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#### Abstract

We prove the existence of weak solutions to the homogeneous wave equation on a suitable class of time-dependent domains. Using the approach suggested by De Giorgi and developed by Serra and Tilli, such solutions are approximated by minimizers of suitable functionals in space-time.
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## Introduction

Several problems in dynamic fracture mechanics lead to the study of the wave equation in time-dependent domains (see $[6,7,3]$ ). The main difficulty is that at every time $t$ the solution belongs to a different function space $V_{t}$. It is not restrictive to assume that all spaces $V_{t}$ are embedded in a given Hilbert space $H$.

In the case of fracture mechanics, a common situation is $V_{t}=H^{1}\left(\Omega \backslash \Gamma_{t}\right)$ and $H=L^{2}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega$ is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\Gamma_{t}$ is a closed ( $d-1$ )-dimensional subset of $\Omega$, representing the crack at time $t$. A natural assumption on $\Gamma_{t}$ is that it is monotonically increasing with respect to $t$, thus encoding the fact that, once created, a crack cannot disappear. As a consequence, the spaces $V_{t}$ are increasing in time too.

To deal with possibly irregular cracks a more general increasing family of spaces has been considered in [2]: $V_{t}=\operatorname{GSBV}_{2}^{2}\left(\Omega, \Gamma_{t}\right)$, defined as the space of functions $u \in \operatorname{GSBV}(\Omega)$ such that $u \in L^{2}(\Omega), \nabla u \in L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and $J_{u} \subset \Gamma_{t}$ (see [1] for the definition and properties of these spaces and for the definition of the approximate gradient $\nabla u$ and of the jump set $J_{u}$ ).

Given $u^{0} \in V_{0}$ and $u^{1} \in H$, the Cauchy problem we are interested in is formally written as

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime \prime}(t)+A u(t)=0 & \text { for a.e. } t>0,  \tag{0.1}\\ u(t) \in V_{t} & \text { for a.e. } t>0, \\ u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1}, & \end{cases}
$$

where ' denotes the time derivative and $A$ is a continuous and coercive linear operator ( $A=$ $-\Delta$ with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions in the examples considered above).

The existence of a solution for (0.1) has already been proven in [2], through a time-discrete approach, by solving suitable incremental minimum problems and then passing to the limit as the time step tends to zero.

The purpose of this paper is to prove that a solution of (0.1) can be approximated by global minimizers of suitable energy functionals defined as integrals on $[0, \infty)$ with respect to time. On the one hand this shows a link between solutions of the hyperbolic problem (0.1) and solutions of minimum problems for integral functionals on the same time domain. On the other hand this result provides a new proof of the existence of a solution to (0.1).

The seminal idea of this approximation process goes back to a conjecture by De Giorgi [5] on the nonlinear wave equation. Such a conjecture has been proven by Serra and Tilli in [8] and, in a more general setting, in [9].

In our paper we extend their result to the case of time-dependent domains. To illustrate the global minimization approach in our setting, we focus on the model case $V_{t}=H^{1}\left(\Omega \backslash \Gamma_{t}\right)$ and $A=-\Delta$. The main idea is to associate to the Cauchy problem (0.1) a functional of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t / \varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This functional is to be minimized, for every fixed $\varepsilon>0$, among all the functions $t \mapsto u(t)$ satisfying the initial conditions $u(0)=u^{0}$ and $u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1}$ and the time-dependent constraint $u(t) \in V_{t}$ for a.e. $t>0$. Once the existence of a minimizer $u_{\varepsilon}$ is proven, the Euler-Lagrange equation of ( 0.2 ) formally reads as

$$
\varepsilon^{2} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(t)-2 \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\Delta u_{\varepsilon}(t)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \backslash \Gamma_{t},
$$

and hence, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, one formally obtains a solution to the wave equation in (0.1).
As mentioned above, a quite general scheme to pass to the limit rigorously has been introduced by Serra and Tilli in [9] when time-dependent constraint $u(t) \in V_{t}$ is not present. The proof consists in finding suitable estimates on the minimizers $u_{\varepsilon}$ of the functionals $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ and to exploit these estimates in order to obtain, by compactness, the convergence of $u_{\varepsilon}$ to a weak solution $u$ to the wave equation.

In this paper we implement this scheme in the case of time-dependent domains. This requires some changes in the proof, since all competitors of the minimum problem for (0.2) must satisfy the constraint $u(t) \in V_{t}$ for a.e. $t>0$.

The main change is in the proof of the key estimate for $u_{\varepsilon}(t)$, which is obtained in [9] by using an inner variation $u_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{\delta}(t)\right)$ for a suitable function $\varphi_{\delta}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$. Since in our case we have to require that $u_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{\delta}(t)\right) \in V_{t}$ for a.e. $t>0$, this variation is admissible only if $\varphi_{\delta}(t) \leq t$ for a.e. $t>0$. By the technical definition of $\varphi_{\delta}$, this leads to the constraint $\delta>0$. Therefore the standard comparison between the functional on $u_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{\delta}(t)\right)$ and on the minimizer $u_{\varepsilon}(t)$, in the limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$, gives only an inequality, instead of the equality proven in [9, formula (4.7)]. This inequality, however, turns out to be enough to obtain the other estimates of [9] with minor changes.

A further difficulty appears when proving that the limit $u$ of $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a weak solution of (0.1), since also the test functions $\eta$ must satisfy the constraint $\eta(t) \in V_{t}$ for a.e. $t>0$. Therefore, to adapt the proof of [9], we have to approximate an arbitrary test function $\eta$ satisfying the constraint $\eta(t) \in V_{t}$ for a.e. $t>0$ by sums of functions of the form $\varphi(t) v$ with $v \in V_{s}$ and $\varphi \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \subset[s, \infty)$, which still satisfy the constraint.

## 1. Description of the problem

1.1. Setting. To study the wave equation in time-dependent domains we adopt the functional setting introduced in [4]. Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space and let $\left(V_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, \infty)}$ be a family of separable Hilbert spaces with the following properties
(H1) for every $t \in[0, \infty)$ the space $V_{t}$ is contained and dense in $H$ with continuous embedding;
(H2) for every $s, t \in[0, \infty)$, with $s<t, V_{s}$ is a closed subspace of $V_{t}$ with the induced scalar product.

The scalar product in $H$ is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the corresponding norm by $\|\cdot\|$. The norm in $V_{t}$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{t}$. By (H2) for every $0 \leq s<t$ we have $\|v\|_{s}=\|v\|_{t}$ for every $v \in V_{s}$.

The dual of $H$ is identified with $H$, while for every $t \in[0, T]$ the dual of $V_{t}$ is denoted by $V_{t}^{*}$. Note that the adjoint of the continuous embedding of $V_{t}$ into $H$ provides a continuous embedding of $H$ into $V_{t}^{*}$ and that $H$ is dense in $V_{t}^{*}$. Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{t}$ be the duality product between $V_{t}^{*}$ and $V_{t}$ and let $\|\cdot\|_{t}^{*}$ be the corresponding dual norm. Note that $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{t}$ is the unique continuous bilinear map on $V_{t}^{*} \times V_{t}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle h, v\rangle_{t}=(h, v) \quad \text { for every } h \in H \text { and } v \in V_{t} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V_{\infty}:=\bigcup_{t \geq 0} V_{t}$ and let $a: V_{\infty} \times V_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bilinear symmetric form satisfying the following conditions:
(H3) continuity: there exists $M_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a(u, v)| \leq M_{0}\|u\|_{t}\|v\|_{t} \quad \text { for every } t \geq 0 \text { and every } u, v \in V_{t} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H4) coercivity: there exist $\lambda_{0} \geq 0$ and $\nu_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, u)+\lambda_{0}\|u\|^{2} \geq \nu_{0}\|u\|_{t}^{2} \quad \text { for every } t \geq 0 \text { and every } u \in V_{t} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H5) positive semidefiniteness:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, u) \geq 0 \quad \text { for every } u \in V_{\infty} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $\tau, t \in[0, \infty)$ let $A_{\tau}^{t}: V_{t} \rightarrow V_{\tau}^{*}$ be the continuous linear operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A_{\tau}^{t} u, v\right\rangle_{\tau}:=a(u, v) \quad \text { for every } u \in V_{t} \text { and } v \in V_{\tau} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{\tau}^{t} u\right\|_{\tau}^{*} \leq M_{0}\|u\|_{t} \quad \text { for every } u \in V_{t} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we set $Q(u):=a(u, u)$ for every $u \in V_{\infty}$.
Definition 1.1. Given $T>0$, we define $\mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,1}:=L^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right) \cap H^{1}((0, T) ; H)$, with the Hilbert space structure induced by the scalar product

$$
(u, v)_{\mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,1}}=(u, v)_{L^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)}+\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)_{L^{2}((0, T) ; H)},
$$

where $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ denote the distributional derivatives. The norm induced by the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,1}}$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,1}}$. Moreover, we define

$$
\mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,1}:=\left\{u \in \mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,1}: u(t) \in V_{t} \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T)\right\}
$$

and note that it is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,1}$.
Analogously, we define $\mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,2}:=L^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right) \cap H^{2}((0, T) ; H)$, with the Hilbert space structure induced by the scalar product

$$
(u, v)_{\mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,2}}=(u, v)_{L^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)}+\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)_{L^{2}((0, T) ; H)}+\left(u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)_{L^{2}((0, T) ; H)},
$$

and the space

$$
\mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,2}:=\left\{u \in \mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,2}: u(t) \in V_{t} \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T)\right\}
$$

which is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,2}$.
Finally, $\mathcal{V}^{0,1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}^{0,2}$ ) is defined as the space of functions $u:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow H$ whose restrictions to $(0, T)$ belong to $\mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,2}$ ) for every $T>0$.

Remark 1.2. It is well known that every function $u \in H^{1}((0, T) ; H)$ (resp. $\left.u \in H^{2}((0, T) ; H)\right)$ admits a representative, still denoted by $u$, which belongs to the space $C^{0}([0, T] ; H)$ (resp. $\left.C^{1}([0, T] ; H)\right)$. With this convention we have $\mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,1} \subset C^{0}([0, T] ; H)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,2} \subset C^{1}([0, T] ; H)\right)$ for every $T>0$.
Definition 1.3. We say that $u$ is a weak solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+A_{t}^{t} u(t)=0, \quad u(t) \in V_{t} \quad \text { for } t \in[0, \infty) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $u \in \mathcal{V}^{0,1}$ and for every $T>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(u^{\prime}(t), \psi^{\prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{T} a(u(t), \psi(t)) \mathrm{d} t \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\psi \in \mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,1}$ with $\psi(0)=\psi(T)=0$.
For every Banach space $X$ let $C_{w}([0, T] ; X)$ be the space of functions $u:[0, T] \rightarrow X$ that are continuous for the weak topology of $X$.

Remark 1.4. If $u$ is a weak soltution of (1.7) with $u \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)$ and $u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}((0, T) ; H)$ for every $T>0$, then [4, Theorem 2.17 and Proposition 2.18] imply that, after a modification on a set of measure zero, $u \in C_{w}\left([0, T] ; V_{T}\right)$ and $u^{\prime} \in C_{w}([0, T] ; H)$ for every $T>0$.
1.2. Main results. Throughout the paper we fix $u^{0} \in V_{0}, u^{1} \in H$, and a sequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\} \subset V_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}-u^{1}\right\|_{H} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+\quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0} \leq C_{1} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C_{1}<\infty$. For every $\varepsilon>0$ we consider the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t / \varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+Q(u(t))\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined on the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right):=\left\{u \in \mathcal{V}^{0,2}: u(0)=u^{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is well-defined in view of Remark 1.2.
We now state our main results, which are proven in Sections 2, 3, and 4.
Theorem 1.5. For every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ the functional $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ admits a unique global minimizer $u_{\varepsilon}$ in the set $\mathcal{V}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \bar{C} \varepsilon \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\bar{C}<\infty$ depending only on $\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{0}$ and $C_{1}$.
In particular, if $\varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2} Q\left(u^{0}\right)+r_{\varepsilon}\right), \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$.
Theorem 1.6. There exists a constant $C<\infty$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ the minimizer $u_{\varepsilon}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{V}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$ satisfies the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t}^{t+\tau} Q\left(u_{\varepsilon}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq C \tau \quad \text { for every } t \geq 0, \tau \geq \varepsilon  \tag{1.14}\\
& \left\|u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq C\left(1+t^{2}\right) \quad \text { for every } t \geq 0  \tag{1.15}\\
& \left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq C \quad \text { for every } t \geq 0 \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 1.7. For every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ let $u_{\varepsilon}$ be the minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{V}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$. Then for every sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$, with $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exist a subsequence, not relabeled, and a weak solution $u$ of (1.7) such that $u_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $\mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,1}$ for every $T>0$. Moreover the following properties hold:
(a) weak continuity: $u \in C_{w}\left([0, T] ; V_{T}\right)$ and $u^{\prime} \in C_{w}([0, T] ; H)$ for every $T>0$;
(b) initial conditions: $u(0)=u^{0}$ and $u^{\prime}(0)=u^{1}$.

If, in addition, $\varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, then the following energy inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+Q(u(t)) \leq\left\|u^{1}\right\|^{2}+Q\left(u^{0}\right) \quad \text { for every } t>0 . \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Before proving our results we introduce a change of variables that will be useful throughout the paper.
Remark 2.1. For every $\varepsilon>0$ and every $T>0$ we set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}:=L^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{\varepsilon T}\right) \cap H^{2}((0, T) ; H) \\
\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}:=\left\{v \in \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}: v(t) \in V_{\varepsilon t} \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$
(u, v)_{\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}}=(u, v)_{L^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{\varepsilon T}\right)}+\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)_{L^{2}((0, T) ; H)}+\left(u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)_{L^{2}((0, T) ; H)},
$$

and $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}$ denotes the space of functions $u:[0, \infty) \rightarrow H$ whose restrictions to the interval $(0, T)$ belong to $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}$ for every $T>0$. By Remark 1.2 every $u \in \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}$ admits a representative, still denoted by $u$, which belongs to $C^{1}([0, T] ; H)$. With this convention we have $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2} \subset C^{1}([0, T] ; H)$ for every $T>0$. Finally, we define

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right):=\left\{v \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}: v(0)=0, v^{\prime}(0)=\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that if $u \in \mathcal{V}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$, then the function $v$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t):=u(\varepsilon t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}(u)=\varepsilon \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}(v) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}(v):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t}\left(\frac{\left\|v^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}+Q(v(t))\right) \mathrm{d} t .
$$

In view of Remark 2.1, Theorem 1.5 is a consequence of the following result for the functional $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$.
Theorem 2.2. For every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ the functional $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ admits a unique global minimizer $v_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \bar{C}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\bar{C}<\infty$ depending only on $\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{0}$ and $C_{1}$.
Furthermore $u_{\varepsilon}(t):=v_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)$ is the unique global minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{V}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$ and satisfies (1.12).

Finally, if $\varepsilon\left\|u_{1}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} Q\left(u^{0}\right)+r_{\varepsilon} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (1.13).
Proof. Fix $\varepsilon>0$ and set $v(t):=u^{0}+\varepsilon t u_{\varepsilon}^{1}$ for every $t \geq 0$. Note that $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$, since $u^{0}, u_{\varepsilon}^{1} \in V_{0} \subset V_{t}$ for every $t \geq 0$. By (H3) and by (1.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}(v)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} Q(v(t)) \mathrm{d} t \leq \frac{1}{2} Q\left(u^{0}\right)+M_{0} \varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0}\left(\varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0}+\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{0}\right) \leq \bar{C} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{C}$ is a constant depending only on $C_{1}$ and $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{0}$. Note that, if $\varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, then by (2.3) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}(v) \leq \frac{1}{2} Q\left(u^{0}\right)+r_{\varepsilon} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ has a finite infimum and (2.3) (as well as (2.4)) follows as soon as $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ has an absolute minimizer $v_{\varepsilon}$. To show this, consider a minimizing sequence $\left\{v_{\varepsilon, n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$ and fix $T>0$. By the very definition of $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ and by (2.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|v_{\varepsilon, n}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq e^{T} \int_{0}^{T} e^{-t}\left\|v_{\varepsilon, n}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq 2 \varepsilon^{2} e^{T} \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon, n}\right) \leq \varepsilon^{2} C_{T} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C_{T}<\infty$. The bound (2.7), together with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\varepsilon, n}(0)=u^{0} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{\varepsilon, n}^{\prime}(0)=\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{\varepsilon, n}\right\|_{H^{2}((0, T) ; H)} \leq C_{T, \varepsilon} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C_{T, \varepsilon}<\infty$ independent of $n$. Moreover, by (H2) and (H4), for $t \in[0, T]$ we have

$$
\nu_{0}\left\|v_{\varepsilon, n}(t)\right\|_{T}^{2}=\nu_{0}\left\|v_{\varepsilon, n}(t)\right\|_{t}^{2} \leq \lambda_{0}\left\|v_{\varepsilon, n}(t)\right\|^{2}+Q\left(v_{\varepsilon, n}(t)\right)
$$

from which, using (2.5) and (2.9), we get

$$
\nu_{0}\left\|v_{\varepsilon, n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)}^{2} \leq \lambda_{0}\left\|v_{\varepsilon, n}\right\|_{L^{2}((0, T) ; H)}^{2}+\int_{0}^{T} Q\left(v_{\varepsilon, n}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \leq \widehat{C}_{T, \varepsilon}
$$

for some constant $\widehat{C}_{T, \varepsilon}<\infty$ independent of $n$. It follows that $\left\|v_{\varepsilon, n}\right\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}}$ is uniformly bounded and hence, up to a subsequence, $v_{\varepsilon, n} \rightharpoonup v_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for some $v_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}$ is closed, $v_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}$. By the arbitrariness of $T$ we have $v_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}$ and by (2.8) we get $v_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$. Finally, since $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ is lower semi-continuous and strictly convex by (H5), $v_{\varepsilon}$ is the unique minimizer of $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$. The statements about $u_{\varepsilon}(t)$ follow from Remark 2.1.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

We first introduce some notations. Let $v_{\varepsilon}$ be the minimizer of $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$ and let $L_{\varepsilon}$ be the corresponding Lagrangian defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\varepsilon}(t):=D_{\varepsilon}(t)+Q_{\varepsilon}(t), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\varepsilon}(t):=\frac{\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}}{2 \varepsilon^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{\varepsilon}(t):=\frac{Q\left(v_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)}{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we define the kinetic energy function $K_{\varepsilon}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\varepsilon}(t):=\frac{\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}}{2 \varepsilon^{2}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall use the following result, which can be proven as in [9, Lemma 3.4].
Lemma 3.1. There exists a constant $C<\infty$ (depending only on $\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{0}$, $\left\|u^{1}\right\|$, and $C_{1}$ in (1.9)) such that for every $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ the minimizer $v_{\varepsilon}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} D_{\varepsilon}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}}{2 \varepsilon^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \leq C  \tag{3.4}\\
& \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} K_{\varepsilon}(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}}{2 \varepsilon^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \leq C \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, in view of Lemma 3.1, we have $K_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,1}(0, T)$ for all $T>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t), v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \quad \text { for a.e. } t>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the approach in [9], we introduce the average operator $\mathcal{A}$, defined by

$$
(\mathcal{A} f)(s):=\int_{s}^{\infty} e^{-(t-s)} f(t) \mathrm{d} t, \quad s \geq 0
$$

for every measurable function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty]$.
We note that $\mathcal{A} f$ is well defined (possibly $\infty$ ) since $f \geq 0$. Moreover, the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} f(0)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} f(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that, if $\mathcal{A} f(0)<\infty$, then $\mathcal{A} f$ is absolutely continuous on all intervals $[0, T]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{A} f)^{\prime}=\mathcal{A} f-f \quad \text { a.e. in }[0, \infty) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In any case, since $\mathcal{A} f \geq 0$, starting from $f \geq 0$ one can iterate $\mathcal{A}$, and a simple computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{A}^{2} f\right)(s)=\int_{s}^{\infty} e^{-(t-s)}(t-s) f(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{A}^{2} f\right)(0)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t f(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we define the approximate energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}(t):=K_{\varepsilon}(t)+\left(\mathcal{A}^{2} Q_{\varepsilon}\right)(t) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The key ingredient in order to prove Theorem 1.6 is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. The function $E_{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded and monotonically nonincreasing. More precisely, there exists $C_{1}^{\prime}<\infty$, depending only on $\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{0},\left\|u^{1}\right\|$, and $C_{1}$ in (1.9), such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq C_{1}^{\prime} \quad \text { for every } t \geq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} Q\left(u^{0}\right)+\widetilde{r}_{\varepsilon} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{r}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$.
Proof. The proof of Proposition 3.2 closely follows the strategy adopted in [9] to prove [9, Theorem 4.8]. We briefly sketch the main steps, underlining the main differences with respect to the case treated in [9]. The proof is divided into four steps.

Step 1. For every $g \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R} ;[0, \infty))$, with $g(0)=0$ and $g(t)$ affine for $t$ sufficiently large, there exists a constant $C_{1}(g)<\infty$, depending on $g,\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{0}$, and $C_{1}$ in (1.9), such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s}\left(g^{\prime}(s)-g(s)\right) L_{\varepsilon}(s) \mathrm{d} s-\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s}\left(4 D_{\varepsilon}(s) g^{\prime}(s)+K_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s) g^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+R_{\varepsilon} \geq 0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R_{\varepsilon}:=\varepsilon g^{\prime}(0) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} s a\left(v_{\varepsilon}(s), u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{\varepsilon}\right|<C_{1}(g) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{\varepsilon}\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+ \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the approximation argument in [9, Corollary 4.5], it is enough to prove (3.14) for $g \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R} ;[0, \infty))$ with $g(0)=0$ and $g(t)$ constant for $t$ large enough.

For $\delta \geq 0$ small enough, the function $\varphi_{\delta}(t):=t-\delta g(t)$ is a $C^{2}$-diffeomorphism of $[0, \infty)$ into itself. We consider the function $v_{\varepsilon, \delta}(t):=v_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{\delta}(t)\right)+t \delta \varepsilon g^{\prime}(0) u_{\varepsilon}^{1}$. By construction $\varphi_{\delta}(t) \leq t$ so that, in view of (H2), $v_{\varepsilon, \delta} \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}$. Note that in the proof of this property the condition $\delta \geq 0$ is crucial. Moreover, $v_{\varepsilon, \delta}(0)=v_{\varepsilon}(0)=u^{0}$ and

$$
v_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{\prime}(t)_{\mid t=0}=v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)\left(1-\delta g^{\prime}(0)\right)+\delta \varepsilon g^{\prime}(0) u_{\varepsilon}^{1}=\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}
$$

whence $v_{\varepsilon, \delta} \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$.
Set $\psi_{\delta}(s):=\varphi_{\delta}^{-1}(s)$ for every $s \geq 0$. By the change of variables $t=\psi_{\delta}(s)$, it is straightforward to check that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)= & \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{\delta}^{\prime}(s) e^{-\psi_{\delta}(s)}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(s)\left|\varphi_{\delta}^{\prime}\left(\psi_{\delta}(s)\right)\right|^{2}+v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(s) \varphi_{\delta}^{\prime \prime}\left(\psi_{\delta}(s)\right)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{\delta}^{\prime}(s) e^{-\psi_{\delta}(s)} Q\left(v_{\varepsilon}(s)+\delta \varepsilon g^{\prime}(0) \psi_{\delta}(s) u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\varphi_{\delta}\left(\psi_{\delta}(s)\right)=\psi_{\delta}(s)-\delta g\left(\psi_{\delta}(s)\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, in view of the assumptions on $g$, we have $e^{-\psi_{\delta}(s)} \leq e^{\delta\|g\|_{L^{\infty}}} e^{-s}$. Moreover, since

$$
\psi_{\delta}^{\prime}(s)=1+\delta g^{\prime}\left(\psi_{\delta}(s)\right) \psi_{\delta}^{\prime}(s) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{\delta}^{\prime \prime}(s)=\delta\left(g^{\prime \prime}\left(\psi_{\delta}(s)\right)\left(\psi_{\delta}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}+g^{\prime}\left(\psi_{\delta}(s)\right) \psi_{\delta}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)
$$

for $\delta$ sufficiently small both $\psi_{\delta}^{\prime}(s)$ and $\psi_{\delta}^{\prime \prime}(s)$ are bounded uniformly with respect to $s$. This fact, together with Lemma 3.1, implies that the first integral in (3.17) is finite. As for the second integral we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{\delta}^{\prime}(s) e^{-\psi_{\delta}(s)} Q\left(v_{\varepsilon}(s)+\delta \varepsilon g^{\prime}(0) \psi_{\delta}(s) u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\psi_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} e^{\delta\|g\|_{L^{\infty}}}\left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} & :=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} Q\left(v_{\varepsilon}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
A_{2} & :=\delta^{2}\left(g^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2} \varepsilon^{2} Q\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s}\left(\psi_{\delta}(s)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \\
A_{3} & :=2 \delta \varepsilon g^{\prime}(0) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} \psi_{\delta}(s) a\left(v_{\varepsilon}(s), u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, $A_{1}<\infty$ by (2.3) and $A_{2}<+\infty$ in view of (3.18). Finally, by (H5) and the Cauchy inequality, we have $A_{3} \leq A_{1}+A_{2}<\infty$. It follows $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)<\infty$ for $\delta$ sufficiently small. Analogously, one can show that differentiation under the integral sign in (3.17) is possible.

Since $v_{\varepsilon, 0}=v_{\varepsilon}$ and $v_{\varepsilon, \delta} \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$ only for $\delta \geq 0$, the minimality of $v_{\varepsilon}$ implies

$$
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \delta} \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right|_{\delta=0} \geq 0
$$

while in [9] the equality holds. One can compute this derivative as in [9, pages 2031-2032] and one can check that it coincides with the left-hand side of (3.14).

As for $R_{\varepsilon}$, by assumptions (H3) and (H5) and by (1.9) and (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|R_{\varepsilon}\right| & =\varepsilon\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right| \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} s\left|a\left(v_{\varepsilon}(s), u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq \varepsilon\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} Q\left(v_{\varepsilon}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s+M_{0}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} s^{2} \mathrm{~d} s\right)  \tag{3.20}\\
& \leq\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|\left(2 \varepsilon \mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)+2 M_{0} \varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0}\right) \leq 2 g^{\prime}(0)\left(\varepsilon \bar{C}+C_{1}\right)=: C_{1}(g)
\end{align*}
$$

thus proving (3.15) . By the last but one inequality in (3.20) and by (2.2), it follows that, if $\varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, then $R_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$.

Step 2. We have $\left(\mathcal{A}^{2} L_{\varepsilon}\right)(0) \leq\left(\mathcal{A} L_{\varepsilon}\right)(0)-4\left(\mathcal{A} D_{\varepsilon}\right)(0)+R_{\varepsilon}$.
The claim follows by applying (3.14) with $g(t)=t$.
Step 3. We have $K_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq\left(\mathcal{A} L_{\varepsilon}\right)(t)-\left(\mathcal{A}^{2} L_{\varepsilon}\right)(t)-4\left(\mathcal{A} D_{\varepsilon}\right)(t)$ for almost every $t>0$.
The proof closely resembles the one of [9, Corollary 4.7]. Fix $t>0$ and for every $\delta>0$ let $g_{t, \delta}$ be defined by

$$
g_{t, \delta}(s):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } s \leq t  \tag{3.21}\\ \frac{(s-t)^{2}}{2 \delta} & \text { if } s \in[t, t+\delta] \\ s-t-\frac{\delta}{2} & \text { if } s \geq t+\delta\end{cases}
$$

The claim follows by considering $g=g_{t, \delta}$ in (3.14) and sending $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Step 4. Inequality (3.12) holds true.
In view of Step 2 and (3.6), $\mathcal{A}^{2} Q_{\varepsilon}$ and $K_{\varepsilon}$ are absolutely continuous on the intervals $[0, T]$ for every $T>0$. Therefore, we can differentiate $E_{\varepsilon}$ and, using Step 3, (3.8), and the very definition of $L_{\varepsilon}$ in (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} & =K_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+\left(\mathcal{A}^{2} Q_{\varepsilon}\right)^{\prime}=K_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+\mathcal{A}^{2} Q_{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{A} Q_{\varepsilon} \\
& \leq \mathcal{A} L_{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{A}^{2} L_{\varepsilon}-4 \mathcal{A} D_{\varepsilon}+\mathcal{A}^{2} Q_{\varepsilon}-\mathcal{A} Q_{\varepsilon}=-\mathcal{A}^{2} D_{\varepsilon}-3 \mathcal{A} D_{\varepsilon} \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $E_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq E_{\varepsilon}(0)$ for a.e. $t \geq 0$. Moreover, by the very definition of $E_{\varepsilon}$ and $L_{\varepsilon}$, together with (2.3), Step 2, and (3.15), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\varepsilon}(0) & =K_{\varepsilon}(0)+\left(\mathcal{A}^{2} Q_{\varepsilon}\right)(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|^{2}+\left(\mathcal{A}^{2} Q_{\varepsilon}\right)(0) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|^{2}+\left(\mathcal{A}^{2} L_{\varepsilon}\right)(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|^{2}+\left(\mathcal{A} L_{\varepsilon}\right)(0)+R_{\varepsilon}  \tag{3.22}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|^{2}+\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)+R_{\varepsilon}<C_{1}^{\prime},
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}^{\prime}$ depends on $\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{0},\left\|u^{1}\right\|$, and $C_{1}$ in (1.9). This concludes the proof of (3.12). Finally, by using (3.16) and (2.4) in the last line in (3.22), we obtain that, if $\varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, then

$$
E_{\varepsilon}(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} Q\left(u^{0}\right)+r_{\varepsilon}+R_{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} Q\left(u^{0}\right)+\widetilde{r}_{\varepsilon}
$$

where $\widetilde{r}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$. Therefore also (3.13) holds true.
Proof of Theorem 1.6. By using Proposition 3.2, Theorem 1.6 can be proven as in $[9$, Section 5].

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.7

Before proving Theorem 1.7, we introduce a suitable subset of $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon, T}^{0,2}$, which is dense in $\left\{\eta \in C_{c}^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right): \eta(t) \in V_{t}\right.$ for every $\left.t \in(0, T)\right\}$. For every $\varepsilon>0$ and $T>0$, we define $\mathcal{D}_{T}$ as the set of all functions $\eta \in C_{c}^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)$ of the form

$$
\eta(t)=\sum_{i=2}^{N-2} \sum_{j=0}^{2} \varphi_{i, j}(t) h_{i, j}
$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N}, 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{N}=T, \varphi_{i, j} \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{i, j} \subset\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}\right]$, and $h_{i, j} \in V_{t_{i-1}}$ for $i=2, \ldots, N-2$ and $j=0,1,2$. By (H2) the last two conditions imply that $\eta(t) \in V_{t}$ for every $t \in[0, T]$. We are now in a position to state and prove our density result.

Lemma 4.1. Let $T>0$. For every $\eta \in C_{c}^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)$, with $\eta(t) \in V_{t}$ for every $t \in(0, T)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{\eta_{N}\right\} \subset \mathcal{D}_{T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\eta-\eta_{N}\right\|_{C^{2}\left([0, T] ; V_{T}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\eta \in C_{c}^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)$, with $\eta(t) \in V_{t}$ for every $t \in(0, T)$. In order to construct the approximating sequence $\left\{\eta_{N}\right\} \subset \mathcal{D}_{T}$ we make use of quintic Hermite interpolants, that we construct here through the Bernstein polynomials. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $t_{i}=i \frac{T}{N}$ for
$i=0,1, \ldots, N$. Fix $i=0, \ldots, N$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the Bernstein polynomials in the interval $\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$ as

$$
B_{k, n}^{i}(t):= \begin{cases}\binom{n}{k}\left(t-t_{i}\right)^{k}\left(t_{i+1}-t\right)^{n-k} & \text { for } k=0, \ldots, n, \\ 0 & \text { for } k<0 \text { or } k>n,\end{cases}
$$

and we define the polynomials of the spline basis as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i, 0,+}(t):=\frac{N^{5}}{T^{5}}\left(B_{0,5}^{i}(t)+B_{1,5}^{i}(t)+B_{2,5}^{i}(t)\right), & \psi_{i, 0,-}(t):=\frac{N^{5}}{T^{5}}\left(B_{3,5}^{i}(t)+B_{4,5}^{i}(t)+B_{5,5}^{i}(t)\right), \\
\psi_{i, 1,+}(t):=\frac{N^{4}}{5 T^{4}}\left(B_{1,5}^{i}(t)+2 B_{2,5}^{i}(t)\right), & \psi_{i, 1,-}(t):=-\frac{N^{4}}{5 T^{4}}\left(2 B_{3,5}^{i}(t)+B_{4,5}^{i}(t)\right), \\
\psi_{i, 2,+}(t):=\frac{N^{3}}{20 T^{3}} B_{2,5}^{i}(t), & \psi_{i, 2,-}(t):=\frac{N^{3}}{20 T^{3}} B_{3,5}^{i}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By construction, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, 0,+}(t)+\psi_{i, 0,-}(t)=1 \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right] . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by using that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} B_{k, n}^{i}(t)=n\left(B_{k-1, n-1}^{i}(t)-B_{k, n-1}^{i}(t)\right)
$$

one can easily show that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\frac{T}{N} \psi_{i, 0,+}^{\prime}(t)+\psi_{i, 1,+}^{\prime}(t)+\psi_{i, 1,-}^{\prime}(t)=1, \\
-\frac{T^{2}}{2 N^{2}} \psi_{i, 0,+}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{T}{N} \psi_{i, 1,-}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\psi_{i, 2,+}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\psi_{i, 2,-}^{\prime \prime}(t)=1 . \tag{4.4}
\end{array}
$$

For every $i=1, \ldots, N-1$ and $j=0,1,2$ we set

$$
\varphi_{i, j}(t):= \begin{cases}\psi_{i-1, j,-}(t) & \text { if } t \in\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right] \\ \psi_{i, j,+}(t) & \text { if } t \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right] \\ 0 & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

Finally, we define the function

$$
\eta_{N}(t):=\sum_{i=2}^{N-2}\left(\varphi_{i, 0}(t) \eta\left(t_{i-1}\right)+\varphi_{i, 1}(t) \eta^{\prime}\left(t_{i-1}\right)+\varphi_{i, 2}(t) \eta^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right)
$$

By (H2) we have $\eta\left(t_{i-1}\right), \eta^{\prime}\left(t_{i-1}\right), \eta^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i-1}\right) \in V_{t_{i-1}}$, hence $\eta_{N} \in \mathcal{D}_{T}$ for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$.
It remains to prove (4.1). Let $t \in \operatorname{supp} \eta$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough there exists $i=$ $2, \ldots, N-3$ such that $t \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)$, so that by (4.2) and by the very definition of $\eta_{N}, \psi_{i, 1, \pm}$, and $\psi_{i, 2, \pm}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\eta_{N}(t)-\eta(t)\right\|_{T} & \leq\left\|\psi_{i, 0,+}(t) \eta\left(t_{i-1}\right)+\psi_{i, 0,-}(t) \eta\left(t_{i}\right)-\eta(t)\right\|_{T}+\mathrm{O}(1 / N) \\
& \leq\left\|\eta\left(t_{i-1}\right)-\eta(t)\right\|_{T}+\left\|\eta\left(t_{i}\right)-\eta(t)\right\|_{T}+\mathrm{O}(1 / N)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\eta_{N}$ converges to $\eta$ in $V_{T}$ uniformly in $[0, T]$. Analogously, by (4.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\eta_{N}^{\prime}(t)-\eta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{T} & \leq\left\|\psi_{i, 0,+}^{\prime}(t) \eta\left(t_{i-1}\right)+\psi_{i, 0,-}^{\prime}(t) \eta\left(t_{i}\right)+\frac{T}{N} \psi_{i, 0,+}^{\prime}(t) \eta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{T} \\
& +\left\|\psi_{i, 1,+}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\eta^{\prime}\left(t_{i-1}\right)-\eta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{T}+\left\|\psi_{i, 1,-}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\eta^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)-\eta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{T}+\mathrm{O}(1 / N)
\end{aligned}
$$

which, using that (by (4.2)) the first term on the right-hand side is bounded by

$$
\frac{T}{N}\left\|\psi_{i, 0,+}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|-\frac{\eta\left(t_{i}\right)-\eta\left(t_{i-1}\right)}{T / N}+\eta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{T}
$$

implies that $\eta_{N}^{\prime}$ converges to $\eta^{\prime}$ in $V_{T}$ uniformly in $[0, T]$. Analogously, using (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4), one can show that $\eta_{N}^{\prime \prime}$ converges uniformly to $\eta^{\prime \prime}$ in $[0, T]$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $T>0$. For every $\eta \in C_{c}^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)$, with $\eta(t) \in V_{t}$ for every $t \in(0, T)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} e^{-s / \varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(s), \eta^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)+a\left(u_{\varepsilon}(s), \eta(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.1, it is sufficient to prove (4.5) for $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_{T}$. The proof is analogous to the one of [9, Lemma 5.1]. Let $\delta \in[-1,1]$ and set $u_{\varepsilon, \delta}:=u_{\varepsilon}+\delta \eta$. By construction, $u_{\varepsilon, \delta} \in \mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,2}$ and, since $\eta$ has compact support, also the initial conditions are satisfied. Therefore $u_{\varepsilon, \delta} \in \mathcal{V}^{0,2}\left(u^{0}, u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)$, and, again by construction, $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)$ is finite. Then the Euler-Lagrange equation (4.5) easily follows by differentiating $\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)$ with respect to $\delta$ at $\delta=0$.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.7.
Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let us fix a sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$, with $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We divide the proof into five steps.

Step 1: There exist a subsequence, not relabeled, and a function $u \in \mathcal{V}^{0,1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } \mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,1} \quad \text { for every } T>0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}((0, \infty) ; H)$ and $u \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)$ for every $T>0$.
Let $T>0$. By (1.15) and (1.16),

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|u_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right\|_{H^{1}((0, T) ; H)}<\infty
$$

This inequality, together with (H4) and (1.14), implies that there exists $C_{T}<\infty$ such that

$$
\nu_{0}\left\|u_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)}^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{T} Q\left(u_{\varepsilon_{n}}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t+\lambda_{0}\left\|u_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right\|_{L^{2}((0, T) ; H)}^{2} \leq C_{T} .
$$

As a result $\left\{u_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right\}$ is equibounded in $\mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,1}$ and hence there exist a subsequence, not relabeled, and a function $u \in \mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,1}$ such that $u_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $\mathcal{W}_{T}^{0,1}$. Moreover, since $\left\{u_{\varepsilon_{n}}\right\} \subset \mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,2} \subset$ $\mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,1}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,1}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,1}$, we have that $u \in \mathcal{V}_{T}^{0,1}$. By the arbitrariness of $T$, the function $u$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}^{0,1}$ and (4.6) holds true. Furthermore, in view of (4.6), inequality (1.16) implies $u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}((0, \infty) ; H)$ and (1.15) gives $u \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)$ for every $T>0$.

Step 2: Let $T>0$. For every $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)$, with $\psi(t) \in V_{t}$ for every $t \in(0, T)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{\prime}(t), \varepsilon_{n}^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+2 \varepsilon_{n} \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)+\psi^{\prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{T} a\left(u_{\varepsilon_{n}}(t), \psi(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The claim follows by considering $\eta(t)=e^{t / \varepsilon_{n}} \psi(t)$ in (4.5) and integrating by parts.
Step 3: The function $u$ is a weak solution of (1.7).
By [4, Lemma 2.8], it is enough to prove the claim for $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)$ with $\psi(t) \in V_{t}$ for every $t \in(0, T)$. In view of (4.6), one can pass to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.7), thus obtaining (1.8).

Step 4: u satisfies (a) and (b).
Since $u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}((0, \infty) ; H)$ and $u \in L^{\infty}\left((0, T) ; V_{T}\right)$ for every $T>0$ by Step 1, property (a) follows from Step 3, thanks to Remark 1.4. Claim (b) is obtained by combining (a), (1.9), and (4.6), together with the fact that $u_{\varepsilon_{n}} \in \mathcal{V}^{0,1}\left(u^{0}, u_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{1}\right)$.

Step 5: The function u satisfies the energy inequality (1.17).
By using [9, Lemma 6.1] and (3.13), one can argue as in [9, Section 6] to obtain that the energy inequality (1.17) is satisfied for almost every $t>0$. Actually, in view of (a), this inequality is satisfied for every $t>0$.
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