-Potard AB, Solomon F, Kayser J, Groisman EA. The SPI-3 pathogenicity island of *Salmonella enterica*. *J Bacteriol* 1999; **181**(3): 998-1004.
- [24] Lee JW, Lee EJ. Regulation and function of the *Salmonella* MgtC virulence protein. *J Microbiol* 2015; **53**(10): 667-672.
- [25] Zou W, Al-Khaldi SF, Branham WS, Han T, Fuscoe JC, Han J, et al. Microarray analysis of virulence gene profiles in *Salmonella* serovars from food/food animal environment. *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2011; **5**(2): 94-105.
- [26] Antunes P, Machado J, Peixe L. Illegal use of nitrofurans in food animals: contribution to human salmonellosis? *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2006; **12**(11): 1047-1049.
- [27] Giammanco A, Calà C, Fasciana T, Dowzicky MJ. Global assessment of the activity of tigecycline against multidrug-resistant gram-negative pathogens between 2004 and 2014 as part of the tigecycline evaluation and surveillance trial. *mSphere* 2017; **18**(1): e00310-00316.
- [28] Bertelloni F, Chemaly M, Cerri D, Gall FL, Ebani VV. *Salmonella* infection in healthy pet reptiles: bacteriological isolation and study of some pathogenic characters. *Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung* 2016; **63**(2): 203-216.
- [29] Henry I, Granier S, Courtillon C, Lalande F, Chemaly M, Salvat G, et al. *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* isolated from chicken carcasses and environment at slaughter in Reunion Island: prevalence, genetic characterization and antibiotic susceptibility. *Trop Anim Health Prod* 2013; **45**(1): 317-326.
- [30] EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). ECDC (European centre for disease Prevention and control). EU summary report on antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria from humans, animals and food in 2013. *EFSA J* 2015; **13**: 178.
- [31] Seixas R, Santos TR, Machado J, Tavares L, Bernardo F, Semedo-Lemsaddek T, et al. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of *Salmonella* 1,4,[5],12:i:- R-Type ASSuT isolates from humans, animals, and environment in Portugal, 2006–2011. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2016; **13**(11): 633-641.