AOB PLANTS

Research Article

SPECIAL ISSUE: Physiology and Ecology of Halophytes—Plants Living in Salt-Rich Environments

Physiological responses of a halophytic shrub to salt stress by Na₂SO₄ and NaCl: oxidative damage and the role of polyphenols in antioxidant protection

Mariana A. Reginato¹, Antonella Castagna², Ana Furlán³, Stella Castro³, Annamaria Ranieri² and Virginia Luna^{1*}

¹ Fisiología Vegetal, Físico Químicas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Río Cuarto, Argentina

² Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto, 80 56124 Pisa, Italy

³ Biología, Fac. de Cs. Exactas, Físico Químicas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Río Cuarto, Argentina

Received: 19 March 2014; Accepted: 24 June 2014; Published: 24 July 2014

Guest Editor: Adele Muscolo

Citation: Reginato MA, Castagna A, Furlán A, Castro S, Ranieri A, Luna V. 2014. Physiological responses of a halophytic shrub to salt stress by Na₂SO₄ and NaCl: oxidative damage and the role of polyphenols in antioxidant protection. *AoB PLANTS* **6**: plu042; doi:10.1093/aobpla/plu042

Abstract. Salt stress conditions lead to increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plant cells. Halophytes have the ability to reduce these toxic ROS by means of a powerful antioxidant system that includes enzymatic and non-enzymatic components. In this research, we used the halophytic shrub Prosopis strombulifera to investigate whether the ability of this species to grow under increasing salt concentrations and mixtures was related to the synthesis of polyphenolic compounds and to the maintenance of leaf pigment contents for an adequate photosynthetic activity. Seedlings of P. strombulifera were grown hydroponically in Hoagland's solution, gradually adding Na₂SO₄ and NaCl separately or in mixtures until reaching final osmotic potentials of -1, -1.9 and -2.6 MPa. Control plants were allowed to develop in Hoagland's solution without salt. Oxidative damage in tissues was determined by H₂O₂ and malondialdehyde content. Leaf pigment analysis was performed by high-performance liquid chromatography with ultraviolet, and total phenols, total flavonoids, total flavan-3-ols, condensed tannins, tartaric acid esters and flavonols were spectrophotometrically assayed. Treatment with Na₂SO₄ increased H_2O_2 production and lipid peroxidation in tissues and induced a sharp increase in flavonoid compounds (mainly flavan-3-ols) and consequently in the antioxidant activity. Also, Na_2SO_4 treatment induced an increased carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio, which may represent a strategy to protect photosystems against photooxidation. NaCl treatment, however, did not affect H₂O₂ content, lipid peroxidation, pigments or polyphenols synthesis. The significant accumulation of flavonoids in tissues under Na₂SO₄ treatment and their powerful antioxidant activity indicates a role for these compounds in counteracting the oxidative damage induced by severe salt stress, particularly, ionic stress. We demonstrate that ionic interactions between different salts in salinized soils modify the biochemical and morpho-physiological responses of P. strombulifera plants to salinity.

Keywords: NaCl; Na₂SO₄; oxidative damage; pigments; polyphenols; salt stress.

* Corresponding author's e-mail address: vluna@exa.unrc.edu.ar

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Annals of Botany Company.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Increased soil salinity has been a substantial threat to agriculture in some parts of the world for more than 3000 years, and the problem is becoming more widespread through time (Laüchli and Grattan 2007). For this reason, to improve knowledge about the specialized physiology and biochemistry of halophytic plants (known for their exceptional salt tolerance) represents a goal for scientists.

Salt stress leads to increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plant cells. Reactive oxygen species are extremely reactive and undergo uncontrollable and damaging reactions with cellular components including DNA, lipids and proteins, which can aggravate the detrimental effects of the initial stress and even lead to cell death (Halliwell 2006; Van Breusegem and Dat 2006). Oxidative stress is a central factor in abiotic and biotic stress phenomena, which occurs when there is a serious imbalance in any cell compartment between ROS production and antioxidant defence leading to dramatic physiological challenges (Foyer and Noctor 2003). It was considered that ROS concentration needs to be maintained as low as possible, although this concept is changing because of the multiple functions that are currently being discovered for these molecules (Mittler and Blumwald 2010). Thus, it is important for cells to keep a tight control of ROS concentration, but not to eliminate them completely (Schützendübel and Polle 2002).

Halophytes are known for their ability to withstand unfavourable conditions by guenching these toxic ROS, since they are equipped with a powerful antioxidant system that includes enzymatic and non-enzymatic components. Natural antioxidants occur in all plant organs, and the typical compounds that exhibit antioxidant activities include phenolics, carotenoids and vitamins (Chanwitheesuk et al. 2005). Tocopherols and carotenoids protect lipid membranes from oxidative stress because they deactivate singlet oxygen by physical quenching and/or chemical scavenging, and prevent the propagation of lipid peroxidation by reducing fatty acyl peroxy radicals (Polle and Rennenberg 1994; Falk and Munné-Bosch 2010). Enhanced synthesis of particular secondary metabolites under stressful conditions is also believed to protect the cellular structures from oxidative effects (Jaleel et al. 2007). Among these compounds, polyphenols (mainly flavonoids) play an important role in the defence against ROS, and their synthesis and accumulation has been proposed to be stimulated in plants under salt stress (Navarro et al. 2006; Hernández 2007).

The genus *Prosopis* occurs in arid and semiarid regions, being the major component of such ecosystems in South and North America. Many species within this genus have economic and ecological potential (shade, firewood, food and forage for wildlife and livestock). Some species of *Prosopis*, especially *P. pallida*, *P. juliflora*, *P. tamarugo* and *P. alba* have individuals with rapid growth at seawater salinity or 45 dS m⁻¹ which is nearly 20 times greater than salinities that can be tolerated by annual temperate legumes (Felker 2007).

The spiny shrub *P. strombulifera* (Burkart 1976) ranges from the Arizona desert (USA) to Patagonia (Argentina), and is particularly abundant in high-salinity areas of central Argentina (Córdoba and southwestern San Luis provinces). In these highly salinized soils, proportions of NaCl and Na₂SO₄ are generally similar, although in previous studies we found that Na₂SO₄ was up to three times more abundant than NaCl in several soil samples (Sosa *et al.* 2005). Similarly, in many countries, NaCl and Na₂SO₄ are the most abundant salts in salinized soils (Iqbal 2003; Shi and Sheng 2005; Manivannan *et al.* 2008). For that reason it is important to compare the effects of these two salts on plant growth, in order to understand better the physiological responses of plants in natural environments.

Comparative studies have shown that SO_4^{2-} -based solutions have considerably stronger inhibitory effect on P. strombulifera germination than Cl⁻-based solutions at iso-osmotic concentrations (Llanes et al. 2005; Sosa et al. 2005). Stimulation of shoot growth at Ψ_{o} values up to -1.9 MPa (500 mM) NaCl is an interesting halophytic response found in our studies (Reginato et al. 2014). Findings in other Prosopis species indicate that the NaCl tolerance of P. strombulifera exceeds the limits described for most halophytic plants (Catalán et al. 1994; Almeida Viégas et al. 2004; Felker 2007). However, P. strombulifera is much less tolerant to Na₂SO₄ than to NaCl. Plants grown in the presence of Na₂SO₄ showed immediate and significant reduction of shoot height and leaf number per plant, accompanied by senescence symptoms such as chlorosis, necrosis and leaf abscission (Reginato et al. 2014).

Prosopis strombulifera plants grown in an increasing gradient of NaCl (250 up to 700 mM) do not develop salt glands in the leaves. Some tissues display vacuolization, and the root system undergoes precocious lignification and/or suberization of endodermal cells, with Casparian strips found much closer to the root tip than in glycophytes. These plants can therefore filter soil solution more efficiently to prevent passage of excess ions to the xylem (Reinoso *et al.* 2004). Na₂SO₄ treatment induced structural alterations in cells and tissues, with consequent changes in growth patterns at various levels of organization, and anatomical and histological differences in roots, stems and leaflets, compared with control plants, or plants grown under high NaCl (Reinoso *et al.* 2005).

An interesting feature observed in salt-treated plants in our anatomical studies was the significant accumulation of tannins in all organs, which increased with increasing salt concentration (Reinoso *et al.* 2004, 2005), mainly in Na₂SO₄-treated plants. These results demonstrate that plant responses may vary depending on the anion associated with sodium. The aim of the present research was to investigate whether the differential ability of this species to grow under increasing concentrations of Na₂SO₄, NaCl and their iso-osmotic mixture was related to oxidative damage leading to an enhanced synthesis of polyphenolic compounds. Maintenance of leaf pigment content for an adequate photosynthetic activity was also investigated.

Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Pods of *P. strombulifera* were randomly collected from 100 plants within the same population, in the southwestern San Luis province, Argentina. Peeled seeds were scarified with 98 % sulfuric acid for 10 min, washed overnight under running water, rinsed in distilled water and germinated in a Petri dish over two layers of water-saturated filter paper at 37 °C for 24 h. The germinated seedlings with 20-mm-long radicles were grown under hydroponic conditions in black trays (200 seedlings per each tray of $28 \times 22 \times 10$ cm) with 10 % of full-strength Hoagland's solution. The seedlings were self-supported in small

holes on the tray cover; the trays were placed in a growth chamber (Conviron E15; Controlled Environments Limited, Manitoba, Canada) under a 16 h light (400 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) at 28 °C : 8 h dark (20 °C) cycle and 70 % relative humidity. After 1 week, the nutrient solution was changed to 25 % Hoagland's solution (osmotic potential (Ψ_o) = -0.11 MPa). The pH of the medium was 6 in all cases, and, to provide aeration, an aquarium aeration system with a peristaltic pump was used. The complete experiment was performed twice, consecutively (3 trays per treatment each time). Plants were grown hydroponically for 7 weeks (48 days) and allowed to acclimate to the different salt regimes.

Salt treatment

Salt treatments were initiated after 21 days of seedling growth by adding NaCl and Na₂SO₄ pulses of 50 and 38 mmol L⁻¹, respectively, for single-salt treatments, or iso-osmotic mixture for two-salt treatment, every 48 h until reaching final $\Psi_{\rm o}$ values of -1, -1.9 and -2.6 MPa (verified with a vapour pressure osmometer model 5500; Wescor Inc., Logan, UT, USA), as shown in Table 1. These $\Psi_{\rm o}$ values corresponded to plants aged 29, 40 and 48 days, respectively. Control plants remained in 25 % Hoagland's solution ($\Psi_{\rm o} = -0.11$ MPa). At each of these time points, roots and leaves of 30 control plants and 30 salt-treated plants were randomly collected from each tray, frozen with liquid N₂ and stored at -80 °C for polyphenol and pigment analysis.

Table 1. Increasing salt concentrations obtained by sequential addition of pulses every 48 h. Four pulses means 4×37.9 mL aliquot of Na₂SO₄ L⁻¹ Hoagland solution. Ψ_0 values were verified with a vapour pressure osmometer. Bold values indicate the point of sampling.

Salt pulses	mL Na2SO4 1 M L ⁻¹ Hoagland	mL NaCl 1 M L ⁻¹ Hoagland	Salt mixture mL Na ₂ SO ₄ 1 M + mL NaCl 1 M L ⁻¹ Hoagland	Ψ_{o}
1° pulse	37.9	50	18.9/25	-0.3
2° pulse	75.8	100	37.9/50	-0.47
3° pulse	113.7	150	56.8/75	-0.65
4° pulse	151.7	200	75.9/100	-0.82
5° pulse (sampling)	189.7	250	94.8/125	-1.0
6° pulse	227.5	300	113.8/150	-1.18
7° pulse	265.4	350	132.7/175	-1.35
8° pulse	303.3	400	151.7/200	-1.53
9° pulse	341.2	450	170.6/225	-1.71
10° pulse (sampling)	379.2	500	189.6/250	-1.9
11 $^{\circ}$ pulse	417.1	550	208.5/275	-2.06
12° pulse	455.0	600	227.5/300	-2.24
13° pulse	492.9	650	246.4/325	-2.42
Last pulse (sampling)	530.8	700	265.4/350	-2.6

Oxidative damage in tissues

Hydrogen peroxide was measured spectrophotometrically after reaction with KI according to Alexieva *et al.* (2001). The reaction mixture consisted of 0.5 mL of 0.1 % trichloroacetic acid (TCA), leaf extract supernatant, 0.5 mL of 100 mM K-phosphate buffer and 2 mL of reagent (1 M KI w/v in fresh double-distilled water). The blank test consisted of 0.1 % TCA in the absence of leaf extract. The reaction was developed for 1 h in darkness and absorbance was measured at 390 nm. The amount of hydrogen peroxide was calculated using a standard curve prepared with known concentrations of H_2O_2 .

Lipid peroxidation was determined by estimating the amount of malondialdehyde (MDA), a product of unsaturated fatty acid peroxidation, according to Heath and Packer (1968). Frozen samples (0.15 g) were crushed into a fine powder in a mortar under liquid nitrogen and then mixed with 1.5 mL 20 % TCA. The homogenate was centrifuged at 10 000g for 10 min at 4 °C, with the supernatant being used for MDA determination. A mixture of 0.5 mL of extract + 0.5 mL of 0.5 % TBA (thiobarbituric acid) (0.5 g TBA + TCA 20 % to complete to 100 mL) was produced, heated at 95 °C for 25 min, cooled and centrifuged for 10 min. The sample was measured at 532 nm and corrected by non-specific absorption at 600 nm. The concentration of MDA was calculated using an extinction coefficient of 155 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

Leaf pigment analysis

Pigment concentrations of P. strombulifera leaves were determined according to the method reported by Castagna et al. (2001). Frozen samples were homogenized in the dark in 100 % HPLC-arade acetone with 1 mM sodium ascorbate then filtered through 0.2 μ m filters. The analysis was performed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (HPLC P200; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using a non-endcapped column (Zorbax ODS column; Chrompack, Raritan, NJ, USA) for pigment separation. Two solvents were used: A (acetonitrile/ methanol, 75/25, v/v) and B (methanol/ethylacetate, 68/32, v/v). The separation cycle was 1920 s with a flow rate of $16.67 \text{ mm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Pigments were eluted using 100 % A for the first 900 s, followed by a 150-s linear gradient to 100 % B, which continued isocratically until the end of the cycle. The column was allowed to re-equilibrate in 100 % solvent A for 600 s before the next injection. Pigments were detected by their absorbance at 445 nm, and their quantification was realized by the injection of known amounts of pure standard into the HPLC system and the formulation of an equation correlating peak area to pigment concentration. The latter was expressed as nmol q^{-1} DW.

Calculation of the de-epoxidation (DEPS) index was based on the contents of antheraxanthin (A), zeaxanthin (Z) and violaxanthin (V) according to the following equation:

DEPS index =
$$(0.5A + Z)/(V + A + Z)$$

Polyphenol analysis

Polyphenols extraction. Dry samples (0.5 g) were ground with liquid N₂. The plant material was extracted on a magnetic stirrer three times using a total of 90 mL of methanol/water (80:20, v/v). The liquid extract was separated by centrifugation (14 000g, 15 min) at 4 °C. The final volume was quantified, and the extract, reduced to 16 mL by rotary evaporation, was filtered with a 0.45- μ m filter (Minisart) and stored at -80 °C.

Phenol compound quantification. Total phenols were determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu method, modified as described by Barbolan *et al.* (2003). Amounts of 1.85 mL of distilled water, 0.125 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and 0.5 mL of a 20 % sodium carbonate solution were added to 25 μ L of liquid extract sample in a test tube, making a final volume of 2.5 mL. The solution was homogenized and left to stand for 30 min, and the absorbance was determined at 750 nm. The total phenols were calculated as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents.

Total flavonoids were determined as described by Kim et al. (2003). Assays contained 60 μ L of 5 % NaNO₂, 40 μ L of 10 % AlCl₃ and 400 μ L of 1 M NaOH in addition to 100 μ L of extract. The solution was diluted with 200 μ L of distilled water, and the absorbance was determined at 510 nm. The flavonoid amount was calculated as milligrams of catechin equivalents.

Tartaric acid ester and flavonol contents were determined using the method described by Romani *et al.* (1996). An aliquot of 25 μ L of extract was diluted with 225 μ L of 10 % ethanol and 250 μ L of 0.1 % HCl in 95 % ethanol, and 1 mL of 2 % HCl was then added. The solution was mixed, and the absorbance determined at 320 nm for tartaric acid esters and at 360 nm for flavonols. Tartaric acid ester and flavonol amounts were calculated as milligrams of caffeic acid and quercetin, respectively.

Total flavan-3-ols were determined with *p*-(dimethylamino) cinnamaldehyde (DMACA) reagent, as described by Nigel and Glories (1991). The sample extract (10 μ L) was diluted with 90 μ L of methanol. Next, 250 μ L of HCl (0.24 N in MeOH), 250 μ L of DMACA solution (0.2 % in MeOH) and 250 μ L of methanol were added. The absorbance was determined at 640 nm, and the total amount of flavan-3-ols was calculated as milligrams of catechin equivalents.

Condensed tannins (proanthocyanidins) were determined in accordance with the method described by Waterman and Mole (1994). Butanol reagent was prepared by mixing 128 mg of FeSO₄ \cdot 7H₂O with 5 mL of concentrated HCl and brought to 100 mL with *n*-butanol. An aliquot of 50 μ L of extract sample was mixed with 700 μ L of butanol reagent and heated at 95 °C in a water bath for 45 min. The sample was cooled, 250 μ L of *n*-butanol was added, and the absorbance was measured at 550 nm. The total amount of condensed tannin was calculated as milligrams of cyanidin equivalents. The assays were performed using an Ultro spec 2100 pro UV-visible spectrophotometer (Amersham Biosciences).

Antioxidant activity of polyphenolic extracts. 2,2'-Azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS*) scavenging ability of polyphenolic extracts was determined according to the method described by Re *et al.* (1999). ABTS* was generated by reacting an ABTS aqueous solution (7 mM L⁻¹) with K₂S₂O₈ (2.45 mM L⁻¹, final concentration) in the dark for 16 h and diluting with ethanol to obtain an absorbance of 0.700 \pm 0.020 at 734 nm. About 0.2 mL of appropriate dilution of the extract was added to 1.0 mL ABTS* measuring absorbance at 734 nm after 6 min. The Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity was subsequently calculated.

Anatomical analyses

Samples were taken from roots, stems and leaves and placed in FAA (95 % ethanol : glacial acetic acid : 37-40 % formaldehyde : water; 50 : 5 : 10 : 35, v/v) (Reinoso et al. 2004, 2005). The dehydration of samples was carried out according to the procedures outlined in Johansen (1940) using graduated solutions of ethanol and xylene. Fully infiltrated tissues were embedded in Histowax (highly purified paraffin wax blended with polymer additives). A series of transverse sections $10-\mu$ m-thick were obtained from the sample blocks using a Minot rotary microtome. The sections were triple-stained with haematoxylin, safranin O and fast green FCF as described by Johansen (1940). A coverslip was added to the slides with one or two drops of Depex. A standard Zeiss Model 16 microscope was used to assess the histological preparations and photomicrographs were taken with a Zeiss Axiophot microscope with image capture and digitalization (AxioVision 4.3 with AxioCam HRc camera). To identify tannins, freehand sections were cut from fresh material and treated with ferric chloride (D'Ambrogio and Argüeso 1986).

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using InfoStat program (Student Version 2011, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina). Two-way general linear model ANOVA was used to determine the effect of each treatment at each osmotic potential. Thus, the factors considered for two-way ANOVA were osmotic potential (Ψ_0) (-1.0, -1.9 or -2.6 MPa) and salt treatment (control, NaCl, Na₂SO₄ and salt mixture). Normality was verified with the Shapiro–Wilk test. Homogeneity of variance was verified with the Levenne test. When necessary, data were transformed to meet the assumptions of ANOVA. For cases in which normality and homogeneity of variance were not verified, the non-parametric Kruskall–Wallis test was used. *Post hoc* analysis used the Bonferroni test to determine differences between means. *P* values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

H₂O₂ content and lipid peroxidation in tissues induced by salt stress

 $\rm H_2O_2$ content in leaves remained unchanged in all treatments and was only significantly higher in roots of Na_2SO_4 and Na_2SO_4 + NaCl-treated plants at - 1.9 MPa (Fig. 1A and B).

Salt-induced damage to cellular membranes due to lipid peroxidation was estimated from MDA concentrations. Malondialdehyde was significantly higher in Na₂ SO₄-treated plants than in NaCl treatments. In leaves, a significant increase of MDA content was observed in Na₂SO₄ and Na₂SO₄ + NaCl-treated plants at high salinity (-2.6 MPa). Similarly, MDA content increased in Na₂SO₄-treated plant roots at moderate and high salinity (-1.9 and -2.6 MPa). NaCl-treated plants showed similar MDA levels to control plants (Fig. 1C and D).

Leaf pigment content

Levels of pigments in control and salt-treated plants at -2.6 MPa (48 days) are illustrated by pie charts in Fig. 2. At high salinity, only Na₂SO₄ treatment reduced the total pigment concentration, with evident chlorosis and leaf area reduction in these plants.

Chlorophyll *a* and *b* concentrations were not significantly affected by any salt treatment at -1.0 and -1.9 MPa (data not shown). However, both pigments showed a significant decrease in Na₂SO₄-treated plants at -2.6 MPa (-41 and -46 % for chlorophyll *a* and *b*, respectively, compared with controls). Similarly, total xanthophylls underwent a significant decrease in Na₂SO₄-treated plant leaves (-26 %). Total carotenoids, β -carotene, lutein, neoxanthin, violaxanthin and VAZ pigments (sum of violaxanthin (V), antheraxanthin (A) and zeaxanthin (Z)) concentrations showed a decrease under Na₂SO₄ treatment (Table 2), although only antheraxanthin diminution was statistically significant. Salt-induced variations in the content of the three single xanthophylls participating in the zeaxanthin cycle led to




Figure 1. Effect of increasing concentrations of NaCl, Na₂SO₄ and their iso-osmotic mixture on H₂O₂ content and lipid peroxidation of *P. strombulifera* plants. Hydrogen peroxide content in leaves (A) and roots (B) and MDA content in leaves (C) and roots (D). Salt treatments and osmotic potentials were compared by two-way ANOVA. Means values (\pm SE) followed by different letters are significantly different at *P* < 0.05 according to Bonferroni test (*n* = 6).

variations in the de-epoxidation (DEPS) index. The DEPS index showed the highest value in Na_2SO_4 -treated leaves (42 % over control).

Polyphenols content under salt stress

Total phenol concentration in leaves was significantly increased at moderate and high salinity (-1.9 and -2.6 MPa), principally in Na₂SO₄-treated plants (Fig. 3A). In roots, only Na₂SO₄ treatment at -2.6 MPa induced a significant increase in total phenols (Fig. 3B). In these plants it was mainly total flavonoids, flavan-3-ols and flavonols that significantly increased. Total flavonoids increased 45 % in leaves and 31 % in roots in relation to control plants; flavan-3-ols increased 40 % in leaves and 26 % in roots. Flavonols were significantly increased only in roots (Table 3).

Leaflets of Na₂SO₄-treated plants presented great tannin accumulation in mesophyll and epidermal cells as well as thickness reduction (-30 % at high salinity) in relation to control plants as evidenced by the microscopic analysis (Fig. 4). This observation is in accordance with the polyphenol quantification described above. An important accumulation of tannins was also observed in other organs of Na₂SO₄-treated plants (roots, stems) (data not shown).

Levels and pattern of different groups of polyphenols in control and salt-treated plants at -2.6 MPa (48 days) are illustrated by pie charts in Fig. 5. NaCl treatment did not affect polyphenol concentration in leaves; in roots, flavan-3-ols were significantly decreased (Table 3). On the contrary, Na₂SO₄ treatment sharply induced an increase in flavonoid compounds.




Figure 2. Distribution patterns of photosynthetic pigments in leaves of control and salt-treated *P. strombulifera* plants. Control plants (48 days); Na₂SO₄, NaCl and two-salt treated plants ($\Psi_0 = -2.6$ MPa, 48 days). Area of circle corresponds to total content of pigments. Area of circle in leaves of NaCl + Na₂SO₄-treated plants corresponds to 100 %. Areas of circles (and portions thereof) for other treatments are proportional.

Table 2. Leaf pigment content (nmol g ⁻¹ DM) and pattern in <i>P. strombulifera</i> plants grown hydroponically at $\Psi_0 = -2.6$ MPa, 48 days. Leaf
pigment analysis was performed by HPLC-UV. Values represent the mean of three determinations. Values of the same line followed by
different letters are significantly different (P = 0.05). DEPS index, de-epoxidation index; VAZ pigments, sum of violaxanthin, antheraxanthin
and zeaxanthin.

	Control	Na ₂ SO ₄	ΝαCl	$NaCl + Na_2SO_4$
	48 days		$\Psi_{ m o}=-$ 2.6 MPa	
Chlorophyll a	1669 ^b	979ª	1628 ^b	1682 ^b
Chlorophyll <i>b</i>	963 ^b	516ª	883 ^b	910 ^b
Total chlorophyll	2632 ^b	1495°	2512 ^b	2592 ^b
Chl a/b	1.74ª	1.9ª	1.85°	1.85ª
Lutein	1993ª	1487ª	2048ª	2093ª
Neoxanthin	271ª	183ª	272ª	277ª
Violaxanthin	307ª	198ª	301ª	304ª
Anteraxanthin	42 ^b	17ª	43 ^b	39 ^b
Zeaxanthin	71ª	89ª	105ª	94ª
VAZ	421ª	304ª	449 ^a	437ª
Xanthophylls	2684 ^b	1973ª	2768 ^b	2808 ^b
DEPS index	21.93 ^{ab}	31.24 ^c	27.86 ^{bc}	25.96 ^{bc}
β-Carotene	889ª	699ª	994ª	1051ª
Total carotenoids	3574ª	2673ª	3763ª	3859ª

Antioxidant activity of phenolic extracts

Antioxidant activity was evaluated by testing the effect of polyphenolic extracts on the ABTS* radical. Antioxidant activity was directly correlated with total polyphenol concentration in leaves and roots. In leaves, an enhanced antioxidant activity was observed in Na₂SO₄ and NaCl-treated plants at -1.9 MPa. At -2.6 MPa only Na₂SO₄-treated plants presented a significant increase in antioxidant activity (25 % over control) (Fig. 6A). In roots, a significant increase in antioxidant activity was only observed in Na₂SO₄-treated plants at -2.6 MPa (45 % over control) (Fig. 6B).




Figure 3. Effects of NaCl, Na₂SO₄ and their iso-osmotic mixture on total phenols content in leaves (A) and roots (B) of *P. strombulifera* plants. Salt treatments and osmotic potentials were compared by two-way ANOVA. Means values (\pm SE) followed by different letters are significantly different at *P* < 0.05 according to Bonferroni test (*n* = 6).

Discussion

8

Salt stress induces oxidative stress, as has been reported by numerous authors (Pang and Wang 2008; Abogadallah 2010; Tounekti *et al.* 2011), a component of which is caused by H_2O_2 . Hydrogen peroxide is a versatile molecule that is involved in several plant cell processes under normal and stressful conditions. In the latter, H_2O_2 accumulates to high levels leading to an oxidative burst. However, increasing evidence indicates that H_2O_2 also functions as a signalling molecule in plants, acting as a secondary messenger (Quan *et al.* 2008). Recently, several authors have demonstrated that H_2O_2 accumulation under highly saline concentrations acts as a signal for an adaptative response to stress (Miller *et al.* 2010). Therefore, a tight control of H_2O_2 concentration is critical for cell homoeostasis.

In P. strombulifera plants, H₂O₂ concentration was significantly increased in roots of Na_2SO_4 and Na_2SO_4 + NaCl-treated plants at moderate salinity (-1.9 MPa) in correlation with increased lipid peroxidation in the former. Hernandez et al. (2010) observed that H₂O₂ was rapidly accumulated in Brassica olareacea roots during the first 24 h at 80 mM NaCl suggesting that this would be a signal for setting off the defence system in different organs of the plant. On the other hand, in the halophyte Suaeda salsa a significant decrease in H₂O₂ content was observed after 7-day NaCl treatment, indicating that this halophyte has an effective H₂O₂-scavenging system which is responsible for protection from the oxidative stress induced by salinity (Cai-Hong et al. 2005). This response would be quite general for halophytic species, as proposed by Bose et al. (2013). These authors argued that truly salt-tolerant species possessing efficient mechanisms for Na⁺ exclusion from the cytosol may not require a high level of antioxidant activity, as they simply do not allow excessive ROS production in the first instance. This would be the case of NaCl-treated plants of P. strombulifera, whose capacity for ion compartmentation and osmoregulation in their tissues and adequate hydric equilibrium has been demonstrated in our previous work (Llanes et al. 2013; Reginato et al. 2014).

In agreement with our previous results (Reginato et al. 2014), P. strombulifera showed a greater sensitivity to Na₂SO₄ than to NaCl, as indicated by the important oxidative damage induced in tissues when the SO_4^{2-} anion is present in the medium. Malondialdehyde is considered a reliable indicator of oxidative stress resulting from degradation of membrane lipids under several abiotic constraints (Zhang and Kirkham 1996; Hernández et al. 2001). Thus, the significant increase in MDA concentration under Na₂SO₄ treatment correlates with the growth inhibition and metabolic disorder induced by this salt as previously reported (Llanes et al. 2013). It is worth noting that when SO_4^{2-} and Cl^- are both present in the medium, ionic interactions between the two anions at the membrane level cause a partial reversion of the oxidative damage caused by SO_4^{2-} in roots. This response is in agreement with the observation that $NaCl + Na_2SO_4$ treated plants showed intermediate values in growth parameters, compatible solute synthesis and ion content between those obtained with monosaline treatments, as previously demonstrated (Llanes et al. 2013; Reginato et al. 2014).

The lower MDA concentration in NaCl-treated plants suggests that this salt did not trigger a damaging oxidative burst as the one triggered by Na₂SO₄. Alternatively, this response maybe associated with the beneficial effects of putrescine accumulation in NaCl-treated plants (Reginato *et al.* 2012) which has been proposed as an **Table 3.** Polyphenol content (mg g⁻¹ DM) in *P. strombulifera* plants grown hydroponically at $\Psi_0 = -2.6$ MPa, 48 days. Total flavonoids, flavan-3-ols, condensed tannins, tartaric acid esters and flavonols were spectrophotometrically assayed. Values represent the mean of three determinations. Values of the same line followed by different letters are significantly different (*P* = 0.05).

	Control	Na ₂ SO ₄	NaCl	$NaCl + Na_2SO_4$
	48 days		$\Psi_{ m o}=-$ 2.6 MPa	
Leaves				
Proanthocianidins	2.03648°	2.10446 ^a	1.86098ª	1.91553ª
Flavan-3-ols	11.77364ª	19.44889 ^b	13.44172°	22.13718 ^b
Flavonols	8.52674ª	6.72473ª	7.02322ª	7.39824ª
Tartaric acid esters	6.78241ª	5.62903ª	5.82525ª	11.80177ª
Total flavonoids	10.64836ª	19.23884 ^b	11.80177ª	13.079ª
Roots				
Proanthocianidins	2.13544ª	2.29028°	1.61277ª	2.21091ª
Flavan-3-ols	12.11669 ^b	16.14916 ^b	2.97255ª	8.11204 ^{ab}
Flavonols	6.55984ª	16.27267 ^b	1.84187ª	1.79613ª
Tartaric acid esters	0.54348°	1.22436ª	1.32964ª	1.42049ª
Total flavonoids	13.75591 ^{ab}	19.72773 ^b	10.50043ª	11.63753ª




Figure 4. Cross-section of 48-day-old leaflets showing reduction in mesophyll thickness and polyphenols accumulation in cells of Na₂SO₄-treated plants. (A) Control; (B) Na₂SO₄-treated plants $(\Psi_o = -2.6 \text{ MPa})$ (scale = 50 μ m).

inductor of the antioxidative defence system (Verma and Mishra 2005).

Salt tolerance in plants is also related to their aptitude to maintain their chlorophyll level, an efficient CO_2 assimilation rate and stomatal conductance under salinity conditions (Redondo-Gómez *et al.* 2007). The chlorophyll response to salinity seems to depend on stress severity. Low salinities generally lead to an increase in chlorophyll levels whereas severe salinities often cause reduction




Figure 5. Distribution pattern of polyphenols in leaves and roots of control and salt-treated *P. strombulifera* plants. Control plants (48 days); Na₂SO₄, NaCl and two-salt treated plants ($\Psi_o = -2.6$ MPa, 48 days). Area of circle corresponds to total content of polyphenols in leaves (top) and roots (bottom). Area of circle in roots of Na₂SO₄-treated plants corresponds to 55.10 mg g⁻¹ DW (100 %). Areas of circles (and portions thereof) for other plant organs and treatments are proportional.

(Wei *et al.* 2006). In some halophytes, photosynthesis has been shown to be unaffected by salinity, or even stimulated at low salt concentrations (Parida *et al.* 2004; Rabhi *et al.* 2011).

In the present experiments, *P. strombulifera* showed a good ability to tolerate elevated NaCl concentrations with chlorophyll concentration remaining unchanged with respect to controls, while Na₂SO₄ stress significantly reduced chlorophyll concentration. Similar to the results




Figure 6. Effects of NaCl, Na₂SO₄ and their iso-osmotic mixture on antioxidant activity of phenolic extracts obtained from leaves (A) and roots (B) of *P. strombulifera* plants. Salt treatments and osmotic potentials were compared by two-way ANOVA. Means values (\pm SE) followed by different letters are significantly different at *P* < 0.05 according to Bonferroni test (*n* = 6).

reported by Ramani et al. (2006), no changes in carotenoid concentrations were observed. In addition to their role as secondary light-absorbing pigments, carotenoids, and β -carotene in particular, are able to reduce the Chl triplet state and to prevent the formation of the harmful singlet oxygen or to scavenge it after its production by the interaction of triplet chlorophyll with O_2 (Ramani et al. 2006). The unchanged carotenoid concentration, despite reduction in chlorophyll concentration, observed in Na₂SO₄-grown plants resulting in an increased carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio may represent a strategy to protect photosystems against photooxidation. One of the most effective mechanisms of excess energy dissipation is the de-epoxidation of violaxanthin to antheraxanthin and zeaxanthin through the xanthophyll cycle (VAZ) (Demmig-Adams and Adams 1992). Such a protective mechanism seems to be carried out by salinized plants of P. strombulifera, principally those grown

in the presence of Na₂SO₄ with the maximal DEPS index, indicating the need to alleviate excessive excitation pressure. Concomitantly, these plants showed a remarkable decrease in the maximal photochemical efficiency (F_v/F_m) and electron transport rate at the end of the experiment (unpubl. res.). If there were inactive units in photosytem II, there would be great potential for ROS formation.

Plants vary widely in their phenolic content and composition, with both genetics and environment affecting the type and level of these compounds (Awika and Rooney 2004; De Abreu and Mazzafera 2005). Phenolic compounds exhibit antioxidant activity in tissues exposed to a wide range of environmental stressors by inactivating lipid free radicals or preventing decomposition of hydroperoxides into free radicals (Pokorný 2001; Agati et al. 2002; Babu et al. 2003; Pearse et al. 2005). Generally the accumulation of phenolics is stimulated in response to biotic/abiotic stresses (Dixon and Paiva 1995; Naczk and Shahidi 2004). Increase in total polyphenol content in different tissues under increasing salinity has been reported in a number of plants (Agastian et al. 2000; Muthukumarasamy et al. 2000; Navarro et al. 2006). In the present study, NaCl treatment did not affect polyphenol synthesis in P. strombulifera plants, which was different from the response to Na₂SO₄ treatment, which induced a sharp increase in total phenols and flavonoid compounds and consequently, in the antioxidant activity in both leaves and roots. As evidenced by the microscopic analysis, leaflets of Na₂SO₄-treated plants showed a highly increased polyphenol accumulation in mesophyll and epidermal cells, in agreement with Tattini et al. (2005) who proposed that the main sites of flavonoid accumulation in plants (including alycosilated forms) are the mesophyll, epidermis and subepidermis of photosynthetic tissues. Taken together, these observations lead to the proposal of a fundamental role of polyphenols in the protection of the photosynthetic apparatus under severe stress. Furthermore, from our results it could be inferred that when other ROS-detoxifying systems such as the xanthophyll cycle fail or are not effective enough, as in the case of Na₂SO₄-treated plants, polyphenol production is increased as an alternative detoxifying system.

In *P. strombulifera* the pool of total phenols is composed mainly by flavan-3-ols, in leaves and roots. Hernández (2007) reported that the levels of flavan-3-ols increased significantly after a water deficit treatment in leaves of *Cistus clusii* in field conditions, and suggested that accumulation of flavan-3-ols and proanthocyanidins might protect leaves from excess of ROS. These authors reported that accumulation of monomeric flavan-3-ols preceded accumulation of proanthocyanidins (condensed tannins) and evidence was provided for *in vivo* oxidation of flavan-3-ols to their respective quinones, indicating their importance as antioxidants in plants. In *P. strombulifera* NaCl-treated plants, flavonoids and mainly flavan-3-ols did not increase as much as when the SO_4^{2-} anion was present in the solution (single salt or mixed salts). It might be thought that under NaCl treatment a more efficient xanthophyll cycle would render unnecessary investment of resources in flavonoid synthesis since, despite their protective functions, stress-induced increase of secondary plant products like polyphenols is often counteracted by a corresponding decrease in biomass (Selmar and Kleinwächter 2013). Accordingly, in this work we observed that the large increase in total polyphenols found under Na₂SO₄ treatment was accompanied by strong growth inhibition, contrary to what happened under NaCl treatment (Reginato *et al.* 2014).

Finally, it should be stated that our observations do not underestimate the importance of the enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants known to have a fundamental role in ROS scavenging, which are currently being analysed in our laboratory.

Conclusions

As previously reported, ionic interactions between different types of salt in salinized soils modify the biochemical and morpho-physiological responses of *P. strombulifera* plants to salinity (Reinoso *et al.* 2004, 2005; Sosa *et al.* 2005; Reginato *et al.* 2012, 2013, 2014; Llanes *et al.* 2013, 2014).

The increase in H_2O_2 production and lipid peroxidation when SO_4^{2-} anion is present in the growth solution indicates that this anion induced a strong oxidative stress in plants, different from that produced by NaCl treatment. Additionally, the significant accumulation of flavonoids in tissues under Na₂SO₄ treatment and their powerful antioxidant activity indicates a role for these compounds in counteracting the oxidative damage induced by severe salt stress, particularly, ionic stress.

Sources of Funding

This study was supported with funds from CONICET PIP No. 5628, PICT-ANPCYT No. 02232/07, SECYT-Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto, Argentina, to V.L., MINCyT-MAE to V.L. and A.R., and a postdoctoral fellowship from CONICET to M.R.

Contributions by the Authors

Experiments with hydropony for plant cultures and salt treatments were carried out by M.A.R.; H_2O_2 and MDA quantification were carried out by M.A.R. and A.F.; pigment analysis was conducted by A.C. and polyphenols and their antioxidant activity by M.A.R. and A.C. Statistical analyses

were conducted by M.A.R. and A.C. S.C. and A.R. provided their facilities (labs and equipment) to perform the analysis on oxidative stress and polyphenols, respectively. V.L. is the Head of the Plant Physiology Lab where the project is currently being carried on. M.A.R. and V.L. wrote and edited the manuscript, with insightful comments by all co-authors.

Conflicts of Interest Statement

None declared.

Acknowledgements

We thank Claudia Scattino for helping in the first polyphenol determinations in Ranieri's laboratory in the University of Pisa, and Celeste Varela for helping with the statistical analysis.

Literature Cited

- Abogadallah G. 2010. Antioxidative defense under salt stress. Plant Signaling & Behavior **5**:369–374.
- Agastian P, Kingsley SJ, Vivekanandan M. 2000. Effect of salinity on photosynthesis and biochemical characteristics in mulberry genotypes. *Photosynthetica* **38**:287–290.
- Agati G, Galardi C, Gravano E, Romani A, Tattini M. 2002. Flavonoid distribution in tissues of *Phillyrea latifolia* as estimated by microspectrofluorometry and multispectral fluorescence microimaging. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* **76**:350–360.
- Alexieva V, Sergiev I, Mapelli S, Karanov E. 2001. The effect of drought and ultraviolet radiation on growth and stress markers in pea and wheat. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **24**:1337–1344.
- Almeida Viégas R, Martins Fausto M, Queiroz J, Almeida Rocha I, Gomez Silveira J, Almeida Viégas P. 2004. Growth and total-N content of *Prosopis juliflora* (S.W.) D.C. are stimulated by low NaCl levels. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology* **16**:65–68.
- Awika JM, Rooney LW. 2004. Sorghum phytochemicals and their potential impact on human health. *Phytochemistry* **65**:1199–1221.
- Babu S, Akhtar TA, Lampi MA, Tripuranthakam S, Dixon GR, Greenberg BM. 2003. Similar stress responses are elicited by copper and ultraviolet radiation in the aquatic plant *Lemma gibba*: implication of reactive oxygen species as common signals. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **44**:1320–1329.
- Barbolan A, Zorro L, Guillen D, Barroso C. 2003. Study of the polyphenol content of red and white grape varieties by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry and its relationship to antioxidant power. *Journal of Chromatography A* **1012**:31–38.
- Bose J, Rodriguez Moreno A, Shabala S. 2013. ROS homeostasis in halophytes in the context of salinity stress tolerance. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**:1241–1257.
- Burkart A. 1976. A monograph of the genus *Prosopis* (Leguminosae subfam. Mimosoideae) Catalogue of the recognized species of *Prosopis. Journal of Arnold Arboretum* **57**:450–525.
- Cai-Hong P, Su-Jun Z, Zhi-Zhong Z, Bao-Shan W. 2005. NaCl treatment markedly enhances H₂O₂-scavenging system in leaves of halophyte *Suaeda salsa*. *Physiologia Plantarum* **125**:490–499.

- Castagna A, Nali C, Ciompi S, Lorenzini G, Soldatini GF, Ranieri A. 2001. Ozone exposure affects photosynthesis of pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo*) plants. *New Phytologist* **152**:223–229.
- Catalán L, Balzarini M, Taleisnik E, Sereno R, Karlin U. 1994. Effects of salinity on germination and seedling growth of *Prosopis flexuosa* (D.C.). *Forest Ecology and Management* **63**:347–357.
- Chanwitheesuk A, Teerawutgulrag A, Rakariyatham N. 2005. Screening of antioxidant activity and antioxidant compounds of some edible plants of Thailand. *Food Chemistry* **92**:491–497.
- D'Ambrogio A, de Argüeso A. 1986. Manual de técnicas en histología vegetal. Buenos Aires, Argentina: Hemisferio Sur, 1–83.
- De Abreu IN, Mazzafera P. 2005. Effect of water and temperature stress on the content of active constituents of *Hypericum brasilienne* Choisy. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **43**:241–248.
- Demmig-Adams B, Adams WW. 1992. Photoprotection and other responses of plants to high light stress. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **43**:599–626.
- Dixon RA, Paiva N. 1995. Stress-induced phenylpropanoid metabolism. *The Plant Cell* **7**:1085–1097.
- Falk J, Munné-Bosch S. 2010. Tocochromanol functions in plants: antioxidation and beyond. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **61**: 1549–1566.
- Felker P. 2007. Unusual physiological properties of the arid adapted tree legume *Prosopis* and their applications in developing countries. In: De la Barrera E, Smith W, eds. *Perspectives in biophysical plant ecophysiology: a tribute to Park Nobel*. Los Angeles: Mildred E. Mathias Botanical Garden, University of California Press, 1–41.
- Foyer CH, Noctor G. 2003. Redox sensing and signaling associated with reactive oxygen in chloroplasts, peroxisomes and mitochondria. *Physiologia Plantarum* **119**:355–364.
- Halliwell B. 2006. Reactive species and antioxidants: redox biology is a fundamental theme of aerobic life. *Plant Physiology* **141**: 312–322.
- Heath RL, Packer L. 1968. Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts. I. Kinetics and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 125:189–198.
- Hernández I. 2007. Flavan-3-ols and ascorbate accumulation and oxidation in plants and its physiological significance. PhD Thesis, Universidad de Barcelona, España.
- Hernández JA, Ferrer MA, Jiménez A, Ros-Barceló A, Sevilla F. 2001. Antioxidant systems and O₂/H₂O₂ production in the apoplast of *Pisum sativum* L. leaves: its relation with NaCl-induced necrotic lesions in minor veins. *Plant Physiology* **127**:817–831.
- Hernandez M, Fernández-Garcia N, Diaz-Vivancos P, Olmos E. 2010. A different role for hydrogen peroxide and the antioxidative system under short and long salt stress in *Brassica oleracea* roots. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **61**:521–535.
- Iqbal RM. 2003. Leaf area and ion contents of wheat grown under NaCl and Na₂SO₄ salinity. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 6:1512–1514.
- Jaleel CA, Gopi R, Manivannan P, Panneerselvam R. 2007. Antioxidative potentials as a protective mechanism in *Catharanthus roseus* (L.) G. Don. plants under salinity stress. *Turkish Journal of Botany* 31:245–251.
- Johansen DA. 1940. Plant microtechnique VI VII. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 49–60.
- Kim D, Chun O, Kim Y, Moon H, Lee C. 2003. Quantification of polyphenolics and their antioxidant capacity in fresh plums. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry* **51**:6509–6515.

- Laüchli A, Grattan SR. 2007. Plant growth and development under salinity stress. In: Jenks MA, Hasegawa P, Mohan Jain S, eds. *Ad*vances in molecular breeding toward drought and salt tolerant crops. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer, 1–32.
- Llanes A, Reinoso H, Luna V. 2005. Germination and early growth of *Prosopis strombulifera* seedlings in different saline solutions. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **1**:120–128.
- Llanes A, Bertazza G, Palacio G, Luna V. 2013. Different sodium salts cause different solute accumulation in the halophyte *Prosopis* strombulifera. Plant Biology **15**:118–125.
- Llanes A, Masciarelli O, Ordoñez R, Islas M, Luna V. 2014. Differential growth responses to sodium salts involve different ABA catabolism and transport in the halophyte *Prosopis strombulifera*. *Biologia Plantarum* **58**:80–88.
- Manivannan P, Abdul Jaleel C, Sankar B, Kishorekumar A, Murali P, Somasundaram R, Panneerselvam R. 2008. Mineral uptake and biochemical changes in *Heliantus annus* under treatment with different sodium salts. *Colloids and Surfaces B* **62**:58–63.
- Miller G, Suzuki N, Ciftci-Yilmaz S, Mittler R. 2010. Reactive oxygen species homeostasis and signalling during drought and salinity stresses. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **33**:453–467.
- Mittler R, Blumwald E. 2010. Genetic engineering for modern agriculture: challenges and perspectives. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **61**:443–462.
- Muthukumarasamy M, Gupta SD, Pannerselvam R. 2000. Enhancement of peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase and superoxide dismutase activities by tridimefon in NaCl stressed *Raphanus sativus* L. *Biologia Plantarum* **43**:317–320.
- Naczk M, Shahidi F. 2004. Extraction and analysis of phenolics in food. *Journal of Chromatography A* **1054**:95–111.
- Navarro JM, Flores P, Garrido C, Martinez V. 2006. Changes in the contents of antioxidant compounds in pepper fruits at ripening stages, as affected by salinity. *Food Chemistry* **96**:66–73.
- Nigel CW, Glories Y. 1991. Use of a modified dimethylaminocinnamaldehyde reagent for analysis of flavanols. *American Journal* of Enology and Viticulture **42**:364–366.
- Pang C-H, Wang B-S. 2008. Oxidative stress and salt tolerance in plants. In: Lütge U, Beyschlag W, Murata J, eds. *Progress in botany*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 69–231.
- Parida A, Das A, Mittra B. 2004. Effects of salt on growth, ion accumulation photosynthesis and leaf anatomy of the mangrove, *Bruguiera parviflora. Trees—Structure and Function* **18**:167–174.
- Pearse IS, Heath KD, Cheeseman JM. 2005. Biochemical and ecological characterization of two peroxidase isoenzymes from the mangrove, *Rhizophora mangle*. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 28: 612–622.
- Pokorný J. 2001. Introduction. In: Pokorny J, Yanishlieva N, Gordon MH, eds. *Antioxidants in food: practical applications*. Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing Limited, 1–3.
- Polle A, Rennenberg H. 1994. Photooxidative stress in trees. In: Foyer C, Mullineaux P, eds. *Photooxidative stresses in plants:* causes and amelioration. Boca Raton: CRC Press Inc., 99–218.
- Quan LJ, Zhang B, Shi WW, Li HY. 2008. Hydrogen peroxide in plants: a versatile molecule of the reactive oxygen species network. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* **50**:2–18.
- Rabhi M, Castagna A, Remorini D, Scattino C, Smaoui A, Ranieri A, Abdelly C. 2011. Photosynthetic responses to salinity in two obligate halophytes: Sesuvium portulacastrum and Tecticornia indica. South African Journal of Botany 79:39–47.

- Ramani B, Reeck T, Debez A, Stelzerd R, Huchzermeyer B, Schmidt A, Papenbrock J. 2006. Aster tripolium L. and Sesuvium portulacastrum L.: two halophytes, two strategies to survive in saline habitats. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **44**:395–408.
- Re R, Pellegrini N, Preoteggente A, Pannala A, Yang M, Rice-Evans C. 1999. Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay. *Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine* 26: 121–137.
- Redondo-Gómez S, Mateos-Naranjo E, Davy A, Fernández Muñoz F, Castellano E, Luque T, Figueroa M. 2007. Growth and photosynthetic responses to salinity of the salt-marsh shrub *Atriplex portulacoides. Annals of Botany* **100**:555–563.
- Reginato M, Abdala G, Miersch O, Ruiz O, Moschetti E, Luna V. 2012. Changes in the levels of jasmonates and free polyamines induced by Na₂SO₄ and NaCl in roots and leaves of the halophyte *Prosopis strombulifera. Biologia (Section Botany)* **67**:689–697.
- Reginato M, Reinoso H, Llanes A, Luna V. 2013. Stomatal abundance and distribution in *Prosopis strombulifera* plants growing under different iso-osmotic salt treatments. *American Journal of Plant Sciences, Special Issue Plant Stress Responses* **4**:80–90.
- Reginato M, Sosa L, Llanes A, Hampp E, Vettorazzi N, Reinoso H, Luna V. 2014. Na₂SO₄ and NaCl determine different growth responses and ion accumulation in the halophytic legume *Prosopis strombulifera*. *Plant Biology* **16**:97–106.
- Reinoso H, Sosa L, Ramirez L, Luna V. 2004. Salt-induced changes in the vegetative anatomy of *Prosopis strombulifera* (Leguminosae). *Canadian Journal of Botany* **82**:618–628.
- Reinoso H, Sosa L, Reginato M, Luna V. 2005. Histological alterations induced by sodium sulfate in the vegetative anatomy of *Prosopis strombulifera* (Lam.) Benth. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 1:109–119.
- Romani A, Mancini P, Tatti S, Vincieri F. 1996. Polyphenols and polysaccharides in Tuscan grapes and wines. *Italian Journal of Food Sciences* **1**:13-24.

- Schützendübel A, Polle A. 2002. Plant responses to abiotic stresses: heavy metal-induced oxidative stress and protection by mycorrhization. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **53**:1351–1365.
- Selmar D, Kleinwächter M. 2013. Influencing the product quality by deliberately applying drought stress during the cultivation of medicinal plants. *Industrial Crops and Products* **42**:558–566.
- Shi D, Sheng Y. 2005. Effect of various salt alkaline mixed stress conditions on sunflower seedlings and analysis of their stress factors. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **54**:8–21.
- Sosa L, Llanes A, Reinoso H, Reginato M, Luna V. 2005. Osmotic and specific ion effects on the germination of *Prosopis strombulifera*. *Annals of Botany* **96**:261–267.
- Tattini M, Guidi L, Morassi-Bonzi L, Pinelli P, Remorini D, Degl'Innocenti E, Giordano C, Massai R, Agati G. 2005. On the role of flavonoids in the integrated mechanisms of response of *Ligustrum vulgare* and *Phillyrea latifolia* to high solar radiation. *New Phytologist* **167**:457–470.
- Tounekti T, Vadel A, Oñate M, Khemira H, Munné-Bosch S. 2011. Saltinduced oxidative stress in rosemary plants: damage or protection? *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **71**:298–305.
- Van Breusegem F, Dat JF. 2006. Reactive oxygen species in plant cell death. *Plant Physiology* **141**:384–390.
- Verma S, Mishra SN. 2005. Putrescine alleviation of growth in salt stressed *Brassica juncea* by inducing antioxidative defense system. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **162**:669–677.
- Waterman PG, Mole S. 1994. Analysis of phenolic plant metabolites. In: Wiley, ed. *Methods in ecology*. Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell Scientific Publications, 83–85.
- Wei Y, Xu X, Tao H, Wang P. 2006. Growth performance and physiological response in the halophyte *Lycium barbarum* grown at saltaffected soil. *Annals of Applied Biology* **149**:263–269.
- Zhang J, Kirkham MB. 1996. Enzymatic responses of the ascorbateglutathione cycle to drought in sorghum and sunflower plants. *Plant Science* **113**:139–147.