1 to be sent to

5

6

7

2 Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare C: Analyse Non Lineaire

# SARD PROPERTY FOR THE ENDPOINT MAP ON SOME CARNOT GROUPS

#### ENRICO LE DONNE, RICHARD MONTGOMERY, ALESSANDRO OTTAZZI, PIERRE PANSU, AND DAVIDE VITTONE

ABSTRACT. In Carnot-Carathéodory or sub-Riemannian geometry, one of the major open problems is whether the conclusions of Sard's theorem holds for the endpoint map, a canonical map from an infinite-dimensional path space to the underlying finite-dimensional manifold. The set of critical values for the endpoint map is also known as abnormal set, being the set of endpoints of abnormal extremals leaving the base point. We prove that a strong version of Sard's property holds for all step-2 Carnot groups and several other classes of Lie groups endowed with left-invariant distributions. Namely, we prove that the abnormal set lies in a proper analytic subvariety. In doing so we examine several characterizations of the abnormal set in the case of Lie groups.

#### CONTENTS

8	1. Introduction	2
9	2. Preliminaries	5
10	2.1. Differential of the endpoint map	5
11	2.2. Carnot groups	7
12	2.3. Abnormal curves	8
13	2.4. Hamiltonian formalism and reduction	10
14	2.5. Abnormal varieties and connection with extremal polynom	nials 11
15	2.6. Lifts of abnormal curves	14
16	2.7. Normal curves	15
17	2.8. The Goh condition	15
18	3. Step-2 Carnot groups	16
_		

Date: March 4, 2015.

 $2010\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification. \quad 53C17,\ 22F50,\ 22E25\ 14M17.$ 

Key words and phrases. Sard's property, endpoint map, abnormal curves, Carnot groups, polarized groups, sub-Riemannian geometry.

2	LE DONNE, MONTGOMERY, OTTAZZI, PANSU, AND VITTONE		
19	3.1. Facts about abnormal curves in two-step Carnot groups	16	
20	3.2. Parametrizing abnormal varieties within free two-step Carnot groups	17	
21	3.3. Application to general 2-step Carnot groups	18	
22	3.4. Codimension bounds on free 2-step Carnot groups	19	
23	3.5. Proof that $Abn(e)$ is contained in a set of codimension $\geq 3$	19	
24	3.6. Proof that $Abn(e)$ is a semialgebraic set of codimension $\geq 3$	20	
25	4. Sufficient condition for Sard's property	23	
26	4.1. Algebraic prolongation	24	
27	4.2. Induced contact vector fields	25	
28	4.3. A criterion for Sard's property	27	
29	5. Applications	28	
30	5.1. Proof of $(2)$ and $(3)$	29	
31	5.2. Semisimple Lie groups and associated polarized groups	30	
32	5.3. Sard Property for some semidirect products	32	
33	6. Step-3 Carnot groups	32	
34	6.1. Sard Property for abnormal length minimizers	33	
35	6.2. Investigations in the rank-3 case	34	
36	6.3. Computation of abnormals not lying in any subgroup	37	
37	7. Open problems	38	
38	References 33		

#### 39

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $V \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  be a subspace. I Following Gromov [Gro96, Sec. 0.1], we shall call the pair (G, V) a *polarized group*. Carnot groups are examples of polarized groups where V is the first layer of their stratification. To any polarized group (G, V) one associates the endpoint map:

End: 
$$L^2([0,1],V) \rightarrow G$$
  
 $u \mapsto \gamma_u(1)$ 

44 where  $\gamma_u$  is the curve on G leaving from the origin  $e \in G$  with derivative  $(d L_{\gamma(t)})_e u(t)$ . 45 The abnormal set of (G, V) is the subset  $Abn(e) \subset G$  of all singular values of 46 the endpoint map. Equivalently, Abn(e) is the union of all *abnormal curves* passing 47 through the origin (see Section 2.3). If the abnormal set has measure 0, then (G, V) is 48 said to satisfy the *Sard Property*. Proving the Sard Property in the general context of 49 polarized manifolds is one of the major open problems in sub-Riemannian geometry, 50 see the questions in [Mon02, Sec. 10.2] and Problem III in [Agr13]. In this paper, 51 we will focus on the following stronger versions of Sard's property in the context of 52 groups.

<sup>53</sup> **Definition 1.1** (Algebraic and Analytic Sard Property). We say that a polarized <sup>54</sup> group (G, V) satisfies the *Algebraic* (respectively, *Analytic*) *Sard Property* if its ab-<sup>55</sup> normal set Abn(e) is contained in a proper real algebraic (respectively, analytic) <sup>56</sup> subvariety of G.

57 Our main results are summarized by:

58 **Theorem 1.2.** The following Carnot groups satisfy the Algebraic Sard Property:

- 59 (1) Carnot groups of step 2;
- 60 (2) The free-nilpotent group of rank 3 and step 3;
- (3) The free-nilpotent group of rank 2 and step 4;
- (4) The nilpotent part of the Iwasawa decomposition of any semisimple Lie group
   equipped with the distribution defined by the sum of the simple root spaces.

64 The following polarized groups satisfy the Analytic Sard Property:

- (5) Split semisimple Lie groups equipped with the distribution given by the subspace
   of the Cartan decomposition with negative eigenvalue.
- (6) Split semisimple Lie groups equipped with the distribution defined by the sum
   of the nonzero root spaces.

69 Earlier work [Mon94] allows us

(7) compact semisimple Lie groups equipped with the distribution defined by the
 sum of the nonzero root spaces, (i.e., the orthogonal to the maximal torus
 relative to a bi-invariant metric).

Case (1) will be proved reducing the problem to the case of a smooth map between finite-dimensional manifolds and applying the classical Sard Theorem to this map. The proof will crucially use the fact that in a Carnot group of step 2 each abnormal curve is contained in a proper subgroup. This latter property may fail for step 3, see Section 6.3. However, a similar strategy together with the notion of *abnormal varieties*, see (2.21), might yield a proof of Sard Property for general Carnot groups.

The proof of cases (2)-(6) is based on the observation that, if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a family of contact vector fields (meaning infinitesimal symmetries of the distribution) vanishing at the identity, then for any horizontal curve  $\gamma$  leaving from the origin with control u we have

$$(R_{\gamma(1)})_*V + (L_{\gamma(1)})_*V + \mathcal{X}(\gamma(1)) \subset \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{d}\operatorname{End}_u) \subset T_{\gamma(1)}G.$$

79 Therefore if  $g \in G$  is such that

(1.3) 
$$(R_g)_*V + (L_g)_*V + \mathcal{X}(g) = T_gG,$$

<sup>80</sup> then g is not a singular value of the endpoint map. In fact, if (1.3) is describable as <sup>81</sup> a non-trivial system of polynomial inequations for g, then (G, V) has the Algebraic 82 Sard Property. Case (3) was already proved in [LDLMV14] by using an equivalent 83 technique.

Equation (1.3) does not have solutions in the following cases: free-nilpotent groups of rank 2 and step  $\geq$  5, free-nilpotent groups of rank 3 and step  $\geq$  4, free-nilpotent groups of rank  $\geq$  4 and step  $\geq$  3. Here Sard's property remains an open problem.

We further provide a more quantitative version of Sard's property for free-nilpotent groups of step 2.

89 **Theorem 1.4.** In any free-nilpotent group of step 2 the abnormal set is contained in 90 an affine algebraic subvariety of codimension 3.

Agrachev, Lerario, and Gentile previously proved that in a *generic* Carnot group of 92 step 2 the *generic* point in the second layer is not in the abnormal set, see [AGL13, 93 Theorem 9].

There are several papers that give a bound on the size of the set of all those points  $\operatorname{End}(u)$  where u is a critical point with the extra property that  $\gamma_u$  is *length minimizing* for a fixed sub-Riemannian structure. A very general result [Agr09] by Pr Agrachev based on techniques of Rifford and Trélat [RT05] states that this set is contained in a closed nowhere dense set, for general sub-Riemannian manifolds.

In this direction, in step 3 Carnot groups equipped with a sub-Riemannian structure on the first layer, we bound the size of the set  $Abn^{lm}(e)$  of points connected to the lol origin by locally length minimizing abnormal curves. Our result uses ideas of Tan and Vang [TY13] and the fact that in an arbitrary polarized Lie group the Sard Property holds for normal-abnormal curves, see Lemma 2.32.

104 **Theorem 1.5.** Let G be a sub-Riemannian Carnot group of step 3. The Sub-analytic 105 Sard Property holds for locally length minimizing abnormal curves. Namely, the set 106  $\operatorname{Abn}^{lm}(e)$  is contained in a sub-analytic set of codimension at least 1.

## New!

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is a preliminary section. First we 108 109 remind the definition of the endpoint map and we give a characterization of the im-110 age of its differential in Proposition 2.3, in the case of polarized groups. Secondly, we review Carnot groups, abnormal curves, and give interpretations of the abnormal 111 equations using left-invariant forms and right-invariant forms. In Section 2.5, we ex-112 amine the notion of abnormal varieties. In Section 2.7 we review normal curves, and 113 114 in Section 2.8 the Goh condition. In Section 3 we consider step-2 Carnot groups. 115 We first prove the Algebraic Sard Property for general Carnot groups of step 2 and 116 then we prove Theorem 1.4 for free step-2 groups. For the latter, we also give precise 117 characterizations of the abnormal set. In Section 4 we discuss sufficient conditions 118 for Sard's property to hold. In particular, we discuss the role of contact vector fields 119 and that of the equation (1.3). The most important criteria are Proposition 4.11 120 and Corollary 4.14, which will be used in Section 5 to prove the remaining part of 121 Theorem 1.2. In Section 5.3 we discuss Sard Property for a large class of semidirect 122 product of polarized groups. In particular, we provide examples of groups with expo-123 nential growth having the Analytic Sard Property (semisimple Lie groups) and the 124 Algebraic Sard Property (solvable Lie groups). See Proposition 5.5 and Remark 5.6. 125 Section 6 is devoted to Carnot groups of step 3. First we prove Sard Property for 126 abnormal length minimizers, i.e., Theorem 1.5. Second, we investigate the example 127 of the free 3-step rank-3 Carnot group, showing that the argument used in step-2 128 Carnot groups finds an obstruction: there are abnormal curves not contained in any 129 proper subgroup. We conclude the article with Section 7, where we discuss the open 130 problems.

Acknowledgments Most of the work in this paper was developed while the authors
were guests of the program Geometry, Analysis and Dynamics on Sub-Riemannian
Manifolds at the Institut Henri Poincaré in the Fall 2014. The authors are very
grateful to the program organizers A. Agrachev, D. Barilari, U. Boscain, Y. Chitour,
F. Jean, L. Rifford, and M. Sigalotti, as well to IHP for its support.

136

## 2. Preliminaries

Let G be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , viewed as the tangent space of 138 G at the identity element e. For all  $g \in G$ , denote by  $L_g$  and  $R_g$  the left and right 139 multiplication by g, respectively. Also,  $\operatorname{Ad}_g := \operatorname{d}(L_g \circ R_{g^{-1}})_e$ .

Fix a linear subspace  $V \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ . Let u be an element of  $L^2([0,1], V)$ . Denote by  $\gamma_u$ 141 the curve in G that solves the ODE:

(2.1) 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\,\gamma}{\mathrm{d}\,t}(t) = \left(\mathrm{d}\,L_{\gamma(t)}\right)_e u(t),$$

142 with initial condition  $\gamma(0) = e$ . Viceversa, if  $\gamma : [0, 1] \to G$  is an absolutely continuous 143 curve that solves (2.1) for some  $u \in L^2([0, 1], V)$ , then we say that  $\gamma$  is *horizontal* 144 with respect to V and that  $u = u_{\gamma}$  is its *control*. In other words, the derivatives of  $\gamma$ 145 lie in the left-invariant subbundle, denoted by  $\Delta$ , that coincides with V at e.

146 The *endpoint map* starting at e with controls in V is the map

End: 
$$L^2([0,1],V) \rightarrow G$$
  
 $u \mapsto \gamma_u(1).$ 

147 2.1. Differential of the endpoint map. The following result is standard and a 148 proof of it can be found (in the more general context of Carnot-Carathéodory mani-149 folds) in [Mon02, Proposition 5.2.5, see also Appendix E].

**Theorem 2.2** (Differential of End). The endpoint map End is a smooth map between 151 the Hilbert space  $L^2([0,1], V)$  and G. If  $\gamma$  is a horizontal curve leaving from the origin 152 with control u, then the differential of End at u, which is a map from  $L^2([0,1], V)$  to 153 the tangent space of G at  $\gamma(1)$ , is given by

$$\operatorname{dEnd}_{u} v = (\operatorname{d} R_{\gamma(1)})_{e} \int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)} v(t) \operatorname{d} t, \qquad \forall v \in L^{2}([0,1], V).$$

154 Sketch of the proof. The proof of a more general result can be found in [Mon02]. We 155 sketch here the simple proof of the formula in the case when  $G \subset GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ , where we 156 can interpret the Lie product as a matrix product and work in the matrix coordinates. 157 Let  $\gamma_{u+\epsilon v}$  be the curve with the control  $u + \epsilon v$  and  $\sigma(t)$  be the derivative of  $\gamma_{u+\epsilon v}(t)$ 158 with respect to  $\epsilon$  at  $\epsilon = 0$ . Then  $\sigma$  satisfies the following ODE (which is the derivation 159 with respect to  $\epsilon$  of (2.1) for  $\gamma_{u+\epsilon v}$ )

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\,\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\,t} = \gamma(t)\cdot v(t) + \sigma\cdot u(t).$$

160 Now it is easy to see that  $\int_0^t \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(s)}(v(s)) \, \mathrm{d} s \cdot \gamma(t)$  satisfies the above equation with 161 the same initial condition as  $\sigma$ , hence is equal to  $\sigma$ .

<sup>162</sup> Proposition 2.3 (Image of d End). If  $\gamma : [0,1] \to G$  is a horizontal curve leaving <sup>163</sup> from the origin with control u, then

(2.4) 
$$\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{d}\operatorname{End}_u) = (\operatorname{d} R_{\gamma(1)})_e(\operatorname{span}\{\operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)}V : t \in [0,1]\}).$$

164 *Proof.* A glance at the formula of Theorem 2.2 combined with the fact that  $(d R_{\gamma(1)})_e$ 165 is a linear isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $T_{\gamma(1)}G$  shows that it suffices to prove that

$$\left\{\int_0^1 \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)} v(t) \, \mathrm{d}\, t : v \in L^2([0,1],V)\right\} = \operatorname{span}\{\operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)} V : t \in [0,1]\}.$$

166  $\subset$ : Any linear combination of terms  $\operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t_i)} v_i$  is in the right hand set. Now an 167 integral is a limit of finite sums and the right hand side is closed. Hence the right 168 hand side contains the left hand side.

169  $\supset$ : It suffices to show that any element of the form  $\xi = \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t_1)} v_1$  lies in the left hand 170 side. Let  $\psi_n(t)$  be a delta-function family centered at  $t_1$ , that is, a smooth family 171 of continuous functions for which the limit as a distribution as  $n \to \infty$  of  $\psi_n(t)$  is 172  $\delta(t-t_1)$ . Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^1 \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)} \psi_n(t) v_1 dt = \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t_1)} v_1 = \xi$  and since the left hand 173 side is a closed subspace,  $\xi$  lies in the set in the left hand side.  $\Box$ 

174 Remark 2.5. Evaluating (2.4) at t = 0 and t = 1 yields

(2.6) 
$$(\mathrm{d} R_{\gamma(1)})_e V + (\mathrm{d} L_{\gamma(1)})_e V \subset \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d} \operatorname{End}_u).$$

175 Remark 2.7. Proposition 2.3 implies immediately that for strongly bracket generating 176 distributions, the endpoint map is a submersion at every  $u \neq 0$ . We recall that a 177 polarized group (G, V) is strongly bracket generating if for every  $X \in V \setminus \{0\}$ , one 178 has  $V + [X, V] = \mathfrak{g}$ . 179 Remark 2.8 (Goh's condition is automatic in rank 2). Assume that dim V = 2. We 180 claim that if  $\gamma$  is horizontal leaving from the origin with control u, then for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ 181 we have

(2.9) 
$$(\mathrm{d}\,R_{\gamma(1)})_e\,\mathrm{Ad}_{\gamma(t)}[V,V]\subseteq\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{End}_u).$$

Indeed, we may assume that  $\gamma$  is parametrized by arc length and that t is a point of differentiability. Hence,  $\gamma(t)^{-1}\gamma(t+\epsilon) = \exp(u(t)\epsilon + o(\epsilon))$ . Notice that since  $u(t) \in V \setminus \{0\}$  and dim V = 2, it follows that [u(t), V] = [V, V]. Therefore  $\operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)}^{-1} \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t+\epsilon)} V = V = V \setminus \{0\}$  and dim V = 2, it follows that [u(t), V] = [V, V]. Therefore  $\operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)}^{-1} \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t+\epsilon)} V = V = V \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence, for all  $Y \in V$ 

$$\epsilon[u(t), Y] + o(\epsilon) \in V + \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)}^{-1} \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t+\epsilon)} V.$$

186 Therefore, Proposition 2.3 implies that  $\operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)}[u(t), Y] \in (\operatorname{d} R_{\gamma(1)})_e^{-1}\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{d} \operatorname{End}_u)$ , which 187 proves the claim.

By (2.35) below, formula (2.9) implies that, whenever  $\gamma$  is an abnormal curve (see Section 2.3) in a polarized group (G, V) of rank 2, then  $\gamma$  satisfies the *Goh condition* (see Section 2.8).

191 Remark 2.10 (Action of contact maps). We associate to the subspace  $V \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  a left-192 invariant subbundle  $\Delta$  of TG such that  $\Delta_e = V$ . A vector field  $\xi \in \operatorname{Vec}(G)$  is said to 193 be *contact* if its flow  $\Phi_{\xi}^s$  preserves  $\Delta$ . Denote by

$$\mathcal{S} := \{ \xi \in \operatorname{Vec}(G) \mid \xi \text{ contact}, \xi_e = 0 \}$$

194 the space of global contact vector fields on G that vanish at the identity. We claim 195 that, for every horizontal curve  $\gamma$  leaving from the origin,

(2.11) 
$$\mathcal{S}(\gamma(1)) \subset \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{d}\operatorname{End}_u).$$

196 Indeed, let  $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$  and let  $\phi_{\xi}^{s}$  be the corresponding flow at time *s*. Since  $\xi_{e} = 0$ , we 197 have that  $\phi_{\xi}^{s}(e) = e$ . Consider the curve  $\gamma^{s} := \phi_{\xi}^{s} \circ \gamma$ . Notice that  $\gamma^{s}(e) = e$  and that 198  $\gamma^{s}$  is horizontal, because  $\xi$  is a contact vector field. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{End}(u^s) = \gamma^s(1) = \Phi^s_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(1)),$$

199 where  $u^s$  is the control of  $\gamma^s$ . Differentiating at s = 0, we conclude that  $\xi(\gamma(1))$ , 200 which is an arbitrary point in  $\mathcal{S}(\gamma(1))$ , belongs to  $\text{Im}(\text{dEnd}_u)$ .

201 2.2. Carnot groups. Among the polarized groups, Carnot groups are the most dis-202 tinguished. A *Carnot group* is a simply connected, polarized Lie group (G, V) whose 203 Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits a direct sum decomposition in nontrivial vector subspaces

$$\mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_s$$
 such that  $[V_i, V_j] = V_{i+j}$ 

where  $V_k = \{0\}, k > s$  and  $V_1 = V$ . We refer to the *i*th summand  $V_i$  as the *i*th *layer*. The above decomposition is also called the *stratification* of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and Carnot groups are often referred to in the analysis literature as *stratified* groups. The *step* of a Carnot group is the total number *s* of layers and equals the degree of nilpotency of 208 g: all Lie brackets of length greater than s vanish. Every Carnot group admits at 209 least a canonical outer automorphism, the 'scaling'  $\delta_{\lambda}$  which on g is equal to the 210 multiplication by  $\lambda^i$  on the *i*th layer.

Since G is simply connected and nilpotent, the exponential map  $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$  is a 212 diffeomorphism. We write log for the inverse of exp. When we use log to identify  $\mathfrak{g}$ 213 with G the group law on G becomes a polynomial map  $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  with  $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$  playing 214 the role of the identity element  $e \in G$ .

## 215 2.3. Abnormal curves.

216 **Definition 2.12** (Abnormal curve). Let (G, V) be a polarized group. Let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow$ 217 *G* be a horizontal curve leaving from the origin with control *u*. If  $\text{Im}(d \text{End}_u) \subsetneq T_{\gamma(1)}G$ , 218 we say that  $\gamma$  is *abnormal*.

In other words,  $\gamma$  is abnormal if and only if  $\gamma(1)$  is a critical value of End. We define the *abnormal set* of (G, V) as

(2.13) Abn(e) := { $\gamma(1) \mid \gamma$  abnormal,  $\gamma(0) = e$ } = {critical values of End}.

<sup>221</sup> The Sard Problem in sub-Riemannian geometry is the study of the above abnormal <sup>222</sup> set. More information can be found in [Mon02, page 182].

223 Interpretation of abnormal equations via right-invariant forms. Proposition 2.3 gives 224 an interpretation for a curve to be abnormal, which, to the best of our knowledge, is 225 not in the literature.

226 Corollary 2.14. Let (G, V) be a polarized group and let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \to G$  be a horizontal 227 curve. Then the following are equivalent:

228 (1)  $\gamma$  is abnormal;

(2) there exists  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\lambda(\operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)} V) = \{0\}$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;

(3) there exists a right-invariant 1-form  $\alpha$  on G such that  $\alpha(\Delta_{\gamma(t)}) = \{0\}$  for

every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $\Delta$  is the left-invariant distribution induced by V.

232 Proof. (2) and (3) are obviously equivalent. By Proposition 2.3,  $\gamma$  is abnormal if and 233 only if there is a proper subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$  that contains  $\operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)} V$  for all t.

Interpretation of abnormal equations via left-invariant adjoint equations. The previous section characterized singular curves for a left-invariant distribution on a Lie group G in terms of right-invariant one-forms. This section characterizes the same one used in [Mon94, Equations (12), (13) and (14)] and [GK95, equations in Secviou 2.3]. We establish the equivalence of the two characterizations directly using Lie theory. Then we take a second, Hamiltonian, perspective on the equivalence of characterizations. In this perspective, the right-invariant characterization is simply the momentum map applied to the Hamiltonian provided by the Maximum Principle.

8

243 We shall also introduce the notation

2.15) 
$$w(\eta)(X,Y) := \eta([X,Y]), \text{ for } \eta \in V^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*, X, Y \in V.$$

244 **Proposition 2.16.** Let (G, V) be a polarized group and let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$  be a 245 horizontal curve with control u. Then the following are equivalent:

## 246 (1) $\gamma$ is abnormal;

(2) there exists a curve  $\eta : [0,1] \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ , with  $\eta(t)|_V = 0$  and  $\eta(t) \neq 0$ , for all  $t \in [0,1]$ , representing a curve of left-invariant one-forms, such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t}(t) = (\mathrm{ad}_{u(t)})^* \eta(t) \\ u(t) \in \mathrm{Ker}(w(\eta(t))). \end{cases}$$

247 Remark 2.17. There is a sign difference between the first equation of (2) above, 248 namely  $\frac{d\eta}{dt}(t) = (ad_{u(t)})^*\eta(t)$ , and the analogous equation in [Mon94, Sec. 4] that 249 reads  $\frac{d\eta}{dt}(t) = -ad_{u(t)}^*\eta(t)$ . The equations coincide if we set  $ad_u^* = -(ad_u)^*$ . To 250 understand this minus sign, we first observe that in the equation above  $(ad_u)^*$  is the 251 operator  $(ad_u)^* : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}^*$  dual to the adjoint operator, so that

$$((\mathrm{ad}_u)^*\lambda)(X) = \lambda(\mathrm{ad}_u(X)) = \lambda([u, X]).$$

In the equation of [Mon94, Sec. 4] the operator  $\operatorname{ad}_u^*$  is the differential of the co-adjoint action  $\operatorname{Ad}^*: G \to gl(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  taken at g = e in the direction  $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ . The minus sign arises out of the inverse needed to make the action a left action:  $\operatorname{Ad}^*(g) = (\operatorname{Ad}_{q^{-1}})^*$ .

Golé and Karidi made good use of the coordinate version of the previous propo-256 sition. See [GK95, page 540], following [Mon94, Sec. 4]. See also [LDLMV13, 257 LDLMV14]. To describe their version, fix a basis  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ 258 is a basis of V. Let  $c_{ij}^k$  be the structure constant of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to this basis, seen 259 as *left*-invariant vector fields. Let  $(u_1, \ldots, u_r) \in V$  be controls relative to this basis. 260 Let  $\eta_i = \eta(X_i)$  denote the linear coordinates of a covector  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  relative to this basis.

261 **Proposition 2.18.** Let (G, V) be a polarized group. Let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \to G$  be a horizontal 262 curve with control  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} u_i(t) X_i$ . Under the above coordinate conventions, the following 263 are equivalent:

264 (1)  $\gamma$  is abnormal;

(2) there exists a vector function  $(0, 0, ..., 0, \eta_{r+1}, ..., \eta_n) : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , never vanishing, such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta_i}{\mathrm{d}t}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k=r+1}^n c_{ij}^k u_j(t) \eta_k(t) = 0, & \text{for all } i = r+1, \dots, n, \\ \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k=r+1}^n c_{ij}^k u_j(t) \eta_k(t) = 0, & \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, r. \end{cases}$$

Both Corollary 2.14 and Proposition 2.16 lead to a one-form  $\lambda(t) \in T^*_{\gamma(t)}G$  along the curve  $\gamma$  in G. The key to the equivalence of the right and left perspectives of these two propositions is that these one-forms along  $\gamma$  are equal. For the right-invariant version, Corollary 2.14 provides first the constant covector  $\lambda^R \in \mathfrak{g}^* = T^*_e G$ , and then 269 its *right*-invariant extension. Finally we evaluate this extension along  $\gamma$ . For the 270 left-invariant version, following Proposition 2.16, we take the curve of covectors  $\eta(t)$ , 271 consider their *left*-invariant extensions, say  $\eta(t)^L$  (leading to a curve of left-invariant 272 one-forms) and finally we evaluate  $\eta(t)^L$  at  $\gamma(t)$ . The following lemma establishes 273 that the forms obtained in these two different ways coincide along  $\gamma$ .

274 Lemma 2.19. Let  $\gamma(t)$  be the curve in G starting at e and having control u(t). Let 275  $\lambda(t)$  be a one-form defined along  $\gamma$ . Let  $\lambda^R(t) = (R_{\gamma(t)})^*\lambda(t) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  be this one-form 276 viewed by right-trivializing T\*G. Let  $\eta(t) = (L_{\gamma(t)})^*\lambda(t) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  be this same one-form 277 viewed by left-trivializing T\*G. Then  $\lambda^R(t)$  is constant if and only if  $\eta(t)$  solves the 278 time-dependent linear differential equation  $d\eta/dt = (\mathrm{ad}_{u(t)})^*\eta(t)$  with initial condition 279  $\eta(0) = \lambda(0)$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $\lambda^R(t)$  is constant:  $\lambda^R(t) \equiv \lambda^R$ . Set  $g = \gamma(t)$ . Then  $\lambda(t) = 281 \ (R_g^{-1})^* \lambda^R$  and consequently  $\eta(t) = (L_g)^* (R_g^{-1})^* \lambda^R = (\operatorname{Ad}_g)^* \lambda^R$ . For small  $\Delta t$  we write 282  $\gamma(t + \Delta t) = \gamma(t)(\gamma(t)^{-1}\gamma(t + \Delta t)) = gh$  with  $h = h(\Delta t) = \gamma(t)^{-1}\gamma(t + \Delta t)$  and use 283  $(\operatorname{Ad}_{gh})^* = (\operatorname{Ad}_h)^* (\operatorname{Ad}_g)^*$  to establish the identity for the difference quotient:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t}(\eta(t+\Delta t)-\eta(t)) = \frac{1}{\Delta t}((\mathrm{Ad}_{h(\Delta t)})^* - \mathrm{Id})\eta(t).$$

Now we use that the derivative of the adjoint representation  $h \mapsto \operatorname{Ad}_h$  evaluated at the identity, is the standard adjoint representation  $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $X \to \operatorname{ad}_X = [X, \cdot]$ . Taking duals, we see that the difference quotient  $\frac{1}{\Delta t}((\operatorname{Ad}_{h(\Delta t)})^* - \operatorname{Id})$  limits to the linear operator  $(\operatorname{ad}_{u(t)})^*$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .

288 The steps just taken are reversed with little pain, showing the equivalence.  $\Box$ 

289 2.4. Hamiltonian formalism and reduction. We describe the Hamiltonian per-290 spective on Corollary 2.14, Proposition 2.16 and the relation between them.

We continue with the basis  $X_i$  of left-invariant vector fields on G, labelled so that the first r form a basis of V. Write  $P_i: T^*Q \to \mathbb{R}$  for the same fields, but viewed as fiber-linear functions on the cotangent bundle of G:

(2.20) 
$$P_i: T^*G \to \mathbb{R}; P_i(g, p) = p(X_i(g)).$$

294 Given a choice of controls  $u_a(t)$ , a = 1, 2..., r not all identically zero, form the 295 Hamiltonian

$$H_u(g, p; t) = \sum_{i=1}^r u_a(t) P_a(g, p)$$

The Maximum Principle [AS04, Theorem 12.1] asserts that a curve  $\gamma$  in G is singular for V if and only if when we take its control u, and form the Hamiltonian  $H_u$ , then the corresponding Hamilton's equations have a nonzero solution  $\zeta(t) = (q(t), p(t))$ that lies on the variety  $P_a = 0, a = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ . Here 'Nonzero' means that  $p(t) \neq 0$ , for all t. The conditions  $P_a = 0$  mean that the solution lies in the annihilator of the distribution defined by V. The first of Hamilton's equations, implies that  $\gamma$  has 302 control u, so that the solution  $\zeta$  does project onto  $\gamma$  via the cotangent projection 303  $\pi: T^*G \to G$ .

The following two facts regarding symplectic geometry and Hamilton's equations allow us to immediately derive the Golé-Karidi form of the equations as expressed in Proposition 2.18. Fact 1. Hamilton's equations are equivalent to their 'Poisson form'  $\dot{f} = \{f, H\}$ . Here f is an arbitrary smooth function on phase space,  $\dot{f} =$  $gradetic df(X_H)$  is the derivative of f along the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_H$  for H, and  $gradetic df(X_H)$  is the Poisson bracket associated to the canonical symplectic form  $\omega$ , so that  $\{f, g\} = \omega(X_f, X_g)$ . Fact 2. If X is any vector field on G (invariant or not), and  $gradetic df(X_H) = m$  denotes the corresponding fiber-linear function defined by X as  $gradetic df(X_H) = -P_{[X,Y]}$ .

Proof of Proposition 2.18 from the Maximum Principle. Take the  $f = P_i$ and use, from Fact 2, that  $\{P_i, P_j\} = -\sum c_{ij}^k P_k$ . The  $P_i$  are equal to the  $\eta_i$  of the proposition.

Proposition 2.18 is just the coordinate form of Proposition 2.16, so we have also proved Proposition 2.16.

## <sup>318</sup> Proof of Corollary 2.14 from the Maximum principle.

Let  $\gamma(t)$  be a singular extremal leaving the identity with control  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_r)$ . 319 320 Let  $H_u$  be the time-dependent Hamiltonian generating the one-form  $\zeta(t)$  along  $\gamma$  as <sup>321</sup> per the Maximum Principle. Since each of the  $P_i$  are left-invariant, so is  $H_u$ . Now 322 any left-invariant Hamiltonian  $H_u$  on the cotangent bundle of a Lie group admits n = $\dim(G)$  'constants' of motion – these being the n components of the momentum map 324  $J: T^*G \to \mathfrak{g}^*$  for the action of G on itself by *left* translation. Recall that a 'constant of 325 the motion' is a vector function that is constant along all the solutions to Hamilton's 326 equations. Different solutions may have different constants. The momentum map in 327 this situation is well-known to equal right-trivialization:  $T^*G \to G \times \mathfrak{g}^*$  composed 328 with projection onto the second factor. In other words, if  $\zeta(t)$  is any solution for 329  $H_u$ , then  $J(\zeta(t)) = \lambda$  = const and also  $J(\zeta(t)) = d R^*_{\gamma(t)} \zeta(t)$ . Now, our p(t) must 330 annihilate  $V_{\gamma(t)}$ . The fact that p(t) equals  $\lambda$ , right-translated along  $\gamma$ , and that  $\Delta_{\gamma(t)}$ 331 equals to  $V = \Delta_e$ , left-translated along  $\gamma$  implies that  $\lambda(\operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)} V) = 0$ . We have 332 established the claim. 

333 2.5. Abnormal varieties and connection with extremal polynomials. The 334 opportunity of considering the right-invariant trivialization of  $T^*G$ , hence arriv-335 ing to Corollary 2.14, was suggested by the results of the two papers [LDLMV13, 336 LDLMV14], where abnormal curves were characterized as those horizontal curves 337 lying in specific algebraic varieties.

338 Given  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\}$  we set

(2.21) 
$$Z^{\lambda} := \{ g \in G : ((\mathrm{Ad}_q)^* \lambda)_{|V} = 0 \}.$$

<sup>339</sup> In every Lie group the set  $Z^{\lambda}$  is a proper real analytic variety. If G is a nilpotent <sup>340</sup> group, then  $Z^{\lambda}$  is a proper real algebraic variety, which we call *abnormal variety*.

341 **Proposition 2.22** (Restatement of Corollary 2.14). A horizontal curve  $\gamma$  is abnormal 342 if and only if  $\gamma$  is contained in  $Z^{\lambda}$  for some nonzero  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

We now prove that, in the context of Carnot groups, the algebraic varieties  $Z^{\lambda}$ and coincide with the varieties introduced in the papers [LDLMV13, LDLMV14]. This will follow from Proposition 2.23 below.

Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  is a basis of V. Let  $X_i$  denote the at extension of  $e_i$  as a left-invariant vector field on G. Let  $c_{ij}^k$  be the structure constants of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in this basis, i.e.,

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_k c_{ij}^k X_k.$$

349 For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , set

$$P_i^{\lambda}(g) := ((\mathrm{Ad}_g)^* \lambda)(e_i)$$

Thus  $Z^{\lambda}$  is the set of common zeros of the functions  $P_i^{\lambda}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . When G is nilpotent, these functions are polynomials.

352 **Proposition 2.23.** Let  $Y_m$  denote the extension of  $e_m$  as a right-invariant vector 353 field on G. Let  $e_1^*, \ldots, e_n^*$  denote the basis vectors of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  dual to  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . For all 354  $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ , we have

$$(2.24) X_i = \sum_m P_i^{e_m^*} Y_m.$$

355 Moreover, the functions  $P_j^{\lambda}$  satisfy  $P_j^{\lambda}(e) = \lambda(e_j)$  and

(2.25) 
$$X_i P_j^{\lambda} = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k P_k^{\lambda}, \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n, \lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

<sup>356</sup> In particular, in the setting of Carnot groups the functions  $P_j^{\lambda}$  coincide with the <sup>357</sup> extremal polynomials introduced in [LDLMV13, LDLMV14].

358 Proof. We verify (2.24) by

$$\sum_{m} P_i^{e_m^*}(g) Y_m(g) = \sum_{m} (\mathrm{Ad}_g)^*(e_m^*)(e_i) (R_g)_* e_m = \sum_{m} e_m^* (\mathrm{Ad}_g(e_i)) (R_g)_* e_m$$
$$= (R_g)_* \sum_{m} e_m^* (\mathrm{Ad}_g(e_i)) e_m = (R_g)_* \mathrm{Ad}_g(e_i) = (L_g)_* e_i = X_i(g).$$

359

360 Next,

on the one hand, since 
$$[X_i, Y_j] = 0$$
,

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_m (X_i P_j^{e_m^*}) Y_m.$$

361 On the other hand, from (2.24)

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_k c_{ij}^k X_k = \sum_m (\sum_k c_{ij}^k P_k^{e_m^*}) Y_m.$$

362 Thus

$$X_i P_j^{e_m^*} = \sum_k c_{ij}^k P_k^{e_m^*}, \qquad \forall \, i, j, m = 1, \dots, n.$$

363 Formula (2.25) follows because, by definition, the functions  $P_i^{\lambda}$  are linear in  $\lambda$ .

The extremal polynomials  $(P_j^v)_{j=1,...,n}^{v \in \mathbb{R}^n}$  were introduced in [LDLMV13, LDLMV14] in the setting of Carnot groups; they were explicitly defined in a system of exponential coordinates of the second type associated to a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  that is adapted to the stratification of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , see Section 2.2. Here, *adapted* simply means that the fixed basis consists of an (ordered) enumeration of a basis of the first layer  $V_1$ , followed by a basis of the second layer  $V_2$ , etc. It was proved in [LDLMV14] that the restriction extremal polynomials satisfy

$$P_j^v(e) = v_j$$
 and  $X_i P_j^v = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k P_k^v$   $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, n, \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

<sup>371</sup> We need to check that, for any fixed  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the equality  $P_j^v = P_j^\lambda$  holds for  $\lambda :=$ <sup>372</sup>  $\sum_m v_m e_m^*$ . Indeed, the differences  $Q_j := P_j^v - P_j^\lambda$  satisfy

$$Q_j(e) = 0$$
 and  $X_i Q_j = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k Q_k$   $\forall i, j = 1, ..., n.$ 

373 In particular,  $X_iQ_n = 0$  for any *i* because, by the stratification assumption,  $c_{in}^k = 0$ 374 for any *i*, *k*. This implies that  $Q_n$  is constant, i.e., that  $Q_n \equiv 0$ . We can then reason 375 by reverse induction on *j* and assume that  $Q_k \equiv 0$  for any  $k \ge j + 1$ ; then, using the 376 fact that  $c_{ij}^k = 0$  whenever  $k \le j$  (because the basis is adapted to the stratification), 377 we have

$$Q_j(e) = 0$$
 and  $X_i Q_j = \sum_{k=j+1}^n c_{ij}^k Q_k = 0$   $\forall i = 1, ..., n.$ 

378 Hence also  $Q_j \equiv 0$ . This proves that  $P_j^v = P_j^{\lambda}$ , as desired.

379 Remark 2.26. In the study of Carnot groups of step 2 and step 3, it will be used 380 that the varieties  $W^{\lambda}$  defined below (which coincide with the abnormal varieties in 381 the step-2 case) are subgroups. Namely, if G is a Carnot group of step s and highest 382 layer  $V_s$ , and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , then the variety

(2.27) 
$$W^{\lambda} := \{ g \in G : ((\mathrm{Ad}_g)^* \lambda)_{|V_{s-1}} = 0 \}$$

383 is a subgroup, whenever it contains the origin. Indeed, if  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $Y \in V_{s-1}$ , then

$$(\mathrm{Ad}_{\exp(X)})^*\lambda(Y) = (e^{\mathrm{ad}_X})^*\lambda(Y) = \lambda(Y + [X, Y]).$$

<sup>384</sup> Hence, in exponential coordinates the set  $W^{\lambda}$  is

$$\{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \lambda(Y + [X, Y]) = 0, \, \forall Y \in V_{s-1}\}\$$

385 and, if it contains the origin, it is

$$\{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \lambda([X, Y]) = 0, \forall Y \in V_{s-1}\}.$$

Since the condition  $\lambda([X, Y]) = 0$ , for all  $Y \in V_{s-1}$ , is linear in X, we conclude that W<sup> $\lambda$ </sup> is a subgroup.

#### 388 2.6. Lifts of abnormal curves.

**Proposition 2.28** (Lifts of abnormal is abnormal). Let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \to G$  be a horizontal 390 curve with respect to  $V \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . If there exists a Lie group H and a surjective homomor-391 phism  $\pi : G \to H$  for which  $\pi \circ \gamma$  is abnormal with respect to some  $W \supseteq d\pi_e(V)$ , 392 then  $\gamma$  is abnormal.

<sup>393</sup> Proof. Let End<sup>V</sup> and End<sup>W</sup> be the respective endpoint maps, as in the diagram below. <sup>394</sup> For  $u \in L^2([0,1], V)$  let  $\pi_* u := d \pi_e \circ u$ , which is an element in  $L^2([0,1], W)$ , because <sup>395</sup>  $d \pi_e(V) \subseteq W$ . Since  $\pi$  is a group homomorphism, one can easily check that the <sup>396</sup> following diagram commutes:



By assumption  $\pi$  is surjective and so is  $d \pi_g$ , for all  $g \in G$ . We conclude that  $d \operatorname{End}_{\pi_* u}^W$ is surjective, whenever  $d \operatorname{End}_u^V$  is surjective.

**Example 2.29** (Abnormal curves in a product). Let G and H be two Lie groups. 400 Let  $V \subset \text{Lie}(G)$  and  $W \subset \text{Lie}(H)$ . Assume that  $W \neq \text{Lie}(H)$ . Let  $\gamma : [0,1] \to G \times H$ 401 be a curve. If  $\gamma = (\gamma_1(t), e)$  with  $\gamma_1 : [0,1] \to G$  horizontal with respect to V, then  $\gamma$ 402 is abnormal with respect to  $V \times W$ . Indeed, this fact is an immediate consequence 403 of Proposition 2.28 using the projection  $G \times H \to H$  and the fact that the constant 404 curve in H is abnormal with respect to the proper subspace W.

405 Remark 2.30. Let G and H be two Lie groups. If  $\gamma_1 : [0,1] \to G$  is not abnormal 406 with respect to some  $V \subset \text{Lie}(G)$  and  $\gamma_2 : [0,1] \to H$  is not abnormal with respect 407 to some  $W \subset \text{Lie}(H)$ , then  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) : [0,1] \to G \times H$  is not abnormal with respect to 408  $V \times W$ .

409 Example 2.31  $(H \times H)$ . Let H be the Heisenberg group equipped with its contact 410 structure. By Example 2.29 and Remark 2.30, the abnormal curves leaving from the 411 origin in  $H \times H$  are the curves of the form  $(\gamma(t), e)$  or  $(e, \gamma(t))$ , where  $\gamma : [0, 1] \to H$ 412 is any horizontal curve. In particular,  $Abn(e) = H \times \{e\} \cup \{e\} \times H$ , which has 413 codimension 3. 414 2.7. Normal curves. Let (G, V) be a polarized group such that V is bracket generat-415 ing. Equipping V with a scalar product  $\|\cdot\|_2$ , we get a left-invariant sub-Riemannian 416 structure on G. Recall that from Pontrjagin Maximum Principle any curve that 417 is length minimizing with respect to the sub-Riemannian distance is either abnor-418 mal, or normal (in the sense that we now recall), or both normal and abnormal. A 419 curve  $\gamma$  with control u is normal if there exist  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_1 \in T^*_{\gamma(1)}G$  such that 420  $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1)$  vanishes on the image of the differential at u of the extended endpoint map 421 End :  $L^2([0, 1], V) \to \mathbb{R} \times G, v \mapsto (\|v\|_2, \operatorname{End}(v))$ . Let  $\operatorname{Abn}^{nor}(e)$  denote the set of 422 points connected to the origin by curves which are both normal and abnormal. Let 423  $\operatorname{Abn}^{lm}(e)$  denote the set of points connected to the origin by abnormal curves that 424 are locally length minimizing with respect to the sub-Riemannian distance.

<sup>425</sup> Lemma 2.32. Let G be a polarized Lie group. The Sard Property holds for normal <sup>426</sup> abnormals. Namely, the set  $Abn^{nor}(e)$  is contained in a sub-analytic set of codimen-<sup>427</sup> sion at least 1.

428 Proof. We will make use of the sub-Riemannian exponential map, see []. Namely, 429 normal curves starting from e have cotangent lifts which satisfy a Hamiltonian equa-430 tion. Solving this equation with initial datum  $\xi \in T_e^*G$  defines a control  $\widetilde{Exp}(\xi) \in$ 431  $L^2([0,1], V)$ . Composing with the endpoint map, one gets the sub-Riemannian expo-432 nential map  $Exp: T_e^*G \to G$ ,

$$Exp = \operatorname{End} \circ \widetilde{Exp}.$$

<sup>433</sup> Points in  $Abn^{nor}(e)$  are values of Exp where the differential of End is not onto. <sup>434</sup> Therefore, they are singular values of Exp. Since Exp is analytic, the set of its <sup>435</sup> singular points is analytic, thus the set of its singular values is a sub-analytic subset <sup>436</sup> of G. By Sard's theorem, it has measure zero, therefore its codimension is at least <sup>437</sup> 1.

438 2.8. The Goh condition. Let (G, V) be a polarized group as in Section 2.7. We 439 introduce the well-known Goh condition by using the formalism of Corollary 2.14.

440 **Definition 2.33.** We say that an abnormal curve  $\gamma : [0,1] \to G$  leaving from the 441 origin *e* satisfies the Goh condition if there exists  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\}$  such that

(2.34) 
$$\lambda(\operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)}(V + [V, V])) = 0 \text{ for every } t \in [0, 1].$$

Equivalently,  $\gamma$  satisfies the Goh condition if and only if there exists a right-invariant 443 1-form  $\alpha$  on G such that  $\alpha(\Delta^2_{\gamma(t)}) = \{0\}$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $\Delta^2$  is the left-444 invariant distribution induced by V + [V, V]. Equivalently, denoting by u the controls 445 associated with  $\gamma$  and recalling Proposition 2.3, if and only if the space

(2.35) 
$$\bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)}(V + [V,V]) = dR_{\gamma(1)}^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{d}\operatorname{End}_u)) + \bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} \operatorname{Ad}_{\gamma(t)}([V,V])$$

<sup>446</sup> is a proper subspace of  $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ , which a posteriori is contained in ker  $\lambda$ , for  $\lambda$  as in <sup>447</sup> (2.34).

448 Remark 2.36. Clearly, any  $\lambda$  such that (2.34) holds is in the annihilator of V + [V, V], 449 just by considering t = 0 in (2.34).

The importance of the Goh condition stems from the following well-known fact: if 451  $\gamma$  is a *strictly abnormal* length minimizer (i.e., a length minimizer that is abnormal 452 but not also normal), then it satisfies Goh condition for some  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\}$ . See 453 [AS04, Chapter 20] and also [AS96]. Notice that not necessarily all the  $\lambda$ 's as in (2) 454 of Corollary 2.14 will satisfy (2.34), but at least one will. On the contrary, in the 455 particular case dim V = 2, every abnormal curve satisfies the Goh condition for every 456  $\lambda$  as in Corollary 2.14 (2); see Remark 2.8 and (2.9) in particular.

458 3.1. Facts about abnormal curves in two-step Carnot groups. We want to 459 study the abnormal set Abn(e) defined in (2.13) with the use of the abnormal varieties 460 defined in (2.21). In fact, by Proposition 2.22 we have the inclusion

$$\operatorname{Abn}(e) \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\} \text{ s.t. } e \in Z^{\lambda}} Z^{\lambda}.$$

461 In this section we will consider the case when the polarized group (G, V) is a Carnot 462 group of step 2. Namely, the Lie algebra of G admits the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus V_2$ 463 with  $V = V_1$ ,  $[V_1, V_1] = V_2$ , and  $[\mathfrak{g}, V_2] = 0$ . Fix an element  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}^* = V_1^* \oplus V_2^*$ , 464 we can write  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$  with  $\lambda_i \in V_i^*$ . As noticed in Remark 2.26, since G has step 465 2, if  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $Y \in V_1$ , then

$$(\mathrm{Ad}_{\exp(X)})^*\lambda(Y) = (e^{\mathrm{ad}_X})^*\lambda(Y) = \lambda_1(Y) + \lambda_2([X,Y])$$

466 Notice that, if  $e = \exp(0) \in Z^{\lambda}$ , then  $\lambda_1(Y) = 0$  for all  $Y \in V_1$ . Thus  $\lambda_1 = 0$ . 467 Therefore, any variety  $Z^{\lambda}$  containing the identity is of the form

$$Z^{\lambda} = Z^{\lambda_2} = \exp\{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \lambda_2([X, Y]) = 0 \ \forall Y \in V_1\}.$$

468 The condition

$$\lambda_2([X,Y]) = 0, \qquad \forall Y \in V_1,$$

469 is linear in X, hence the set

$$\mathfrak{z}^{\lambda} := \log(Z^{\lambda}) = \{ X \in \mathfrak{g} : \lambda_2([X, Y]) = 0 \ \forall Y \in V_1 \}$$

470 is a vector subspace. One can easily check that  $\exp(V_2) \subset Z^{\lambda}$ , hence  $V_2 \subset \mathfrak{z}^{\lambda}$ . In 471 particular,  $\mathfrak{z}^{\lambda}$  is an ideal and  $Z^{\lambda} = \exp(\mathfrak{z}^{\lambda})$  is a normal subgroup of G. Actually, 472 one has  $\mathfrak{z}^{\lambda} = (\mathfrak{z}^{\lambda} \cap V_1) \oplus V_2$ . The space  $\mathfrak{z}^{\lambda} \cap V_1$  is by definition the kernel of the 473 skew-symmetric form on  $V_1$ , which we already encountered in (2.15), defined by

$$w(\lambda) : (X, Y) \mapsto \lambda_2([X, Y]).$$

If now  $\gamma$  is a horizontal curve contained in  $Z^{\lambda}$  (and hence abnormal) with  $\gamma(0) = 0$ , 475 then  $\gamma$  is contained in the subgroup  $H^{\lambda}$  generated by  $\mathfrak{z}^{\lambda} \cap V_1$ , i.e.,

(3.1) 
$$H^{\lambda} := \exp((\mathfrak{z}^{\lambda} \cap V_1) \oplus [\mathfrak{z}^{\lambda} \cap V_1, \mathfrak{z}^{\lambda} \cap V_1]).$$

476 This implies that

$$\operatorname{Abn}(e) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\}\\\lambda_1 = 0}} H^{\lambda}$$

477 It is interesting to notice that also the reverse inclusion holds: indeed, for any  $\lambda \in$ 478  $\mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and any point  $p \in H^{\lambda}$ , there exists an horizontal curve  $\gamma$  from 479 the origin to p that is entirely contained in  $H^{\lambda}$ ;  $\gamma$  is then contained in  $Z^{\lambda}$  and hence 480 it is abnormal by Proposition 2.22. We deduce that

(3.2) 
$$\operatorname{Abn}(e) = \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\}\\\lambda_1 = 0}} H^{\lambda}.$$

We are now ready to prove a key fact in the setting of two-step Carnot groups: 482 every abnormal curve is not abnormal in some subgroup. We first recall that a 483 *Carnot subgroup* in a Carnot group is a Lie subgroup generated by a subspace of the 484 first layer.

485 **Lemma 3.3.** Let G be a 2-step Carnot group. For each abnormal curve  $\gamma$  in G, there 486 exists a proper Carnot subgroup G' of G containing  $\gamma$ , in which  $\gamma$  is a non-abnormal 487 horizontal curve.

488 Proof. Let  $\gamma$  be an abnormal curve in G. Then there exists  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\}$ , with  $\lambda_1 = 0$ , 489 such that  $\gamma \subset H^{\lambda}$ , where  $H^{\lambda}$  is the subgroup defined in (3.1). By construction  $H^{\lambda}$  is 490 a Carnot subgroup. Since  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $H^{\lambda}$  is a proper subgroup (of step  $\leq 2$ ).

<sup>491</sup> If  $\gamma$  is again abnormal in  $H^{\lambda}$ , then we iterate this process. Since dimension de-<sup>492</sup> creases, after finitely many steps one reaches a proper Carnot subgroup G' in which <sup>493</sup>  $\gamma$  is not abnormal.

494 3.2. Parametrizing abnormal varieties within free two-step Carnot groups. 495 Let G be a free-nilpotent 2-step Carnot group. Let  $m \leq r := \dim(V_1)$ . Fix a m-496 dimensional vector subspace  $W'_m \subset V_1$ . Denote by  $G_m$  the subgroup generated by 497  $W'_m$ , and  $X_m = GL(r, \mathbb{R}) \times G_m$ , equipped with the left-invariant distribution given 498 at the origin by  $W_m := \{0\} \oplus W'_m$ . Observe that  $GL(r, \mathbb{R})$  acts on G by graded 499 automorphisms. Let

$$\Phi_m: X_m \to G, \quad (g,h) \mapsto g(h).$$

500 In a polarized group (X, V), given a submanifold  $Y \subset X$ , the *endpoint map relative* 501 to Y is End<sup>Y</sup>:  $Y \times L^2([0, 1], V) \to X$ ,  $(y, u) \mapsto \gamma_u^{(y)}(1)$ , where  $\gamma_u^{(y)}$  satisfies (2.1) with 502  $\gamma_u^{(y)}(0) = y$ . We say that a horizontal curve  $\gamma$  with control u is *non-singular relative* 503 to Y if the differential at  $(\gamma(0), u)$  of the endpoint map relative to Y is onto.

17

504 **Lemma 3.4.** Let G be a free 2-step Carnot group. For every abnormal curve  $\gamma$  in G, 505 there exists an integer m < r and a horizontal curve  $\sigma$  in  $X_m$  such that  $\Phi_m(\sigma) = \gamma$ , 506 and  $\sigma$  is non-singular relative to  $\Phi_m^{-1}(e)$ .

507 Proof. Let  $\gamma$  be an abnormal curve in G starting at e, with control u. By Lemma 3.3, 508  $\gamma$  is contained in the Carnot subgroup G' of G generated by some subspace  $V'_1 \subset V_1$ 509 and is not abnormal in G'. Let  $m = \dim(V'_1)$ . Then there exists  $g \in GL(r, \mathbb{R})$ 510 such that  $V'_1 = g(W'_m)$ , and thus  $G' = g(G_m)$ . Let  $\sigma = (g, g^{-1}(\gamma))$ . This is a 511 horizontal curve in  $X_m$ . Consider the endpoint map on  $X_m$  relative to the submanifold 512  $\Phi_m^{-1}(e) = GL(r, \mathbb{R}) \times \{e\}$ . Since  $\gamma$  is not abnormal in G', the image I of the differential 513 at  $((g, e), g^{-1}(u))$  of the endpoint map contains  $\{0\} \oplus T_{g^{-1}(\gamma(1))}G_m$ . Every curve of 514 the form  $t \mapsto (k, g^{-1}(\gamma(t)))$  with fixed  $k \in GL(r, \mathbb{R})$  is horizontal, so I contains 515  $T_g(GL(r, \mathbb{R})) \oplus \{0\}$ . One concludes that  $I = T_{(g,\gamma(1))}X_m$ , i.e.,  $\sigma$  is non-singular relative 516 to  $\Phi_m^{-1}(e)$ . By construction,  $\Phi_m(\sigma) = \gamma$ .

## 517 3.3. Application to general 2-step Carnot groups.

518 **Proposition 3.5.** Let G be a 2-step Carnot group. There exists a proper algebraic 519 set  $\Sigma \subset G$  that contains all abnormal curves leaving from the origin.

520 Proof. Let  $f: \tilde{G} \to G$  be a surjective homomorphism from a free 2-step Carnot group 521 of the same rank as G. Let  $\gamma$  be an abnormal curve leaving from the origin in G. It 522 has a (unique) horizontal lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in  $\tilde{G}$  leaving from the origin. According to Lemma 3.4, 523 there exists an integer m and a non-singular (relative to  $\Phi_m^{-1}(e)$ ) horizontal curve  $\sigma$ 524 in  $X_m$  such that  $\Phi_m(\sigma) = \tilde{\gamma}$ , i.e.,  $f \circ \Phi_m(\sigma) = \gamma$ . Namely, there exists  $g \in GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ 525 such that  $\sigma(t) = (g, g^{-1}\tilde{\gamma}(t))$ . Consider the endpoint map  $\text{End}^Y$  on  $X_m$  relative to 526 the submanifold  $Y := \Phi_m^{-1}(e)$ . Let us explain informally the idea of the conclusion of 527 the proof. The composition  $f \circ \Phi_m \circ \text{End}^Y$  is an endpoint map for G, with starting 528 point at the identity e. Hence, since the differential of  $\text{End}^Y$  at the control of  $\sigma$  is 529 onto, but the differential of  $f \circ \Phi_m \circ \text{End}^Y$  is not, the point  $\gamma(1)$  is a singular value of 530  $f \circ \Phi_m$ . Hence, we will conclude using Sard's theorem.

Let us now give a more formal proof of the last claims. Consider the map  $\phi_m$ :  $Y \times L^2([0,1], W_m) \to L^2([0,1], V_1)$ , defined as  $(\phi_m(g,u))(t) := g(u(t)) \in V_1 \subseteq T_e \tilde{G}$ , for  $t \in [0,1]$ . We then point out the equality

(3.6) 
$$f \circ \Phi_m \circ \operatorname{End}^Y = \operatorname{End} \circ f_* \circ \psi_m$$

534 where End :  $L^2([0,1], V_1) \to G$  is the endpoint map of G and  $f_* : L^2([0,1], V_1) \to$ 535  $L^2([0,1], V_1)$  is the map

$$(f_*(u))(t) = (\mathrm{d} f)_e(u(t)) \in V_1 \subseteq T_e G.$$

Since  $\sigma$  is abnormal, i.e., the differential  $\operatorname{dEnd}_{u_{\gamma}}$  is not surjective, and the differential for  $f \operatorname{End}^{Y}$  at the point  $(g, u_{\sigma}) = (f_* \circ \psi_m)u_{\gamma}$  is surjective, from (3.6) we deduce that  $\gamma(1) = \operatorname{End}^{Y}(g, u_{\sigma})$  is a singular value for  $f \circ \Phi_m$ . By the classical Sard Theorem, the 539 set  $\Sigma_m$  of singular values of  $f \circ \Phi_m$  has measure 0 in G. So has the union  $\tilde{\Sigma} := \bigcup_{m=1}^{r-1} \Sigma_m$ 540 of these sets. By Tarski-Seidenberg's theorem [BCR98, Proposition 2.2.7],  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  is a semi-541 algebraic set, since the map  $f \circ \Phi_m$  is algebraic and the set of critical points of an 542 algebraic map is an algebraic set. Moreover, from [BCR98, Proposition 2.8.2] we have 543 that this semi-algebraic set is contained in an algebraic set  $\Sigma$  of the same dimension. 544 Since  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  has measure zero, the set  $\Sigma$  is a proper algebraic set.

545 **Example 3.7** (Abnormal curves not lying in any proper subgroup). Key to our proof 546 was the property, encoded in Equation (3.1), that every abnormal curve is contained in 547 a proper subgroup of G. This property typically fails for Carnot groups of step greater 548 than 2. Golé and Karidi [GK95] constructed a Carnot group of step 4 and rank 2 for 549 which this property fails: namely, there is an abnormal curve that is not contained in 550 any proper subgroup of their group. Further on in this paper (Section 6.3) we show 551 that this property fails for the free 3-step rank-3 Carnot group.

552 3.4. Codimension bounds on free 2-step Carnot groups. In this section we 553 prove Theorem 1.4; we will make extensive use of the result and notation of Sec-554 tion 3.1. In the sequel, we denote by G a fixed free Carnot group of step 2 and by 555  $r = \dim V_1$  its rank.

We identify G with its Lie algebra, which has the form  $V \oplus \Lambda^2 V$  for  $V = V_1 \cong \mathbb{R}^r$  a real vector space of dimension r. The Lie bracket is  $[(v,\xi), (w,\eta)] = (0, v \wedge w)$ . When we use the exponential map to identify the group with its Lie algebra, the equation for a curve  $(x(t), \xi(t))$  to be horizontal reads

$$\dot{x} = u, \qquad \dot{\xi} = x \wedge u.$$

560 If  $W \subset V$  is a subspace, then the group it generates has the form  $W \oplus \Lambda^2 W \subset V \oplus \Lambda^2 V$ .

561 3.5. Proof that Abn(e) is contained in a set of codimension  $\geq 3$ . We use the 562 view point discussed in Section 3.1 where we defined the sets  $\mathfrak{z}^{\lambda}$  and  $H^{\lambda}$ . We first 563 claim that

(3.8) 
$$\dim \mathfrak{z}^{\lambda} \cap V = \dim \{ X \in V : \lambda_2([X, Y]) = 0 \ \forall Y \in V \} \le r - 2,$$

564 for any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\lambda_1 = 0$ . Indeed, since  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ , the alternating 2-form 565  $w(\lambda) : (X, Y) \mapsto \lambda_2([X, Y])$  has rank at least 2.

Then, by (3.8), each  $\mathfrak{z}^{\lambda} \cap V$  is contained in some  $W \subset V$  with dim(W) = r - 2, for hence  $H^{\lambda} \subseteq W \oplus \Lambda^2 W$  and, by (3.2),

$$\operatorname{Abn}(e) = \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\} \\ \lambda_1 = 0}} H^{\lambda} \subseteq \bigcup_{W \in Gr(r, r-2)} W \oplus \Lambda^2 W.$$

568 In fact, the equality

(3.9) 
$$\operatorname{Abn}(e) = \bigcup_{W \in Gr(r,r-2)} W \oplus \Lambda^2 W.$$

569 holds: this is because every codimension 2 subspace  $W \subset V$  is the kernel of a rank 2 570 skew-symmetric 2-form (the pull-back of a nonzero form on the 2-dimensional space 571 V/W), and every such skew-symmetric form corresponds to a covector  $\lambda_2 \in V_2^* =$ 572  $\Lambda^2 V^*$ .

<sup>573</sup> We now notice that the Grassmannian Gr(r, r-2) of (r-2)-dimensional planes in <sup>574</sup> V has dimension 2(r-2) and that each  $W \oplus \Lambda^2 W$  is (isomorphic to) the free group <sup>575</sup>  $\mathbb{F}_{m,2}$  of rank m = r - 2 and step 2, i.e.,

$$\dim(W \oplus \Lambda^2 W) = m + \frac{m(m-1)}{2} = \frac{(r-1)(r-2)}{2}$$

576 It follows that the set  $\cup_{W \in Gr(r,r-2)} W \oplus \Lambda^2 W$  can be parametrized with a number of 577 parameters not greater than

dim 
$$\mathbb{F}_{m,2}$$
 + dim  $Gr(r,m) = \frac{r(r+1)}{2} - 3.$ 

578 Since dim G = r(r+1)/2, the codimension 3 stated in Theorem 1.4 now follows from 579 (3.9).

580 3.6. Proof that Abn(e) is a semialgebraic set of codimension  $\geq 3$ . Let  $k = 581 \lfloor (r-2)/2 \rfloor$  and let W be a codimension 2 vector subspace of  $V_1$ . Every pair  $(\xi, \eta) \in 582 W \oplus \Lambda^2 W$  can be written as

$$\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{r-2} x_j \xi_j, \quad \eta = \sum_{i=1}^k z_i \xi_{2i-1} \wedge \xi_{2i},$$

583 for some (r-2)-uple of vectors (e.g., a basis)  $(\xi_j)_{1 \le j \le r-2}$  of W. Conversely, every 584 pair  $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathfrak{g} = V \oplus \Lambda^2 V$  of this form belongs to  $W \oplus \Lambda^2 W$  for some codimension 2 585 subspace W of  $V_1$ . Therefore

$$\bigcup_{W \in Gr(r,r-2)} W \oplus \Lambda^2 W$$

586 is the projection on the first factor of the algebraic subset

$$\{(\xi,\eta,\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_{r-2},x_1,\ldots,x_{r-2},z_1,\ldots,z_k) : \xi = \sum_{j=1}^{r-2} x_j \xi_j, \ \eta = \sum_{i=1}^k z_i \xi_{2i-1} \wedge \xi_{2i}\}$$

587 of  $\mathfrak{g} \times V^{r-2} \times \mathbb{R}^{r-2} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ . Since the exponential map is an algebraic isomorphism, 588 Abn $(e) = \bigcup_{W \in Gr(r,r-2)} W \oplus \Lambda^2 W$  is semi-algebraic, and it is contained in an algebraic 589 set of the same codimension (see [BCR98, Proposition 2.8.2]).

In the rest of this section we proceed with the more precise description of the set 591 Abn(e), as described in Theorem 1.4.

Each  $\xi \in \Lambda^2 V$  can be viewed, by contraction, as a linear skew symmetric map 593  $\xi: V^* \to V$ . For example, if  $\xi = v \wedge w$ , then this map sends  $\alpha \in V^*$  to  $\alpha(v)w - \alpha(w)v$ . 594 **Definition 3.10.** For  $\xi \in \Lambda^2 V$  let  $\operatorname{supp}(\xi) \subset V$  denote the image of  $\xi$ , when  $\xi$  is 595 viewed as a linear map  $V^* \to V$ . For  $(v,\xi) \in V \oplus \Lambda^2 V$  set  $\operatorname{supp}(v,\xi) = \mathbb{R}v + \operatorname{supp}(\xi)$ . 596 Finally, set  $\operatorname{rank}(v,\xi) = \dim(\operatorname{supp}(v,\xi))$ .

597 **Proposition 3.11.** If G is the free 2-step nilpotent group on r generators then

Abn
$$(e) = \{(v, \xi) : rank(v, \xi) \le r - 2\}.$$

598 Proof. From (3.9) we can directly derive the new characterization. Suppose that  $W \subset$ 599 V is any subspace and  $(w,\xi) \in W \oplus \Lambda^2 W$ . Then clearly  $\sup(w,\xi) \subset W$ . Conversely, 600 if  $(w,\xi)$  has support a subspace of W, then one easily checks that  $(w,\xi) \in W \oplus \Lambda^2 W$ . 601 Taking W an arbitrary subspace of rank r-2 the result follows.

By combining Proposition 3.11 with some linear algebra we will conclude the proof of Theorem 1.4. This proof is independent of Sections 3.5 and 3.6 and yields a different perspective on the abnormal set.

605 Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let G be the free-nilpotent 2-step group on r generators. First, 606 we write the polynomials defining Abn(e), then we compute dimensions. It is simpler 607 to divide up into the case of even and odd rank r. We will consider the case of even 608 rank in detail and leave most of the odd rank case up to the reader.

609 The linear algebraic Darboux theorem will prove useful for computations. All 610 bivectors have even rank. This theorem asserts that the bivector  $\xi \in \Lambda^2 V$  has rank 611 2m if and only if there exists 2m linearly independent vectors  $e_1, f_1, e_2, f_2, \ldots e_m, f_m$ 612 in V such that  $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i \wedge f_i$ .

Let us now specialize to the case where  $r = \dim(V)$  is even. Write

r = 2s.

614 Using Darboux one checks that  $\operatorname{rank}(0,\xi) \leq r-2$  if and only if  $\xi^s = 0$  (written 615 out in components,  $\xi$  is a skew-symmetric  $2r \times 2r$  matrix and the vanishing of  $\xi^s$ 616 is exactly the vanishing of the Pfaffian of this matrix). Now, if  $\operatorname{rank}(0,\xi) = r-2$ 617 and  $\operatorname{rank}(v,\xi) \leq r-2$ , it must be the case that  $v \in \operatorname{supp}(\xi)$ ; equivalently, in the 618 Darboux basis,  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i e_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i f_i$ . It follows in this case that  $v \in \operatorname{supp}(\xi)$  if 619 and only if  $v \wedge \xi^{s-1} = 0$ . Now, if  $\operatorname{rank}(0,\xi) < r-2$  then  $\operatorname{rank}(0,\xi) \leq r-4$  and so 620  $\operatorname{rank}(v,\xi) \leq r-3$  for any  $v \in V$ . But  $\operatorname{rank}(0,\xi) < r-2$  if and only if  $\xi^{s-1} = 0$  in 621 which case automatically  $v \wedge \xi^{s-1} = 0$ .

We have proven that in the case r = 2s, the equations for Abn(e) are the polynomial equations  $\xi^s = 0$  and  $v \wedge \xi^{s-1} = 0$ .

To compute dimension, we stratify Abn(e) according to the rank of its elements. The dimensions of the strata are easily checked to decrease with decreasing rank, so that the dimension of Abn(e) equals the dimension of the largest stratum, the stratum consisting of the  $(v, \xi)$  of even rank r - 2. (The Darboux theorem and a bit of work yields that the stratum having rank k with k odd consists of exactly one Gl(V) orbit

629 while the stratum having rank k with k even consists of exactly two Gl(V) orbits). A 630 point  $(v,\xi)$  is in this stratum if and only if  $\xi^s = 0$  while  $\xi^{s-1} \neq 0$  and  $v \in \text{supp}(\xi)$ . Let 631 us put the condition on v aside for the moment. The first condition on  $\xi$  is the Pfaffian equation which defines an algebraic hypersurface in  $\Lambda^2 V$ , the zero locus of the Pfaffian 633 of  $\xi$ . The second equation for  $\xi$  defines the smooth locus of the Pfaffian. Thus, the 634 set of  $\xi$ 's satisfying the first two equations has dimension 1 less than that of  $\Lambda^2 V$ , so 635 its dimension is  $\binom{r}{2} - 1$ . Now, on this smooth locus  $\{Pf = 0\}_{\text{smooth}} \subset \{Pf = 0\}$  we 636 have a well-defined algebraic map  $F: \{Pf = 0\}_{\text{smooth}} \to Gr(r, r-2)$  which sends  $\xi$  to 637  $F(\xi) = \operatorname{supp}(\xi)$ . Let  $U \to Gr(r, r-2)$  denote the canonical rank r-2 vector bundle 638 over the Grassmannian. Thus  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times Gr(r, r-2)$  consists of pairs (v, P) such that 639  $v \in P$ . Then  $F^*U$  is a rank r-2 vector bundle over  $\{Pf = 0\}_{\text{smooth}}$  consisting of pairs 640  $(v,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \Lambda^2 V$  such that  $v \in \operatorname{supp}(\xi)$  and  $\xi$  has rank r-2. In other words, the additional condition  $v \in \operatorname{supp}(\xi)$  says exactly that  $(v,\xi) \in F^*U$ . It follows that the 642 dimension of this principle stratum is  $\dim(F^*U) = \binom{r}{2} - 1 + (r-2) = \dim(G) - 3$ . Regarding the odd rank case 643

$$r = 2s + 1$$

644 the same logic shows that the equations defining Abn(e) are  $\xi^s = 0$  and involves no 645 condition on v. A well-known matrix computation [Arn71] shows that the subvariety 646  $\{\xi^s = 0\}$  in the odd rank case has codimension 3. Since the map  $V \oplus \Lambda^2 V \to \Lambda^2 V$ 647 is a projection, and since Abn(e) is the inverse image of  $\{\xi^s = 0\} \subset \Lambda^2 V$  under this 648 projection, its image remains codimension 3.

Recall that the rank of  $\xi \in \Lambda^2 V$  is the (even) dimension d of its support. For an open dense subset of elements of  $\Lambda^2 V$ , the rank is as large as possible: r if r is even and r-1 if r is odd. We call *singular* the elements  $\xi \in \Lambda^2 V$  whose rank is less than the maximum and we write  $(\Lambda^2 V)_{\text{sing}}$  to denote the set of singular elements. From Proposition 3.11 we easily deduce the following.

654 **Proposition 3.12.** The projection of Abn(e) onto  $\Lambda^2 V$  coincides with the singular 655 elements  $(\Lambda^2 V)_{sing} \subset \Lambda^2 V$ .

656 Remark 3.13. A consequence of the previous result is the fact that elements of the 657 form  $(0,\xi)$  where rank $(\xi)$  is maximal can never be reached by abnormal curves. Notice 658 that such elements are in the center of the group.

To be more precise about Abn(e) we must divide into two cases according to the for parity of r.

661 **Theorem 3.14.** If  $G = V \oplus \Lambda^2 V$  is a free Carnot group with odd rank r, then 662 Abn $(e) = V \oplus (\Lambda^2 V)_{sing}$ .

<sup>663</sup> The previous result, as well as the following one, easily follows from Proposi-<sup>664</sup> tion 3.11. To describe the situation for r even, let us write  $(\Lambda^2 V)_d$  for those elements 665 of  $\Lambda^2 V$  whose rank is exactly d and  $(\Lambda^2 V)_{\leq d}$  for those elements whose rank is strictly 666 less than d.

**Theorem 3.15.** If  $G = V \oplus \Lambda^2 V$  is a free Carnot group with even rank r, then 668 Abn(e) is the union  $Y \cup Y_1$  of the two quasiprojective subvarieties

$$Y = \{(v,\xi) \in V \oplus \Lambda^2 V : v \in \operatorname{supp}(\xi), \xi \in (\Lambda^2 V)_{r-2}\}$$
$$Y_1 = V \times (\Lambda^2 V)_{< r-2}.$$

669 In particular, Abn(e) is a singular algebraic variety of codimension 3.

670 We observe that  $Y_1 = \overline{Y} \setminus Y$ .

671 Remark 3.16. Given any  $g = (v, \xi) \in G$  we can define its singular rank to be the 672 minimum of the dimensions of the image of the differential of the endpoint map 673 d End( $\gamma$ ), where the minimum is taken over all  $\gamma$  that connect 0 to g. Thus, the 674 singular rank of g = 0 is r and is realized by the constant curve, while if  $\xi$  is generic 675 then the singular rank of  $g = (0, \xi)$  is dim(G), which means that every horizontal 676 curve connecting 0 to g is not abnormal.

It can be easily proved that, if r is even and  $v \in \text{supp}(\xi)$ , then the singular rank of g is just rank( $\xi$ ). In this case we take a  $\lambda$  with ker( $\lambda$ ) = supp( $\xi$ ) and realize g by any horizontal curve lying inside  $G(\lambda)$ .

#### 680 4. Sufficient condition for Sard's property

In Section 2.1 we observed that, given a polarized group (G, V) and a horizontal curve  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma(0) = e$  and with control u, the space  $(\mathrm{d} R_{\gamma(1)})_e V + (\mathrm{d} L_{\gamma(1)})_e V + (\mathrm{d}$ 

(4.1) 
$$\operatorname{Ad}_{q^{-1}}V + V + (\operatorname{d}L_q)^{-1}\mathcal{X}(g) = \mathfrak{g},$$

for some subset  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then g is not a singular value of the endpoint map. Here we denoted with  $\mathcal{X}(g)$  the space of vector fields in  $\mathcal{X}$  evaluated at g. In particular, if the equation above is of polynomial type (resp. analytic), then (G, V) has the Algebraic (resp. Analytic) Sard Property.

In the following we embed both sides of (4.1) in a larger Lie algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and we find conditions on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  that are sufficient for (4.1) to hold. The idea is to consider a group  $\tilde{G}$ that acts, locally, on G via contact mappings, that is, diffeomorphisms that preserve the left-invariant subbundle  $\Delta$ . It turns out that the Lie algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  of  $\tilde{G}$ , viewed as algebra of left-invariant vector fields on  $\tilde{G}$ , represents a space of contact vector fields of G. 694 4.1. Algebraic prolongation. Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a Lie group and G and H two subgroups. 695 Denote by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\mathfrak{h}$  the respective Lie algebras seen as tangent spaces at the identity 696 elements. We shall assume that H is closed. Suppose that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$  and that we are 697 given the decompositions in vector space direct sum

$$\mathfrak{h}=V_{-h}\oplus\cdots\oplus V_0$$

698 and

$$\mathfrak{g}=V_1\oplus\cdots\oplus V_s$$

699 in such a way that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  is graded, namely  $[V_i, V_j] \subseteq V_{i+j}$ , for  $i, j = -h, \ldots, s$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is 700 stratified, i.e.,  $[V_1, V_j] = V_{j+1}$  for j > 0. In other words,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  is a (finite-dimensional) 701 prolongation of the Carnot algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

We have a local embedding of G within the quotient space  $\tilde{G}/H := \{gH : g \in G\}$ via the restriction to G of the projection

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: \tilde{G} &\to \tilde{G}/H \\ p &\mapsto \pi(p) := [p] := pH. \end{aligned}$$

704 The group  $\tilde{G}$  acts on  $\tilde{G}/H$  on the left:

$$\tilde{L}_{\tilde{g}} : \tilde{G}/H \to \tilde{G}/H$$
 $gH \mapsto \bar{L}_{\tilde{g}}(gH) := \tilde{g}gH.$ 

705 We will repeatedly use the identity

(4.2) 
$$\bar{L}_{\tilde{g}} \circ \pi = \pi \circ L_{\tilde{g}}.$$

On the groups  $\tilde{G}$  and G we consider the two left-invariant subbundles  $\tilde{\Delta}$  and  $\Delta$ 707 that, respectively, are defined by

$$\Delta_e := \mathfrak{h} + V_1,$$
  
$$\Delta_e := V_1.$$

<sup>708</sup> Notice that both subbundles are bracket generating  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$ , respectively. Moreover, <sup>709</sup>  $\tilde{\Delta}$  is  $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ -invariant, hence it passes to the quotient as a  $\tilde{G}$ -invariant subbundle  $\bar{\Delta}$  on <sup>710</sup>  $\tilde{G}/H$ . Namely, there exists a subbundle  $\bar{\Delta}$  of the tangent bundle of  $\tilde{G}/H$  such that

$$\bar{\Delta} = \mathrm{d}\pi(\Delta).$$

711 **Lemma 4.3.** *The map* 

$$\begin{split} i &:= \pi_{|_G} : (G, \Delta) &\to (\tilde{G}/H, \bar{\Delta}) \\ g &\mapsto gH \end{split}$$

<sup>712</sup> is a local diffeomorphism and preserves the subbundles, i.e., it is locally a contacto-<sup>713</sup> morphism. 714 Proof. Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a complementary subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ , the differential  $(\mathrm{d}i)_e$  is an 715 isomorphism between  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $T_{[e]}\tilde{G}/H$ . Since by Equation (4.2) the map  $\pi$  is G-716 equivariant, then  $(\mathrm{d}i)_g$  is an isomorphism for any arbitrary  $g \in G$ . Hence, the map i717 is a local diffeomorphism. If X is a left-invariant section of  $\Delta$  then

$$(\mathrm{d}i)_g X_g = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} [g \exp(tX_e)] \right|_{t=0} \in \bar{\Delta}_{[g]},$$

718 since  $X_e \in V_1$ .

Let  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = V_{-h} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  be the projection induced by the direct sum. The projections  $\pi$  and  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$  are related by the following equation:

(4.4) 
$$(\mathrm{d}\pi)_e = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e_{|_{\mathfrak{g}}}} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

<sup>721</sup> Indeed, if  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then the formula trivially holds; if  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ , then  $(d\pi)_e Y = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \exp(tY) H\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} H\Big|_{t=0} = 0.$ 

The differential of the projection  $\pi$  at an arbitrary point  $\tilde{g}$  can be expressed using the projection  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$  via the following equation:

(4.5) 
$$(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{\tilde{g}} = (\mathrm{d}(\bar{L}_{\tilde{g}} \circ \pi_{|_{G}}))_{e} \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ (\mathrm{d}L_{\tilde{g}^{-1}})_{\tilde{g}}.$$

725 Indeed, first notice that  $(d\pi_{|_G})_e = (d\pi)_{e|_a}$ , then from (4.4) and (4.2) we get

$$(\mathrm{d}(L_{\tilde{g}} \circ \pi_{|_{G}}))_{e} \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ (\mathrm{d}L_{\tilde{g}^{-1}})_{\tilde{g}} = (\mathrm{d}L_{\tilde{g}})_{[e]} \circ (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e|_{\mathfrak{g}}} \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ (\mathrm{d}L_{\tilde{g}^{-1}})_{\tilde{g}}$$
$$= (\mathrm{d}\bar{L}_{\tilde{g}})_{[e]} \circ (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{e} \circ (\mathrm{d}L_{\tilde{g}^{-1}})_{\tilde{g}}$$
$$= \mathrm{d}(\bar{L}_{\tilde{g}} \circ \pi \circ (L_{\tilde{g}})^{-1})_{\tilde{g}} = (\mathrm{d}\pi)_{\tilde{g}}.$$

726 4.2. Induced contact vector fields. To any vector  $X \in T_e \tilde{G} \simeq \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  we want to 727 associate a contact vector field  $X^G$  on G. Let  $X^R$  be the right-invariant vector field 728 on  $\tilde{G}$  associated to X. We define  $X^G$  as the (unique) vector field on G with the 729 property that

$$\mathrm{d}\pi(X^R) = \mathrm{d}i(X^G),$$

730 as vector fields on i(G). In other words, we observe that there exists a (unique) vector 731 field  $\bar{X}$  on  $\tilde{G}/H$  that is  $\pi$ -related to  $X^R$  and *i*-related to some (unique)  $X^G$ . The flow 732 of  $X^R$  consists of left translations in  $\tilde{G}$ , hence they pass to the quotient  $\tilde{G}/H$ . Thus 733  $\bar{X}$  shall be the vector field on  $\tilde{G}/H$  whose flow is

$$\Phi_{\bar{X}}^t(gH) = \pi(\exp(tX)g) = \exp(tX)gH = \bar{L}_{\exp(tX)}(gH).$$

<sup>734</sup> In other words, we define  $\bar{X}$  as the vector field on  $\tilde{G}/H$  as

(4.6) 
$$\bar{X}_{[p]} := (\mathrm{d}\pi)(X^R)_p = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,t}\pi(\exp(tX)p) \right|_{t=0}, \qquad \forall p \in \tilde{G}.$$

735 **Definition 4.7.** For all  $X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $g \in G$ , we set

$$(X^G)_g := (\mathrm{d}(\pi_{|_G})_g)^{-1} (\mathrm{d}\pi)_g (\mathrm{d}R_g)_e X.$$

From (4.5), the vector field  $X^G$  satisfies

(4.8) 
$$(X^G)_g = \mathrm{d}(L_{g|_G})_e \pi_{\mathfrak{g}} \operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X, \quad \forall g \in G,$$

<sup>737</sup> We remark that if  $X \in \mathfrak{g} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  then  $X^G = X^R$ , as vector fields in G.

738 **Proposition 4.9.** Let  $X^G$  be the vector field defined above. Then

i)  $X^G$  has polynomial components when read in exponential coordinates.

740 *ii*)  $X^G$  is a contact vector field, i.e., its flow preserves  $\Delta$ .

741 *Proof.* Because the algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  is graded, we have that for every  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  the map  $\mathrm{ad}_X$ 742 is a nilpotent transformation of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Consequently, for all  $g \in G$ , the map  $\mathrm{Ad}_g$  is a 743 polynomial map of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Therefore, in exponential coordinates,  $X_{|_G}^R$  is a polynomial 744 vector field and  $X^G$  is as well.

We next show that the vector field in (4.6) is contact, in tother words, each map 746  $\bar{L}_p$  preserves  $\bar{\Delta}$ . Any vector in  $\bar{\Delta}$  is of the form  $d\pi(Y_{\tilde{g}}^L)$  with  $Y_e \in \mathfrak{h} + V_1$  and  $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ . 747 We want to show that  $(d\bar{L}_p)_{[\tilde{g}]}(d\pi)_{\tilde{g}}(Y_{\tilde{g}}^L)$  is in  $\bar{\Delta}$ . In fact, using (4.2), we have

$$(\mathrm{d}\bar{L}_p)_{[\tilde{g}]}(\mathrm{d}\pi)_{\tilde{g}}(Y_{\tilde{g}}^L) = \mathrm{d}(\bar{L}_p \circ \pi)_{\tilde{g}}(Y_{\tilde{g}}^L)$$
$$= \mathrm{d}(\pi \circ L_p)_{\tilde{g}}(Y_{\tilde{g}}^L)$$
$$= \mathrm{d}\pi_{p\tilde{g}}(\mathrm{d}L_p)_{\tilde{g}}(Y_{\tilde{g}}^L)$$
$$= \mathrm{d}\pi_{p\tilde{g}}(Y_{p\tilde{g}}^L) \in \mathrm{d}\pi(\tilde{\Delta}).$$

748 Now that we know that  $\overline{X}$  is a contact vector field of  $\widetilde{G}/H$ , from Lemma 4.3 we 749 deduce that the vector field  $X^G$ , which satisfies  $\overline{X} = \operatorname{di}(X^G)$ , is a contact vector field 750 on G.

For a subspace  $W \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  we use the notation

$$W^G := \{ X^G \in \operatorname{Vec}(G) \mid X \in W \}.$$

<sup>752</sup> Corollary 4.10. If S denotes the space of global contact vector fields on G that vanish <sup>753</sup> at the identity, we have

 $\mathfrak{h}^G \subseteq \mathcal{S}.$ 

<sup>754</sup> Proof. Let  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ . We already proved that  $X^G$  is a contact vector field on G. We <sup>755</sup> only need to verify that  $(X^G)_e = 0$ . Since  $X^G$  is *i*-related to  $\overline{X}$ , it is equivalent to <sup>756</sup> show that  $(\overline{X})_e = 0$ , but

$$(\bar{X})_e = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,t} \pi(\exp(tX)) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,t} H \right|_{t=0} = 0,$$

757 as desired.

758 4.3. A criterion for Sard's property. For  $g \in G$ , denote  $\mathcal{S}(g) = \{\xi(g) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . 759 Also, define

$$\mathcal{E} := \{ g \in G \mid (R_g)_* V_1 + (L_g)_* V_1 + \mathcal{S}(g) = T_g G \}.$$

760 Given a horizontal curve  $\gamma$  with control u, from Section 2.1 we know that

$$(R_{\gamma(1)})_*V_1 + (L_{\gamma(1)})_*V_1 + \mathcal{S}(\gamma(1)) \subset \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{d}\operatorname{End}_u) \subset T_{\gamma(1)}G.$$

Therefore, if the set  $\mathcal{E}$  is not empty then the abnormal set is a proper subset of G. Moreover, observing that  $\mathcal{E}$  is defined by a polynomial relation (see Proposition 4.9), we can deduce that, whenever  $\mathcal{E}$  is not empty then G has the (Algebraic) Sard Proprot4 erty.

765 **Proposition 4.11.** Let G be a Carnot group and let  $\tilde{G}$  and H as in the beginning of 766 Section 4.1. Let  $\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the corresponding Lie algebras. Assume that there are 767  $p \in \tilde{G}$  and  $g \in G$  such that pH = gH and

$$\mathfrak{h} + V_1 + \mathrm{Ad}_{p^{-1}}(\mathfrak{h} + V_1) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

768 Then

(4.12) 
$$(L_g)_*V_1 + (R_g)_*V_1 + \mathfrak{h}^G(g) = T_gG.$$

769 Moreover, the above formula holds for a nonempty Zariski-open set of points in G, 770 and so G has the Algebraic Sard Property.

771 *Proof.* Project the equation using  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  and get

$$V_1 + \pi_{\mathfrak{g}} \operatorname{Ad}_{p^{-1}}(\mathfrak{h} + V_1) = \mathfrak{g}$$

772 Apply the differential of  $\bar{L}_p \circ \pi_{|_G}$ , i.e., the map

$$\mathrm{d}(L_p \circ \pi_{|_G})_e : \mathfrak{g} = T_e G \to T_{[p]}(G/H)$$

773 and get

$$\mathrm{d}(\bar{L}_p \circ \pi_{|_G})_e V_1 + \mathrm{d}(\bar{L}_p \circ \pi_{|_G})_e \pi_{\mathfrak{g}} \operatorname{Ad}_{p^{-1}}(\mathfrak{h} + V_1) = T_{[p]}(\tilde{G}/H).$$

774 By Equation (4.5), the left hand side is equal to

$$d(\bar{L}_{p})_{[e]}(di)_{e}V_{1} + (d\pi)_{p}(dR_{p})(\mathfrak{h} + V_{1}) = d(\bar{L}_{p})_{[e]}(di)_{e}V_{1} + (d\pi)_{p}((\mathfrak{h} + V_{1})^{R})_{p} = d(\bar{L}_{p})_{[e]}(di)_{e}V_{1} + (di)_{g}((\mathfrak{h} + V_{1})^{G})_{g} = (di)_{g}d(L_{g})_{e}V_{1} + (di)_{g}(dR_{g})_{e}V_{1} + (di)_{g}\mathfrak{h}^{G}(g).$$

775 Now (4.12) follows because  $(di)_g$  in an isomorphism. Since (4.12) is expressed by 776 polynomial inequations, also the last part of the statement follows.

We give an infinitesimal version of the result above.

778 **Proposition 4.13.** Assume that there exists  $\xi \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{g} + V_1 + \mathrm{ad}_{\xi}(\mathfrak{h} + V_1) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

TT9 Then there are  $p \in \tilde{G}$  and  $g \in G$  such that pH = gH and

$$\mathfrak{h} + V_1 + \mathrm{Ad}_{p^{-1}}(\mathfrak{h} + V_1) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

780 Proof. For all t > 0, let  $p_t := \exp(t\xi)$ . Take  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$  a basis of  $\mathfrak{h} + V_1$ . Let

$$Y_i^t := \operatorname{Ad}_{p_t}(\frac{1}{t}Y_i) = \operatorname{ad}_{\xi}(Y_i) + t \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{t^{k-2}(\operatorname{ad}_{\xi})^k}{k!}(Y_i).$$

781 Notice that  $Y_i^t \to \mathrm{ad}_{\xi}(Y_i)$ , as  $t \to 0$ . Then we have

 $\mathfrak{h} + V_1 + \mathrm{Ad}_{p_t}(\mathfrak{h} + V_1) = \mathrm{span}\{Y_1, \dots, Y_m, Y_1^t, \dots, Y_m^t\}.$ 

782 Since

$$\operatorname{span}\{Y_1,\ldots,Y_m,Y_1^0,\ldots,Y_m^0\} = \mathfrak{h} + V_1 + \operatorname{ad}_{\xi}(\mathfrak{h} + V_1) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}},$$

783 then  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m, Y_1^t, \ldots, Y_m^t$  span the whole space  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  for t > 0 small enough. Moreover, 784 since  $p_t \to e \in \tilde{G}$  and hence  $[p_t] \to [e] \in \tilde{G}/H$ , for t > 0 small enough there exists 785  $g \in G$  such that  $[g] = [p_t]$ , because  $i : G \to \tilde{G}/H$  is a local diffeomorphism at 786  $e \in G$ .

<sup>787</sup> Combining Proposition 4.11 and 4.13 we obtain the following.

788 Corollary 4.14. Let G be a Carnot group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  as in the 789 beginning of Section 4.1. Assume that there exists  $\xi \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{h} + V_1 + \mathrm{ad}_{\xi}(\mathfrak{h} + V_1) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

790 Then G has the Algebraic Sard Property.

#### 791 5. Applications

<sup>792</sup> In this section we use the criteria that we established in Section 4 in order to prove <sup>793</sup> items (2) to (4) of Theorem 1.2. The proof of (5) and (6) will be based on (4.1) and <sup>794</sup> Corollary 4.14.

The free Lie algebra on r generators is a graded Lie algebra generated freely by an r-dimensional vector space V. It thus has the form

$$\mathfrak{f}_{r,\infty}=V\oplus V_2\oplus V_3\oplus\ldots$$

<sup>797</sup> Being free, the general linear group GL(V) acts on this Lie algebra by strata-preserving <sup>798</sup> automorphisms. In order to form the free k-step rank r Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f}_{r,k}$  we simply <sup>799</sup> quotient  $\mathfrak{f}_{r,\infty}$  by the Lie ideal  $\bigoplus_{s>k} V_s$ . Thus,

$$\mathfrak{f}_{r,k} = V \oplus V_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_k$$

800 5.1. **Proof of (2) and (3).** We consider the free nilpotent Lie group  $F_{2,4}$  with 801 2 generators and step 4, and the free nilpotent Lie group  $F_{3,3}$  with 3 generators 802 and step 3. Their Lie algebras are stratified, namely  $\mathfrak{f}_{2,4} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3 \oplus V_4$  and 803  $\mathfrak{f}_{3,3} = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3$ .

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{f}_{2,4}$  is generated by two vectors, say  $X_1, X_2$ , in  $V_1$ , which one can so complete to a basis with

$$X_{21} = [X_2, X_1]$$
  

$$X_{211} = [X_{21}, X_1]$$
  

$$X_{2111} = [X_{211}, X_1]$$
  

$$X_{2112} = [X_{211}, X_2]$$
  

$$X_{2112} = [X_{211}, X_2] = [X_{212}, X_1]$$
  

$$X_{2122} = [X_{212}, X_2].$$

We apply Corollary 4.14 to verify the Algebraic Sard Property for  $F_{2,4}$ . We take  $\mathfrak{h}$  to be the space of all strata preserving derivations of  $\mathfrak{f}_{2,4}$ , which in this case are generated by the action of  $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{R})$  on  $V_1$ . Choose  $\xi = X_2 + X_{212} + X_{2111}$ . Then  $[\xi, V_1]$  contains the vectors  $X_{21} + X_{2112}$  and  $X_{2122}$ . Next, consider the basis  $\{E_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, 2\}$ of  $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ , where  $E_{ij}$  denotes the matrix that has entry equal to one in the (i, j)sin position and zero otherwise. We compute the action of the derivation defined by each one of the  $E_{ij}$ 's on  $\xi$ . Abusing of the notation  $E_{ij}$  for such derivations, an elementary calculation gives

$$E_{11}\xi = X_{212} + 3X_{2111} \qquad E_{12}\xi = X_1 + X_{211}$$
$$E_{22}\xi = X_2 + 2X_{212} + X_{2111} \qquad E_{21}\xi = 2X_{2112}.$$

Since we need to show that  $V_1 + \mathrm{ad}_{\xi} V_1 = \mathfrak{g}$ , it is enough to prove that  $V_2 \oplus V_3 \oplus V_4 = \mathfrak{g}$  (ad<sub> $\xi$ </sub>  $V_1$ ) mod  $V_1$ , which follows from direct verification.

We consider now the case of the free nilpotent group of rank 3 and step 3. The Lie algebra of  $F_{3,3}$  is bracket generated by three vectors in  $W_1$ , say  $X_1, X_2, X_3$ , which give a basis with

$$X_{21} = [X_2, X_1] \quad X_{31} = [X_3, X_1] \quad X_{32} = [X_3, X_2]$$
(5.1)  

$$X_{211} = [X_{21}, X_1] \quad X_{212} = [X_{21}, X_2] \quad X_{213} = [X_{21}, X_3]$$

$$X_{311} = [X_{31}, X_1] \quad X_{312} = [X_{31}, X_2] \quad X_{313} = [X_{31}, X_3]$$

$$X_{322} = [X_{32}, X_2] \quad X_{323} = [X_{32}, X_3].$$

819 We have the bracket relation  $[X_{32}, X_1] = X_{312} - X_{213}$ . We apply Corollary 4.14 820 to verify the Algebraic Sard Property for  $F_{3,3}$ . We choose  $\xi = X_{21} + X_{31} + X_{32} +$ 821  $X_{312} + X_{213}$ , and we consider the action of  $\mathfrak{h}$  on it. In this case  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Let 822  $E_{ij} \in \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{R})$  be the matrix that has entry equal to one in the (i, j)-position and zero 823 otherwise. Then the set  $\{E_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, 3\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ . We compute the 824 action of the elements of this basis on  $\xi$ . If  $i \neq j$  we obtain

$$E_{12}\xi = X_{31} + X_{311} \quad E_{13}\xi = -X_{21} + X_{211} \quad E_{23}\xi = X_{21} + 2X_{212}$$
$$E_{21}\xi = X_{32} + X_{322} \quad E_{31}\xi = -X_{32} - X_{323} \quad E_{32}\xi = X_{31} + 2X_{313}$$

825 whereas if i = j

$$E_{11}\xi = X_{21} + X_{31} + X_{213} + X_{312}$$
$$E_{22}\xi = X_{21} + X_{32} + X_{213} + X_{312}$$
$$E_{33}\xi = X_{31} + X_{32} + X_{213} + X_{312}.$$

Next, we consider  $[\xi, V_1]$  and notice that it contains the vectors  $v = X_{212} + X_{312} + X_{322}$ and  $w = X_{213} + X_{313} + X_{323}$ . It is now elementary to verify that the eleven vectors  $\{E_{ij}\xi \mid i, j = 1, 2, 3\}, v$  and w are linearly independent and therefore are a basis of  $W_2 \oplus W_3$ . In conclusion,  $\xi$  satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 4.14.

830 Remark 5.2. In the above proof, we had to chose the element  $\xi$  properly. This was 831 done considering how GL(3) acts on  $F_{3,3}$ . Actually, SL(3) acts by graded automor-832 phisms on  $\mathfrak{f}_{3,3}$ . As a consequence each layer,  $W_1, W_2$  and  $W_3$ , form SL(3) representa-833 tions. We will see in Section 6.2 that the third layer  $W_3$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$  with 834 the adjoint representation of SL(3). This observation allowed us to find the element 835  $\xi$ .

836 5.2. Semisimple Lie groups and associated polarized groups. We complete 837 here the proof of Theorem 1.2. We first recall some standard facts in the theory of 838 semisimple Lie groups. For the details we refer the reader to [Kna02]. To be consis-839 tent with the standard notation, only in this section we write G for a noncompact 840 semisimple Lie group and N (rather than G) for the nilpotent part of a parabolic 841 subgroup.

If  $\theta$  is a Cartan involution of the semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of G, then the Cartan decomposition is given by the vector space direct sum

 $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p},$ 

where  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  are the eigenspaces relative to the two eigenvalues 1 and -1 of  $\theta$ . We fix a maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , whose dimension will be denoted by r. Let B be the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; the bilinear form  $\langle X, Y \rangle := -B(X, \theta Y)$  defines a scalar product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , for which the Cartan decomposition is orthogonal and by which  $\mathfrak{a}$  can be identified with its dual  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ . We fix an order on the system  $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$  of nonzero restricted roots of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \{X \in \mathfrak{k} \mid [X, Y] = 0 \ \forall Y \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ . The algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  decomposes as  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a} + \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  is the root space relative to  $\alpha$ . We denote by  $\Sigma_+$  the subset of positive roots. The Lie algebra of N, denoted  $\mathfrak{n}$ , decomposes as the sum of positive) restricted root spaces  $\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ .

<sup>853</sup> Proof of (4). Denote by  $\Pi_+$  the subset of positive simple roots. The space  $V = \mathfrak{g}_{\delta \in \Pi_+} \mathfrak{g}_{\delta}$  provides a stratification of  $\mathfrak{n}$ , so that (N, V) is a Carnot group. We prove <sup>855</sup> that (N, V) has the Algebraic Sard Property. Let w be a representative in G of <sup>856</sup> the longest element in the analytic Weyl group. From [Kna02, Theorem 6.5] we <sup>857</sup> have  $\operatorname{Ad} w^{-1} \overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n}$ , where  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in -\Sigma_+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ . The Bruhat decomposition of G shows <sup>858</sup> that N may be identified with the dense open subset  $N\overline{P}$  of the homogeneous space

30

859  $G/\bar{P}$ , where  $\bar{P}$  denotes the minimal parabolic subgroup of G containing  $\bar{N}$ . Here we 860 wrote  $\bar{N}$  for the connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra is  $\bar{\mathbf{n}}$ . Now we apply 861 Proposition 4.11 to  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a} + \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ . From our discussion it follows that  $\mathfrak{h} + \operatorname{Ad} w^{-1}\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}$ . 862 This equality holds true in a small neighborhood of w, so by density we can find p863 in G such that [p] = [n] for some  $n \in N$  and for which  $\mathfrak{h} + \operatorname{Ad} p^{-1}\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}$ . Then by 864 Proposition 4.11 we conclude that the desired Sard's property for N follows.

865 Proof of (5). From the properties of the Cartan decomposition it follows that  $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] =$ 866  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Then  $(G, \mathfrak{p})$  is a polarized group. We restrict to the case where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the split 867 real form of a complex semisimple Lie algebra. In order to show that  $(G, \mathfrak{p})$  has the 868 Analytic Sard Property, we show that there is  $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $\mathrm{ad}_{\xi} \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{k}$ . If this holds, 869 then by a similar argument of that in the proof of Proposition 4.13 we also have 870  $\mathfrak{p} + \mathrm{Ad}_g \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}$  for some  $g \in G$ , from which we deduce the Analytic Sard Property. 871 Let then  $\xi$  be a regular element in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . This implies in particular that  $\xi$  is such that 872  $\alpha(\xi) \neq 0$  for every root  $\alpha$ . Next, observe that for every  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ , we may 873 write

$$X = \frac{1}{2}(X - \theta X) + \frac{1}{2}(X + \theta X),$$

874 where  $X - \theta X \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $X + \theta X \in \mathfrak{k}$ . We obtain

$$[\xi, X - \theta X] = \alpha(\xi)X - \theta[\theta\xi, X] = \alpha(\xi)(X + \theta X).$$

The assumption that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is split implies in particular that  $\mathfrak{k}$  is generated by vectors of the form  $X + \theta X$ , with X a nonzero vector in a root space. Since  $\xi$  is regular, it follows that  $\mathrm{ad}_{\xi} \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{k}$ , which concludes the proof.

We observe that if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not split, then we do not find a vector  $\xi$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} + \mathrm{ad}_{\xi} \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}$ and so the same proof does not work. This can be shown, for example, by an explicit calculation on  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$ .

882 Proof of (6). We observe that  $(G, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha})$  is a polarized group. Also in this case 883 we assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is split. This implies that every root space  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Sigma$ , is one 884 dimensional, and that  $\mathfrak{m} = \{0\}$ . We recall that the Killing form B identifies  $\mathfrak{a}$ 885 with  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ . Let  $H_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{a}$  be such that  $\alpha(H) = B(H_{\alpha}, H)$  for every  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Recall 886 that  $[X_{\alpha}, \theta X_{\alpha}] = B(X_{\alpha}, \theta X_{\alpha})H_{\alpha}$  and  $B(X_{\alpha}, \theta X_{\alpha}) < 0$ . Let  $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_r$  be a basis of 887 simple roots, and let  $X_{\delta_i}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\delta_i}$  for every  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . The set of vectors 888  $\{H_{\delta_1}, \ldots, H_{\delta_r}\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then the vector

$$\xi = X_{\delta_1} + \dots + X_{\delta_r}$$

see satisfies  $[\xi, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}] \supset \mathfrak{a}$ , whence  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} + [\xi, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}] = \mathfrak{g}$ . Arguing as in the Proof see of (5), we conclude that  $(G, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha})$  has the Analytic Sard Property. 891 5.3. Sard Property for some semidirect products. In this section we construct
892 polarized groups that are not nilpotent and yet have the Algebraic Sard Property.
893 These examples are constructed as semidirect products.

Let  $\psi : H \to \operatorname{Aut}(G)$  be an action of a Lie group H on a Lie group G, i.e.,  $\psi$  is a so continuous homomorphism from H to the group of automorphisms of G. Write  $\psi_h$ for  $\psi(h)$ , for  $h \in H$ . The semidirect product  $G \rtimes_{\psi} H$  has product

(5.3) 
$$(g_1, h_1) \cdot (g_2, h_2) = (g_1 \psi_{h_1}(g_2), h_1 h_2).$$

897 Let  $V \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  be a polarization for G. Assume that

(5.4) 
$$(\psi_h)_*(V) = V, \text{ for all } h \in H.$$

<sup>898</sup> We consider the group  $G \rtimes_{\psi} H$  endowed with the polarization  $V \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the <sup>899</sup> Lie algebra of H.

900 **Proposition 5.5.** Assume that  $G \stackrel{\psi}{\frown} H$  is an action satisfying (5.4). If (G, V) has 901 the Algebraic Sard Property, so does  $(G \rtimes_{\psi} H, V \oplus \mathfrak{h})$ .

902 Proof. We show that  $\operatorname{Abn}_{G\rtimes_{\psi}H}(e)$  is contained in  $\operatorname{Abn}_{G}(e) \cdot H$ . It is a consequence 903 of (5.4) that a curve  $\gamma(t) = (g(t), h(t))$  in  $\tilde{G} := G \rtimes_{\psi} H$  is horizontal with respect to 904  $V + \mathfrak{h}$  if and only if g(t) is horizontal in G and h(t) is horizontal in H.

Hence, if  $g(1) \notin Abn_G(e)$ , i.e., g is not abnormal, from (2.4), we have

$$(\mathrm{d} \,\mathrm{R}_{\gamma(1)})_{e}^{-1}\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{d} \,\mathrm{End}_{u_{\gamma}}) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathrm{Ad}_{\gamma(t)}(V \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \mid t \in [0,1]\}$$
  

$$\supseteq V + \mathfrak{h} + \operatorname{span}\{\mathrm{Ad}_{\gamma(t)}V \mid t \in (0,1]\}$$
  

$$= V + \mathfrak{h} + \operatorname{span}\{\mathrm{Ad}_{(g(t),0)} \,\mathrm{Ad}_{(0,h(t))}V \mid t \in (0,1]\}$$
  

$$= V + \mathfrak{h} + \operatorname{span}\{\mathrm{Ad}_{(g(t),0)}V \mid t \in (0,1]\}$$
  

$$= \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{h},$$

906 where we used first that  $(g, e_H) \cdot (e_G, h) = (g, h)$  and  $\operatorname{Ad}_{(e_G, h)}(v, 0) = ((\operatorname{d} \psi_h)_e v, 0);$ 907 then we used the assumption (5.4) and the fact  $\operatorname{Ad}_{(g, e_H)}(v, 0) = (\operatorname{Ad}_g v, 0).$ 

908 Remark 5.6. If (G, V) is a free nilpotent Lie group for which the Algebraic Sard 909 Property holds, we may take H to be any subgroup of GL(n, V) and apply the 910 proposition above to  $G \rtimes H$ . If (N, V) is a Carnot group as we defined in the first 911 part of Section 5.2, then  $\mathfrak{h}$  may be chosen to be any subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ . In particular, 912 the Algebraic Sard Property holds for exponential growth Lie groups NA if N has 913 step 2.

#### 914 6. Step-3 Carnot groups

Our first goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.5 concerning the Sard Property for length minimizers in Carnot groups of step 3. A secondary goal is to motivate 917 the claim made in Example 3.7 that the typical abnormal curve in  $F_{3,3}$ , the free 3-918 step rank-3 Carnot group, does not lie in any proper subgroup. To this purpose we 919 illustrate the beautiful structure of the abnormal equations in this case.

920 6.1. Sard Property for abnormal length minimizers. In [TY13] Tan and Yang 921 proved that in sub-Riemannian step-3 Carnot groups all length minimizing curves are 922 smooth. They also claim that in this setting all abnormal length minimizing curves 923 are normal. Hence, Theorem 1.5 would immediately follow from Lemma 2.32. Being 924 unable to follow some of the proofs in [TY13], we prefer to provide here an independent 925 proof of Theorem 1.5, which relies on the weaker claim that every length-minimizing 926 curve is normal in some Carnot subgroup.

927 Proof of Theorem 1.5. By Lemma 2.32, it is enough to estimate the set  $Abn_{str}^{lm}(e)$  of 928 points connected to e by strictly abnormal length minimizers. Let  $\gamma$  be such a curve 929 starting from the origin e of a Carnot group G of step 3. Since  $\gamma$  is not normal, then 930 it satisfies the Goh condition; in particular,  $\gamma$  is contained in the algebraic variety

$$W^{\lambda} = \{ g \in G : \lambda(\operatorname{Ad}_{q} V_{2}) = 0 \}$$

931 for some  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus \{0\}$ . We now use Remark 2.36, Remark 2.26, and the fact that G is 932 of step-3 to deduce that  $\lambda \in V_3^* \setminus \{0\}$  and that  $W^{\lambda}$  is a proper subgroup of G. Hence 933 also the accessible set  $H^{\lambda}$  in  $W^{\lambda}$  is a proper Carnot subgroup of G.

Since  $\gamma$  is still length minimizing in  $H^{\lambda}$ , either  $\gamma$  is normal in  $H^{\lambda}$ , and we stop, or, 935 being length minimizing, it is strictly abnormal (i.e., abnormal but not normal) in 936  $H^{\lambda}$ , and we iterate. Eventually, we obtain that  $\gamma$  is normal within a Carnot subgroup. 937 We remark that in this subgroup  $\gamma$  may be abnormal or not abnormal. We do not 938 need divide the two cases. We decompose

$$\operatorname{Abn}_{str}^{lm}(e) \subseteq \bigcup_{G' < G} \operatorname{Abn}_{G'}^{nor}(e),$$

939 where  $\operatorname{Abn}_{G'}^{nor}(e)$  is the union of all curves starting from e that are contained in G', 940 are normal in G', and are abnormal within G.

The idea is now to adapt the argument of Lemma 2.32 for the union of the sets Abn<sup>nor</sup><sub>G'</sub>(e). Carnot subgroups of G are parametrized by the Grassmannian of linear subspaces of  $V_1$ . The dimension of the subgroup is a semi-algebraic function on the Grassmannian. On each of its level sets  $Y_m$ , all relevant data (e.g., coefficients of the Hamiltonian equation satisfied by normal length minimizing curves) are real analytic. Hamiltonian equations  $\mathfrak{g}'^*$  form an analytic vector bundle over  $Y_m$ . Denote by  $\tau_m$  the total space of this bundle. It is a semi-analytic subset of  $T_e^*G$ . The time 1 solutions of the Hamiltonian equations with initial data in  $\tau_m$  give rise to real analytic maps  $\widetilde{Exp}_m : \tau_m \to L^2([0,1],V)$ . Each subgroup has its own geodesic exponential map, giving rise to an analytic map  $Exp_m : \tau_m \to G$ . Again,

$$Exp_m = \operatorname{End} \circ Exp_m.$$

951 Every point in  $\bigcup_{G' < G} \operatorname{Abn}_{G'}^{nor}(e)$  is a value of some  $Exp_m$  where the differential of End 952 is not onto. Therefore, it is a singular value of  $Exp_m$ . This constitutes a measure 953 zero sub-analytic subset of G.

<u>85</u> <u>Remark 6.1.</u> In the free 3-step Carnot group, we are not able to bound the codi-86 mension of  $\operatorname{Abn}^{lm}(e)$  away from 1. However, the codimension of  $\operatorname{Abn}^{lm}_{str}(e)$  is at least 957 3. Actually, in the free 3-step rank-r group  $\mathbb{F}_{r,3}$  this codimension is greater or equal 958 than  $r^2 - r + 1$ . The calculation is similar to the one in Section 3.5. Indeed, by Witt 959 Formula the dimension of  $\mathbb{F}_{r,3}$  is

(6.2) 
$$\dim \mathbb{F}_{r,3} = r + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} + \frac{r^3 - r}{3}.$$

960 In the proof of Theorem 1.5, we showed that each abnormal geodesic from the origin is 961 in a subgroup, which therefore has codimension bounded by dim  $\mathbb{F}_{r-1,3}$ , computable 962 via Witt Formula (6.2). The collection of all the subgroups of rank r-1 can be 963 parametrized via the Grassmanian Gr(r, r-1), which has dimension r-1. Therefore, 964 we compute

$$\dim \mathbb{F}_{r,3} - \dim \mathbb{F}_{r-1,3} - \dim Gr(r, r-1) = r^2 - r + 1$$

965 Notice that  $r^2 - r + 1$  equals 3 if r = 2, and is strictly greater than 7 if  $r \ge 3$ .

966 6.2. Investigations in the rank-3 case. As said in Section 5, the group GL(V) acts 967 on each strata  $V_j$  of the free algebra  $\mathfrak{f}_{r,\infty}$ . So each summand  $V_j$  breaks up into GL(V)968 irreducibles. Also, the k-step rank r Lie algebra decomposes as a representation space

$$\mathfrak{f}_{r,k}=V\oplus V_2\oplus\ldots\oplus V_k.$$

<sup>969</sup> The first summand V is the 'birthday representation' of GL(V). The second summand <sup>970</sup> is well-known as a GL(V) representation, and in any case is easy to guess:

$$V_2 = \Lambda^2 V$$

971 with the Lie bracket  $V \times V \to \Lambda^2 V$  being  $[v, w] = v \wedge w$ . The third summand is 972 less well-known and will be treated momentarily. First a few more generalities. Any 973 algebra becomes a Lie algebra when we define the Lie bracket between two elements to 974 be their commutator. So the full tensor algebra  $\mathfrak{T}(V) = V \oplus V^{\otimes 2} \oplus V^{\otimes 3} \oplus \ldots$  inherits 975 a Lie algebra structure. Under this bracket we have  $[v, w] = v \otimes w - w \otimes v = v \wedge w$ 976 for  $v, w \in V$ . The free Lie algebra over V is the Lie subalgebra that is Lie-generated 977 by V within the full tensor algebra  $\mathfrak{T}(V)$ . In particular,

$$V_r \subset V^{\otimes r}.$$

978 Both the symmetric group  $S_r$  on r letters, and the general linear group GL(V) acts on 979  $V^{\otimes r}$ . By Schur-Weyl duality, see [FH91, Exercise 6.30 page 87], under the joint action 980 of  $GL(V) \times S_r$  the space  $V^{\otimes r}$  breaks up completely into irreducibles and this represen-981 tation is "multiplicity free": each irreducible occurs at most once. The irreducibles 982 themselves are written in the form  $S_{\lambda}(V) \otimes \text{Specht}(\lambda)$ . Here  $\lambda$  is a partition of r and 983 is represented by a Young Tableaux with blank boxes. Then  $S_{\lambda}(V)$  is the irreducible 984 representation of GL(V) corresponding to  $\lambda$ , whereas  $\text{Specht}(\lambda)$  is the irreducible 985 representation of  $S_r$  corresponding to this  $\lambda$ . If we are only interested in decomposing 986  $V^{\otimes r}$  into GL(V)-irreducibles, what this means is that each irreducible  $S_{\lambda}(V)$  occurs 987 dim(Specht( $\lambda$ )) times. For example, the representation  $S^r(V)$  of symmetric powers 988 of V corresponds to the partition  $r = 1 + 1 + 1 + \ldots + 1$ . The representation  $\Lambda^r(V)$ 989 corresponds to the partition r = r.

To the case at hand,  $V_3 \,\subset \, V^{\otimes 3}$  corresponds to the partition 3 = 2 + 1. This 991 representation is dealt with in fine detail in [FH91, pages 75-76]. We summarize the 992 results within our context. The bracket map  $V \otimes \Lambda^2 V \to V_3$  which sends  $v \otimes \omega \to$ 993  $[v, \omega] = v \otimes \omega - \omega \otimes v$  is onto, but as soon as  $\dim(V) > 2$  it is not injective due to 994 the Jacobi identity. We want to describe the image  $V_3$  of the bracket map. There 995 is a canonical inclusion  $i : V \otimes \Lambda^2 V \to V^{\otimes 3}$ , namely the identity  $v \otimes \omega \mapsto v \otimes \omega$ , 996 whose image contains  $V_3$ . To cut  $V \otimes \Lambda^2 V \subset V^{\otimes 3}$  down to  $V_3$  we must add linear 997 conditions which encode the Jacobi identity. Consider the canonical projection map 998  $\beta : V^{\otimes 3} \to \Lambda^3 V$  which sends  $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3$  to  $v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3$ . Then the Jacobi identity is 999  $\beta = 0$ , so that  $V_3 = im(i) \cap ker(\beta)$ .

Let us now go to the specific case of  $\dim(V) = 3$ . Here  $\dim(V \otimes \Lambda^2 V) = 3 \times 3 = 9$ , 1000 1001 whereas  $\dim(V_3) = 8$ . In this case the Jacobi identity is 'one-dimensional'. We 1002 show how to identify  $V_3$  with  $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$  by fixing a volume form on V. Write coordinates 1003  $x, y, z = x_1, x_2, x_3$  on V and take as the resulting volume form  $\mu = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3$ . 1004 The choice of form both singles out  $SL(3) \subset GL(3) = GL(V)$  and yields a canonical 1005 identification  $\Lambda^2 V \cong V^*$  by sending  $v \wedge w$  to the one-form  $\mu(v, w, \cdot)$ . Thus  $V \otimes \Lambda^2 V \cong$ 1006  $V \otimes V^* = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  as an SL(3) representation space, with SL(3) = SL(V) acting by 1007 conjugation on  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . For example,  $\partial_i \otimes (\partial_1 \wedge \partial_2)$  is sent to the element  $\partial_i \otimes dx_3$ 1008 under this identification. One verifies that the kernel of  $\beta$  is equal to the span of the 1009 identity element  $I = \partial_1 \otimes dx_1 + \partial_2 \otimes dx_2 + \partial_3 \otimes dx_3$  under this identification. Thus 1010  $V_3 \cong \mathfrak{gl}(V)/\mathbb{R}I$ . Next, observe that as an SL(V) (or GL(V)) representation space we 1011 have:  $V \otimes V^* = \mathfrak{sl}(V) \oplus \mathbb{R}I$  where  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$  consists of those matrices with trace zero. 1012 Thus  $V_3 = \mathfrak{gl}(V)/\mathbb{R}I = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ , as SL(V) representation spaces. Notice that as GL(V)1013 representation spaces this equality does not hold since the element  $\lambda I \in GL(V)$ 1014 acts on  $V_3$  by  $\lambda^3 I$ , while under conjugation the same element acts on  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$  as the 1015 identity. An investigation of what  $ad_{\ell}$  looks like in relation to this SL(3)-equivariant 1016 decomposition led to the specific element  $\xi$  defined at the end of Section 5.1.

1017 To get to the equations describing abnormality for  $F_{3,3}$ , we write its Lie algebra as

$$\mathfrak{f}_{3,3} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3 = \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3*} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(3)$$

1018 and so an element of the dual Lie algebra can be written out as

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathfrak{f}_{3,3}^* = V_1^* \oplus V_2^* \oplus V_3^* = \mathbb{R}^{3*} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(3)^*.$$

1019 For this covector to lie along an abnormal extremal it must be  $\lambda_1 = 0$ .

We partition the abnormal extremals into two classes: those for which  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ , which we call *regular abnormal extremals* following Liu-Sussmann, and those for which  $\lambda_2 = 0$ . The Hamiltonian

$$H = P_1 P_{23} + P_2 P_{31} + P_3 P_{12}$$

1023 generates all the regular abnormal extremals. Here

1024 
$$\lambda_1 = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$$
$$\lambda_2 = (P_{23}, P_{31}, P_{12}).$$

1025 and

$$P_i = P_{X_i} \quad P_{ij} = P_{X_{ij}} = -P_{ji}$$

1026 where we are following the notation of (2.20) and (5.1). When we say that H "gener-1027 ates" the regular abnormal extremals we mean two things: (A) the Hamiltonian flow 1028 of H preserves the locus  $\lambda_1 = 0$ , i.e., the locus  $\Delta^{\perp} = \{P_1 = P_2 = P_3 = 0\}$  and (B) on 1029 the locus  $\lambda_1 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ , a unique - up to reparameterization - abnormal extremal 1030 passes through every point, with the extremal through  $(0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  being the solution 1031 to Hamilton's equations for this Hamiltionian H with initial conditions  $\lambda$ .

We follow a Hamiltonian trick that Igor Zelenko kindly showed us for both finding 1033 H and for validating claims (A) and (B). Start with the Maximum Principle charac-1034 terization of abnormal extremals discussed in Section 2.4. According to this principle, 1035 an abnormal with control u(t) is a solution to Hamilton's equations having the time 1036 dependent Hamiltonian  $H_u = u_1P_1 + u_2P_2 + u_3P_3$  and lying in the common level set 1037  $P_1 = 0, P_2 = 0, P_3 = 0$ . From Hamilton's equations we find that

$$P_1 = \{P_1, H_u\} = -u_2 P_{12} - u_3 P_{13}$$

1039  
$$P_{2} = \{P_{2}, H_{u}\} = -u_{1}P_{21} - u_{3}P_{23}$$
$$\dot{P}_{3} = \{P_{3}, H_{u}\} = -u_{1}P_{31} - u_{2}P_{32}$$

1040 But we must have that  $\dot{P}_i = 0$ . Consequently  $(u_1, u_2, u_3)$  must lie in the kernel of the 1041 skew-symmetric matrix whose entries are  $P_{ij}$ . As long as this matrix is not identically 1042 zero, its kernel is one-dimensional and is spanned by  $(P_{23}, P_{31}, P_{12})$ . It follows that:

$$(u_1, u_2, u_3) = f(P_{23}, P_{31}, P_{12}), f \neq 0$$

1043 Since the parameterization of the abnormal is immaterial, we may take f = 1. Plug-1044 ging our expression for u back in to  $H_u$  yields the form of H above.

We can write down the ODEs governing the regular abnormal extremals, using this H. We have just seen that

$$u = \lambda_2 = (P_{23}, P_{31}, P_{12})$$

1047 describes the controls, i.e., the moving element of V. This control evolves according 1048 to

$$\dot{u} = Au$$

1049 where A is a constant matrix in SL(3). These are to be supplemented by the under-1050 standing of what the resulting abnormal extremal is

$$\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = u, \lambda_3 = A.$$

1051 We want to establish Hamilton's equations, using this H. For doing so, we compute 1052  $\dot{P}_{ij} = \{P_{ij}, H\}$  and  $\dot{P}_{ijk} = \{P_{ijk}, H\} = 0$  where  $P_{ijk} = P_{X_{ijk}}$ . The first equation 1053 results in a bilinear pairing between  $P_{ij}$  and  $P_{ijk}$  which, when the  $P_{ijk}$  are properly 1054 interpreted as an element  $A \in SL(3)$ , is matrix multiplication.

1055 6.3. Computation of abnormals not lying in any subgroup. Take a diagonal-1056 izable A with distinct nonzero eigenvalues a, b, c, a + b + c = 0. For simplicity, let 1057 it be diag(a, b, c) relative to our choice of coordinates for V. Then u evolves accord-1058 ing to  $u(t) = (Ae^{at}, Be^{bt}, Ce^{ct})$ . We may suppose that none of A, B, C are zero by 1059 assuming that no components of  $\lambda_2 = u(0)$  are zero. The corresponding curve in G1060 passing through e = 0, projected onto the first level is the curve  $x_1 = \frac{1}{a}(A(e^{at} - 1),$ 1061  $x_2 = \frac{1}{b}(B(e^{bt} - 1), x_3 = \frac{1}{c}(C(e^{ct} - 1))$ . Since the functions  $1, e^{at}, e^{bt}, e^{ct}$  are linearly 1062 independent, the curve projected to the first level cannot lie in any proper subspace 1063 of V, which in turn implies that the entire abnormal curve cannot lie in any proper 1064 subgroup of G.

Alternatively, one can directly use Corollary 2.14. In fact, with the notation of Section 5, one can take  $\lambda = e_{21}^* - e_{31}^* + e_{32}^* - ce_{213}^* + be_{312}^*$  to prove that the curve with control  $u(t) = (e^{(-b-c)t}, e^{bt}, e^{ct})$  is abnormal.

1068 The characteristic viewpoint. We put forth one further perspective on abnormal ex-1069 tremals which makes the computation just done more transparent. Take any po-1070 larized manifold  $(Q, \Delta)$ . Take the annihilator bundle of  $\Delta$ , denoted  $\Delta^{\perp} \subset T^*Q$ . 1071 Restrict the canonical symplectic form  $\omega$  of  $T^*Q$  to  $\Delta^{\perp}$ . Call this restriction  $\omega_{\Delta}$ . 1072 Then the abnormal extremals are precisely the (absolutely continuous) character-1073 istics for  $\omega_{\Delta}$ , that is the curves in  $\Delta^{\perp}$  whose tangents are a.e. in Ker $(\omega_{\Delta})$ . Let 1074  $\pi : \Delta^{\perp} \to Q$  be the canonical projection. Then a linear algebra computation shows 1075 that  $d\pi_{(q,\lambda)}$  projects Ker $(\omega_{\Delta})(q,\lambda)$  linearly isomorphically onto Ker $(w_q(\lambda)) \subset \Delta_q$ 1076 where  $\lambda \in \Delta_q^{\perp} \mapsto w_q(\lambda) \in \Lambda^2 \Delta_q^*$  is the operator called the "dual curvature" in 1077 [Mon02]. In the case of a polarized group  $(Q, \Delta) = (G, V)$  we have that  $w_q(\lambda)$  is the 1078 two-form of Equation (2.15) for  $\lambda = \eta \in V^{\perp}$ .

In our situation V has dimension 3 so that  $w(\lambda)$  has either rank 2 or 0 and thus its kernel has dimension 1 or 3. The kernel has dimension 1 exactly when  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ , and rank 3 exactly when  $\lambda_2 = 0$ . Along the points where  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$  the kernel of  $\omega_{\Delta}$  is a line field, and the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_H$  for H above rectifies this line field. Note that  $X_H$  vanishes exactly along the variety  $\lambda_2 = 0$ .

### 7. Open problems

Is Abn(e), the set of endpoints of abnormal extremals leaving the identity, a closed analytic variety in G when G is a simply connected polarized Lie group? In all examples computed, the answer is 'yes'. However, even the following more basic group are still open.

1089 Is Abn(e) closed?

1090 Can Abn(e) be the entire group G?

Concerning the importance of the adjective "simply connected" above, consider the 1092 torus. Any integrable distribution V whose corank is 1 or greater on any space G has 1093 its Abn(e) the leaf through e. Consequently an irrationally oriented polarization V1094 on the torus has for its Abn(e) a set that is neither closed nor analytic.

1095 We also wonder wether statements 5 and 6 of Theorem 1.2 can be upgraded to 1096 algebraic.

1097 Can one unify (6) and (7) having the result for all semisimple groups?

1098 If G and H are polarized Lie groups having the Sard Property, does any semidirect 1099 product  $G \rtimes H$  have the Sard Property?

Finally, in the particular case of rank 2 Carnot groups, what is the minimal codinumber of Abn(e)?

1102

#### References

1103 [AGL13]	Andrei Agrachev, Alessandro Gentile, and Antonio Lerario, Geodesics and admissible-
1104	path spaces in Carnot groups, Preprint, arXiv:1311.6727 (2013).
1105 [Agr 09]	Andrei Agrachev, Any sub-Riemannian metric has points of smoothness, Dokl. Akad.
1106	Nauk <b>424</b> (2009), no. 3, 295–298.
1107 $[Agr13]$	, Some open problems, Preprint, arXiv:1304.2590 (2013).
1108 [Arn71]	V. I. Arnol'd, Matrices depending on parameters, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 26 (1971),
1109	no. $2(158)$ , $101-114$ .
1110 [AS96]	A. A. Agrachev and A. V. Sarychev, Abnormal sub-Riemannian geodesics: Morse index
1111	and rigidity, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 13 (1996), no. 6, 635–690.
1112 [AS04]	Andrei A. Agrachev and Yuri L. Sachkov, Control theory from the geometric viewpoint,
1113	Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Control
1114	Theory and Optimization, II.
1115 [BCR98]	Jacek Bochnak, Michel Coste, and Marie-Francoise Roy, Real algebraic geometry,
1116	Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and
1117	Related Areas (3)], vol. 36, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, Translated from the 1987
1118	French original, Revised by the authors.
1119 [FH91]	William Fulton and Joe Harris, Representation theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics,
1120	vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, A first course, Readings in Mathematics.
$1121 \ [GK95]$	Chr. Golé and R. Karidi, A note on Carnot geodesics in nilpotent Lie groups, J. Dynam.
1122	Control Systems 1 (1995), no. 4, 535–549.
1123 [Gro96]	Mikhail Gromov, Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within, Sub-Riemannian ge-
1124	ometry, Progr. Math., vol. 144, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996, pp. 79–323.

38

1084

1125	[Kna02]	Anthony W. Knapp, Lie groups beyond an introduction, second ed., Progress in Math-
1126		ematics, vol. 140, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
1127	[LDLMV13]	Enrico Le Donne, Gian Paolo Leonardi, Roberto Monti, and Davide Vittone, Extremal
1128		curves in nilpotent Lie groups, Geom. Funct. Anal. 23 (2013), no. 4, 1371–1401.
1129	[LDLMV14]	, Extremal polynomials in stratified groups, Preprint, submitted (2014).
1130	[Mon94]	Richard Montgomery, Singular extremals on Lie groups, Math. Control Signals Systems
1131		<b>7</b> (1994), no. 3, 217–234.
1132	[Mon02]	, A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications, Mathe-
1133		matical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 91, American Mathematical Society, Providence,
1134		RI, 2002.
1135	[RT05]	L. Rifford and E. Trélat, Morse-Sard type results in sub-Riemannian geometry, Math.
1136		Ann. <b>332</b> (2005), no. 1, 145–159.
1137	[TY13]	Kanghai Tan and Xiaoping Yang, Subriemannian geodesics of Carnot groups of step 3,
1138		ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 19 (2013), no. 1, 274–287.

1139 (Le Donne) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ, 1140 40014 JYVÄSKYLÄ, FINLAND

1141 E-mail address: enrico.ledonne@jyu.fi

1142 (Montgomery) MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, 4111 MCHENRY 1143 SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064, USA

1144 E-mail address: rmont@ucsc.edu

1145 (Ottazzi) Università di Trento, Trento 38123 Italy & University of New South 1146 Wales, NSW 2052 Australia.

1147 E-mail address: alessandro.ottazzi@gmail.com

1148 (Pansu) UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD BÂTIMENT 425, 91405 ORSAY, FRANCE

1149 E-mail address: Pierre.Pansu@math.u-psud.fr

1150 (Vittone) UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA, 1151 VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY & UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, 1152 WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, 8057 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

1152 WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, 8057 ZURICH, SWITZER

1153 E-mail address: vittone@math.unipd.it