

1 **Effects of pasteurization and storage conditions on donkey milk nutritional and**
2 **hygienical characteristics**

3 M. Martini^{1,2}, F. Salari², I. Altomonte², G. Ragona³, A. Piazza³, R. Gori³, D. Casati³ and G.
4 Brajon³

5

6 ¹Research Center “Nutraceuticals and Food for Health”, University of Pisa, Italy.

7 ²Department of Veterinary Science, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

8 ³Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale del Lazio e della Toscana ‘M. Aleandri’, Scandicci (FI),
9 Italy

10 Short title: **Quality of donkey milk: pasteurization and storage**

11

12

13 *Correspondence: Mina Martini.

14 Department of Veterinary Science,

15 Viale delle Piagge 2,

16 56124 Pisa, Italy

17 *E-mail: mina.martini@unipi.it*

18

19

20 **Summary**

21 Until now there are only few data on the effects of thermal treatments on the nutritional and
22 hygienic characteristics of donkey milk, this Research Communication aims to provide
23 preliminary information on the effects of pasteurization (at 65°C for 30 minutes) and
24 prolonged storage at refrigeration and freezing temperatures (21 days at +3°C±2°C and up to
25 90 days at 20°C±5°C) on some nutritional and hygienic characteristics of Amiata donkey
26 milk. The milk was monitored by chemical and microbiological analysis. Pasteurization
27 ensured compliance with EC Regulation No 1441/2007, as *Enterobacteriaceae* were never
28 found in the milk, or during storage at refrigeration and freezing temperatures. Colony count
29 at 30°C in pasteurized milk never went beyond 1 log CFU/mL. The heat treatment and the
30 storage did not result in any variations in the main constituents of the milk. Only a decrease
31 in lactose and few variations in some fatty acids at 90 days of freezing were observed. In
32 conclusion, pasteurization was able to achieve and maintain a high hygienic-sanitary quality
33 over time; storage at refrigeration or freezing temperatures did not alter the nutritional quality
34 of fat and the gross composition of the product. These findings are useful to improve
35 knowledge on the milk shelf life in order to guarantee safety and nutritional quality for infants
36 who need small quantities of daily milk.

37

38 **Keywords:** Amiata donkey milk, pasteurization, storage, chemical composition,
39 microbiological quality.

40

41 Due to the increasing spread of food allergies worldwide, donkey milk has become of
42 scientific interest for use as an alternative food for children with cow's milk protein allergy.

43 Raw donkey milk generally has a lower total bacterial count than ruminant milks (Pilla et
44 al., 2010; Ragona *et al.*, 2015). The good hygienic and health characteristics of donkey milk,
45 may be due to the content of antimicrobial enzymes such as lysozyme, and also to the
46 anatomy of the udder that does not regularly come in contact with the soil. However, despite
47 the low bacterial count, some authors have detected the presence of undesirable bacterial
48 species (Pilla *et al.*, 2010).

49 Since the consumption of raw milk may be a serious health risk to consumers due to the
50 possible contamination with foodborne pathogens of animal or environmental origin, which
51 may develop during the milking process or the milk storage the good hygienic practices and
52 thermal treatment are important to prevent microbiological risk.

53 Pasteurization is one of the most common thermal treatments performed on milk. Although
54 the effects of thermal treatments and storage on the quality and shelf life of cow milk are well
55 known, there have been few studies on the effects of thermal treatments and storage on
56 hygienic quality of donkey milk (Addo & Ferragut, 2015; Giacometti *et al.*, 2016) and no one
57 include the effects on nutritional characteristics.

58 A further issue is that donkey milk is a niche product so it is not always or easily available
59 on the market and domestic freezing of donkey milk is a common practice.

60 We designed a study aimed to provide preliminary information on the effects of thermal
61 treatment and prolonged storage at refrigeration and freezing temperatures, on some
62 nutritional and hygienic characteristics of Amiata donkey milk.

63 **Materials & Methods**

64 Once a week, three bulk raw milk samples were collected in duplicate from the morning
65 milking of 20 jennies. The jennies were routinely machine milked by a raised milking parlour
66 (as described by Bibbiani *et al.*, 2017).

67 From each sampling, two raw milk aliquots were made: one was refrigerated at +3°C,
68 whereas the other one had previously undergone Holder type pasteurization (65°C for 30
69 minutes). The pasteurized milk aliquot was divided into 9 sub-aliquots, one of which was
70 analysed on the day of pasteurization. The other pasteurized subaliquots were stored for up to
71 21 days at +3°C ($\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) and up to 90 days at -20°C ($\pm 5^\circ\text{C}$) and analysed during storage (see
72 Fig.1 supplementary file).

73 The chemical and microbiological analysis were carried out on the milk by the methods
74 reported in the supplementary Table 1s.

75 *Statistical Analysis*

76 Data on the chemical composition of raw donkey milk and pasteurized were compared (JMP,
77 2002) using a statistical model with heat treatment (presence or absence) as a fixed effect.
78 Quality data for refrigerated and frozen milk, were evaluated using a statistical model that
79 included the storage period as a fixed effect.

80 Data on pH and total mesophilic count variations were evaluated separately using the
81 PROC ANOVA of SAS/STAT® (SAS, 2004), considering the storage condition as a fixed
82 effect. Significant differences between data were considered at $P < 0.05$.

83 **Results and Discussion**

84 The heat treatment did not significantly affect the gross composition of the milk (Table 1).
85 The only statistically detectable variations in the chemical composition during storage were
86 related to the lactose, which significantly decreased at day 7th in refrigerated milk and at 14th
87 and 30th day in freezed milk.

88 Table 1 near here

89 Storage up to 21 days at $+3^{\circ}\text{C}(\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C})$ did not affect the total fatty acid profile of the
90 refrigerated milk, while only with extended storage at -20°C (90 days) were observed
91 significant changes in some fatty acids (decrease in c9,12-18:2 and increase in 6:0, 14:0,
92 14:11, t9-18-1, 21:0, 20:3n-3 and n3/n6 ratio)

93 Table 2 near here

94 Furthermore the saturated/unsaturated fatty acids ratio (**SFA/UFA**), the total
95 polyunsaturated fatty acid (**PUFA**) and some essential fatty acids, such as 18:3n-3 (**ALA**),
96 20:5 (**EPA**) and 22:6 (**DHA**) were not affected by the storage. The constant quality of fat is
97 relevant for the nutrition of infants, in which dietary lipids also fulfill numerous metabolic
98 and physiological functions critical to their growth and health (Delplanque et al., 2015). The
99 unchanged SFA/UFA ratio indicated a lack of degradation and/or oxidation processes during
100 prolonged cold storage.

101 The pH mean value of the milk was 7.19, consistent with the values reported in the
102 literature (Addo and Ferragut, 2015; Giacometti et al., 2016) and did not show significant
103 differences over the period of study either in the refrigerated or the frozen aliquots.

104 The average colony count at 30°C of the raw milk was 154×10^3 CFU/mL (4.84 log
105 CFU/mL), much lower than the limit required by the Regulation (EC) 853/2004 for total plate
106 count at 30°C ($\leq 1.500 \times 10^3$ CFU/mL). Pasteurization resulted in a reduction of colony count
107 at 30°C of 4-log, which remained low thereafter. In addition colony count was lower than that
108 described in other studies on donkey pasteurized milk (Giacometti et al., 2016).

109 Coagulase-positive Staphylococci were found in the raw milk with a count of 2.23 log
110 CFU/mL (1.7×10^2 CFU/mL) on average, lower compared with the results of Malissiova *et al.*

111 (2016). However in the heat-treated milk Staphylococci were always lower than the detection
112 limit of the method (<1 CFU/mL).

113 The *Enterobacteria* count was lower than 1 CFU/mL in the pasteurized milk and during
114 storage, in compliance with Regulation (EC) No 1441/2007.

115 In addition, in both raw and pasteurized milk samples, the bacteria responsible of food-
116 borne outbreaks (*Salmonella* spp., *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Campylobacter* spp.) were never
117 isolated.

118 In conclusion, the heat treatment was able to achieve and maintain a high hygienic-sanitary
119 quality over time. This study highlights that pasteurization and storage at refrigeration or
120 freezing temperatures, do not alter the milk gross composition and the nutritional quality of
121 the fat. Considering that donkey milk is often not easily procurable on the market and it is a
122 food intended for vulnerable groups of consumers, these findings are useful to improve
123 knowledge on the milk shelf life in order to guarantee safety and nutritional quality for infants
124 who need small quantities of daily milk. Our results suggest that donkey milk shelf life would
125 extended beyond the normal duration of cow's milk; further investigations to guarantee the
126 quality of donkey milk during an extended shelf life are required.

127

128 **Acknowledgments**

129 The research was funded by the Regional Government of Tuscany (Bando pubblico per
130 progetti di ricerca nel settore Nutraceutica 2014-2015) "Amiata milk in the management of
131 babies with allergies to cow's milk proteins: innovative, clinical, allergic and nutritional
132 aspects".

133

134 **References**

- 135 Addo CNA & Ferragut V 2015 Evaluating the Ultra-High Pressure Homogenization (UHPH)
136 and Pasteurization effects on the quality and shelf life of donkey milk. *International Journal*
137 *of Food Studies* **4** 104-115
- 138 Bibbiani C, Biagini P, Salari F & Martini M 2017 Dairy donkey: an alternative building
139 layout. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering* XLVIII(s1), 637
- 140 Delplanque B, Gibson R, Koletzko B, Lapillonne A & Strandvik B 2015. Lipid Quality in
141 Infant Nutrition: Current Knowledge and Future Opportunities. *Journal of Pediatric*
142 *Gastroenterology & Nutrition* **61** 8–17
- 143 European Commission (2004) Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 of the European Parliament and
144 of the Council of 29 April 2004 laying down specific hygiene rules for on the hygiene of
145 foodstuffs. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L**139** 55-205
- 146 European Commission (2007) Commission Regulation (EC) No 1441/2007 of 5 December
147 2007 amending Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs.
148 *Official Journal of the European Communities* L**322** 12-29
- 149 Giacometti F, Bardasi L, Meriardi G, Morbarigazzi M, Federici S, Piva S & Serraino A 2016.
150 Shelf life of donkey milk subjected to different treatment and storage conditions. *Journal of*
151 *Dairy Science* **99** 4291-4299
- 152 JMP 2002 JMP User's Guide. SAS Institute Inc.,Version 5.0. Cary, NC, USA
- 153 Malissiova E, Arsenos G, Papademas P, Fletouris D, Manouras A, Aspri M, Nikolopoulou A,
154 Giannopoulou A & Arvanitoyannis IS 2016 Assessment of donkey milk chemical,
155 microbiological and sensory attributes in Greece and Cyprus. *International Journal of Dairy*
156 *Technology* **69** 143–146
- 157 Pilla R, Dapra` V, Zecconi A & Piccinini R 2010. Hygienic and health characteristics of
158 donkey milk during a follow-up study. *Journal Dairy Research* **77** 392–397
- 159 SAS Institute Inc. 2004 SAS/STAT®9.1 User's Guide. Cary,NC, USA
- 160 Ragona G, Corrias F, Benedetti M, Paladini M, Salari F, Altomonte I, & Martini M 2016.
161 Amiata Donkey Milk Chain: Animal Health Evaluation and Milk Quality. *Italian Journal of*
162 *Food Safety* **5** 5951.
- 163

164

165

166 **Table 1.** Gross composition, pH and micorbiological analysis on raw and pasteurized donkey milk stored +3°C (±2°C) and -20°C (±5°C) for 21 days
 167 and 90 days, respectively.

		Raw	Pasteurized	Pasteurized stored at +3°C(±2°C)					Pasteurized stored at -20°C (±5°C)							
			SEM	D 1 ¹	D 7	D 14	D 21	SEM	D 1	D 7	D 14	D21	D 30	D 90	SEM	
Fat	g/100ml of milk	0.34	0.36	0.050	0.36	0.33	0.35	0.39	0.068	0.36	0.35	0.41	0.38	0.40	0.30	0.052
Proteins		1.69	1.67	0.054	1.67	1.70	1.77	1.87	0.156	1.67	1.66	1.72	1.80	1.76	1.65	0.148
Dry matter		9.52	9.52	0.504	9.52	9.76	9.88	9.39	0.425	9.52	9.62	9.29	9.14	9.13	9.14	0.496
Ash		0.37	0.38	0.014	0.38	0.38	0.37	0.40	0.029	0.38	0.37	0.39	0.38	0.38	0.34	0.008
Lactose		5.90	6.04	0.225	6.04A	5.68B	5.47B	5.41B	0.307	6.04A	5.57AB	5.37B	5.20B	4.68C	4.67C	0.278
pH	pH units	7.19	7.14	0.026	7.14	7.13	7.19	7.16	0.020	7.14	7.11	7.21	7.21	7.20	7.34	0.022
Colony count at 30°C	²	4.84	0.39		0.39	0.73	0.16	0.32	0.127	0.39	<1	0.58	0.16	0.32	0.43	0.111
<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i>	CFU/mL	NP ³	<1		<1	<1	<1	<1		<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	
Coagulase positive Staphylococci	log CFU/mL	2.23	0													

168 A,B,C: P≤0.01

169 ¹ D1, 7, 14, 21, 30, 90=number of days of storage170 ² log CFU/mL or CFU/mL if <1171 ³ Analysis not performed

172

173 **Table 2.** Fatty acid composition (g/ 100g of total fatty acids) of pasteurized donkey milk stored for 21 days and 90 days, respectively.

174

Fatty acid methyl ester	Pasteurized donkey milk					Pasteurized donkey milk						
	Stored at +3°C(±2°C)					Stored at -20°C (±5°C)						
	D 1 ¹	D 7	D 14	D 21	SEM	D 1	D 7	D 14	D 21	D 30	D 90	SEM
6:0	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.13	0.043	0.20B	0.16B	0.16B	0.19B	0.17B	0.31A	0.043
14:0	7.32	7.35	7.40	7.28	0.505	7.32B	7.45B	7.30B	7.30B	7.29B	7.67A	0.505
14:1	0.36	0.33	0.35	0.33	0.027	0.36B	0.33B	0.33B	0.34B	0.36B	0.39A	0.027
t11-18:1	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.010	0.01b	0.01b	0.02b	0.01b	0.02b	0.03a	0.010
c9,12-18:2	13.01	12.88	13.02	13.15	1.426	13.01a	12.83a	12.80a	13.12a	13.20a	11.83b	1.426
21:0	0.29	0.33	0.20	0.22	0.093	0.29b	0.22b	0.20b	0.20b	0.20b	0.39a	0.093
20:3n-3	0.24	0.27	0.17	0.18	0.073	0.24b	0.16b	0.18b	0.17b	0.16b	0.34a	0.073
SCFA (≤C10) ²	14.24	14.37	13.91	14.04	0.920	14.24	14.30	14.45	14.53	14.28	14.75	0.920
MCFA(≥C11≤C17) ³	43.10	43.84	44.03	43.98	1.551	43.10	44.52	44.15	43.82	43.92	44.68	1.551
LCFA(≥C18) ⁴	42.65	41.79	42.06	41.97	2.388	41.62	41.18	41.40	41.64	41.80	40.57	2.388
SFA ⁵	55.55	56.74	56.38	56.52	2.575	56.65	57.24	56.80	56.84	56.44	58.04	2.575
MUFA ⁶	22.21	21.78	21.59	22.27	1.141	22.17	21.88	22.20	21.86	22.37	21.99	1.141
PUFA ⁷	21.08	21.48	22.04	21.22	0.487	21.18	20.88	21.00	21.30	21.19	19.96	0.487
UFA ⁸ /SFA	0.79	0.78	0.79	0.80	0.030	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.17	0.040
n-3/n-6	0.59	0.64	0.64	0.57	0.060	0.20B	0.16B	0.16B	0.19B	0.17B	0.31A	0.043

175 In the table only the significant differences and the fatty acids classes and ratio are shown (the full table is available as supplementary file)

176 A,B: P≤0.01; a,b: P≤0.05

177 ¹ D1, 7, 14, 21, 30, 90=number of days of storage178 ²SCFA (Short Chain Fatty Acids): (≤ C10); ³MCFA (Medium Chain Fatty Acids): (≥C11≤C17); ⁴LCFA (Long Chain Fatty Acids): (≥C18); ⁵SFA179 (Saturated Fatty Acids);⁶MUFA (Monounsaturated Fatty Acids); ⁷PUFA (Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids); ⁸UFA (Unsaturated Fatty Acids)

180

181

182

183