International Conference on Use-Wear Analysis

Use-Wear 2012

Edited by

João Marreiros, Nuno Bicho and Juan F. Gibaja

Cambridge Scholars Publishing



International Conference on Use-Wear Analysis: Use-Wear 2012

Edited by João Marreiros, Nuno Bicho and Juan F. Gibaja

This book first published 2014

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

Lady Stephenson Library, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2PA, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright O 2014 by João Marreiros, Nuno Bicho, Juan F. Gibaja and contributors

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN (10): 1-4438-6816-7 ISBN (13): 978-1-4438-6816-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	xiv
Part I: Methods	
Chapter One A Specialized Occupation Despite Appearances. Function of the Buhot Late Glacial Site (Calleville, North-western France) Jérémie Jacquier	2
Chapter Two Use-Wear Characterization through Confocal Laser Microscopy: The Case of Wild vs Domestic Cereal Harvesting Polish J.J. Ibáñez, J.E. González-Urquijo and J. Gibaja	13
Chapter Three Glossy Tools: Innovations in the Method of Interpretation of Use-Wear Produced by Plant Processing Davide d'Errico	24
Chapter Four Turning the Wheel on Lithic Functionality Telmo Pereira, Rui Martins and João Marreiros	35
Chapter Five Experimental Program for the Detection of Use Wear on Quartzite Victoria Aranda, Antoni Canals and Andreu Ollé	45
Chapter Six Micro-residues on Stone Tools: Morphological Analysis, Interpretation and Challenges H.J. Geeske Langejans and Marlize Lombard	56
Chapter Seven Management of Heated Bladelets in the Southern Chassey Culture: Use-Wear Analysis and Efficiency Test Loïc Torchy	66

Chapter Eight
Chapter Nine
Chapter Ten
Chapter Eleven
Chapter Twelve
Part II: Hunter-Gatherers
Chapter Thirteen
Chapter Fourteen
Chapter Fifteen

vi

Chapter Sixteen
Chapter Seventeen
Chapter Eighteen
Chapter Nineteen
Chapter Twenty
Chapter Twenty One
Chapter Twenty Two

Chapter Twenty Three The Proto-Aurignacian "Knives" of the Riparo Mochi (Balzi Rossi, Ita Stefano Grimaldi	. 256 ly)
Chapter Twenty Four A Microwear Analysis of Handaxes from Santa Ana Cave (Cáceres, Extremadura, Spain)	. 270
Andreu Ollé, Josep Maria Vergès, Luna Peña, Victoria Aranda, Antoni Canal and Eudald Carbonell	
Chapter Twenty Five Stone Tool Hafting in the Middle Palaeolithic as Viewed through the Microscope Veerle Rots	. 279
Chapter Twenty Six Lithic Technology and Tool Use in the North American Archaic: Bridging Technologies, Plants, and Animals April K. Sievert and Melody K. Pope	. 294
Chapter Twenty Seven Lithic Use-Wear Analysis from the Early Gravettian of Vale Boi (Southwestern Iberia) João Marreiros, Juan Gibaja and Nuno Bicho	. 302
Chapter Twenty Eight Integrated Functional Studies of Badegoulian Lithic Industry: Preliminary Results of Le Péhau (Coimères, France) Amaranta Pasquini, Gilles Monin and Paul Fernandes	. 321
Chapter Twenty Nine Human Occupation of the High-Mountain Environments: The Contribution of Microwear Analysis to the Study of the Cova del Sardo Site (Spanish Pyrenees) Niccolò Mazzucco, Ignacio Clemente and Ermengol Gassiot	. 331
Chapter Thirty Wood Technology of Patagonian Hunter-Gatherers: A Use-Wear Anal Study from the Site of Cerro Casa de Piedra 7 (Patagonia, Argentina) Laura Caruso Fermé, Ignacio Clemente, Sylvie Beyries and Maria Teresa Civalero	. 342 ysis

viii

Chapter Thirty One	352
Unmodified Quartz Flake Fragments as Cognitive Tool Categories: Testing the Wear Preservation, Previous Low Magnification Use-Wear Results and Criteria for Tool Blank Selection in Two Late Mesolithic Quartz Assemblages from Finland Noora Taipale, Kjel Knutsson and Helena Knutsson	
Chapter Thirty Two A Consideration of Burin-Blow Function: Use-Wear Analysis of Kamiyama-Type Burin from the Sugikubo Blade Assemblage in North-Central Japan Akira Iwase	362
Chapter Thirty Three The Two Faces of Resharpening: Management and Use of Resharpening Flakes in the Middle Paleolithic at Cueva Morín Talía Lazuén	375 g
Chapter Thirty Four Looking for the Use and Function of Prismatic Tools in the Mesolithic of the Paris Basin (France): First Results and Interpretations Caroline Hamon and Sylvain Griselin	389
Chapter Thirty Five Semi-product, Waste, Tool Are We Sure? Functional Aspect of Stone Age Morphological Flint Tools Grzegorz Osipowicz	398 ?
Chapter Thirty Six The History of One Arrowhead from a Peat Bog Site in Central Russia (Technological and Use-Wear Studies) Natalia Skakun Mikhail Zhilin Vera Terekhina	430
Part III: Projectile Technology	
Chapter Thirty Seven	442

Table of Contents

Chapter Thirty Eight	457
Arrowheads without Traces: Not Used, Perfect Hit or Excessive Haftin Material?	ng
Yvonne Lammers-Keijsers, Annemieke Verbaas, Annelou van Gijn and Diederik Pomstra	
Chapter Thirty Nine	466
Projectile Experimentation for Identifying Hunting Methods with Replicas of Upper Palaeolithic Weaponry from Japan Katsuhiro Sano and Masayoshi Oba	
Chapter Forty	479
Possibilities of Identifying Transportation and Use-Wear Traces of Mesolithic Microliths from the Polish Plain Katarzyna Pyżewicz and Witold Grużdź	,,
Chapter Forty One	488
Use and Maintenance of Leaf-Shaped Points in the Late Upper Paleolithic in the Japanese Islands Takuya Yamaoka	
Chanter Forty Two	500
Projectiles from the Last Paleolithic Hunter-Gatherers in the Eastern	500
Cantabrian Region: Azilian Backed Points at the Site of Santa Catalina Jesús González-Urquijo, Juan José Ibáñez and Eduardo Berganza	a
Part IV: Bone Technology	
Chapter Forty Three	512
Two Experimental Programs to Study the Bone Tools from the Middle Paleolithic Hunter-Gatherers Millán Mozota	e
All the Same All Different! Mesolithic and Neolithic "45° Bevelled	521
Bone Tools" from Zamostje 2 (Moscow, Russia)	
Yolaine Maigrot, Ignacio Clemente Conte, Evgeny Gyria,	
Olga Lozovskaya and Vladimir Lozovski	

х

Chapter Forty Five
Chapter Forty Six
Chapter Forty Seven
Chapter Forty Eight
Part V: From the Neolithic to the Iron Age
Chapter Forty Nine
Chapter Fifty
Chapter Fifty One
Chapter Fifty Two

Table of Contents

Chapter Fifty Three	19 s:
Chapter Fifty Four	30
Chapter Fifty Five	42
Chapter Fifty Six	52
Chapter Fifty Seven	60
Chapter Fifty Eight	72
Chapter Fifty Nine	82
Chapter Sixty	93
Chapter Sixty One	02

xii

hapter Sixty Two	714
ssociating Residues and Wear Traces as Indicators of Hafting Method. View from the Chipped Stone Industries from the Island of Gavdos,	s:
leni Chriazomenou, Christina Papoulia and Katerina Kopaka	
hapter Sixty Three aw Material Selection for Pounding and Grain Processing in the Singl arave Culture of the Netherlands: The Site of Mienakker firginia Garcia-Diaz	727 e
hapter Sixty Four Vhat are Prehistoric Tools with Very Rounded Edges Doing I Iron Age Storage Pits? enaud Gosselin	736
hapter Sixty Five lint Blade Use-Wear in Late Neolithic/Chalcolithic Collective Burials pata from Pastora Cave (Eastern Spain) Preto García Puchol, Juan Francisco Gibaja Bao, Joaquim Juan Cabani and Sarah B. McClure	745 : Iles
hapter Sixty Six echnology and Function of the Chalcolithic Dagger from Cabezos Vie Archena, Murcia, Spain) . Gutiérrez Sáez, I. Martín Lerma, J.A. Marín de Espinosa nd J. Lomba Maurandi	755 zjos
hapter Sixty Seven irst Results on Use-Wear Analysis over Several Early Neolithic ontexts from Northwest Africa .melia Rodríguez-Rodríguez, Jörg Linstädter, Juan F. Gibaja, fanuel Rojo, Ines Medved, Rafael Garrido, Antoni Palomo, .ntonio F. Carvalho, Iñigo García and Cristina Tejedor	764
ontributors	772

CHAPTER FORTY NINE

INVESTIGATING NEOLITHIC ACTIVITIES: THE CONTRIBUTION OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS TO THE RECONSTRUCTION OF SETTLEMENTS' ECONOMY IN CENTRAL-SOUTHERN ITALY

CRISTIANA PETRINELLI PANNOCCHIA

Dip. Scienze Storiche del Mondo Antico Università di Pisa Via Galvani 1 56100 Pisa cristianapetr@hotmail.com

Abstract

Between the late 7th and early 6th millennium BC, the Neolithic era arrives in Southern Italy by sea with Eastern Mediterranean people. The external origin of the Neolithic seems to be supported by the presence of well-organized villages with an already fully productive economy and ceramic production since their first occupation.

Being part of a wider project on the Neolithization of Italy, this work presents the results obtained from the study of lithic assemblages from a selected number of Neolithic sites: Ripa Tetta (Puglia), Maddalena di Muccia (Marche), S. Stefano (Abruzzo).

Our analyses show how the techno-functional approach to lithic tools can play a significant role in the understanding of the economic and/or cultural choices made by Neolithic groups.

Keywords: Neolithic, Italy, use wear analysis, lithic tools

1. Introduction

This work is part of a larger project that includes the study of materials found at several Neolithic sites of Central-Southern Italy. The purpose of this on-going project is to integrate the traditional studies of lithic tools with use-wear analysis, in order to obtain an exhaustive picture of the first Neolithic communities.

Between the late 7th and early 6th millennium BC, the Neolithic starts in Southern Italy when Eastern Mediterranean people arrive by sea and initially settle down along the coasts of Apulia. The external origin of the Neolithic is attested by the presence of well-organized villages with an already fully productive economy and ceramic production (impressed pottery of archaic type) starting from the oldest occupation levels (Torre Sabea, Trasano ecc...). As demonstrated by several studies, later on the Neolithic spreads inland and up to central Italy along two different paths separated by the Apennines.

The available data concerning the stone industries of those first phases enabled the scholars (Radi and Ronchitelli 2002) to outline a quite detailed picture of their characteristics. Some technological differences have been highlighted, such as the use of special production techniques and the resulting diffusion of different supports inside the complexes. Furthermore there are typological differences due to the quantitative variation of some groups of retouched manufactures.

A functional analysis of the materials has been undertaken in order to outline a general sketch of the activities carried out on the site and their impact on its economy. It was interesting to verify whether there was a change in use of the different typologies of instruments over time.

The analysis was carried out on samples of artefacts from a few sites, analyzed with both low- and high- approaches (Tringham et al. 1974, Keely 1980). This methodology permitted us to identify different use-wear patterns related to the various activities conducted on the sites.

Now we will deal with some of the most interesting results as yet obtained with the help of some relevant case studies (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Site distribution map.

2. Case 1. Ripatetta (FG, Puglia)

Ripatetta is among the oldest (6890+-60 BP, Beta-47808) and most important settlements in southern Italy (Tozzi and Tasca 1989). This site was investigated from 1982 up to 1992 by the University of Pisa in collaboration with the Ithaca College of New York. A sequence of surveys has shed light on entrenched settlements almost 90 mt in diameter.

On the lowest level, the archeological remnants can be assigned to the type Guadone of the impressed wear. From this to the upper level we can observe the gradual stylistic evolution into the Lagnano da Piede type.

The most used raw material is flint, both local and coming from surrounding areas, such as Gargano, while the diffusion of obsidian is really scanty (0.2%).

Technologically the management of raw materials should have been very complex (Collina 2009). As a matter of fact the local flint appears to be used in order to obtain both flakes and blades through the direct-percussion technique, with both soft and hard hammer.

Flint coming from external sources, on the contrary, is almost exclusively treated for preparing blades and bladelets by a number of different techniques: direct percussion, indirect percussion and pressure (Graph 50-1).



Graph 1. "Struttura elementare" (Laplace 1968) of lithic assemblages.

A functional analysis carried out on two samples of materials coming from two different areas A and B (100 elements from each areas), identifies some interesting aspects of the economy of the site and provides relevant information on the spatial organization of the settlement.

The analysis highlighted some internal peculiarities of every area (Table 1):

Inside A, beyond structures with various morphologies, the remnants of a hut have been found, consisting of a plaster floor surrounded by a series of holes. In this area there must have been major activity differentiation, as indicated by the diffusion of semi-soft materials, such as sickles for vegetable stuffs, whose presence is probably due to the nearness of this area to the cultivated fields.

Inside B, a cobbled pavement of around 70-80 sq.m in size was found, unfortunately partly damaged by modern ploughing activities.

	Ripatetta A		Ripatetta B
Total artefacts	2792		768
Artefacts analysed	100		100
Artefacts with traces	67		66
Meat	3,1 %	/o	4,5 %
Hide	9,5 %	/o	16,6 %
Butchering	0 %		4,5 %
Cereals	7,9 %	6	1,5 %
Plant/ Wood	12,7	%	12,1 %
Bone	3,1 %	6	3 %
Antler	0 %		0 %
Mat. Soft.	3,1 %	/o	1,5 %
Mat. Semi-hard	23,8 %		24,1 %
Mat. Hard	1,5 %		10,6 %
Unknown	34,8%		21,2%
Maddalena di Muccia			
Total artefacts (sect. XII-X	XIII)	1218	
Artefacts analysed		100	
Artefacts with traces		71	
Meat		2,8 %	
Hide	Hide		
Butchering		2,7 %	
Cereal		4,2 %	
Plant/ Wood		7,04	
Bone		5,6 %	
Antler		5,6 %	
Mat. Soft.		4,2 %	
Mat. Semi-hard		32,3	
Mat. Hard		7,4 %	
Unknown		18,3	
Chinitown		70	

Tab. 1. Ripatetta. Use wear by classes.

Data show the prominent presence of edges with traces due to hide/meatprocessing, or processes related to butchering activity. Furthermore some elements, in a greater amount here than in A, show very damaged edges, hence they could be associated with hard material processing. For the latter it is often impossible to distinguish the polish and the damage of active edges depending on contact with bone or antler.

Thus we may hypothesize that area B could have been used for treating animal substances in every phase of their processing (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2. Ripatetta. 2.1 and 2.2 fragment of sickle blade used to cereal harvesting (1200x With SEM); 2.3 and 2.4 Burin utilised for butchering activity (100x).

3. Case 2. Santo Stefano (AQ, Abruzzo)

Towards the North lies the site of S. Stefano. The excavations were carried out by the University of Pisa between 1988 and 2002 on a surface

of about 230 sq.m. On the basis of the archaeological deposit is revealed a cobbled paving discovered to be over 85 sq. m, cut in a later phase by a duct.

The pottery found inside the deposit belongs to the facies of Middle-Adriatic Impressed Pottery with some southern influences, mostly referable to Guadone's facies (Fabbri 2006).

The lower strata of the excavation could be dated between 6843 ± 40 BP (LTL60A) and 6823 ± 55 BP (LTL526A), while the most recent term is provided by the dating of section 3 to 6579 ± 60 BP (LTL57A).

The mostly used raw material in the stone industry is the flint coming from the neighbouring area, whose suspected origin has been identified by macro and microscopic analysis in the Genzana Mount (Radi and Danese 2003). With respect to other contemporary sites, S. Stefano shows a high diffusion of obsidian, gradually increasing from lower to upper levels, up to 10% of the total.

As has been highlighted in other sites, the direct percussion technique has been used to obtain flakes, while several techniques have been used, since the oldest phases, to produce blades. The tendency to use a pressure technique increases over time (Fabbri et al. 2009) (Graph 2).



Graph. 2. S. Stefano. Main tool type classes (Laplace, 1968) of lithic assemblage.

A still ongoing functional analysis of the materials has been undertaken. Only a very limited sample of manufacturers, such as End Scrapers, Geometrics, Becs, Becked Point and Sickles, has been analyzed until now (60 elements).

Borers and Becked Points are mostly used for piercing. Only in one case did a point reveal itself to being possibly used as a projectile. The use

of End Scrapers is heterogeneous. In most cases they show undifferentiated polishing and scarce damage of the active edges that do not correspond exclusively to the retouched edge.

On the other hand, analysis of the class of Geometric objects has been difficult. No element has remnants of polish. Some objects show halfmoon microscars along the margin of the main basis, often broken at one or both ends. Their scanty traces and the bibliographic information suggest, in some cases, their use as barbs on projectile weapons.

A careful analysis is also currently taking place on the elements bearing gloss traces.

The most frequent type of trace seems to be a smooth polish due to cereal harvesting. In these cases, the gloss could show oblique or, more rarely, parallel dispersion, revealing probably different hafting typologies or use. Furthermore, a set of elements with denticulate lateral edges shows the dispersion of the polish along a narrow strip parallel to the margin above which there are deep striations. Such artefacts could have been used for cutting hard siliceous plants as reeds.

The vertical distribution of the sickles inside the deposit reveals variations in their presence and morphology. An increase of these elements could be observed over time. The same progression could also be seen in the usage of modified artefacts with abrupt-retouch, lateral or just on one of the edges. The elements with parallel distribution of the gloss show an opposite tendency, tending to decrease in time (Fig. 3).



Fig 3. Santo Stefano. 6.1 trace possibly originated by reed cutting (100x); 6.2 polish related to cereals harvesting (100x); 6.3 polish due to contact with bone.

4. Case 3. Maddalena di Muccia (MC, Marche)

Going northward, following the Adriatic coast lies the site of Maddalena di Muccia.

The investigation of the site was divided into two phases. In 1962 Lollini (Lollini 1965) started the excavations, investigating a c. 60 sq.m

extended area, where several embedded structures were discovered. After about 40 years, the Universities of Pisa and Rome with the collaboration of the Soprintendenza delle Marche, restarted the exploration of the site over a 450 sq.m extended area, bringing to the surface a new part of the settlement.

The analysis of the materials puts the site inside the impressed pottery culture of Abruzzo and Marche. The dating traces the duration of the site between 6638 ± 59 BP and 5375 ± 60 BP.

On this site a complete techno-typological analysis of lithic assemblage was conducted on two (XII and XIII) of the sectors in which the site was divided during the excavation.

The raw material mostly used is flint; often the "scaglia rossa" type, probably coming from the neighbouring areas. From a technological point of view, this site uses two different operational chains. The production of blades and bladelets was made by pressure-flaking on carefully prepared cores. Instead direct percussion was used in order to obtain flakes and laminar flakes (Radi et al. 2003) (Graph 50-3).



Graph 3. Maddalena di Muccia. Main tool type classes (Laplace, 1968) of lithic assemblage.

Functional analysis (Table 1) evidenced a general sketch of the activities carried out on the site and their impact on its economy.

In the sample from Muccia, those artefacts having had contact with hard animal substances such as bone and antler happen to be in the majority. The edges used for hide treatment appear in a lesser quantity, mostly for the treatment of fresh hide. In fact there is no trace of edges with strong rounding and a developed polish. Even though in a lesser quantity, there are instruments related to butchering activities (2.8%) or used as projectile points (1.4%).

In Muccia it was possible to identify a typological group which specialized in a particular type of processing: burins, showing a transversal detachment (burin spall) on the distal edge. The manufactures present a strong damage on the ventral portion of the margin close to the biseau, which in most cases has been detached. The presence of deep scars and the lack of polish suggest their use in processing hard substances. In particular, the irregular course of the macro-flake scars could have been caused by the pressure of the object against the roughness, characterizing the antler of the cervid. The form of the supports suggests a manual prehension of the object, with the burin facet exploited as grasp help (Fig. 4).



Fig. 4. Maddalena di Muccia. 7.1 pressure production in "Scaglia Rossa"; 7.2 and 7.3 Sickle and polish related to cereals harvesting (100x); 7.4 and 7.5 Burin and trace originated by working hard substances.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the data available enabled us to make some considerations. In general data show a tendency to scarce exploitation of manufacturers, highlighted by the frequent presence of undifferentiated polishing, suggesting the use of the active margins for quite short periods. This aspect could be due to the abundance of raw material all over the area. Traces of hafting are rare. Few elements preserve residues of mastic used for pasting a piece into the haft. There is a higher concurrence between typology and function among some groups of material like Burins, Borers and End Scrapers, due to clear morphologic motivations. On every site we can observe the tendency to create standardized groups under the aspect of form and dimension specialized in some activities. Transversal Burins from Maddalena di Muccia and microlithic trapezes from S. Stefano seem to belong to this typology of standardized productions. Between the later a set of larger and flat backed knives morphologically inscribed in the "halfmoon" type, whose active margin is the unretouched side, was found in Ripatetta.

Results from the functional analysis on the described stone complex enabled us to integrate our notions on the Italian Neolithic sites and highlighted their economic strategies.

The Neolithic sites have appeared as complex entities since the older phases. Inside many activities were carried out, with a precise internal distribution, as is evident in Ripatetta. Some of these activities have been revealed exclusively by traces of use, as in the case of the burins from Maddalena di Muccia that reveal the presence of antler processing.

Inside the stone complex, one can observe the production of groups of instruments that are morphologically standardized and specialized to some specific activities. The meaning to be attributed to these productions in the old Neolithic as well as in later periods is still to be clarified. In some cases, these instruments seem to suggest the presence inside the villages of people qualified to carry out particular activities while continuing to use the same instruments. It is not yet possible to exclude that in some cases the presence of those instruments is simply related to a form of cultural tradition or a "habit" of every site for using a given morphology for specific kinds of work.

Acknowledgements

I would like to *thank* the Institute of Soprintendenza Archeologica delle Marche, especially Dott. Mara Silvestrini for the interesting materials from Maddalena di Muccia. My deepest *thanks to Professor Carlo* Tozzi and Professor Giovanna Radi of Pisa University for allowing me to study the lithic industries from Ripatetta and S.Stefano.

References

- Collina, C., 2009. Evolution des industries lithiques du Néolithique ancien en Italie du sud : analyse des chaînes opératoires et identifications des systèmes techniques, PHD Thesis, Università di Roma and Aix-Marseille I.
- Fabbri, C., 2006. L'insediamento di Colle Santo Stefano (Bacino del Fucino, AQ): studio tipologico e tecnologico della ceramica. Ph.D Thesis, Università degli studi di Siena.
- Fabbri, C., Petrinelli Pannocchia, C., Radi, G., in press. Colle Santo Stefano di Ortucchio. Evoluzione della ceramica e dell'industria litica scheggiata a confronto, in: Atti del 3 convegno di studi "il Fucino e le aree limitrofe dell'antichità". Avezzano (AQ) 13-15 novembre 2009.
- Keeley, L.H., 1980. Experimental Determination of Stone Tools Uses: A Microwear Analysis. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Laplace, g., 1968. Recherches de typologie analytique.1968, Origini, II, Roma.
- Lollini, D.G., 1965. Il neolitico delle Marche alla luce delle recenti scoperte, in: Atti VI Congresso, I.I.P.P., II, pp. 309-315.
- Petrinelli Pannocchia, C., 2003. L'industria litica di Fossacesia (st. 2-9), in: Atti XXXVI Riunione Scientifica Preistoria e Protostoria, Firenze, pp. 625-628.
- Radi, G., Negrino, F., Petrinelli Pannocchia, C., Angeli, L., 2005. Osservazioni sull'industria litica di Maddalena di Muccia (Neolitico antico), in: Atti XXXVIII Riunione Scientifica Preistoria e Protostoria delle Marche, vol. 1, Portonovo di Ancona - Abbadia di Fiastra 2005, pp. 232-244.
- Radi, G., Ronchitelli, A.M., 2002. Le industrie litiche, in: Fugazzola Delpino M. A., Pessina A., Tiné V. (eds), Le Ceramiche Impresse nel Neolitico Antico- Italia e Mediterraneo, pp. 251-268.
- Silvestrini, M., Manfredini, A., Radi, G. 2005. L'abitato di Maddalena di Muccia (Macerata). Problemi e prospettive di ricerca, in: Atti della XXXVIII Riunione Scientifica IIPP, Preistoria e Protostoria delle Marche, pp. 221-230.
- Tozzi, C., Tasca, G. 1989. Il villaggio neolitico di Ripatetta. I risultati delle ricerche 1988, in: Atti del X convegno sulla Preistoria-Protostoria-Storia della Daunia, San Severo, I, pp. 39-49.
- Tringham, R., Cooper, G., Odell, G.H., Voytek, B., Whitman, A., 1974. Experimentation in the formation of edge-damage: a new approach to lithic analysis, Journal of Field Archaeology, 1, pp. 171-196.