

Manuscript Details

Manuscript number	JEVS_2019_144
Title	Evaluation of two handheld point-of-care blood gas analyzers in healthy donkeys
Article type	Research paper

Abstract

There has been increasing interest in blood gas analysis in donkeys. "Point-of-care (POC) testing" is a diagnostic testing performed at or near the patient. The aim of the study was to assess the agreement between two POC blood gas analyzers in donkeys. Arterial and venous blood samples were collected from 17 donkeys and analyzed using a fully automated blood gas analyzer (ABL 700 Series Radiometer, Denmark) (RAD) and two POC blood gas analyzers (i-STAT® System, USA; VetStat®, Idexx, USA). The parameters revealed by all three devices were submitted to a canonical discriminant analysis, to evaluate which of them can discriminate the POC analyzers from RAD. On the basis of the discriminant analysis, we evaluated the best POC for each parameter registered, in comparison with RAD. Moreover, the results changed in relationship with the type of blood (venous or arterial blood). The agreement between i-STAT® and RAD was good for venous samples, while was poor for arterial samples. A poor agreement was found between VetStat® and RAD for both venous and arterial samples. The implementation of the number of subjects might lead to a better understanding of the potential role of the POCs in clinical setting. Finally, increasing population of the study would be recommended in order to set reference values.

Keywords Blood gas analysis, donkey; Point-of-care testing; arterial blood gas analysis; venous blood gas analysis.

Corresponding Author FRANCESCA BONELLI

Corresponding Author's Institution Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Pisa

Order of Authors FRANCESCA BONELLI, Fulvio Laus, Angela Briganti, Flavia Evangelista, Marilena Bazzano, Giuseppe Conte, Micaela Sgorbini

Suggested reviewers Fabrizio Rueca, Riccardo Rinnovati

Submission Files Included in this PDF

File Name [File Type]

Cover letter - Bonelli et al.docx [Cover Letter]

Highlights - Bonelli et al.docx [Highlights]

Bonelli et al. Evaluation of two handheld POCs in donkey JEVS.docx [Manuscript File]

Conflict of interest statement.docx [Conflict of Interest]

Ethical statement.docx [Ethical Statement]

Author contributions statement.docx [Author Statement]

Submission Files Not Included in this PDF

File Name [File Type]

Figure 1.png [Figure]

Figure 2.png [Figure]

To view all the submission files, including those not included in the PDF, click on the manuscript title on your EVISE Homepage, then click 'Download zip file'.

To the Editor in chief

Journal of Equine Veterinary Science

here is our paper titled "Evaluation of two handheld point-of-care blood gas analyzers in healthy donkeys" authored by Bonelli et al.

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee, University of Pisa. This study was supported by funds from the University of Pisa (100%).

The manuscript has not been published elsewhere. Authors' contribution to the manuscript is equally distributed and no conflict of interest exists.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Francesca Bonelli

1
2
3 **Highlights**
4

- 5
- 6 • Point-of-care (POC) testing is a diagnostic testing performed near the patient
 - 7
 - 8 • The agreement between two POC blood gas analyzers have been evaluated in
9 donkeys
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12 • The i-STAT® had a good agreement with the standard analyzer (RAD) for venous
13 sample
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16 • The VetStat® had a poor agreement with the RAD for venous and arterial samples
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 1 **Research article**
4

5
6 2

7
8 3 **Evaluation of two handheld point-of-care blood gas analyzers in healthy donkeys**

9
10 4 Bonelli F^a, Laus F^b, Briganti A^a, Evangelista F^a, Bazzano M^b, Conte G^c, Sgorbini M^a
11

12 5

13
14 6 ^aDepartment of Veterinary Sciences, via Livornese snc, 56122 San Piero a Grado, Pisa, Italy.

15
16 7 francesca.bonelli@unipi.it; angela.briganti@unipi.it; ficevangelista@gmail.com;
17

18 8 micaela.sgorbini@unipi.it.
19

20 9 ^bSchool of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, University of Camerino, Macerata, Italy.

21
22 10 fulvio.laus@unicam.it; marilena.bazzano@unicam.it.
23

24
25 11 ^cDepartment of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy.

26
27 12 giuseppe.conte@unipi.it.
28

29 13
30

31 14
32

33 15
34

35 16 **Corresponding Author**
36

37 17 Dr. Francesca Bonelli

38
39 18 Department of Veterinary Sciences

40
41 19 Via Livornese snc

42
43 20 56122 San Piero a Grado, Pisa (Italy)

44
45 21 Phone number: +390502210115

46
47 22 Email: francesca.bonelli@unipi.it
48

49
50 23
51

52 24
53
54
55
56

57
58
59 25 **ABSTRACT**
60

61 26 There has been increasing interest in blood gas analysis in donkeys. "Point-of-care (POC)
62
63 27 testing" is a diagnostic testing performed at or near the patient. The aim of the study was to
64
65 28 assess the agreement between two POC blood gas analyzers in donkeys.
66

67
68 29 Arterial and venous blood samples were collected from 17 donkeys and analyzed using a fully
69
70 30 automated blood gas analyzer (ABL 700 Series Radiometer, Denmark) (RAD) and two POC
71
72 31 blood gas analyzers (i-STAT® System, USA; VetStat®, Idexx, USA). The parameters
73
74 32 revealed by all three devices were submitted to a canonical discriminant analysis, to evaluate
75
76 33 which of them can discriminate the POC analyzers from RAD.
77

78 34 On the basis of the discriminant analysis, we evaluated the best POC for each parameter
79
80 35 registered, in comparison with RAD. Moreover, the results changed in relationship with the
81
82 36 type of blood (venous or arterial blood).
83

84
85 37 The agreement between i-STAT® and RAD was good for venous samples, while was poor for
86
87 38 arterial samples. A poor agreement was found between VetStat® and RAD for both venous
88
89 39 and arterial samples. The implementation of the number of subjects might lead to a better
90
91 40 understanding of the potential role of the POCs in clinical setting. Finally, increasing
92
93 41 population of the study would be recommended in order to set reference values.
94

95 42
96
97 43 **Keywords:** Blood gas analysis, donkey, Point-of-care testing, arterial blood gas analysis,
98
99 44 venous blood gas analysis.
100

113
114
115 46 **1. Introduction**
116

117 47 Human relationships and interactions with equines have varied in the course of history
118
119 48 according to human needs [1]. Donkeys (*Equus asinus*) were domesticated in Northeast
120
121 49 Africa around 6,000-7,000 years ago and descended from wild asses evolved to live in
122
123
124 50 inhospitable environments. Donkeys, as well as mules and horses, have traditionally been
125
126 51 part of worldwide agricultural systems providing an essential transport, pack and draught
127
128 52 resource as working animals [2]. Nowadays, donkeys still play a key role as working animals
129
130 53 in developing countries. Also, donkeys are used for meat, milk productions, for social
131
132 54 activities, for tourism and leisure [3]. Consequently, the interest in the welfare and diseases of
133
134 55 this species is constantly increasing. Despite this, clinical research on donkeys needs to be in
135
136 56 continual development because they show different reactions compared to horses in many
137
138 57 conditions, including infectious diseases [4-9], and need specific diagnostic [10-15] and
139
140 58 therapeutical approaches [16-18].
141
142

143 59 Recently, there has been increasing interest in blood gas analysis in donkeys, along with their
144
145 60 growing popularity as companions. Blood gas analysis can help assess underlying disease
146
147 61 processes and the severity of illness and can guide medical interventions [19] or can be used
148
149 62 as a tool to assess the impact of a medical procedure on blood gas parameters [20]. Blood
150
151 63 gas analysis can be performed on arterial or venous blood and provides data regarding blood
152
153 64 pH, oxygen (pO₂) and carbon dioxide (pCO₂) partial pressures, total carbon dioxide (tCO₂),
154
155 65 oxygen saturation (sO₂), but results often include also lactate, glucose, electrolytes,
156
157 66 bicarbonate (HCO₃) and base excess [21]. Concerning clinical monitoring of blood gases,
158
159 67 accuracy in point-of-care (POC) analyzers is required for optimal patient management. “Point-
160
161 68 of-care testing” is a diagnostic testing performed at or near the patient. These analyses are
162
163
164
165
166
167
168

169
170
171 69 important in evaluating the emergency or critical care patient both in clinic and in field
172
173 70 conditions [21].
174

175 71 The aim of the present study was to assess the agreement between two point-of-care blood
176
177
178 72 gas analyzers and a conventional fully automated blood gas analyzer.
179

180 73 181 182 74 **2. Materials and Methods** 183

184 75 The research protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of
185
186 76 the University of Pisa (45965/2016). The donkeys were owned by the Tuscany Equids
187
188 77 Breeding Farm. The owner's written consent was obtained for all the donkeys included in this
189
190 78 study. All the donkeys were considered healthy based on history, physical examination, and
191
192 79 lung ultrasound. Moreover, a complete blood cell count (CBC) and clinical chemistry panel
193
194 80 were carried out the day before of the protocol in order to exclude sick animals. Only donkeys
195
196 81 with no clinical and hematological alterations were included.
197

198
199 82 A total of 18 donkeys, different in age and gender were enrolled in the present study.
200

201 83 *2.1 Animals* 202

203 84 All the donkeys enrolled, presented a normal history and no abnormalities at the physical
204
205 85 examination and lung ultrasound, while one donkey showed a slightly decreased blood
206
207 86 Hematocrit (HCT) and Hemoglobin (Hg), thus it was excluded from the study. The study
208
209 87 population was composed by a total of 17 donkeys belonging to the Amiata donkey breed.
210
211 88 Donkeys were 13 jennies and 4 jacks, aged between 4 to 16 year old, weighed 300 to 380 kg,
212
213 89 and fed with *ad libitum* hay and water. All the jennies were kept in collective paddocks at the
214
215 90 Veterinary Teaching Hospital, Department of Veterinary Sciences, Pisa University, while jacks
216
217 91 were housed in single pens.
218
219

220 92 *2.2 Sampling Procedures* 221 222 223 224

225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280

93 The day before the working session, sampling order (arterial vs venous sample) was
94 randomly chosen using a True Random Number Service [a]. The Random Number Generator
95 was set with a minimum value of 1 and a maximum value of 100. Pair numbers would lead to
96 always start first with arterial sampling, while odd numbers would lead to always start with
97 venous sampling. The Generator came with “56”, thus throughout the all study, blood
98 collection always began from arterial sampling. The donkeys order was also randomly chosen
99 using a Random Name Picker [b].

100 Three mL of arterial blood were collected by using anaerobic conditions from the common
101 carotid artery, approaching the vessel in the ventral region of the neck, facing the front of the
102 animal and entering the needle in the jugular furrow with a direction perpendicular to the skin
103 with a depth of 3-4 centimeters with three different blood gas syringes (1 mL each) containing
104 lyophilized heparin (Safe PICO Self-fill arterial sampler, Radiometer Medical ApS-Denmark).
105 Subsequently, 3 mL of venous blood were obtained from the left jugular vein using the same
106 syringes previously described for arterial samples. No clipping was performed, and alcohol
107 only was rubbed on the skin for a better visualization of the vessels. All samples were
108 collected by the same veterinarian (Operator 1), in accordance with good veterinary practice,
109 and did not cause evident pain to the animals. All the samples were performed in conscious
110 donkeys, only manually restrained. While the Operator 1 sampled the animals, an assistant
111 (Operator 2) grab the samples and gave it to another veterinarian (Operator 3) which
112 suddenly processed it.

2.3 Samples processing

114 All the arterial and venous blood samples were analyzed using a fully automated blood gas
115 analyzer (ABL 700 Series Radiometer, Radiometer Copenhagen Medical ApS, 2700
116 Brønshøj, Denmark) (RAD) and two handheld point-of-care (POC) blood gas analyzers (i-

281
282
283 117 STAT® System, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA; VetStat®, Idexx, USA).
284
285

286 118 For the i-STAT® System, a CG8+ cartridge (Abbott Laboratories, USA) was used. This
287
288 cartridge measured the following parameters: pH, partial pressure of CO₂ (pCO₂ expressed in
289 119 mmHg), partial pressure of O₂ (pO₂ expressed in mmHg), sodium (Na⁺ expressed in
290 mmol/L), potassium (K⁺ expressed in mmol/L), calcium (Ca⁺⁺ expressed in mmol/L) and
291 120 hematocrit (HCT expressed in %), while the following parameters were calculated:
292
293 121 hemoglobin (Hb expressed in gr/dL), base excess (BE expressed in mmol/L), bicarbonate
294
295 122 (HCO₃⁻ expressed in mmol/L), total CO₂ (tCO₂ expressed in mmol/L), and oxygen saturation
296
297 123 (SO₂ expressed in %). For the VetStat® the “respiratory cartridge” was used. This cartridge
298
299 124 measured the following parameters: pH, pCO₂ (mmHg), pO₂ (mmHg), Na⁺ (mmol/L), K⁺
300
301 (mmol/L) and Cl⁻ (mmol/L), while Hb (gr/dL), BE (mmol/L), Anion Gap (mmol/L), HCO₃⁻
302 125 (mmol/L), total CO₂ (tCO₂ expressed in mmol/L), SO₂ (%) were calculated. Finally, the
303
304 126 automated blood gas analyzer assessed the following parameters by direct measurement:
305
306 127 pH, pCO₂ (mmHg), pO₂ (mmHg), Na⁺ (mmol/L), K⁺ (mmol/L), Ca⁺⁺ (mmol/L), Chloride (Cl⁻
307
308 128 expressed in mmol/L) and HCT (%), while Hb (gr/dL) BE_(ecf) (mmol/L), Anion Gap (mmol/L),
309
310 129 HCO₃⁻ (mmol/L), tCO₂ (mmol/L), and SO₂ (%) were calculated.
311
312 130
313
314 131
315
316 132
317
318

319 133 Both arterial and venous samples were analyzed immediately after collection using the same
320
321 order. The samples were processed with the RAD gas analyzer, then with VetStat®, and at
322 134 last with the i-STAT®. All the machines were situated adjacent to each other to ensure
323
324 135 equivalent environmental operating conditions and were serviced according to manufacturers'
325
326 136 instructions. Calibration and automatic sample integrity and quality checks were performed for
327
328 137 all the blood gas analyzers according to manufacturers' instructions. Results from each
329
330 138 device were printed out and stored. Analytical system, methodological and human errors were
331
332 139
333
334
335
336

337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392

140 recorded.

141 *2.4 Statistical analysis*

142 Data were transferred to a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel 2011, Microsoft Corporation,
143 Redmond, Washington, USA) and analyzed using two commercial softwares (Microsoft Excel
144 2011, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, USA; GraphPad Prism 6.0, La Jolla,
145 California, USA). The Shapiro-Wilk test was carried out to verify data distribution. Data did not
146 show a Gaussian distribution; thus, results were expressed as median value with range
(minimum and maximum value) and interquartile range (IQR).

148 The variables detected by all three devices (pH, PCO₂, PO₂, TCO₂, SO₂, Hb, BE, HCO₃⁻, Na
149 and K) were submitted to a canonical discriminant analysis (CDA) by SAS software (SAS
150 Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) (the CANDISC procedure), a dimension reduction technique
151 which performs both univariate and multivariate one-way analysis to derive canonical
152 functions, i.e. linear combinations of the quantitative variables, that summarize the variation
153 between groups. Given a classification character and several interval variables, CDA derives
154 a set of new variables, called canonical functions (CAN), which are linear combinations of the
155 original interval variables, as reported in the follow equation:

$$\text{CAN} = d_1X_1 + d_2X_2 + \dots + d_nX_n,$$

157 where d_i are the canonical coefficients (CC) that indicate the contribution of each variable in
158 composing the CAN, and X are the scores of the n original variables. CAN summarize the
159 between-groups variation, highlighting their differences. In general, if k groups are involved in
160 the study, $k-1$ CAN are extracted. In the present work, having only 3 groups (RAD, i-STAT®
161 and VetSTAT®), two CANs were extracted. The effective separation between groups was
162 assessed by using the Mahalanobis distance and the corresponding Hotelling's T-square test
163 [22]. Briefly, the Mahalanobis distance takes into account the variable co-variances in

393
394
395 164 calculating distances between individuals in a multivariate vector space. The ordinary
396
397
398 165 Euclidean distance is a special case of the Mahalanobis distance when variables have equal
399
400 166 variances and zero covariances. The Hotelling's T-square test is an extension of the
401
402 167 Student's t-test to the multivariate domain [23].

403
404 168 The ability of CAN to assign each device data to the 3 groups was calculated as the percent
405
406 169 of correct assignment using the Discriminant Analysis [24]. In practice, the CAN is applied to
407
408 170 each animal, thus obtaining a value called discriminant score. Then, the centroids of the 2
409
410 171 groups are calculated and, for each experimental unit, distances from the 2 centroids are
411
412 172 evaluated. One experimental unit is assigned to the one of the three groups on the basis of
413
414 173 the lowest distance from the 2 group centroids [24]. CDA and DA were applied on the arterial
415
416
417 174 and venous data, separately.

418
419 175 The effect of device lecture on the parameters not detected by all three devices (HCT, Anion
420
421 176 gap, Ca⁺⁺, Cl⁻) were estimated by Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn's multiple comparisons test as
422
423 177 a post hoc. Statistical significativity was set at 0.05.

425 178 426 427 179 **3. Results**

428
429 180 Sampling procedures were carried out in 2 separate days, over a one-month period. The
430
431 181 number of samples analyzed on day 1 and 2 were 26 (13 arterial and 13 venous blood
432
433 182 samples for a total of 13 donkeys) and 10 (5 arterial and 5 venous blood samples for a total of
434
435
436 183 5 donkeys), respectively.

437
438 184 All the venous samples collected were analyzed (n=17) and included in the statistical
439
440 185 analysis, while 6/17 arterial samples were excluded from the statistical analysis due to the
441
442 186 alterations caused by erroneous sampling procedures. In particular, these samples presented
443
444 187 a SO₂ values over 100%, probably meaning air contamination.

449
450
451 188 Median and IQR for venous and arterial blood gas parameters assessed with i-STAT® and
452
453
454 189 VetStat® are reported in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.
455

456 190 457 458 191 *3.1 Multivariate analysis*

460 192 The extracted CANs significantly discriminated the 3 groups (P-value Hotelling's t-test <
461
462 193 0.0001), as demonstrated by Figure 1 and Figure 2 for venous and arterial samples,
463
464 194 respectively. The 3 groups were clearly separated in both types of blood. For venous
465
466 195 samples, the CAN1 variable markedly separated the i-STAT® (positive value) from the other
467
468
469 196 groups (Figure 1). The original variables which accounted mostly for this discrimination were
470
471 197 TCO_2 e HCO_3^- (Table 3). The separation of i-STAT® from the other groups by CAN1 was also
472
473 198 observed in arterial samples, even if with the opposite sign (Figure 2). In this case, the
474
475 199 variables showing a higher discrimination were PO_2 , TCO_2 , BE and Na (Table 3). Their
476
477 200 different relative positions (i.e., the i-STAT® group was in the negative and positive side of the
478
479 201 graph in arterial and venous samples, respectively) are due to an algebraic effect that is a
480
481 202 quite common event when multivariate statistics are carried out on different data sets [25]. On
482
483 203 the other hand, RAD was strongly separated from VetStat® by CAN2 in both types of blood
484
485 204 (Figure 1 and 2). The variables most involved in this difference for venous blood were pH,
486
487 205 PCO_2 , BE, HCO_3^- and Na. On the contrary, for arterial blood the variables related for CAN2
488
489 206 were pH, PO_2 , TCO_2 , BE, Na, K (Table 3).
490
491
492 207
493

494 208 *3.2 Non parametric analysis*

496 209 Results concerning the effect of device lecture on the parameters not detected by all three
497
498 210 devices (HCT, Anion gap, Ca^{++} , Cl^-) were reported in Table 4.
499
500
501 211

505
506
507 **4. Discussion**
508

509
510 213 The primary advantage of POC testing is the ability to obtain immediate results, minimizing
511
512 214 the need to send and await sample results from a clinical pathology laboratory. Also, POC
513
514 215 allows more frequent monitoring of critically ill patients, providing the chance to adjust patient
515
516 216 treatment [21]. The use of both VetStat® and i-STAT® gas analyzers have been evaluated in
517
518 217 horses and reference values have been set [26-30]. Due to the importance in evaluating POC
519
520 218 monitors in the population in which they will be used, the aim of the present study was to
521
522 219 assess the agreement between two POC blood gas analyzers and a conventional fully
523
524 220 automated blood gas analyzer in healthy adult donkeys.

525
526 221 Blood gas analysis can be performed on arterial or venous blood samples; however, despite
527
528
529 222 arterial blood sampling is considered a low-risk procedure, bleeding, infections and arterial
530
531 223 injury might represent possible side-effects [31]. Samples collection and processing was easy
532
533 224 to perform and feasible in field conditions for both venous and arterial samples.

534
535 225 Different implications of hematological and blood gas parameters have been evaluated in few
536
537 226 studies concerning donkey foals [10,12,31] and adult donkeys [32-37]. Our results concerning
538
539 227 venous i-STAT® pH were in line with literature [34,37], and slightly lower than studies in
540
541 228 donkey foals at different ages (between 24 hours and 21 days of life) [12,31]. Arterial pH i-
542
543 229 STAT® was slightly lower compared with one paper in foals [12]. VetStat® pH were slightly
544
545
546 230 higher compared to venous values [31,34,37] and arterial values [12] from literature. These
547
548 231 differences might be due to different ages (adults vs foals), breeds, gender or to the different
549
550 232 devices used for the analysis. Venous and arterial pCO₂ values were similar for both devices
551
552 233 with literature [12,31,34,37]. Both venous and arterial values of HCO₃⁻ obtained from both the
553
554 234 devices were slightly lower compared to literature in donkey foals and adults [12,31,34,37]. To
555
556 235 the best of authors' knowledge, it was possible to compare tCO₂ values only with literature
557
558
559
560

561
562
563 236 from donkey foals. Venous results from both devices and i-STAT® arterial tCO₂ were in line
564
565 237 with previous studies [12,31], while VetStat® arterial tCO₂ were slightly lower compared with
566
567 238 findings in donkey foals (Veronesi et al., 2014). Venous i-STAT® SO₂ and arterial i-STAT®
568
569 and VetStat® SO₂ results were in line with literature [31,34], while venous VetStat® were
570 239
571 higher [12]. This might be due to the difference in ages (adults vs donkey foals) of the two
572 240
573 populations. HCT and Hb values were similar to what reported in literature about donkey foals
574 241
575 [10,12,31], pregnant and lactating jennies [13] and adult donkeys [33-36], while were slightly
576 242
577 lower compared with another study [32]. However, Lemma and Mages [32] performed their
578 243
579 study in working donkeys and a slightly higher HCT and Hb compared with animals at rest
580 244
581 might be possible as in horses [38]. Concerning electrolyte, venous Ca⁺⁺ evaluated with the i-
582 245
583 STAT® were slightly lower compared with literature [36], while Cl⁻ were slightly higher
584 246
585 [12,32,34,36]. Venous i-STAT® Na⁺ results were in line with literature, while results for
586 247
587 venous VetStat® Na⁺ were slightly higher [10,12,13,32,34,36]. Our results concerning venous
588 248
589 K⁺ for both devices were slightly lower compared with other studies [10,12,13,32,34,36].
590
591 249 Differences might be due to different devices used, and different age, sex and gender of the
592
593 250 populations. Venous BE and Anion gap results were slightly lower compared with literature
594
595 251 [12,31,34], while arterial values were in line with others [12,31]. As already discussed,
596
597 252 differences might be related to devices used and different study populations.
598
599 253 The agreement between i-STAT® and RAD was good for venous samples, while was poor for
600
601 254 arterial samples. A poor agreement was found between VetStat® and RAD for both venous
602
603 and arterial samples. These findings might be related to the low number of animals included
604 255
605 and to the quite high number of arterial samples not processed for the erroneous handling.
606 256
607
608 257 Increasing the study population, especially for arterial samples, might be useful in order to
609
610 258
611
612
613
614
615
616

617
618
619 259 better evaluate the agreement between the VetStat® and RAD for both venous and arterial
620
621 260 samples and between i-STAT® and RAD for arterial parameters.

622
623 261 Values of tCO₂, HCT, HCO₃⁻ and Ca⁺⁺ were different between i-STAT® and RAD, while other
624
625 262 values were in agreement. This difference might be related to the methodology, because the
626
627
628 263 i-STAT® works as a “dry chemistry” analyzer, while the RAD is considered a “wet chemistry”
629
630 264 analyzer. Dry chemistry analyzers are easily operated and offer a wide range of clinical
631
632 265 screening tests that can be performed in an economical, timely, and convenient manner
633
634 266 especially in field conditions [39]. Dry clinical chemistry analytical procedures are similar to
635
636 267 the established wet clinical chemistry procedures, however, some error tolerance between
637
638 268 POC and reference methods’ results might be possible [40]. Further studies are needed in
639
640 269 order to increase the study population and evaluate physiological range values especially for
641
642
643 270 those i-STAT® that differ from RAD.

644
645 271 This study presented some limitations due to the low number of samples included and to the
646
647 272 absence of a validation. Next step would be investigated the intra- and inter-assay coefficient
648
649 273 of variation for both the POCs and to increase the number of animals sampled.

650 651 274 652 653 275 **5. Conclusions**

654
655
656 276 In conclusion, dry clinical chemistry analyzers are intended to perform screening tests that
657
658 277 alert the practitioner to critical abnormalities. A strong agreement between the i-STAT® and
659
660 278 the standard analyzer for most venous analytes has been found. The implementation of the
661
662 279 number of subjects might lead to a better understanding of the potential role of the POCs in
663
664 280 clinical setting. Finally, increasing population of the study would be recommended in order to
665
666 281 set reference values.

673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728

282 **Acknowledgements**

283 Not applicable.

729
730
731
732 284
733 285
734
735 286
736
737 287
738
739 288
740
741
742 289
743
744 290
745
746 291
747
748 292
749
750 293
751
752 294
753
754 295
755
756 296
757
758 297
759
760
761 298
762
763 299
764
765 300
766
767 301
768
769 302
770
771 303
772
773 304
774
775 305
776
777
778 306
779
780 307
781
782
783
784

References

1. Kaushik SJ. Animals for work, recreation and sports. *Livest Prod Sci* 1999;59:145-54.
2. Camillo F, Rota A, Biagini L, Tesi M, Fanelli D, Panzani D. The Current Situation and Trend of Donkey Industry in Europe. *J Equine Vet Sci* 2018;65:44-9.
3. SAVE foundation, Donkey Breeds in Europe: Inventory, Description, Need for Action, Conservation Report 2007/2008. Monitoring Institute for Rare Breeds and Seeds in Europe. http://www.agrobiodiversity.net/topic_network/donkey/Donkey/Report2007_2008.pdf.
4. Laus F, Paggi E, Cerquetella M, Spaziante D, Spaterna A, Tesei B. Guttural pouch mycosis in a donkey (*Equus asinus*): a case report. *Vet Med (Praha)* 2010;55:561-5.
5. Macháčová T, Bárťová E, Di Loria A, Sedlák K, Guccione J, Fulgione D, Veneziano V. Seroprevalence and risk factors of *Neospora* spp. in donkeys from Southern Italy. *Vet Parasitol* 2013;198(1-2):201-4.
6. Laus F, Spaterna A, Faillace V, Veronesi F, Ravagnan S, Beribe F, et al. Clinical investigation on *Theileria equi* and *Babesia caballi* infections in donkeys from central Italy. *BMC Vet Res.* 2015a;11:100.
7. Sgorbini M, Bonelli F, Papini R, Busechian S, Briganti A, Laus F, et al. Equine gastric ulcer syndrome in adult donkeys: investigation on prevalence, anatomical distribution and severity. *Equine Vet Educ* 2017;30(4):206-10.
8. Barrandeguy ME, Carossino M. Infectious diseases in donkeys and mules: an overview and update, *J Equine Vet Sci* 2018;65:98-105.
9. Sgorbini M, Veronesi F, Fratini M, Laus F. Tick-borne diseases and gastric ulcer in the donkey. *J Equine Vet Sci* 2018;65:62-5.

785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840

308 10. Sgorbini M, Bonelli F, Rota A, Baragli P, Marchetti V, Corazza M. Hematology and
309 clinical chemistry in Amiata donkey foals from birth to 2 months of age. J Equine Vet
310 Sci 2013;33:35-9.

311 11. Guccione J, Piantedosi D, Di Loria A, Veneziano V, Ciaramella P. Long-term
312 Electrocardiography Recording with Holter Monitoring in 15 Donkeys. J Equine Vet Sci
313 2014;34(2):302-6.

314 12. Veronesi F, Morganti G, Ravagnan S, Laus F, Spaterna A, Diaferia M, et al. Molecular
315 and serological detection of tick-borne pathogens in donkeys (*Equus asinus*) in Italy.
316 Vet Microbiol 2014;173(3-4):348-54.

317 13. Bonelli F, Rota A, Corazza M, Serio D, Sgorbini M. Hematological and biochemical
318 findings in pregnant, postfoaling, and lactating jennies. Theriogenol 2016a;85:1233-8.

319 14. Laus F, Spaterna A, Faillace V, Paggi E, Cerquetella M, Fruganti A, et al. Transrectal
320 ultrasonography of the adrenal glands in donkeys (*Equus asinus*). Pakistan Vet J
321 2016;36:21e4.

322 15. Bonelli F, Rota A, Aurich C, Ille N, Camillo F, Panzani D, et al. Determination of
323 salivary cortisol in donkey stallions. J Equine Vet Sci 2019;77:68-71.

324 16. Grosenbaugh DA, Reinemeyer CR, Figueiredo MD. Pharmacology and therapeutics in
325 donkeys. Equine Vet Educ 2011;23:523-30.

326 17. Veneziano V, Galietti A, Mariani U, Di Loria A, Piantedosi D, Neola B, et al. Field
327 efficacy of eprinomectin against the sucking louse *Haematopinus asini* on naturally
328 infested donkeys. Vet J 2013;197(2):512-4.

329 18. Bonelli F, Busechian S, Meucci V, Caporrino G, Briganti A, Rueca F, et al.
330 pHyloGASTRO in the treatment of equine gastric ulcer lesions. J Equine Vet Sci
331 2016b;46:69-72.

841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896

332 19. Mathews K. Acid–base assessment. In: Mathews K, editor. Veterinary Emergency and
333 Critical Care Manual. 2nd ed. Guelph, Ontario: LifeLearn; 2006. p. 406-10.

334 20. Evangelista F, Tayari H, Degani M, Nocera I, Briganti A. Sedative and respiratory
335 effects of intramuscular detomidine and butorphanol in donkeys sedated for
336 bronchoalveolar lavage. J Equine Vet Sci 2018;69:96-101.

337 21. Hackett ES, Divers TJ, Young JC. Emergency Laboratory Tests and Point-of-Care
338 Diagnostics. In: Orsini JA, Divers TJ editors. Equine emergencies. 4th ed. Canada:
339 Elsevier; 2014. p. 110-5.

340 22. De Maesschalck R, Jouan-Rimbaud D, Massart DL. The Mahalanobis distance.
341 Chemometr Intell Lab 2000;50:1-18.

342 23. Mardia KV. Assessment of multinormality and robustness of Hotelling's T2 test. Appl
343 Stat 1975;24:163-71.

344 24. Mardia KV, Bookstein FL, Moreton IJ. Statistical assessment of bilateral symmetry of
345 shapes. Biometrika 2000;87:285-300.

346 25. Macciotta NP, Biffani S, Bernabucci U, Lacetera N, Vitali A, Ajmone-Marsan P, et al.
347 Derivation and genome- wide association study of a principal component-based
348 measure of heat tolerance in dairy cattle. J Dairy Sci 2017;100:4683-97.

349 26. Looney AL, Ludders J, Hollis NE, Gleed R, Moon P. Use of a handheld device for
350 analysis of blood electrolyte concentrations and blood gas partial pressures in dogs
351 and horses. J Am Vet Med Ass 1998;213:526-30.

352 27. Bingham D, Kendall J, Clancy M. The portable laboratory: an evaluation of the
353 accuracy and reproducibility of i-STAT. Ann Clin Biochem 1999;36:66-71.

354 28. Grosenbaugh DA, Gadawski JE, Muir WW. Evaluation of a portable clinical analyser in
355 a veterinary hospital setting. J Am Vet Med Ass 1999;213:691-4.

897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952

29. Peiro JR, Borges AS, Goncalves RC, Mendes LC. Evaluation of a portable clinical analyser for the determination of blood gas partial pressures, electrolyte concentrations and hematocrit in venous blood samples collected from cattle, horses and sheep. *Am J Vet Res* 2010;71:515–21.

30. Bardell D, West E, Senior JM. Evaluation of a new handheld point-of-care blood gas analyser using 100 equine blood samples. *Vet Anaest Analg* 2017;44:77-85.

31. Carluccio A, Contri A, Gloria A, Veronesi MC, Sfirro MP, Parrillo S, et al. Correlation between some arterial and venous blood gas parameters in healthy newborn Martina Franca donkey foals from birth to 96 hours of age. *Theriogenol* 2017;87:173-8.

32. Lemma A, Moges M. Clinical, hematological and serum biochemical reference values of working donkeys (*Equus asinus*) owned by transport operators in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Livest Res Rural Dev* 2009;21(8):1-12.

33. Perez-Ecija A, Gonzalez-De Cara CA, Aguilere-Aguilera R, Estepa JC, Rubio MD, Mendoza FJ. Comparison of donkey hemogram using the LaserCyte hematology analyzer, an impedance system, and a manual method. *Vet Clin Pathol* 2014;43(4):525-37.

34. Tharwat M, Al-Sobayil F. Influence of the cardiac glycoside digoxin on cardiac troponin I, acid-base and electrolyte balance, and haematobiochemical profiles in healthy donkeys (*Equus asinus*). *BMC Vet Res* 2014;10:64.

35. Laus F, Spaterna A, Faillace V, Paggi E, Serri E, Vullo C, et al. Reference values for hematological and biochemical parameters of mixed breed donkeys (*Equus asinus*). *Wulfenia journal klagenfurt Austria* 2015b;22(1):294-304.

36. Burden FA, Hazeil-Smith E, Mulugeta G, Patrick V, Trawford R, Brooks HW. Reference intervals for biochemical and haematological parameters in mature

953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008

380 domestic donkeys (*Equus asinus*) in the UK. *Equine Vet Edu* 2016;28(3):134-9.

381 37. Maney JK, Durham Jr HE , Goucher KP, Little EL. Induction of anesthesia and
382 recovery in donkeys sedated with xylazine: a comparison of midazolam-alfaxalone and
383 midazolam-ketamine. *Vet Anaest Analg* 2018;45:539-44.

384 38. Fan YK, Hsu JC, Peh HC, Tsang CL, Cheng SP, Chiu SC, et al. The effects of
385 endurance training on the hemogram of the horse. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci*
386 2002;15(9):1348-53.

387 39. Lanevski A, Kramer JW. Comparison of two dry chemistry analyzers and a wet
388 chemistry analyzer using canine serum. *Vet Clin Pathol* 1999;25(1):10-13.

389 40. Hollis AR, Dallap BL, Schaer D, Boston RC, Wilkins PA. Comparison of the Accu-Chek
390 Aviva Point-of-Care glucometer with blood gas and laboratory methods of analysis of
391 glucose measurement in equine emergency patients. *J Vet Intern Med* 2008;22:1189-
392 95.

Web References

- a. <https://www.random.org> – Date: April 2017.
- b. <https://www.miniwebtool.com/random-name-picker/> - Date: April 2017.

1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050

i-STAT®	Venous Median (n=17)	Venous IQR (n=17)	Arterial Median (n=11)	Arterial IQR (n=11)
pH	7.42	0.02	7.43	0.03
pCO ₂ mmHg	39.00	2.82	39.35	4.72
pO ₂ mmHg	36.00	4.00	100.50	10.50
tCO ₂ mmol/L	27.00	1.25	27.00	1.75
SO ₂ %	68.00	7.00	98.00	0.00
HCT %	31.0	4.00	32.50	5.50
Hb g/dL	10.51	1.57	11.05	1.85
BE _(ecf) mmol/L	1.00	1.25	1.50	1.75
HCO ₃ ⁻ mmol/L	25.83	1.20	25.70	1.45
Na ⁺ mmol/L	138.00	3.25	138.00	2.75
K ⁺ mmol/L	3.85	0.45	3.80	0.27
Ca ⁺⁺ mmol/L	1.70	0.06	1.71	0.11

Table 1 – Data concerning median and interquartile range for venous (n=17) and arterial (n=11) parameters assessed with i-STAT® in adult donkeys. Legend: Base excess_(extracellular fluid) (BE_(ecf)), Interquartile Range (IQR).

1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092

VetStat®	Venous Median (n=17)	Venous IQR (n=17)	Arterial Median (n=11)	Arterial IQR (n=11)
pH	7.45	0.12	7.45	0.03
pCO ₂ mmHg	40.00	4.00	35.50	4.72
pO ₂ mmHg	45.00	6.00	100.00	20.00
tCO ₂ mmol/L	26.10	1.95	24.50	1.62
SO ₂ %	79.00	4.00	98.00	2.00
Hb g/dL	14.50	2.52	12.80	1.90
BE _(ecf) mmol/L	1.85	1.57	0.50	1.60
Anion Gap mmol/L	18.23	1.50	18.65	1.15
HCO ₃ ⁻ mmol/L	24.60	2.22	23.40	1.55
Na ⁺ mmol/L	149.00	3.00	149.00	3.75
K ⁺ mmol/L	3.90	0.50	3.95	0.37
Cl ⁻ mmol/L	111.00	2.50	111.00	3.00

Table 2 – Data concerning median and interquartile range for venous (n=17) and arterial (n=11) parameters assessed with VetStat® in adult donkeys. Legend: Base excess_(extracellular fluid) (BE_(ecf)), Interquartile Range (IQR).

1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134

	Venous blood		Arterial blood	
	CAN1	CAN2	CAN1	CAN2
pH	0.192	0.783	0.294	0.912
PCO ₂ mmHg	0.148	1.448	0.139	-0.426
PO ₂ mmHg	0.340	-0.368	-0.843	-0.775
tCO ₂ mmol/L	2.070	0.127	-1.498	0.964
SO ₂ %	-0.493	0.410	0.675	0.324
Hb g/dL	0.190	-0.163	-0.559	0.459
BE _(ecf) mmol/L	-0.419	2.271	1.008	-1.152
HCO ₃ ⁻ mmol/L	-1.739	-3.566	-0.357	-0.127
Na ⁺ mmol/L	0.422	1.374	0.760	1.217
K ⁺ mmol/L	0.588	-0.112	0.317	0.986

Tab. 3 - Scores of canonical discriminant analysis summarizing the between-groups variation for the variables detected by all three devices (pH, PCO₂, PO₂, tCO₂, SO₂, Hb, BE, HCO₃⁻, Na⁺ and K⁺). Legend: CAN - canonical function; CAN1 - canonical functions discriminating between i-STAT® vs a fully automated blood gas analyzer (ABL 700 Series Radiometer, Denmark); CAN2 - canonical function discriminating between VetStat® vs a fully automated blood gas analyzer (ABL 700 Series Radiometer, Denmark); Base excess_(extracellular fluid) (BE_(ecf)). The variables that have shown a discriminating action for a given CAN are shown in bold.

1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176

	Venous blood			P-value	Arterial blood			P-value
	RAD	i-STAT®	VetStat®		RAD	i-STAT®	VetStat®	
HCT %	31.0	42.2	-	**	32.0	40.8	-	*
Anion Gap mmol/L	-	7.3	18.5	***	-	7.6	18.6	***
Ca ⁺⁺ mmol/L	1.7	3.2	-	***	1.7	3.1	-	***
Cl ⁻ mmol/L	-	106.0	111.0	***	-	105.0	111.0	**

Tab.4 - Effect of devices on parameter not revealed by all devices (HCT, Anion Gap, Ca⁺⁺ and Cl⁻) evaluated by Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn's multiple comparisons test as a post hoc. Legend: "-" data not revealed; * = P ≤ 0.05; ** = P ≤ 0.01; *** = P ≤ 0.001.

1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232

Figure Legend

Figure 1 - Plot of Canonic variables for venous samples.

Figure 2 - Plot of Canonical variables for arterial samples.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Dear Editor,

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. This study was supported totally by funds from the University of Pisa (100%).

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Francesca Bonelli

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Dear Editor,

This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, University of Pisa (n. 45965/2016). The owner's written consent was obtained for all the donkeys included in this study.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Francesca Bonelli

Dear Editor,

Here we declare the contribution of each author:

- 1) Francesca Bonelli: conducting the study, data analysis, drafting and revising the article for intellectual content, approval of the final version.
- 2) Fulvio Laus: conception and design of the study, data acquisition and approval of the final version.
- 3) Angela Briganti: data acquisition and approval of the final version.
- 4) Marilena Bazzano: data acquisition and approval of the final version.
- 5) Flavia Evangelista: conducting the experiment and approval of the final version.
- 6) Giuseppe Conte: data analysis and interpretation, approval of the final version.
- 7) Micaela Sgorbini: conception and design of the study, data acquisition, analysis and interpretation, revising the article for intellectual content, approval of the final version.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Francesca Bonelli