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#### Abstract

We determine which complex abelian varieties can be realized as the automorphism group of a smooth projective variety.


## 1 Introduction

In this note we determine which complex abelian varieties $A$ can be realized as the automorphism group of a complex smooth projective variety. Given an abelian variety $A$, we denote by $\operatorname{Aut}_{\text {Grp }}(A)$ (respectively $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$ ) the automorphism group of $A$ as an algebraic group (respectively as a projective variety). We prove that if $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Grp}}(A)$ is infinite then $A$ can never be realized as the automorphism group of a smooth projective variety (Theorem 2.1), whereas if $\operatorname{Aut}_{G r p}(A)$ is finite there exists a smooth projective variety $Y$ of dimension $2+\operatorname{dim} A$ such that $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)=A$ (Theorem 3.9).
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## 2 Abelian varieties with infinite automorphism group

In this section we show that no abelian variety with infinite $\operatorname{Aut}_{\text {Grp }}(A)$ can be realized as the automorphism group of a smooth projective variety:

Theorem 2.1. Let $A$ be an abelian variety such that $\operatorname{Aut}_{G r p}(A)$, the automorphism group of $A$ as an algebraic group, is infinite. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety on which $A$ acts faithfully: then the index of $A$ in the automorphism group of $X$ is infinite.

The proof relies on the following result of Nishi and Matsumura [Mat63], which we quote here in the version due to Brion [Bri10, page 2]:

Theorem 2.2. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety on which an abelian variety $A$ acts faithfully. There is a positive integer $n$ and a $A[n]$-invariant closed subscheme $Y$ of $X$ such that there is an A-equivariant isomorphism

$$
X \cong Y \times{ }^{A[n]} A
$$

Proof. (of Theorem 2.1) Let $\iota: A \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be the given action of $A$ on $X$ and write $X \cong$ $Y \times{ }^{A[n]} A$ as in Theorem 2.2. We can represent $X \cong Y \times{ }^{A[n]} A$ more explicitly as the quotient

$$
X \cong \frac{Y \times A}{A[n]}
$$

where $t \in A[n]$ acts on $(y, a)$ as $t \cdot(y, a)=(\iota(t)(y), a-t)$. This quotient is well-behaved, because $A[n]$ is a finite group acting on $Y \times A$ with no fixed points. In particular, in order to give an (invertible) map $X \rightarrow X$ it is enough to give an (invertible) map $Y \times A \rightarrow Y \times A$ that is compatible with the action of $A[n]$. Notice that, since $A[n]$ is finite and stable under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Grp}}(A)$, the group $K=\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Grp}}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(A[n])\right)$ is infinite. We claim that for any $\varphi \in K$ the automorphism $\psi$ of $Y \times A$ given by $(y, a) \mapsto(y, \varphi(a))$ descends to an automorphism $\bar{\psi}$ of $X$. Indeed, it suffices to check that for every $t \in A[n]$ we have $\psi(t \cdot(y, a))=t \cdot \psi((y, a))$, that is,

$$
\psi((\iota(t) y, a-t))=t \cdot(y, \varphi(a)) \Longleftrightarrow(\iota(t)(y), \varphi(a-t))=(\iota(t)(y), \varphi(a)-t) ;
$$

this last equality holds since $\varphi$ is a group homomorphism and $t$ is in $A[n]$, which $\varphi$ fixes pointwise. Finally one checks that the map $\varphi \mapsto \bar{\psi}$ is injective, and since $\bar{\psi}$ is not contained in the image of $\iota$ for any nontrivial $\varphi$ this proves that $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ contains $\iota(A)$ with infinite index.

## 3 Abelian varieties with finite automorphism group

We will now prove that any abelian variety such that $\operatorname{Aut}_{G r p}(A)$ is finite can be realized as the automorphism group of a smooth projective variety $Y$. We first make some remaks on the structure of abelian varieties with finite automorphism group.
Lemma 3.1. Let $A$ and $B$ two isogenous abelian varieties then $\operatorname{Aut}_{G r p}(A)$ is finite if and only if $\operatorname{Aut}_{G r p}(B)$ is finite.
Proof. Since being isogenous is a symmetric relation, it suffices to prove that if $A \rightarrow B$ is an isogeny and $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Grp}}(A)$ is infinite, then so is $\operatorname{Aut}_{\text {Grp }}(B)$. Write $B \cong A / H$, where $H$ is a finite subgroup of $A$, and assume that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\text {Grp }}(A)$ is infinite. Notice that every automorphism $\varphi$ of $A$ which leaves $H$ stable induces an automorphism $\bar{\varphi}$ of $B$, and that $\bar{\varphi}$ is trivial if and only if $\varphi$ is trivial. Let $n$ be the order of $H$; in particular, we have $H \subset A[n]$. Any automorphism $\varphi$ of $A$ leaves $A[n]$ stable, so, since $\operatorname{Aut}_{G r p}(A)$ is infinite and $A[n]$ is finite, the subgroup of automorphisms $\varphi$ which fix $A[n]$ pointwise is infinite. Every such automorphism leaves $H$ stable, hence it descends to an automorphism of $B$, and since the map $\varphi \mapsto \bar{\varphi}$ is injective we deduce that $\operatorname{Aut}_{G r p}(B)$ is infinite.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A$ be an abelian variety such that $\operatorname{Aut}_{G r p}(A)$ is finite. Then any two simple abelian subvarieties $A_{1}, A_{2}$ of $A$ are isogenous if and only if they coincide. Moreover, if $A_{1}$ is a simple abelian subvariety of $A$, then $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Grp}}\left(A_{1}\right)$ is finite.
Proof. Suppose by contradiction that we can find two distinct but isogenous simple abelian subvarieties $A_{1}, A_{2}$ of $A$. By Poincaré's reducibility theorem, there is an abelian subvariety $C$ of $A$ such that the multiplication map $A_{1} \times A_{2} \times C \rightarrow A$ is an isogeny. Let $B$ be an abelian variety such that there exists isogenies $\varphi_{i}: B \longrightarrow A_{i}$ and define the isogeny

$$
\varphi: B^{2} \times C \rightarrow A \quad \text { by } \quad \varphi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, c\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)+\varphi_{2}\left(b_{2}\right)+c
$$

Now notice that $\psi\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, c\right)=\left(b_{1}, b_{1}+b_{2}, c\right)$ defines an automorphism of $B^{2} \times C$ of infinite order, and by the previous Lemma we conclude that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Grp}}(A)$ is also infinite, contradiction. The proof that for any simple abelian subvariety $A_{1}$ of $A$ the group $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Grp}}\left(A_{1}\right)$ is finite is completely analogous.

From now on we fix an abelian variety $A$ with finite automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}_{\text {Grp }}(A)$. By the previous Lemma and Poincaré reducibility Theorem we know that there exist uniquely determined simple abelian subvarieties $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{h}$ of $A$ such that the sum

$$
\sigma: A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{h} \longrightarrow A \quad \sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{h}\right)=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{h}
$$

is an isogeny. We denote by $\Sigma$ the finite kernel of this map and denote by $N$ its order. By Lemma $3.2, A_{i}$ and $A_{j}$ are not isogenous if $i \neq j$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Grp}}\left(A_{i}\right)$ is finite for all $i$. Finally, notice that any abelian variety constructed in this way has finite automorphism group.

### 3.1 Construction of the example

Let $A$ be as above and choose a prime number $p \geq 7$ such that
$\left(^{*}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, h$, for any subgroup $H$ of $A_{i}$ contained in $A[N]$, and for any nontrivial $\varphi \in$ $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathrm{Grp}}\left(A_{i} / H\right), p$ is larger than the order of $\left(A_{i} / H\right)^{\varphi}=\left\{x \in A_{i} / H: \varphi(x)=x\right\}$.

Notice that if $\varphi$ is a nontrivial automorphism of a simple abelian variety then $\varphi$ has only finitely many fixed points, so a prime number $p$ with this property exists (notice that $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Grp}}\left(A_{i} / H\right)$ is finite for every $i$ and $H$ thanks to Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2).

Let $S / \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $p$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $\operatorname{Aut}(S) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ and such that every automorphism of $S$ acts on it without any fixed points; an explicit example of such a hypersurface is given in Theorem 3.12. Let $G=\operatorname{Aut}(S) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ and set $X:=S / G$. We now proceed to describe some basic properties of $X$ (§3.1.1), construct a certain smooth projective variety $Y$ of dimension $2+\operatorname{dim} A(\S 3.1 .2)$, and prove that $Y$ has automorphism group isomorphic to $A$ (Theorem 3.9 in §3.2).

### 3.1.1 Properties of $X$

Lemma 3.3. $X$ is a smooth projective variety.
Proof. $X$ is smooth since $G$ acts on $S$ without fixed points, and is projective since any quotient of a projective variety by a finite group of automorphisms is projective (see [Ser58, Remarque on page 51]).

## Lemma 3.4. $X$ does not admit any nontrivial automorphisms.

Proof. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ be an automorphism. Composing with the natural projection $\pi: S \rightarrow X$, we obtain a map $\varphi \circ \pi: S \rightarrow X$ which, since $S$ is simply connected, lifts to a map $\tilde{\varphi}: S \rightarrow S$. Clearly $\tilde{\varphi}$ is algebraic, and it is easily seen to be a covering map, so it is an isomorphism since $S$ is connected and simply connected. It follows that $\tilde{\varphi}: S \rightarrow S$ is in $G$, hence (by passing to the quotient) it induces the identity on $X$. Since on the other hand $\tilde{\varphi}$ induces $\varphi$ on $X$, we get $\varphi=\operatorname{id}_{X}$ as claimed.

Lemma 3.5. $K_{X}$ is ample; in particular, $X$ has Kodaira dimension 2.
Proof. By adjunction, $K_{S}=\left.O_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(p-3-1)\right|_{S}$ is ample, so $\operatorname{kod}(S)=\operatorname{dim}(S)=2$. Since $\pi: S \rightarrow X$ is finite étale, $K_{X}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{S}\right)$ is also ample, and $\operatorname{kod}(X)=\operatorname{dim}(X)=2$.

Lemma 3.6. The Albanese variety of $X$ is trivial, therefore there are no non-constant maps from $X$ to any abelian variety.

Proof. Clearly $S$ is the universal cover of $X$, so $\pi_{1}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Aut}(S \rightarrow X) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ and in particular is finite. Since the Albanese variety of $X$ is dual to its Picard variety, one has $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Alb}(X)=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=h^{1,0}(X)$; on the other hand, the fact that $\pi_{1}(X)$ is finite implies that $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial, so $h^{1,0}(X) \leq h^{1}(X)=\operatorname{dim} H^{1}(X, \mathbb{C})=0$, hence $\operatorname{Alb}(X)$ is trivial as claimed.

### 3.1.2 A nontrivial $A$-torsor $Y \rightarrow X$

Definition 3.7. Fix an isomorphism $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ and a point $P$ such that
$\left({ }^{* *}\right) P$ is a $p$-torsion point of $A$ which is not contained in any proper abelian subvariety of $A$.
The abelian subvarieties of $A$ are all of the form $A_{i_{1}}+\cdots+A_{i_{k}}$, so a point with this property exists. We let $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ act on the group generated by $P$ in the obvious way (that is, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the class of $n$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ sends $P$ to $n P)$. We set $Y=(S \times A) / G$, where the action of $G$ on the product $S \times A$ is given by

$$
g \cdot(s, a)=(g \cdot s, a+\chi(g) P)
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, it is easy to see that $Y$ is a smooth projective variety; moreover, $Y$ has a natural structure of principal space under $A$. Indeed for each $b \in A$, the translation map

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S \times A & \rightarrow & S \times A \\
(s, a) & \mapsto & (s, a+b)
\end{array}
$$

commutes with the action of $G$, so it descends to an automorphism of $Y=(S \times A) / G$ that we denote by $y \mapsto b+y$ or by $\tau_{b}$. This defines an action of $A$ on $Y$ which is free and transitive along the fibers of the map $Y \rightarrow X$. Moreover, $Y \rightarrow X$ is an $A$-torsor in the analytic (and in fact even étale) topology: indeed, $S$ is an étale covering of $X$, and the pullback of $Y$ to $S$ is trivial.

Lemma 3.8. The map $Y \rightarrow X$ does not admit a section (in the analytic topology).
Proof. Notice that $Y \rightarrow X$ admits a section if and only if it is trivial as a torsor. Indeed if $Y \rightarrow X$ has a section $s$ then the map $A \times X \rightarrow Y$ given by $(a, x) \mapsto a+s(x)$ is an isomorphism of torsors. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the sheaf of holomorphic functions on $X$ with values in $A ; A$-torsors on $X$ are classified by $H^{1}(X, \mathcal{A})$, where the cohomology is taken in the analytic category. For any fixed $n>0$, consider the exact sequence of sheaves on $X$

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{[n]} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0
$$

and take cohomology to obtain the long exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{0}(X, \mathcal{A}[n]) \rightarrow H^{0}(X, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{[n]} H^{0}(X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^{1}(X, \mathcal{A}[n]) \rightarrow H^{1}(X, \mathcal{A})
$$

By Serre's GAGA principle, all maps from $X$ to $A$ are algebraic, so by Lemma 3.6 we have $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{A})=A$, and $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{[n]} H^{0}(X, \mathcal{A})$ is just $A \xrightarrow{[n]} A$, which is surjective. It follows in particular that the natural arrow

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}(X, \mathcal{A}[n]) \rightarrow H^{1}(X, \mathcal{A}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is injective. Consider $Z:=(S \times\langle P\rangle) / G \hookrightarrow Y$, where $\langle P\rangle$ denotes the order $p$ subgroup of $A(\mathbb{C})$ generated by $P$. By the injectivity of (1) (with $n=p$ ), proving that $Z$ is a nontrivial covering space of $X$ suffices to show that $Y \rightarrow X$ is a nontrivial torsor. But this is clear, because the natural map $S \rightarrow S \times\langle P\rangle \rightarrow(S \times\langle P\rangle) / G$ is injective and surjective, hence (since $S$ is compact) a homeomorphism. It follows that $Z \cong S$ is a nontrivial cover of $X$ as desired.

### 3.2 Determination of $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$

In this section we show:
Theorem 3.9. The automorphism group of $Y$ is isomorphic to $A$.

### 3.2.1 Preliminaries on simple abelian varieties

We shall need the following basic fact about simple abelian varieties.
Lemma 3.10. Let $T$ be a projective complex torus. Let $A$ be the abelian variety obtained from $T$ by fixing an arbitrary origin; notice that $T$ is naturally a torsor under $A$. Finally let $\alpha$ be an automorphism of $T$ (as a projective variety) and assume that $A$ is simple. Then:

1. if $\alpha$ is translation by a point of $A$, then the determinant of $(1-\alpha)_{*}: H_{1}(T, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{1}(T, \mathbb{Q})$ is 0 ;
2. if $\alpha$ is not translation by a point of $A$, then $\alpha$ has at least one fixed point and the determinant of $(1-\alpha)_{*}: H_{1}(T, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{1}(T, \mathbb{Q})$ is the number of fixed points of $\alpha$.

Proof. The statement of (1) is obvious, because translations induce the identity on $H_{1}(T, \mathbb{Q})$. Assume now that $\alpha$ is not a translation and identify $T$ with $A$ by choosing a point $t_{0} \in T$ as the origin. We prove first that $\alpha$ has at least one fixed point. Letting $a=\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)-t_{0}$ we have $\alpha(t)=\varphi(t)+a$, where $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Grp}}(A)$ is different from the identity. Let $\psi=\varphi-\operatorname{id}_{A}: A \longrightarrow A ;$ it is an endomorphism of $A$, and since $A$ is simple and $\varphi$ is nontrivial the image of $\psi$ is $A$ itself. One checks that $b \in T$ is a fixed point of $\alpha$ if and only if $\psi(b)=-a$. As $\psi$ is surjective, such $b$ exist, and there are only finitely many of them because the set $\{b: \psi(b)=-a\}$ is naturally a torsor under the finite group $\operatorname{ker} \psi$. We can then choose the origin $t_{0}$ to be a fixed point of $\alpha$, in which case $\alpha$ belongs to $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Grp}}(A)$ and we have $\psi(t)=\alpha(t)-t$, so that $A^{\varphi}$ is equal to the kernel of $\psi$ and its order is the degree of $\psi$. The lemma follows from the fact that for a complex torus $T$ the degree of $\psi$ is equal to $\operatorname{det}\left(\psi_{*}: H_{1}(T, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H_{1}(T, \mathbb{Q})\right)$.

### 3.2.2 Preliminaries on surfaces of Kodaira dimension 2

We shall need the following consequence of [DHP08].
Lemma 3.11. Let $X$ be a surface of Kodaira dimension 2 and $A$ be an abelian variety. The image of any morphism $f: A \rightarrow X$ is either a point or a (possibly singular) irreducible curve of geometric genus at most one.

Proof. Let $Y$ be the image of $A$. By [DHP08, Lemma 2.1] there exists a subtorus $B$ of $A$ such that $f$ factors through $C:=A / B$ and the induced map $g: C \rightarrow Y$ is finite. Hence if $Y$ is a curve its (geometric) genus must be equal to zero or one. If $Y=X$, letting $R$ be the ramification divisor of $g$ and using that $g$ is finite we have

$$
0=K_{C}=g^{*} K_{X}+R
$$

Since $K_{X}$ is ample and $R \geq 0$ we get a contradiction.

### 3.2.3 Proof of Theorem 3.9

We already noticed that $A$ injects into $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$. For the other inclusion let $\varphi$ be an automorphism of $Y$. We prove first that $\varphi$ preserve the fibers of the map $\pi: Y \longrightarrow X$. For each $x \in X$, let $Y_{x}$ be the fiber of $\pi$ over $x$ and let

$$
\varphi_{x}: Y_{x} \hookrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X .
$$

Suppose that for general $x$ the image of $Y_{x}$ is not reduced to a single point: then Lemma 3.11 implies that generically the image of $\varphi_{x}$ is a (possibly singular) curve of genus at most 1. By [BHPVdV04, Proposition VII.2.1], a surface of Kodaira dimension 2 admits no algebraic system (of positive dimension) of effective divisors whose general member is a (possibly singular) rational or elliptic curve. By Lemma 3.5 we know that $X$ is a surface of Kodaira dimension 2, so it follows that $\varphi_{x}$ is constant for all $x \in X$. In particular,

$$
Y \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y \rightarrow A \backslash Y=X
$$

descends to a map $\varphi_{X}: X \rightarrow X$, which is easily seen to be biregular (its inverse being $\left.\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)_{X}\right)$, and hence an automorphism. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\varphi_{X}$ is the identity, which implies that the equality $\varphi\left(Y_{x}\right)=Y_{x}$ holds for all $x \in X$. Thus we see that for every $x \in X$ the automorphism $\varphi$ of $Y$ induces an automorphism $\left.\varphi\right|_{Y_{x}}$ of $Y_{x}$.

Thus, locally in the analytic topology, the automomorphism $\varphi$ can be described as follows. For each $x \in X$ we can choose an open connected neighborhood $U \subset X$ of $x$ such that $V=\pi^{-1}(U)$ can be identified with $U \times A$ (as an $A$-torsor) and $\varphi(u, a)=(u, \phi(u, a))$. Let $r: U \longrightarrow A$ be defined by $r(u)=\phi(u, 0)-0$. Then $a \mapsto \phi(u, a)-r(u)$ is an automorphism of $A$ as an algebraic group, and since $\operatorname{Aut}_{\text {Grp }}(A)$ is finite it must be equal to an automorphism $\phi$ independent of $u$. Hence $\varphi(u, a)=(u, \phi(a)+r(u))$ and $\psi=\phi-i d_{A}$ is an endomorphism of $A$ as an algebraic group. Furthermore, since any two identifications of a fiber of $Y \rightarrow X$ with the trivial $A$-torsor differ only
by a translation, we see that the endomorphism $\psi$ thus obtained is independent of our choice of $U$ and of the local trivialization $\pi^{-1}(U) \cong U \times A$.

We now prove the theorem by induction on $h$, the number of simple factors of $A$. Assume first that $h=1$, so that $A$ is simple. For $x \in X$ we define

$$
n(x)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(1-\left.\varphi\right|_{Y_{x}}\right)_{*} \mid H_{1}\left(Y_{x}, \mathbb{Q}\right)\right) ;
$$

it is a continuous function on $X$. Since $X$ is connected and $\mathbb{Z}$ is discrete, it follows that $n(x)$ is actually constant: let $n$ be the common value of the various $n(x)$. We show that $n=0$. Suppose by contradiction that $n>0$. Let $\tilde{X}=Y^{\varphi}$ and let $\tilde{\pi}$ be the restriction of $\pi$ to $\tilde{X}$. We prove that $\tilde{\pi}$ is an $n$-to- 1 covering of $X$. The fact that it is $n$-to- 1 follows from Lemma 3.10. The claim that it is a covering can be checked locally using the analytic topology: using the local description above we obtain $V^{\varphi}=\{(u, a): \psi(a)=r(u)\}$, which is a covering of $U$.

If at least one of the connected components of $\tilde{X}$ is the trivial cover of $X$, then this gives a section of the projection map $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$, contradicting Lemma 3.8. Otherwise, take a connected subcover of $\tilde{X}$ : this is a connected $m$-to- 1 cover of $X$ for some $m \leq n$ which is smaller than $p$ by our assumption $\left(^{*}\right)$ on $p$. This contradicts the fact that $\# \pi_{1}(X)=p$.

It follows that $n(x)=n=0$ for all $x$, hence by Lemma $\left.3.10 \varphi\right|_{Y_{x}}$ is translation by a point $a(x) \in A$ (recall that $Y_{x}$ is naturally a torsor under $A$, so it makes sense to identify translations of $Y_{x}$ with elements of $A$ ). Now $x \mapsto a(x)$ gives a map $X \rightarrow A$, which is necessarily constant by Lemma 3.6 , hence $\varphi$ is globally a translation by a point of $A$.

We now prove the inductive step. Let $h>1$. Since $\varphi$ preserves the fibers $Y_{x}$, composing with a translation by an element of $A$ we can assume that there exists $y_{0} \in Y$ such that $\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)=y_{0}$. We want to prove that in this case $\varphi$ is the identity. Let $\pi: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}:=A / A_{1}$ be the natural projection and set $A_{i}^{\prime}:=\pi\left(A_{i}\right)$ for $i=2, \ldots, h$. We let $P^{\prime}=\pi(P)$ and write $\tilde{\pi}: A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{h} \rightarrow A_{2}^{\prime} \times \cdots \times A_{h}^{\prime}$ for the homomorphism

$$
\tilde{\pi}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{h}\right)=\left(\pi\left(a_{2}\right), \ldots, \pi\left(a_{h}\right)\right)
$$

finally, we set $\Sigma^{\prime}:=\tilde{\pi}(\Sigma)$. One then checks that the sum $\sigma^{\prime}: A_{2}^{\prime} \times \cdots \times A_{h}^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is an isogeny with kernel $\Sigma^{\prime}$.

Let $K=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$. For every $i=2, \ldots, h$, the intersection $A_{1} \cap A_{i}$ embeds naturally into $K$, so $N^{\prime} \cdot \#\left(A_{1} \cap A_{i}\right) \mid N^{\prime} \cdot \# K=N$. It follows that every quotient of $A_{i}^{\prime}=A_{i} /\left(A_{1} \cap A_{i}\right)$ by a subgroup of $A_{i}^{\prime}\left[N^{\prime}\right]$ is a quotient of $A_{i}$ by a subgroup of $A_{i}[N]$, so the analogue of condition $\left(^{*}\right)$ is satisfied by $A^{\prime}$ and the prime $p$. It is immediate to check that $\left(^{* *}\right)$ also holds for $A^{\prime}, p$, and the point $P^{\prime}$. In particular, by induction, the automorphism group of $Y^{\prime}=S \times{ }^{G} A^{\prime}$ is equal to $A^{\prime}$.

The projection map $S \times A \longrightarrow S \times A^{\prime}$ is $G$-equivariant, so it induces a map $q: Y \longrightarrow Y^{\prime}$ which we prove to be a categorical quotient by the action of $A_{1}$. Indeed let $f: Y \longrightarrow Z$ be a $A_{1}$-invariant map. It induces a $G \times A_{1}$-invariant map $f_{1}: S \times A \longrightarrow Z$ and therefore a map $f_{2}: S \times\left(A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{h}\right) \longrightarrow Z$ which is invariant by the action of both $A_{1}$ and $\Sigma$ on the second factor. Since the quotient of $A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{h}$ by the subgroup generated by $A_{1}$ and $\Sigma$ is $A^{\prime}$, the map $f_{2}$ induces a regular map $g_{2}: S \times A^{\prime} \longrightarrow Z$ such that $f_{2}=g_{2} \circ\left(i d_{S} \times \pi^{\prime}\right)$, where $\pi^{\prime}:=\pi \circ \sigma$ is the natural map $A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{h} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$. Since furthermore $f_{2}$ is $G$-invariant, $g_{2}$ is also $G$-invariant, hence it induces a map $g: Y^{\prime} \longrightarrow Z$ such that $f=g \circ q$. Moreover, as $q$ is surjective, the map $g$ is unique.

We can now prove that $\varphi$ is the identity. For $a \in A$ denote by $\tau_{a}$ the translation by $a$ in $Y$. Notice that for each $a$ and for each $x \in X$ there exists $\phi_{x} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{G r p}(A)$ such that

$$
\varphi \circ \tau_{a} \circ \varphi^{-1}=\tau_{\phi_{x}(a)}: Y_{x} \longrightarrow Y_{x}
$$

In particular, if $a \in A_{1}$, then $\phi_{x}(a) \in A_{1}$. Being $Y^{\prime}$ a categorical quotient of $Y$ by the action of $A_{1}$, we have that $\varphi$ induces a map $\varphi^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \longrightarrow Y^{\prime}$, which is an automorphism since $\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{\prime}$ is its inverse. Moreover, the image of $y_{0}$ in $Y^{\prime}$ is fixed by $\varphi^{\prime}$, so $\varphi^{\prime}$ is equal to the identity.

Hence $\varphi(y)-y \in A_{1}$ for all $y \in Y$. Arguing in the same way, but using $A_{2}$ instead of $A_{1}$, we obtain $\varphi(y)-y \in A_{2}$ for all $y$. So $\varphi(y)-y \in A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ for all $y \in Y$, and since $A_{1} \cap A_{2}$ is finite and $\varphi\left(y_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ we obtain $\varphi(y)=y$ for all $y$.

### 3.3 A hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with automorphism group $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$

In this section we explicitly construct, for every prime $p \geq 7$, an algebraic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $p$ whose automorphism group is cyclic of order $p$ :

Theorem 3.12. Let $p \geq 7$ be a prime number, and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ let $S_{\lambda}$ be the algebraic surface over $\mathbb{C}$ given by the zero locus in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of the homogeneous polynomial

$$
f_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right):=x_{1}^{p}+x_{2}^{p}+x_{3}^{p}+x_{4}^{p}+\lambda\left(x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{p-4} x_{3}^{2}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{p-6} x_{4}^{2}\right)
$$

The surface $S_{\lambda}$ is smooth for all but finitely many $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$; if $\lambda \neq 0$, the automorphism group of $S_{\lambda}$ is cyclic of order $p$, generated by $\left[x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}: x_{4}\right] \mapsto\left[x_{1}: \zeta_{p} x_{2}: \zeta_{p}^{2} x_{3}: \zeta_{p}^{3} x_{4}\right]$, where $\zeta_{p}$ is a primitive $p$-th root of unity. In particular, each nontrivial element of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(S_{\lambda}\right)$ acts on $S_{\lambda}$ without any fixed points.

We start by noticing that for $\lambda=0$ the surface $S_{0}$ is smooth. Since being smooth is a Zariskiopen condition in the defining polynomial, this shows that $S_{\lambda}$ is smooth away from a proper Zariski-closed subset of $\mathbb{C}$, that is, $S_{\lambda}$ is smooth for all but finitely many values of $\lambda$. From now on fix a nonzero value of $\lambda$ such that $S_{\lambda}$ is smooth, and to simplify the notation write $S$ for $S_{\lambda}$ and $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ for $f_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$.

By [MM64, Theorem 2] we know that all the automorphisms of $S$ are induced by (linear) automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, so we only need to consider these. Let $L: \mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a linear transformation that satisfies $L(S)=S$. We identify $L$ to the class $[M] \in \mathrm{PGL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ of a matrix $M=\left(M_{i j}\right) \in$ $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, we let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{4}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ and denote by $\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle$ the 1dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ generated by $e_{i}$. We shall show Theorem 3.12 in three steps: first we shall prove that $M$ either fixes or permutes the lines generated by $e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$; then we shall show that the same statement holds for the lines generated by $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$; finally, we shall deduce from this that $M$ needs to be a diagonal matrix, at which point a direct computation concludes the proof. This approach is inspired by [Poo05].

### 3.3.1 Step 1: $M$ permutes $\left\langle e_{3}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle e_{4}\right\rangle$

The condition that $L(S)=S$ translates into the polynomial equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \circ M\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)=\alpha f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Applying $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$ to the two members of this equation and setting

$$
H_{i j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right):=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)
$$

we find

$$
\sum_{k} \sum_{m} M_{k j} M_{m i} H_{m k}\left(M\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)\right)=\alpha H_{i j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)
$$

Let $u, v$ be two vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. Multiplying the previous identity by $u_{i} v_{j}$ and summing over $i$ and $j$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k, m}(M v)_{k}(M u)_{m} H_{m k}\left(M\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)\right)=\alpha \sum_{i, j} H_{i j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) u_{i} v_{j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define a bilinear pairing

$$
\begin{array}{rlcc}
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \quad \mathbb{C}^{4} \times \mathbb{C}^{4} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right] \\
(u, v) & \mapsto & \sum_{i, j} H_{i, j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) u_{i} v_{j}
\end{array}
$$

so that Equation 3 reads

$$
\langle M u, M v\rangle\left(M\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)\right)=\alpha\langle u, v\rangle .
$$

In particular, since $M$ is invertible we obtain:

Proposition 3.13. Let $u, v$ be vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. The equalities $\langle u, v\rangle=0$ and $\langle M u, M v\rangle=0$ are equivalent.
Lemma 3.14. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{4}$ be two nonzero vectors such that $\langle a, b\rangle=0$. Then there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that either $a=\lambda e_{3}, b=\mu e_{4}$, or $a=\lambda e_{4}, b=\mu e_{3}$ hold.
Proof. Write $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)$. By direct inspection, one checks that, for $i=1,2,3,4$, the only second derivative of $f$ involving the monomial $x_{i}^{p-2}$ is $H_{i i}$. This immediately implies that $a_{i} b_{i}=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, 4$, and by symmetry we can assume $a_{1}=$ 0 . The coefficients of the monomials $x_{1} x_{2}^{p-5} x_{3}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}^{p-4} x_{3}$ and $x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{p-6} x_{4}$ in $\langle a, b\rangle$ are given by $2 \lambda(p-4)\left(a_{2} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{2}\right), 4 \lambda\left(a_{3} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{3}\right)$ and $8 \lambda\left(a_{4} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{4}\right)$ respectively, so under our assumptions $\langle a, b\rangle=0, \lambda \neq 0$ and $a_{1}=0$ we obtain $b_{1} a_{2}=b_{1} a_{3}=b_{1} a_{4}=0$. If we had $b_{1} \neq 0$, this would imply $a=(0,0,0,0)$, contradicting our assumptions, so we must have $b_{1}=0$ as well. The situation is now again symmetric in $a, b$, so we might assume $a_{2}=0$. Arguing as before (but looking at the monomials $x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{p-5} x_{3}$ and $x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{p-7} x_{4}$ ) one finds $a_{3} b_{2}=a_{4} b_{2}=0$, so that $b_{2}=0$ as well. The conclusion now follows easily from the equalities $a_{3} b_{3}=a_{4} b_{4}=0$.

Corollary 3.15. One of the following holds:

- $M\left\langle e_{3}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{3}\right\rangle$ and $M\left\langle e_{4}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{4}\right\rangle$;
- $M\left\langle e_{3}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{4}\right\rangle$ and $M\left\langle e_{4}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{3}\right\rangle$.

Proof. Apply Proposition 3.13 to $u=e_{3}$ and $v=e_{4}$ : since $\left\langle e_{3}, e_{4}\right\rangle=H_{34}=0$ we obtain $\left\langle M e_{3}, M e_{4}\right\rangle=0$. The claim then follows from the previous lemma.

### 3.3.2 Step 2: $M$ permutes $\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle e_{2}\right\rangle$

Arguing as in the previous section, it is easily seen that if we let $A:\left(\mathbb{C}^{4}\right)^{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote the multilinear form

$$
A:\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right) \mapsto \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}} \frac{\partial^{p} f}{\partial x_{i_{p}} \cdots \partial x_{i_{p}}}\left(u_{1}\right)_{i_{1}} \cdots\left(u_{p}\right)_{i_{p}}
$$

where $\left(u_{i}\right)_{j}$ is the $j$-th coordinate of $u_{i}$, we have $A\left(M u_{1}, \ldots, M u_{p}\right)=\beta A\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$; notice that here we do not need to compose with $M$ on the left hand side, because $p$-th derivatives of $f$ are just scalars. Suppose that $M\left\langle e_{3}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{3}\right\rangle$ and $M\left\langle e_{4}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{4}\right\rangle$; the case $M\left\langle e_{3}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{4}\right\rangle$ and $M\left\langle e_{4}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{3}\right\rangle$ is completely analogous. Rescaling $M$ if necessary (which we can do, since we are only interested in its projective class) we can assume $M e_{3}=e_{3}$. Choosing $u_{1}=\cdots=u_{p-1}=e_{3}$ and $u_{p}=e_{1}$ we have

$$
\beta A\left(e_{3}, \ldots, e_{3}, e_{1}\right)=\beta \frac{\partial^{p} f}{\partial x_{3}^{p-1} \partial x_{1}}=0
$$

from which we deduce

$$
0=A\left(M e_{3}, \ldots, M e_{3}, M e_{1}\right)=A\left(e_{3}, \ldots, e_{3}, M e_{1}\right)=\sum_{i_{p}} \frac{\partial^{p} f}{\partial x_{3}^{p-1} \partial x_{i_{p}}}\left(M e_{1}\right)_{i_{p}}
$$

since the only nonvanishing partial derivative of the form $\frac{\partial^{p} f}{\partial x_{3}^{p-1} \partial x_{i_{p}}}$ is $\frac{\partial^{p} f}{\partial x_{3}^{\nu}}$, this implies $M_{31}=$ 0 . Similary, the choice $\left(e_{4}, \ldots, e_{4}, e_{1}\right)$ shows $M_{41}=0$, while the choices $\left(e_{3}, \ldots, e_{3}, e_{2}\right)$ and $\left(e_{4}, \ldots, e_{4}, e_{2}\right)$ give $M_{32}=M_{42}=0$. It follows that $M$ sends the 2-plane $\left\{x_{3}=x_{4}=0\right\}$ to itself; in particular, $M$ induces an automorphism of the finite set of points in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ defined by the equations

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=0, \quad x_{3}=x_{4}=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad x_{3}=x_{4}=0, \quad x_{1}^{p}+x_{2}^{p}=0
$$

From this it is immediate to deduce:
Corollary 3.16. One of the following holds:

- $M\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle$ and $M\left\langle e_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{2}\right\rangle$;
- $M\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{2}\right\rangle$ and $M\left\langle e_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle$.


### 3.3.3 Step 3: determination of $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$

Corollaries 3.15 and 3.16 tell us that $M$ either fixes or permutes the lines $\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle e_{2}\right\rangle$, and that the same holds for the lines $\left\langle e_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle e_{4}\right\rangle$. One checks easily that if $M$ exchanges $\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle$ with $\left\langle e_{2}\right\rangle$, and/or it exchanges $\left\langle e_{3}\right\rangle$ with $\left\langle e_{4}\right\rangle$, then $f \circ M$ is not a scalar multiple of $f$, so that $M$ needs to be a diagonal matrix. Normalize $M$ so that $M_{11}=1$ and write $M=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \mu_{4}\right)$ : replacing in Equation (2) and comparing the coefficients of $x_{1}^{p}$ on the two sides we find $\alpha=1$. Comparing the coefficients of $x_{i}^{p}$ for $i=2,3,4$ we then obtain $\mu_{i}^{p}=1$ for $i=2,3,4$, so that $\mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \mu_{4}$ are $p$-th roots of unity. It is now immediate to check that the only automorphisms of $S$ are represented by the powers of the (order $p$ ) matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & & & \\ & \zeta_{p} & & \\ & & \zeta_{p}^{2} & \\ & & & \zeta_{p}^{3}\end{array}\right)$, where $\zeta_{p}$ is a primitive $p$-th root of unity. The fixed points (in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ ) for the action of this matrix (or any of its powers, with the exception of the identity) are $[1: 0: 0: 0],[0: 1: 0: 0],[0: 0: 1: 0],[0: 0: 0: 1]$, none of which lies on the hypersurface $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=0$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.12.
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