- 1 Drought stress adaptation modulates plant secondary metabolite production in Salvia
- 2 dolomitica Codd.

3

- 4 Matteo Caser<sup>1\*</sup>, Walter Chitarra<sup>2,3</sup>, Francesca D'Angiolillo<sup>4</sup>, Irene Perrone<sup>2</sup>, Sonia Demasi<sup>1</sup>,
- 5 Claudio Lovisolo<sup>1</sup>, Luisa Pistelli<sup>5</sup>, Laura Pistelli<sup>4</sup>, Valentina Scariot<sup>1</sup>

6

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini
- 8 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy. E-mail: matteo.caser@unito.it; sonia.demasi@unito.it;
- 9 claudio.lovisolo@unito.it; valentina.scariot@unito.it
- <sup>2</sup>Institute for Sustainable Plant Protection, National Research Council (IPSP-CNR), Strada delle
- 11 Cacce 73, 10135, Torino (TO), Italy. E-mail: walter.chitarra@crea.gov.it; irene.perrone@ipsp.cnr.it
- <sup>3</sup>CREA-VE, Viticulture and Enology Research Centre, Viale XXVIII Aprile 26, 31015, Conegliano
- 13 (TV), Italy. E-mail: walter.chitarra@crea.gov.it
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Agriculture, Food, and Environment, University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto 80,
- 15 56124, Pisa, Italy. E-mail: francescadangiolillo@libero.it; pistelli@agr.unipi.it
- <sup>5</sup>Department of Pharmacy, University of Pisa, Via Bonanno 33, 56126, Pisa, Italy. E-mail:
- 17 luisa.pistelli@unipi.it

18

- 19 \*Corresponding author: Matteo Caser, Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences,
- 20 University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy, tel. +390116708935;
- fax +390116708798; mail: matteo.caser@unito.it

22

23

24

25

#### Abstract

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Sages are important medicinal and aromatic plants. While Salvia officinalis and S. miltiorrhiza have been widely studied, poor information are available on S. dolomitica which is recently addressing attention for its antiplasmodial and anti-inflammatory properties. The current study investigated the performances and the metabolic profile of this minor species in response to drought stress. Plants were exposed to three treatments: control, moderate drought stress, or severe drought stress. Changes in growth and ecophysiological traits, in active and volatile compounds, and essential oils production were determined. As the terpenoids are the most representative class of secondary metabolites, the gene expression of key enzymes of terpenoid biosynthesis has been also investigated. Moderate drought induced a drop of leaf water potential, growth, and stomatal conductance, while an increase of deyhdrin expression level. However, serious stress symptoms such as decrease of net photosynthesis and transpiration rate and increase of endogenous abscisic acid were observed only in severe drought stressed plants. Both drought stress conditions lead to modulate the expression of some genes involved in BVOCs and EOs biosynthesis and the metabolic profile. In particular, drought induced an increase of sesquiterpenes, a class of terpenoids with high importance in food, cosmetic and pharmaceutical industry. Thus, we can speculate that moderate drought stress, in addition to allowing water savings during cultivation, can lead to an improvement in the production of secondary metabolites in S. dolomitica.

45

46 **Keywords:** ABA; farnesyl diphosphate synthase; sage; sesquiterpenes; volatilome; water deprivation; medicinal and aromatic plants; metabolome

#### 1. Introduction

Drought, in combination with high levels of irradiance and increasing temperature, is considered the most severe abiotic stress that inhibits plant survival (Morales et al., 2013; Nogués et al., 2015). Water shortage induced a series of changes at morphological, physiological, biochemical and molecular level that adversely affect plant growth, health, and productivity (Mitchell et al., 2013; Caser et al., 2016, 2017 and 2018).

The quality of medicinal and aromatic plants (MAPs) relies on the composition and concentration of plant secondary metabolites (PSMs), which are definitely influenced by environmental conditions such as drought (Kleinwächter and Selmar, 2015; Mandoulakani et al., 2017). This effect occurs to all major classes of PSMs and depends on plant species and cultivation practices (Kleinwächter et al., 2015).

Among PSMs, terpenoids represent the most diverse and largest class of compounds produced by plants (Tholl, 2015). Mono- and sesquiterpenes are the main constituents of biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) and essential oils (EOs), providing typical aroma and biological properties (Raut and Karuppayil, 2014; Loreto et al., 2014; Nogués et al., 2015; Caser et al., 2016; Moradi et al., 2017; Radwan et al., 2017).

Recently, a model was described to explain how drought affects PSMs production (Selmar and Kleinwächter, 2013). Authors reported that, during water-shortage conditions, the stomata are closed to minimize transpiration and impairs influx of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) into the leaves. Consequently, the lower content of CO<sub>2</sub> molecules is fixed via the Calvin Cycle and the fewer reduced reduction equivalents (e.g. NADPH + H<sup>+</sup>) are consumed and re-oxidized. Thus, large amounts of NADPH + H<sup>+</sup> accumulate, generating an over-reduced state. In this condition, plants promote all reactions to consume NADPH + H<sup>+</sup>, including terpenoids and phenols biosynthesis. Generally, PSMs changes induced by drought improve the product quality of many MAPs (Mandoulakani et al., 2017; Caser et al., 2018; Kleinwächter and Selmar, 2014). However, this model has not been effectively addressed so far. Recently, in *Salvia officinalis*, Radwan et al.

(2017) verified that the increase of monoterpenes biosynthesis was due not only to a passive shift caused by the stress related over-reduced status, but also to an active biosynthesis of plant growth regulators, changes of biochemical pathway and up-regulation of the main genes involved in terpenoids. In *S. miltiorrhiza*, Ma et al. (2012) isolated and studied the expression of several genes, coding different enzymes involved in both the 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathway and the mevalonate (MVA) pathway leading to terpenoid biosynthesis. These enzymes, starting from the universal isoprene precursor isopentenyl diphosphate and its isomer lead to the formation of diverse terpenoids such as mono (C10)- and sesqui (C15)-terpenoids, carotenoids and chlorophylls, and bicyclic diterpenoids activated during biotic and abiotic stress responses (Prisic et al., 2004; Wenping et al., 2011).

This study aimed to contribute to unravel the mechanisms underlying the answers to drought stress in *Salvia dolomitica* Codd, addressing particular attention to the impact on PSMs.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Plant material and experimental conditions

A total of 120 clonally propagated plants of *Salvia dolomitica* Codd. were transplanted in plastic pots (9 cm in diameter) containing peat (Silver Torf, Agrochimica, Bolzano, Italy) and Agriperlite® (70:30). A slow-release fertilizer (Osmocote 15:11:13; Scotts Europe, The Netherland) was used. Cultivation lasted for a total of 34 days and was performed in a climate chamber with semi-controlled growth conditions (25 °C, 60% air humidity, 300 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 16/8 h photoperiod), located at the University of Torino (Italy, 45°06'23.21''N Lat, 7°57'82.83''E Long). A complete randomized design with three levels of irrigation was applied. The levels of irrigation were: 100% container capacity (CC) as well-watered (WW, control), 50% CC as moderate drought stress (MDS) or 0% CC as severe drought stress (SDS) treatments. For each irrigation regime, a total of 40 plants (four replications with 10 plants each) were treated. All the water amounts were kept constant throughout the experiment by gravimetric determinations as reported by Caser et al.

101 (2016). Morphological, physiological and biochemical parameters were monitored after 0, 4, 7, 11,

14, 18, 21, 25, 28, 32 and 34 days of cultivation to describe the plant responses to drought during

time.

## 2.2. Morphological parameters

Plant growth (Growth Index, G.I.) was monitored by estimating the occupied volume of each plant through the measurement of the height, the broadest diameter and the perpendicular diameter (Demasi et al., 2017). At the end of the experiment (day 34), roots and aerial parts of ten plants per irrigation level were weighted to record fresh biomass. Later, they were oven-dried at 45 °C for one

week and dry biomass was measured. Root to aerial (R:A) dry weight ratio was calculated.

### 2.3. Photosynthetic pigments

The relative quantity of chlorophyll was measured on six leaves per plant, randomly selected, for a total of six plants per irrigation level, by using the Chlorophyll Meter SPAD-502 (Konica Minolta Sensing Inc., Osaka, Japan).

Chlorophyll and carotenoids were extracted from 50 mg of fresh fully formed leaves from six plants per irrigation level. After an over-night extraction in 5 ml of methanol at 4 °C in the dark, pigments were spectrophotometrically determined at 665, 652, and 470 nm using a Ultrospec 2100 pro (Amersham Biosciences, UK) as described by Caser et al. (2013). The data were reported in mg g-1 leaf fresh weight (FW).

## 2.4. Phenols, flavonoids and antioxidant activity

One-hundred mg of fresh leaves per irrigation level were powdered and homogenized with 1 ml of aqueous solution at 70% (v/v) methanol. After 30 minutes on ice, the extracts were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 25 °C to recover the supernatant for the following determination of phenol and flavonoid content, and the antioxidant activity.

The total phenols were determined colorimetrically using Folin-Ciocalteau's reagents, as described by Singleton and Rossi (1965) and indicated as mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE) g<sup>-1</sup>FW. Total flavonoid content was also determined spectrophotometrically using the colorimetric method of Kim et al. (2003), based on the formation of a complex flavonoid-aluminium and indicated as mg g<sup>-1</sup>FW. The antioxidant activity was determined by using the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) method with minor modification (Szôllôsi and Szôllôsi Varga, 2002) and indicated as µmol Fe<sup>2+</sup>mg<sup>-1</sup>. The working solution always freshly prepared contained 7.5 mM acetate buffer, pH 3.6, 0.1 mM tripyridyltriazine (TPTZ), and 0.05 mM FeCl<sub>3</sub> 6H2O. At low pH, when the tripyridyltriazine (Fe<sup>3+</sup>-TPTZ) complex is reduced to the ferrous form (Fe<sup>2+</sup>), an intensive blue color of (Fe<sup>2+</sup>-TPTZ) can be monitored spectrophotometrically at 593 nm.

Samples were measured in three replicates. At the end of the experiment (day 34), the total amount of total phenols, flavonoids and antioxidant activity per plant (mg plant FW<sup>-1</sup>) was estimated on the

# 2.5. Ecophysiological evaluation

basis of the aerial fresh biomass.

The method of Scholander et al. (1965) was used to estimate the midday leaf water potential (MLWP; MPa) in three mature and fully expanded leaves of six plants per irrigation level with a pressure bomb (Soil Moisture Equipment, Santa Barbara, CA, USA). Moreover, the internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Ci; µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>), the transpiration rate (E; mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), the stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ; mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), and the net photosynthetic rate (A; µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) were measured with a portable infrared gas analyser ADC-LCPro+ (The Analytical Development Company Ltd., Hoddesdon, UK). These parameters were monitored in healthy and fully expanded leaves of six plants per irrigation level between 10:00 and 12:00 a.m. when the vapour pressure deficit (VPD) was constantly around 2.4 kPa ( $\pm$  0.06 std err) with air temperature of 26.6  $\pm$  0.11 °C.

### 2.6. Endogenous abscisic acid determination

The concentration of endogenous abscisic acid (ABA) was quantified every week in mature leaves of six plants per irrigation level through an High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), based on Solid Phase Extraction (SPE) (Bosco et al., 2013; Demasi et al., 2017). Leaves were grounded in liquid nitrogen and 0.5 g of each sample was suspended in 4 ml of the extraction solution (65% pure methanol, 25% ultrapure water, 10% aqueous hydrogen chloride 1 M) for 2 h at 4 °C, in the dark. Samples were then filtered and the eluates were added to a SPE cartridge (Supelclean SPE LC-NH2, Supelco Analytical, USA). ABA was eluted with 5% of phosphoric acid (H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) in methanol. The procedure was carried out under artificial light with amber glassware, preventing degradation. The chromatographic analysis of the eluate was performed with HPLC 1200 Series (Agilent Technologies, Böblingen, Germany) and the signal was monitored at 265 nm with a diode array detector. The content (pmol mg<sup>-1</sup> FW) was calculated based on a calibration curve constructed from the matrix-matched calibration standards.

#### 2.7. Analysis of biogenic volatile organic compounds

The BVOCs evaluation was conducted on 3 grams of shoots by using a Supelco Solid Phase Micro Extraction (SPME) (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) with polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, 100 μm) at day 14. Each sample was introduced into a 100 ml glass conical flask and equilibrated for 30 min at 25°C. After the equilibration time, the fiber was exposed to the headspace for 15 min at room temperature; once sampling was finished the fiber was withdrawn into the needle and transferred to the injection port of the Gas Chromatography–Electron Impact Mass Spectrometry (GC-EIMS) system where the fiber was desorbed. GC-EIMS analysis was performed with a Varian CP 3800 gas chromatograph (Varian, Inc., Palo Alto, CA) equipped with a DB-5 capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm; coating thickness 0.25 μm) and a Varian Saturn 2000 ion trap mass detector chromatograph (Varian, Inc., Palo Alto, CA). Analytical conditions were: injector and transfer line temperature at 250 °C and 240 °C, respectively; oven temperature programmed from 60 °C to 240 °C at 3 °C min<sup>-1</sup>; helium as carrier gas set at 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>; and the injection in splitless mode.

Identification of the constituents was conducted by the comparison of their retention times with those of authentic samples, and on computer matching against commercial (Adams, 1995) and home-made library mass spectra built from pure substances and MS literature data (Davies, 1990).

The relative proportions of the volatile constituents were expressed in percentages obtained by peak-area normalisation, and all relative response factors were taken as one.

#### 2.8. Essential oil isolation

Twenty grams of dried leaves were hydrodistilled using a Clevenger-type apparatus (2 h). The yields of distillation were not determined due to the low amount of the starting plant material. The EOs obtained were solubilized in n-hexane, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and filtered, then stored in a vial at 4 °C in the dark until use. GC-EIMS was used to analyze all the EO obtained (injection of  $0.2 \mu L$ ) as reported by Caser et al. (2016).

#### 2.9. RNA isolation and real time RT-PCR analysis

Leaves collected from six plants at the end of the experiment (day 34) were pooled to form three biological replicates (two plants for each biological replicate). Total RNA was extracted using the Spectrum™ Plant Total RNA extraction kit (Sigma Aldrich) starting from 80 mg of material, and RNA quantity were checked using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA was then treated with DNase I (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. For each biological replicate, first-strand cDNA was synthesized starting from 500 ng of total RNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Since the absence of *S. dolomitica* reference genome, gene-specific primers (Table 1) were selected on the base of the closest phylogenetically species, *S. miltiorrhiza* and designed using Primer Express® software (v3.0, Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Reactions were carried out using Power SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher

Scientific) as reported in Chitarra et al. (2017). Three technical replicates were run for each biological replicate, and the expression of target genes was quantified after normalization to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) housekeeping gene. The results were calculated as expression ratios (Relative Quantity, RQ) to control (WW).

#### 2.10. Statistical methods

Data were firstly tested for the variance homogeneity. All the measured and the derived data were then subjected to post-hoc test using Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch-F test (REGW-F) and Tukey's test for gene expression analyses. The critical value for statistical significance was P < 0.05. All computations were conducted with SPSS statistical package (version 21.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

## 3. Results and discussion

### 218 3.1. Plant growth

Moderate drought stress (MDS) and severe drought stress (SDS) reduced growth starting from day 21 onward when compared to well-watered (WW) (G.I. Table 2). MDS and SDS also drastically reduced the total (-74% and -83%, respectively), aerial (-80% and -82%, respectively) and root dry biomass (-60% and -85%, respectively) compared to control(Table 3).

Dehydration often reduces the overall plant growth (Caser et al., 2012, 2016, 2017 and 2018; Soni and Abdin, 2017), attributable to the considerable reduction of photosynthesis, cell turgidity, cell growth, and to the increasing evapotranspiration (Rahimi et al., 2017). This was seen in many MAPs, such as *Mentha pulegium* (Hassanpour et al., 2014), *M. piperita* (Rahimi et al., 2017), *M. spicata* (Delfine et al., 2005) and *Rosmarinus officinalis* (Delfine et al., 2005). Within the genus *Salvia*, different morphological responses to drought are reported in literature. No differences in biomass production was observed in drought stressed *S. officinalis* plants (Radwan et al., 2017)

while, a reduction was seen in other *Salvia* species as *S. splendens* (Burnett et al., 2005), *S. miltiorrhiza* (Liu et al., 2011) and in a previous study on *S. dolomitica* (Caser et al., 2012).

S. dolomitica significantly increased the root to aerial ratio when grown in MDS in comparison to WW (+100%) and SDS treatments (+244%). Roots are the only source to acquire water from soil, therefore the root density and size are key responses of plants to drought stress. Mediterranean plant species typically have higher R:A ratios than plants from more mesic biomes (Valliere and Allen, 2016), possibly as a result of the adaptation to seasonal drought. Besides, Mahajan and Tuteja (2005) reviewed that leaves are generally more sensitive to stresses than roots. This often results in an increase in R:A when water is limited, as also seen in S. sinaloensis, Allium cepa and Artemisia californica (Farooq et al., 2009; Valliere and Allen, 2016; Caser et al., 2018). However, when drought conditions are too severe, there is also a dramatic reduction of roots, as proved in Helichrysum petiolare (Caser et al., 2016).

#### 3.2. Photosynthetic pigments

A decrease in chlorophyll and carotenoid content in plants subjected to drought stress is commonly known in several species, including MAPs (Caser et al., 2016). In *S. dolomitica*, only SDS significantly reduced the content of both pigments compared to WW and MDS starting from day 21 (total chlorophylls: 1.46, 1.45 and 1.19 mg g<sup>-1</sup>; carotenoids: 2.93, 2.75 and 1.54 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in WW, MDS and SDS, respectively) up to their senescence (Table 4), combined to a growth reduction at the same time points, as previously seen in *S. sinaloensis* (Caser et al., 2018). Differently, in *S. officinalis* a strong reduction in chlorophyll content was observed also in plants treated with MDS (-78.5%) (Bettaieb et al., 2011).

According to Flexas and Medrano (2002), green leaf colour in C<sub>3</sub> plants can be reduced by increasing drought stress. However, in the present study no significant differences on SPAD values among treatments were noted (Table 4), as previously found in *S. dolomitica* and *S. sinaloensis* by Caser et al. (2012 and 2018).

3.3. Phenols, flavonoids and antioxidant activity

Drought induces oxidative stress in plants, in which reactive oxygen species (ROS) are commonly produced (Munné-Bosch and Peñuelas, 2003). Polyphenols and flavonoids are among the most adaptable natural compounds, helping plants to scavenge ROS (di Fernando et al., 2014). An increase of phenolic compounds biosynthesis was seen in drought-stressed plants of *Labisia pumila* (Jaafar et al., 2012), *Salvia officinalis* (Radwan et al., 2017) and *S. sinaloensis* (Caser et al., 2018).

In the present study, the rate of total phenols, flavonoids and antioxidant activity in treated plants was evaluated during the entire experiment (Table 5). *S. dolomitica* plants subjected to SDS conditions showed a strong decrease in the content of total phenols, flavonoids and antioxidant activity starting from day 4 till day 11. Later, no differences occurred among treatments in total phenols and flavonoids till the end of the experiment. No differences among WW and MDS occurred in phenols and flavonoids content, with the exception for day 32 (29.0 and 12.7 mg GAE g<sup>-1</sup> of phenols and 8.3 and 5.7 mg g<sup>-1</sup> of flavonoids in WW and MDS, respectively). Regarding antioxidant activity, at day 25, SDS induced a sharply significant increase compared to other treatments (98.7, 101.5 and 153.1 μmol Fe<sup>2+</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> in WW, MDS and SDS, respectively). This time point coincides with the complete senescence. At the end of the experiment (day 25 for SDS and day 34 for WW and MDS), the total amount of total phenols, flavonoids and antioxidant activity per plant was estimated on the basis of the plant fresh biomass (Table 5). Here, results highlighted that all the parameters were significantly reduced by MDS and SDS compared to WW (305.2, 53.2 and 20.5 mg GAEg<sup>-1</sup> of phenols, 105.7, 17.1 and 5.3 mg g<sup>-1</sup> of flavonoids and 1815.8, 337.5 and 134.7 μmol Fe<sup>2+</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> of antioxidant activity, respectively).

Considering that drought-tolerant species are known to increase the accumulation of antioxidants, which help to protect plant cells from ROS (Moradi et al., 2017), these results point at

*S. dolomitica* as a drought-sensitive species. A low amount of these metabolites produced under drought stress conditions was also seen in *S. miltiorrhiza* (Liu et al., 2011).

## 3.4. Ecophysiological traits

The decline in the levels of pigments (chlorophyll and carotenoids) under drought stress conditions, in parallel to the increased level of stress, is in tune with depressed physiological needs of photosynthetic activity in favour of lower water loss and assuming lower growth. As expected, in S. dolomitica water shortage affected midday leaf water potential (MLWP), internal  $CO_2$  concentration (Ci), transpiration rate (E), stomatal conductance (gs) and net photosynthetic rate (A) (Figure 1).

The MLWP in the WW plants kept constant during the entire experiment (-0.34 MPa) (Fig. 1A). In MDS plants, MLWP was significantly lower at the days 18, 28, 32 and 34 (-0.40, -0.52, -0.50 and -0.46 MPa, respectively) compared to controls. Severe drought stress significantly constantly reduced MLWP from day 7 (-0.53 MPa) until day 25 (-1.00 MPa), when the complete leaf withering occurred. Within the genus *Salvia*, similar results were found also in *S. splendens* 'Bonfire' and *S. sinaloensis* whose leaves reached a LWP of -1.40 and -1.10 MPa under similar severe drought conditions, respectively (Eakes et al., 1991; Caser et al., 2018). Even more, *S. officinalis* and *S. mellifera* plants under the same stress conditions showed much lower LWP (-4.8 and -8.0 MPa, respectively) (Hargrave et al., 1994; Bettaieb et al., 2011).

No differences in Ci were observed between WW and MDS plants, ranging between 255.0 and 483.4 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> during the experiment (Fig. 1B), while a significant increase in SDS plants was observed starting from day 14 (423.0 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) to day 25 (493.0 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>). Similarly, also in E no differences between WW and MDS plants were highlighted (Fig. 1C), whereas, a significant decrease occurred in SDS plants in the days 4, 7, 11, 14 and 25, compared to the other treatments. Regarding stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) (Fig. 1D), differences between WW and MDS plants occurred at days 7, 21, 25, 28 and 34. SDS plants showed a significant and constant decrease starting from

day 7 (0.10 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) until the complete senescence (0.01 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Net photosynthetic rate (A) (Fig. 1E) followed a similar trend in SDS treatment starting from day 14 (1.78 µmol mol<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Differences between WW and MDS appeared only at day 25 (15.32 and 5.16 µmol mol<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The decrease of photosynthetic activity under drought stress may be due to stomatal or non-stomatal mechanisms (Caser et al., 2018). In drought tolerant species, the reduction of photosynthesis is due to stomatal closure and limitation of water losses. In drought sensitive plants, the reduction of net photosynthesis is mainly due to water shortage, inducing severe damages in plants. Here, SDS strongly reduced the assimilation processes, with a significant decrease of  $g_s$  and saving internal CO<sub>2</sub>, suggesting an efficient adaptive stomatal modulation.

## 3.5. Endogenous ABA content

Abscisic acid (ABA) is known to be synthesized under different stress conditions (Demasi et al., 2017) either at the root or leaf level. ABA has an inhibitory effect on cell growth and it leads to the depolarization of guard cell membranes triggering osmotic ion efflux and the loss of guard cell turgor (McAdam and Brodribb, 2016).

Endogenous ABA content in the leaves of *S. dolomitica* under WW and MDS plants did not differ during the entire experiment with a mean value equal to 0.16 pmol mg<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 1F). On the contrary, SDS induced a strong significant increase in the hormone concentration already at day 7 (~14 fold more than WW and MDS) until the complete plant senescence (~39 fold more than WW and MDS). Endogenous ABA plays an important role in drought adaptation and, in *S. dolomitica* rapidly increased under severe water shortage conditions, enhancing drought tolerance as was observed in leaves of *Cichorium intybus* treated with similar severe drought conditions (Ghanaatiyan and Sadeghi, 2017).

Within the genus *Salvia* only few studies reported the content of ABA in response to not optimal growing conditions. Kondrat'eva et al. (2008) found an increase of ABA in *S. sclarea* under

cold stress (ranged between 5.1 and 7.1 pmol mg<sup>-1</sup>). While, Asensi-Fabado et al. (2013) in *S. officinalis* under heat stress (ranged between 3.0 and 6.0 pmol mg<sup>-1</sup>).

## 3.6. Biogenic volatile organic compounds production

Intensity and profile of BVOCs emitted by plants is dependent on the genetic variability and plasticity of phenotypes (Dicke and Loreto, 2010). Their emission can vary drastically depending on the species, organ, developmental stage and environmental conditions (Holopainen amd Gershenzon, 2010). Several authors highlighted that any stress condition could potentially alter the rate and composition of BVOCs (Niinemets et al., 2013). As reported by Loreto et al. (2014) under stress conditions, the investment of carbon into foliar BVOC increases, resulting in considerably larger quantities being released into the atmosphere. In fact, abiotic and biotic stresses can enhance their emission to communicate with other organisms (Loreto and Schnitzler, 2010).

The total emitted and identified BVOCs from the analyzed shoots of *S. dolomitica* are reported in Table 6. Overall, a number of 36, 33 and 37 compounds were recognized in WW, MDS and SDS plants, accounting for 94.43%, 81.38% and 98.18% of the total compositions, respectively. Figure 2A shows how the main volatile fractions changed in *S. dolomitica* plants subjected to different drought treatments. Well watered plants were characterized mainly by monoterpene hydrocarbons (mh); this volatile fraction strongly decreased due to increasing stress conditions (57.71%, 30.97% and 29.41% in WW, MDS and SDS, respectively). Conversely, under MDS and SDS conditions, an increase in sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (sh) was highlighted (34.09%, 47.19% and 66.32% in WW, MDS and SDS, respectively). Drought conditions softly affected also the production of the other reported volatile molecule class, the oxygenated monoterpene (om) (1.72%, 2.92% and 2.19% in WW, MDS and SDS, respectively).

Several recent reviews addressed the roles of BVOCs in enhancing the tolerance of plants to various general abiotic stressors (Possel and Loreto, 2013). However, the literature concerning BVOC emission in relation to water availability is ambiguous. *S. dolomitica* as other Labiatae

species accumulate terpenes in specialized structures (i.e. glandular hairs) (Bassolino et al., 2015) and their terpene emission is considered to be the consequence of terpene volatilization from these structures, which is generally temperature dependent (Llusia and Peñuelas, 2000). In the present study, plants under severe stress conditions showed an increase in the total amount of analysed components and a strong decrease of hydrogenated monoterpenens in concomitance with a sharp increase of hydrogenated sesquiterpenes. Llusià and Peñuelas (1998) reported that a reduction of monoterpene emission under severe drought conditions could be expected due to stomata closure. However, sesquiterpenes are not generally emitted in large amounts constitutively (Possel and Loreto, 2013) but they can be enhanced by biotic and abiotic stresses as indirect defence mechanism.

All the investigated headspaces showed different array of the main constituents. The chemical profile in WW plants was characterized by Limonene >  $\Delta$ -3-carene > Germacrene D >  $\beta$ -Caryophyllene > (E)- $\beta$ -ocimene, in MDS plants, by Germacrene D > Limonene >  $\beta$ -Caryophyllene >  $\alpha$ -guaiene >  $\Delta$ -3-carene, and in SDS plants by Germacrene D > Limonene > Bicyclogermacrene >  $\beta$ -Caryophyllene >  $\alpha$ -guaiene. Among the cited constituents, a very sharp increase (~+260%) was observed for the sesquiterpene hydrocarbons Germacrene D (from 8.57% to 22.35% and 22.16% in WW and MDS and SDS, respectively). On the opposite, the monoterpene hydrocarbons (E)- $\beta$ -ocimene reduced of ~60% when plants were subjected to MDS and SDS. Arey et al. (1995) suggested that the sesquiterpenes emission in *S. mellifera*, mainly composed by  $\beta$ -caryophyllene and Germacrene D, is not dependent to seasons but that any disturbances to the plants may exert influence on the total observed emission variability. Very few studies reported the impact of drought on volatile sesquiterpenes emissions in MAPs with misaligned results. Ormeño et al. (2007) observed a reduction in sesquiterpenes (allo-aromadendrene,  $\alpha$ -zingiberene and  $\alpha$ -cadinene) in drought stressed *Rosmarinus officinalis* plants, while an increase of Germacrene D was evidenced in *Thymus vulgaris* and *T. serpyllum* (Moradi et al., 2017).

### 3.7. Essential oils

Essential oil synthesis in plants is influenced by several factors such as light, seasonal variation, climate change, plant growth regulators, and environmental stresses such as drought (Mandoulakani et al., 2017).

A total of 82 constituents were detected in the investigated EOs, of which 42, 46 and 52 in WW, MDS and SDS plants, respectively (Table 7). Drought stress conditions induced only a slight decrease in the total amount of the identified constituents (97.3, 95.5 and 95.9% at WW, MDS and SDS plants, respectively), but affected the main chemical classes, particularly the sesquiterpenes (Fig. 2B). Only the oxygenated monoterpens were reduced under stress conditions (7.5%, 1.1% and 1.5%, in WW, MDS and SDS, respectively), while MDS and SDS reduced the total amount of the sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (53.4%, 32.9% and 33.2% in WW, MDS and SDS, respectively) and increased the oxygenated sesquiterpenes (26.0%, 53.2% and 53.1% in WW, MDS and SDS, respectively).

The main constituent in WW EOs was the sesquiterpene hydrocarbon  $\beta$ -Caryophyllene. This constituent strongly decreased with drought (21.2%, 0.6% and 0.6% in WW, MDS and SDS, respectively). In stressed plants the main constituent was instead the oxygenated sesquiterpene Longipinalol, which deeply increased under drought conditions (0.8%, 41.9% and 41.5% in WW, MDS and SD, respectively). Specifically, the chemical profile of WW plants was composed by  $\beta$ -Caryophyllene >  $\delta$ -cadinene > 1H-cyclopropanaphtalene >  $\alpha$ -eudesmol > epi- $\alpha$ -cadinol, while for MDS and SDS by Longipinalol > Trans- $\beta$ -guaiene >  $\beta$ -pinene >  $\alpha$ -humulene >  $\delta$ -cadinene.

Within the genus *Salvia*, drought stress resulted to slightly increase the total amount of EO constituents in *S. officinalis* (i.e. camphor, α-thujone and 1.8-cineole) (Bettaieb et al., 2009) and *S. sinaloensis* (i.e. camphor) (Caser et al., 2018). *S. dolomitica* EOs were previously evaluated by Kamatou et al. (2007) in South Africa wild plants and by Bassolino et al. (2015) in potted cultivated plants. Quite surprisingly, these profiles differ greatly. Wild plants contained mainly oxygenated monoterpenes (71.8%), while cultivated plants mainly hydrocarbons (71.5%) and oxygenated

sesquiterpenes (13.6%), with β-caryophyllene as main constituent. In our study, WW plants presented a profile similar to what found by Bassolino et al. (2015). These variations in the EO compositions might have arisen from several factors (climatical, seasonal, geographical, geological, extraction method), as mentioned Gonzáles-Coloma et al. (2011) in other Labiatae species.

Sesquiterpenes represent an extremely diverse, heterogeneous and large group of natural compounds. Since these compounds play essential roles in plant defense response, their accumulation under abiotic stress is consistent with the carbon balance theory, which states that the investment in plant defense increases in response to a growth limitation. As an example, high amount of sesquiterpenes were observed in *Inula montana* plants subjected to different abiotic stresses (i.e. altitude, drought and soil composition) (Roux et al., 2017). In this work, plants subjected to drought showed a reduction of hydrocarbons sesquiterpenes and an increase in oxygenated sesquiterpenes (Fig. 3). These dynamics were already supposed to be a defence mechanism of the plants against hostile environment such as intense light conditions or water shortage.

### 3.8. Genes involved in terpenoid biosynthesis

Plants are compatible to biotic and abiotic stresses by modulating the expression of genes which are responsible in both primary and secondary metabolism (Dolzhenko et al., 2010). Deyhdrin is one of the most important genes expressed in plants during water deficit condition and its ABA binding-site is often used as target to attest the drought stress (George et al., 2017). These proteins of LEA family help to maintain large amounts of water inside the plant cell during water stress, thereby protecting the plants proteins and biomembranes (Battaglia et al., 2008).

Here, the expression profile of the dehydrin gene (*DH*) increased concurrently with the strength of the water stress (c.a. 1.5 and 3 fold in MDS and SDS compared to WW, respectively) (Fig. 3 in the box), confirming how plants perceived the drought stress and activated molecular responses. A similar trend was seen for the following genes that code for enzymes involved in the

terpenoid biosynthesis: geranyl diphosphate synthases (GPPS), farnesyl diphosphate synthase (FPPS), geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase (GGPPS) and copalyl diphosphate synthases (CPS). As reported in *S. miltiorrhiza* (Wenping et al., 2011), GPPS catalyses the condensation of two units of isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) and one unit of dimethyl allyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP) to form geranyl diphosphate (GPP), precursor of almost all the monoterpens, while FPPS catalysed the formation of farnesyl diphosphate (FPP), precursor of almost all sesquiterpenes. Lastly, GGPPS catalysed the formation of geranyl geranyl diphosphate (GGPP), precursor of triterpenes (C20), carotenoids and chlorophylls, and CPS catalyses the cyclization reaction that converts GGPP to form copalyl diphosphate (CPP).

In this study, all the genes were up regulated in stressed plants. This was particularly evident for FPPS and CPS2 genes (c.a. 18 and 8 fold, respectively) (Fig. 3C and F). Comparing the two drought stresses, except for GPPS2D and CPS3 (Fig. 3B and G), the highest levels of expression were found in MDS plants. This highlight that the MDS treatment induced with greater efficiency the transcriptional upregulation of different enzymes involved in the terpenoid biosynthesis and consequently in BVOCs and EOs production.

Within the Labiatae family, CPS genes were isolated in *Salvia fruticosa*, *S. miltiorrhiza* and *R. officinalis* (Božićet al., 2015). Wenping et al. (2011) and Ma et al. (2012) highlighted that these genes have diverse expression patterns that are tightly controlled at different developmental stages (seed germination, seedling growth, vegetative stage and reproductive stage). As confirmed by our study, they play important roles also in interactions with environmental factors by inducing the biosynthesis of PSMs, as well as the other studied genes.

## 4. Conclusion

In summary, this study represents an integrated approach, combining metabolomics and physiological studies that allowed us to get new insights on the impact of drought in mechanisms and processes involved in MAPs, and particularly in *S. dolomitica* adaptation.

Moderate drought stressed plants perceived the water stress, as testified by the drop of leaf water potential, the reduced growth index, the constant decrease of stomatal conductance and the increased devhdrin expression level. However, only severe drought stressed plants showed serious stress symptoms such as significant decrease of net photosynthesis, increase of endogenous ABA, and decrease of transpiration rate. Thus, these traits revealed that the optimum irrigation conditions for the growth and health of S. dolomitica plants was of circa 50% of control irrigation, since did not affect its quality, suggesting the possibility to ameliorate the water-management practices in MAPs sector. Plant secondary metabolites are a fascinating class of phytochemicals exhibiting immense chemical diversity. MAPs are commonly known to produce a wide range of these molecules with different industrial purposes. Here, both drought stress conditions (mainly MDS) lead to modulate the expression of some genes involved in BVOCs and EOs biosynthesis, mainly sesquiterpenes, a class of terpenoids with high importance in food, cosmetic and pharmaceutical industry. Since previous studies indicated that the EOs of S. dolomitica exhibited antiplasmodial and anti-inflammatory activities (Fisher et al., 2005; Kamatou et al., 2007a,b, 2008 and 2010), we can speculate that moderate drought stress can be beneficial for the PSMs production in S. dolomitica.

478

479

480

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

### Acknowledgement

Authors acknowledged Barbara Ruffoni, Claudio Cervelli and Paolo Lo Turco for plant furnishing and multiplication.

481 482

483

### Funding

- This research was partially funded by the INTERREG-ALCOTRA 2014-2020 Project "ANTEA"
- 485 (n. 1139).

- 487 References
- 488 Adams, R.P., 1995. Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography-mass
- spectroscopy. Carol Stream, IL: Allured.
- 490 Arey, J., Crowley, D. E., Crowley, M., Resketo, M., Lester, J., 1995. Hydrocarbon emissions from
- natural vegetation in California's south coast air basin. Atmosph. Environ. 29, 2977-2988.
- 492 Asensi-Fabado, M. A., Olivan, A., Munné-Bosch, S., 2013. A comparative study of the hormonal
- response to high temperatures and stress reiteration in three Labiatae species. Environ. Exp.
- 494 Bot. 94, 57-65.
- 495 Bassolino, L., Giacomelli, E., Giovanelli, S., Pistelli, L., Cassetti, A., Damonte, G., Bisio, A.,
- Ruffoni, B., 2015. Tissue culture and aromatic profile in Salvia dolomitica Codd. Plant Cell
- 497 Tiss Organ 121, 83-95.
- 498 Battaglia, M., Olvera-Carrillo, Y., Garciarrubio, A., Campos, F., Covarrubias, A.A., 2008. The
- 499 enigmatic LEA proteins and other hydrophilins. Plant Physiol. 148, 6-24.
- Bettaieb, I., Zakhama, N., Aidi Wannes, W., Kchouk, M.E., Marzouk, B., 2009. Water deficit
- effects on Salvia officinalis fatty acids and essential oils composition. Sci. Hortic. 120, 271–
- 502 275.
- Bettaieb, I., Hamrouni-Sellami, I., Bourgou, S., Limam, F., Marzouk, B., 2011. Drought effects on
- polyphenol composition and antioxidant activities in aerial parts of Salvia officinalis L. Acta
- 505 Physiol. Plant. 475, 1103-1111.
- Bosco, R., Caser, M., Vanara, F., Scariot, V., 2013. Development of a rapid LC-DAD/FLD method
- for the simultaneous determination of auxins and abscisic acid in plant extracts. J. Agric.
- 508 Food Chem. 61, 10940–10947.
- 509 Božić, D., Papaefthimiou, D., Brückner, K., de Vos, R.C.H., Tsoleridis, C.A., Katsarou, D.,
- Papanikolaou, A., Pateraki, I., Chatzopoulou, F.M., Dimitriadou, E., Kostas, S., Manzano, D.,
- Scheler, U., Ferrer, A., Tissier, A., Makris, A.M., Kampranis, S.C., Kanellis, A.K., 2015.
- Towards elucidating carnosic avid biosynthesis in Lamiaceae: functional characterization of

- 513 the three first steps of the pathway in Salvia fruticosa and Rosmarinus officinalis. PLoS ONE
- 514 10: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124106.
- Burnett, S.E., Pennisi, S.V., Thomas, P.A., van Iersel, M.W., 2005. Controlled drought affects
- morphology and anatomy of *Salvia splendens*. J. Amer. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 130, 775-781.
- 517 Caser, M., Ruffoni, B., Scariot, V., 2012. Screening for drought tolerance in Salvia spp. and
- 518 Helichrysum petiolare: a way to select low maintenance ornamental plants. Acta Hortic. 953,
- 519 239-246.
- 520 Caser, M., Scariot, V., Gaino, W., Larcher, F., Devecchi, M., 2013. The effects of sodium chloride
- on the aesthetic value of *Buxus* spp. Eur. J. Hortic. Sci. 78, 153-159.
- 522 Caser, M., D'Angiolillo, F., Chitarra, W., Lovisolo, C., Ruffoni, B., Pistelli, Lu., Pistelli, La.,
- Scariot, V., 2016. Water deficit trigger changes in valuable physiological and phytochemical
- parameters in *Helichrysum petiolare* Hilliard & B.L. Burtt. Ind. Crops Prod. 83, 680-692.
- 525 Caser, M., Lovisolo, C., Scariot, V., 2017. The influence of water stress on growth, ecophysiology
- and ornamental quality of potted *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' plants. New insights to increase
- water use efficiency in plant production. Plant Growth Regul. 83, 361-373.
- 528 Caser, M., D'Angiolillo, F., Chitarra, W., Lovisolo, C., Ruffoni, B., Pistelli, Lu., Pistelli, La.,
- Scariot, V., 2018. Ecophysiological and phytochemical responses of *Salvia sinaloensis* Fern.
- to drought stress. Plant Growth Regul. 84, 383-394.
- Chitarra, W., Perrone, I., Avanzato, C. G., Minio, A., Boccacci, P., Santini, D., Gilardi, G.,
- Siciliano, I., Gullino, M.L., Delledonne, M., Mannini, F., Gambino, G., 2017. Grapevine
- grafting: Scion transcript profiling and defense-related metabolites induced by rootstocks.
- Front. Plant Sci. 8, 654 doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00654.
- Davies, N.W., 1990. Gas chromatographic retention indexes of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes on
- methyl silicone and carbowx20M phases. J. Chrom. 503, 1–24.

- 537 Delfine, S., Loreto, F., Pinelli, P. Tognetti, R., Alvino, A., 2005. Isoprenoids content and
- photosynthetic limitations in rosemary and spearmint plants under water stress. Agric.
- 539 Ecosyst. Env. 106, 243-252.
- Demasi, S., Caser, M., Handa, T., Kobayashi, N., De Pascale, S., Scariot, V., 2017. Adaptation to
- iron deficiency and high pH in evergreen azaleas (*Rhododendron* spp.): potential resources for
- 542 breeding. Euphytica 213, 148.
- di Ferdinando, M., Brunetti, C., Agati, G., Tattini, M., 2014. Multiple functions of polyphenols in
- plants inhabiting unfavorable Mediterranean areas. Environ. Exp. Bot. 103, 107-116.
- 545 Dicke, M., Loreto, F., 2010. Induced plant volatiles: from genes to climate change. Trends Plant
- 546 Sci. 15, 115-117.
- Dolzhenko, Y., Bertea, C.M., Occhipinti, A., Bossi, A., Maffei, M.E.J., 2010. UV-B modulates the
- interplay between teerpenoids and flavonoids in peppermint (Mentha x piperita L.).
- 549 Photochem. Photobiol. B 100, 67-75.
- Eakes, D.J., Wright, R.D., Seiler, J.R., 1991. Moisture stress conditioning effects on Salvia
- splendens 'Bonfire'. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 116, 716-719.
- Farooq, M., Wahid, A., Kobayashi, N., Fujita, D., Basra, S.M.A., 2009. Plant drought stress:
- effects, mechanisms and management. In: Lichtfouse E., Navarrete M., Debaeke P.,
- Véronique S., Alberola C. (eds) Sustainable Agriculture. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Fisher, V.L., 2005. Indigenous Salvia species—an investigation of the antimicrobial activity, anti-
- oxidant activity and chemical composition of leaf extracts. M.Sc. Dissertation. University of
- 557 the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Flexas, J., Medrano, H., 2002. Droguth-inhibition of photosynthesis in C3 plants: stomatal and non-
- stomatal limitations revisited. Ann. Bot. 89, 183-189.
- 560 George, K.J., Malik, N., Kumar, I.P.V., Krishnamurthy, K.S., 2017. Gene expression analysis in
- drought tolerant and susceptible black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.) in response to water deficit
- stress. Acta Physiol. Plant. 39, 104.

- Ghanaatiyan, K., Sadeghi, H., 2017. Differential responses of chicory ecotypes exposed to drought
- stress in relation to enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants as well as ABA concentration.
- 565 J. Hortic. Sci. Biotech. 92, 404-410.
- 566 Gonzalez-Coloma, A., Delgado, F., Rodilla, J. M., Silva, L., Sanz, J., Burillo, J., 2011. Chemical
- and biological profiles of Lavandula luisieri essential oils from western Iberia Peninsula
- populations. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 39, 1-8.
- Hargrave, K.R., Kolb, K.J., Ewers, F.W., Davis, S.D., 1994. Conduit diameter and drought-induced
- 570 embolism in *Salvia mellifera* Greene (Labiatae). New Phytol. 126, 695-705.
- 571 Hassanpour, H., Khavari-Nejad, R.A., Niknam, V., Razavi, K., Najafi, F., 2014. Effect of
- penconazole and drought stress on the essential oil composition and gene expression of
- 573 *Mentha pulegium* L. (Lamiaceae) at flowering stage. Acta Physiol. Plant. 36, 1167-1175.
- Holopainen, J.K., Gershenzon, J., 2010. Multiple stress factors and the emission of plant VOCs.
- 575 Trends Plant Sci. 15, 176-184.
- Jaafar, H.Z.E., Ibrahim, M.H., Fakri, N.F.M., 2012. Impact of soil field water capacity in secondary
- 577 metabolites, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), maliondialdehyde (MDA) and
- 578 photosynthetic responses of Malaysian kacip Fatimah (*Labisia pumila* Benth). Molecules 17,
- 579 7305-7322.
- 580 Kamatou, G.P.P., Van Vuuren, S.F., Van Heerden, F.R., Seaman, T., Viljoen, A.M., 2007a.
- Antibacterial and antimycobacterial activities of South African Salvia species and isolated
- compounds from *S. chamelaegnea*. South Afr. J. Bot. 73, 552-557.
- Kamatou, G.P.P., Viljoen, A.M., Figueiredo, A.C., Tilney, P.M., Van Zyl, R.L., Van Vuuren, S.F.,
- Pedro, L.G., Barroso, J.G., (2007b). Glandular trichomes, essential oil composition and
- biological activities of Salvia albicaulis Benth and S. dolomitica Codd, two species from the
- Cape region of South Africa. South Afric. J. Bot. 73, 102-108.
- Kamatou, G.P.P., Makunga, N.P., Ramogola, W.P.N., Viljoen, A.M., 2008. South African Salvia
- species: a review og biological activities and phytochemistry. J. Ethnopharm. 119, 664-672.

- Kamatou G.P.P., Viljoen, A.M., Steenkamp, P., 2010. Antioxidant, antiinflammatory activities and
- 590 HPLC analysis of South African *Salvia* species. Food Chem. 119, 684-688.
- Kim, D.O., Jeong, S.W., Lee, C.Y., 2003. Antioxidant capacity of phenolic phytochemicals from
- various cultivars of plums. Food Chem. 81, 321-326.
- Kleinwächter, M., Selmar, D., 2014. Influencing the Product Quality by Applying Drought Stress
- During the Cultivation of Medicinal Plants. In: Ahmad P., Wani M. (eds) Physiological
- Mechanisms and Adaptation Strategies in Plants Under Changing Environment. Springer,
- New York, NY.
- Kleinwächter, M., Selmar, D., 2015. New insights explain that drought stress enhances the qualityt
- of spice and medicinal plants: potential applications. Agron. Sust. Develop. 35, 121-131.
- Kleinwächter, M., Paulsen, J., Bloem, E., Schnug, E., Selmar, D., 2015. Moderate drought and
- signal transducer induced biosynthesis of relevant secondary metabolite in thyme (*Thymus*
- 601 vulgaris), greater celandine (Chelidonium majus) and parsley (Petroselinum crispum). Ind.
- 602 Crops Prod. 64, 158-166.
- Kondrat'eva, V.V., Voronkova, T.V., Shelepova, O.V., Olekhnovich, L.S., 2008. Physiological and
- biochemical aspects of clary (Salvia sclarea L.) overwintering in central Russia. Biol. Bull.
- 605 35, 255-261.
- 606 Liu, H., Wang, X., Wang, D., Zou, Z., Liang, Z., 2011. Effect of drought stress on growth and
- accumulation of active constituents in *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bunge. Ind. Crops Prod. 33, 84-88.
- 608 Llusia, J., Peñuelas, J., 1998. Changes in terpene content and emission in potted Mediterranean
- woody plants under severe drought. Can. J. Bot. 76, 1366-1373.
- 610 Llusia, J., Peñuelas, J., 2000. Seasonal patterns of terpene content and emission from seven
- Mediterranean woody species in field conditions. Am. J. Bot. 87, 133-140.
- Loreto, F., Schnitzler, J. P., 2010. Abiotic stresses and induced BVOCs. Trends Plant Sci. 15, 154-
- 613 166.

- Loreto, F., Dicke, M., Schnitzler, J.P., Turlings, T.C.J., 2014. Plant volatiles and the environment.
- 615 Plant Cell Environ. 37, 1905-1908.
- Ma, Y., Yuan, L., Wu, B., Li, X., Chen, S., Lu, S., 2012. Genome-wide identification and
- 617 characterization of novel genes involved in terpenoid biosynthesis in Salvia miltiorrhiza. J.
- 618 Exp. Bot. 63, 2809-2823.
- Mahajan, S., Tuteja, N., 2005. Cold, salinity and drought stresses: an overview. Arch. Biochem.
- 620 Biophys. 444, 139-158.
- Mandoulakani, B.A., Eyvazpour, E., Ghadimzadeh, M., 2017. The effect of drought stress on the
- expression of key genes involved in the biosynthesis of phenylpropanoids and essential oil
- 623 components in basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.). Phytochemistry 139, 1-7.
- McAdam, S.A.M., Brodribb, T.J., 2016. Linking turgor with ABA biosynthesis: implications for
- stomatal responses to vapour pressure deficit across land plants. Plant Physiol. DOI:
- 626 https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00380.
- 627 Mitchell, P.J., O'Grady, A.P., Tissue, D.T., White, D.A., Ottenschlaeger, M.L., Pinkard, E.A.,
- 628 2013. Drought response strategies derfine the relative cnotributions of hydraulic dysfunction
- and carbohydrate depletion during tree mortality. New Phytol. 197, 862-872.
- Moradi, P., Ford-Lloyd, B., Pritchard, J., 2017. Metabolomic approach reveals the biochemical
- mechanisms underlying drought stress tolerance in thyme. Anal. Biochem. 527, 49-62.
- Morales, C.G., Pino, M.T., del Pozo, A., 2013. Phenological and physiological responses to drought
- stress and subsequent rehydration cycles in two raspberry cultivars. Sci. Hortic. 162, 234-241.
- Munné-Bosch, S., Peñuelas, J., 2003. Photo- and antioxidative protection, and a role for salicyclic
- acid during drought and recovery in field-grown *Phillyrea angustifolia* plants. Planta 217,
- 636 758-766.
- Niinemets, Ü., Kännaste, A., Copolovici, L., 2013. Quantitative patterns between plant volatile
- emissions induced by biotic stresses and the degree of damage. Front. Plant Sci. 4, 262.

- Nogués, I., Muzzini, V., Loreto, F., Bustamante, M.A., 2015. Drought and soil amendment effects
- on monoterpene emission in rosemary plants. Sci. Total Environ, 538, 768-778.
- Ormeño, E., Mévy, J.P., Vila, B., Bousquet Mélou, A., Greff, S., Bonin, G., Fernandez, C., 2007.
- Water deficit stress induces different monoterpene and sesquiterpene emission changes in
- Mediterranean species. Relationship between terpene emissions and plant water potential.
- 644 Chemosphere 67, 276-636.
- Possell, M., Loreto, F., 2013. The role of volatile organic compounds in plant resistance to abiotic
- stresses: responses and mechanisms. In: Biology, Controls and Models of Tree Volatile
- Organic Compound Emissions (Eds Ü. Niinemets, RK Monson), pp. 209–235. Springer,
- Berlin, Germany.
- Prisic, S., Xu, M., Wilderman, P.R., Peters, R.J., 2004. Rice contains two disparate ent-copalyl
- diphosphate synthases with distinct metabolic functions. Plant Physiol. 136, 4228-4236.
- Radwan, A., Kleinwächter, M., Selmar, D., 2017. Impact of drought stress on specialised
- metabolism: biosynthesis and the expression of monoterpene synthases in sage (Salvia
- officinalis). Phytochemistry 141, 20-26.
- Rahimi, Y., Taleei, A., Ranjbar, M., 2017. Changes in the expression of key genes involved in the
- biosynthesis of menthol and menthofuran in *Mentha piperita* L. under drought stress. Acta
- 656 Physiol. Plant. 39, 203.
- Raut, J.S., Karuppayil, S.M., 2014. A status review on the medicinal properties of essential oils.
- 658 Ind. Crops Prod. 62, 250-264.
- Roux, D., Alnaser, O., Garayev, E., Baghdikian, B., Elias, R., Chiffolleau, P., Ollivier, E., Laurent,
- S., El Maataoui, M., Sallanon, H., 2017. Ecophysiological and phytochemical characterization
- of wild populations of *Inula montana* L. (Asteraceae) in Southeastern France. Flora 236, 67-
- 662 75.

- 663 Scholander, P.F., Hammel, H.T., Bradstreet, E.D., Hemmingsen, E.A., 1965. Sap pressure in
- vascular plants. Negative hydrostatic pressure can be measured in plants. Science 148, 339–
- 665 346.
- 666 Selmar, D., Kleinwachter, M., 2013. Influencing the product quality by deliberately applying
- drought stress during the cultivation of medicinal plants. Ind. Crops Prod. 42, 558-666.
- 668 Singleton, V.L., Rossi, J.A.J., 1965. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-
- phosphotungstic acid reagent. Am. J. Enol. Viticol. 16, 144–158.
- Soni, P. Abdin, M.Z., 2017. Water deficit-induced oxidative stress affects artemisinin content and
- expression of proline metabolic genes in *Artemisia annua* L. FEBS OpenBio 7, 367-381.
- 672 Szôllôsi, R., Szôllôsi Varga, I., 2002. Total antioxidant power in some species of Labiatae
- 673 (adaptation of FRAP method). Acta Biol. Szegediensis 46, 125-127.
- Tholl, D., 2015. Biosynthesis and Biological Functions of Terpenoids in Plants. In: Schrader J.,
- Bohlmann J. (eds) Biotechnology of Isoprenoids. Advances in Biochemical
- Engineering/Biotechnology, vol 148. Springer, Cham.
- Valliere, J.M., Allen, E.B., 2016. Interactive effects of nitrogen deposition and drought-stress on
- plant-soil feedbacks of *Artemisia californica* seedlings. Plant Soil 403, 277-290.
- Wenping, H., Yuan, Z., Jie, S., Lijun, Z., Zhezhi, W., 2011. De novo transcriptome sequencing in
- Salvia miltiorrhiza to identify genes involved in the biosynthesis of active ingredients.
- 681 Genomics 98, 272-279.

682

684

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# 685 TABLES

**Table 1.** Oligonucleotides used in this study in qRT PCR experiments.

Name	<b>Putative Gene Description</b>	Primer	Primer sequences 5'-3'	References
			GAGGTAGAGGGGGAAAA	
DH	Dehydrin	Forward	TGG	This study
			CCGATGTGTCTACGCATT	
		Reverse	TC	
			GGCGTATGGGTTACACA	
<b>GPPSB</b>	Geranyl diphosphate synthase	Forward	AGC	This study
			GCACCAAGGCTAGAGAG	
		Reverse	CTG	
			GCTGTCCCCCAAGTTTGA	
GPPS2D	Geranyl diphosphate synthase	Forward	T	This study
		Reverse	CTCTCCATCACGCGAAGC	
			GCGGGTGAGGACCTGGA	Ma et al.
<b>FPPS</b>	Farnesyl diphosphate synthase	Forward	GAAACAT	2012
			CAGGGCCTTTACAACCAG	
		Reverse	CCAAGAA	
	Geranylgeranyl diphosphate		CCAGATTGTGGACTTGTC	Ma et al.
GGPPS2	synthase	Forward		2012
			CAACACACCTGGCGTACT	
		Reverse	TCCTCAA	
ana.	~	- 1	CCACATCGCCTTCAGGGA	
CPS1	Copalyl diphosphate synthase	Forward	AGAAAT	2012
		D	TTTATGCTCGATTTCGCT	
		Reverse	GCGATCT	M4 -1
CDC2	Canalyd diabasahata synthaa	E a mara mal	GGTCTCATCGCCTTCAAC	Ma et al.
CPS2	Copalyl diphosphate synthase	Forward		2012
		Darramaa	TCCTTATCCTTTATGCTCC	
		Reverse	CATCCA GGAGATGCCAATTCGAA	Ma et al.
CPS3	Copalyl diphosphate synthase	Forward		2012
CISS	Coparyr diphosphate synthase	rorwaru	TCAAATATAGTTGCGGCG	2012
		Reverse		
		10,0150	CGGCTGCCTTGGGCTACA	Ma et al.
CPS4	Copalyl diphosphate synthase	Forward	ACAATA	2012
	1J P		TCCCTGGTGACCTCCTCC	
		Reverse	TTCCCA	
	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate		ACCCTCACGGGGAAGAC	
<b>GAPDH</b>	dehydrogenase	Forward	CATC	This study
			ACCACGGAGACGGAGGA	<b>,</b>
		Reverse	CAAG	

**Table 2.** Average values of growth index (G.I., cm<sup>3</sup>) during the experiment. *S. dolomitica* plants were well watered (100% container capacity, 100% CC, WW) or subjected to moderate drought stress (50% CC, MDS) or severe drought stress (0% CC, SDS).

G.I. (cm <sup>3</sup> )	Days										
Treatments	0	4	7	11	14	18	21	25	28	32	34
WW	8134	3186	3525	7114	6464	4788	8355a	11921a	12059	12156	12456
MDS	8134	5726	5837	4641	4561	4779	4058b	3336b	3451	3587	4002
SDS	8143	2951	3011	3611	2874	2786	1534c	778c	-	-	-
P	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	**	*	**	**	**

Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly, according to REGW-F test (NS = non significant;

692 \*P<0.05; \*\* P<0.001).

**Table 3.** Total, aerial and root dry mass accumulation (g DW plant<sup>-1</sup>) and root:aerial (R:A) ratio of treated *S. dolomitica* plants at the end of the experiment. Plants were well watered (100% container capacity, 100% CC, WW) or subjected to moderate drought stress (50% CC, MDS) or severe drought stress (0% CC, SDS). In brackets are reported the percentage variations referred to controls.

Treatments	Dry mass accur	- R:A ratio			
Heatments	Total	Aerial part	Root	K.A Iallo	
WW	6.24 a (100%)	4.30 a (100%)	1.94 a (100%)	0.45 b (100%)	
MDS	1.64 b (26%)	0.86 b (20%)	0.78 b (40%)	0.91 a (200%)	
SDS	1.06 b (17%)	0.77 b (18%)	0.29 c (15%)	0.38 c (82%)	
P	**	**	**	*	

Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly, according to REGW-F test (NS = non significant;

699 \*P<0.05; \*\* P<0.001).

**Table 4.** SPAD values, chlorophyll (a + b) and total carotenoid (Car) measured on *S. dolomitica* plants treated with three irrigation regimes: well watered (100% container capacity, 100% CC, WW), moderate drought stress (50% CC, MDS), or severe drought stress (0% CC, SDS).

SPAD	Days										
Treatments	0	4	7	11	14	18	21	25	28	32	34
WW	28.1	34.2	36.4	36.8	35.9	34.4	38.0	38.4	38.1	38.7	38.8
MDS	28.1	32.1	32.7	35.3	35.0	32.1	33.8	33.9	34.1	34.2	34.1
SDS	28.1	28.3	29.3	32.3	33.2	33.0	31.5	30.0	-	-	-
P	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns						
<b>Chl (a+b)</b> (mg g <sup>-1</sup> FW)											
Treatments											
WW	1.42	1.46	1.42	1.46	1.48	1.49	1.46 a	1.45 a	1.48	1.49	1.45
MDS	1.42	1.41	1.43	1.31	1.39	1.48	1.45 a	1.46 a	1.47	1.45	1.43
SDS	1.42	1.43	1.39	1.48	1.27	1.29	1.19 b	1.11 b	-	-	-
P	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	**	**	ns	ns	ns
Carotenoids (mg g <sup>-1</sup> FW))											
Treatments											
WW	2.18	2.24	2.04	2.84	2.65	2.45	2.93 a	2.46 a	2.58	2.78	2.94
MDS	2.18	1.85	2.16	2.02	2.05	2.35	2.75 a	2.45 a	2.74	2.95	2.87
SDS	2.18	2.20	2.01	2.23	2.32	1.96	1.54 b	1.07 b	-	-	-
P	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	**	ns	ns	ns

Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly, according to REGW-F test (NS = non significant;  $^{*}$ P<0.05; \*\* P<0.001).

**Table 5**. The rate during the experiment and the total amount (mg plant<sup>-1</sup> FW) of leaf phenols, flavonoids, and antioxidant activity of treated *S. dolomitica* plants. Plants were well watered (100% container capacity, 100% CC, WW) or subjected to moderate drought stress (50% CC, MDS) or severe drought stress (0% CC, SDS). In brackets are reported the percentage variations referred to controls.

Phenols	Days											-
(mg GAE g <sup>-1</sup> ) Treatments	0	4	7	11	14	18	21	25	28	32	34	Total amount
	50.2	20.7	27.5	24.0.	21.0	21.7	21.5	21.0	10.0	20.0	21.0	205.2
WW	58.3	38.7a	27.5a	34.8a	31.8	21.7	21.5	21.0	18.0	29.0	21.8	305.2a (100%)
MDS	58.3	39.6a	29.1a	31.6a	38.7	22.5	22.0	20.0	18.5	12.7	18.6	53.2b (17%)
SDS	58.3	13.8b	11.2b	9.9b	29.1	17.3	23.3	21.3	-	-	-	20.5c (7%)
P	ns	*	**	**	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	**	ns	**
Flavonoids (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ) Treatments												
WW	22.1	11.3a	7.3a	10.5a	11.3	6.8	7.0	6.3	5.3	8.3	7.3	105.7a (100%)
MDS	22.1	10.8a	7.0a	7.9ab	8.2	7.1	6.5	6.1	5.6	5.7	6.0	17.1b (16%)
SDS	22.1	4.2b	3.8b	5. b	7.9	5.3	7.1	6.0	-	-	-	5.3c (5%)
P	ns	*	**	**	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	**
FRAP (μmol Fe <sup>2+</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> ) Treatments												
WW	380.3	193.5a	130.3a	168.5a	148.3	115.3	120.4	98.7b	99.1	163.4	125.4	1815.8a (100%)
MDS	380.3	190.6a	135.1a	143.4a	154.3	121.5	108.3	101.5b	102.3	105.0	118.0	337.5b (18%)
SDS	380.3	83.1b	64.5b	80.5b	150.2	98.4	116.1	153.1a	-	-	-	134.7c (7%)
P	ns	**	**	**	ns	ns	ns	**	ns	*	ns	**

Means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly, according to REGW-F test (NS = non significant; \*P<0.05; \*\* P<0.001).

**Table 6.** Chemical composition (%) of volatiles emitted from *Sa. dolomitica* plants after well watered irrigation (WW), moderate drought stress (MDS) or severe drought stress (SDS). All

717 constituents are ordered on the basis of their linear retention index (LRI). In bold are indicated the 718 most relevant constituents.

Category*       Constituents (%)         mh       α-thujene         mh       α-pinene         mh       β-pinene         mh       myrcene         mh       α-phellandrene         mh       Λ-3-carene         mh       limonene         mh       (E)-β-ocimene         mh       γ-terpinene         mo       cis-sabinene hydrate         mh       terpinolene	1097 1098 1110 1125 1143 1165	9.14 19.80 7.39 0.64 0.85 0.08 0.75	0.11 2.97 2.04 1.85 2.01 0.18 4.16 13.51 3.19 0.23 0.38 0.21	0.35 2.76 1.88 1.64 1.76 0.27 4.53 12.24 2.99 0.27 0.43 0.17
mh α-pinene mh camphene mh β-pinene mh myrcene mh α-phellandrene mh Δ-3-carene mh limonene mh (E)-β-ocimene mh γ-terpinene mo cis-sabinene hydrate mh terpinolene	980 991 1031 1040 <b>1050</b> <b>1088</b> <b>1097</b> 1098 1110 1125 1143 1165	4.05 3.35 5.09 0.57 <b>9.14</b> <b>19.80</b> <b>7.39</b> 0.64 0.85 0.08 0.75	2.04 1.85 2.01 0.18 <b>4.16</b> <b>13.51</b> <b>3.19</b> 0.23 0.38	1.88 1.64 1.76 0.27 <b>4.53</b> <b>12.24</b> <b>2.99</b> 0.27 0.43
mh camphene mh β-pinene mh myrcene mh α-phellandrene mh Δ-3-carene mh limonene mh (E)-β-ocimene mh γ-terpinene mo cis-sabinene hydrate mh terpinolene	991 1031 1040 <b>1050</b> <b>1088</b> <b>1097</b> 1098 1110 1125 1143	3.35 5.09 0.57 <b>9.14</b> <b>19.80</b> <b>7.39</b> 0.64 0.85 0.08 0.75	1.85 2.01 0.18 <b>4.16</b> <b>13.51</b> <b>3.19</b> 0.23 0.38	1.64 1.76 0.27 <b>4.53</b> <b>12.24</b> <b>2.99</b> 0.27 0.43
mh β-pinene mh myrcene mh α-phellandrene mh Λ-3-carene mh limonene mh (E)-β-ocimene mh γ-terpinene mo cis-sabinene hydrate mh terpinolene	1031 1040 <b>1050</b> <b>1088</b> <b>1097</b> 1098 1110 1125 1143	5.09 0.57 <b>9.14</b> <b>19.80</b> <b>7.39</b> 0.64 0.85 0.08 0.75	2.01 0.18 <b>4.16</b> <b>13.51</b> <b>3.19</b> 0.23 0.38	1.76 0.27 <b>4.53</b> <b>12.24</b> <b>2.99</b> 0.27 0.43
mh myrcene mh α-phellandrene mh Δ-3-carene mh limonene mh (E)-β-ocimene mh (Z)-β-ocimene mh γ-terpinene mo cis-sabinene hydrate mh terpinolene	1040 1050 1088 1097 1098 1110 1125 1143 1165	0.57 <b>9.14 19.80 7.39</b> 0.64 0.85 0.08 0.75	0.18 4.16 13.51 3.19 0.23 0.38	0.27 <b>4.53</b> <b>12.24</b> <b>2.99</b> 0.27 0.43
mh $\alpha$ -phellandrene mh $\Delta$ -3-carene mh limonene mh (E)- $\beta$ -ocimene mh $\gamma$ -terpinene mo $cis$ -sabinene hydrate mh terpinolene	1050 1088 1097 1098 1110 1125 1143 1165	9.14 19.80 7.39 0.64 0.85 0.08 0.75	4.16 13.51 3.19 0.23 0.38	<b>4.53 12.24 2.99</b> 0.27 0.43
mh $\Delta$ -3-carenemhlimonenemh(E)- $\beta$ -ocimenemh(Z)- $\beta$ -ocimenemh $\gamma$ -terpinenemocis-sabinene hydratemhterpinolene	1088 1097 1098 1110 1125 1143 1165	<b>19.80 7.39</b> 0.64 0.85 0.08 0.75	13.51 3.19 0.23 0.38	<b>12.24 2.99</b> 0.27 0.43
mhlimonenemh(E)-β-ocimenemh(Z)-β-ocimenemhγ-terpinenemocis-sabinene hydratemhterpinolene	1097 1098 1110 1125 1143 1165	7.39 0.64 0.85 0.08 0.75	<b>3.19</b> 0.23 0.38	2.99 0.27 0.43
mh $(Z)$ - $\beta$ -ocimene mh $\gamma$ -terpinene mo $cis$ -sabinene hydrate mh terpinolene	1098 1110 1125 1143 1165	0.64 0.85 0.08 0.75	0.23 0.38	0.27 0.43
mh $(Z)$ - $\beta$ -ocimene mh $\gamma$ -terpinene mo $cis$ -sabinene hydrate mh terpinolene	1110 1125 1143 1165	0.85 0.08 0.75	0.38	0.43
mh γ-terpinene mo <i>cis</i> -sabinene hydrate mh terpinolene	1125 1143 1165	0.08 0.75		
mo cis-sabinene hydrate mh terpinolene	1143 1165	0.75	0.21	0.17
mh terpinolene	1165			U.1/
=			0.32	0.28
mo <i>trans</i> -sabinene hydrate	1189	0.18	0.21	0.24
mh allo-ocimene	1107	0.91	0.29	0.26
mo isoborneol	1204	0.10	0.12	0.00
mo borneol	1285	1.36	2.39	1.79
sh Δ-elemene	1339	0.49	0.98	1.12
sh α-cubebene	1376	0.37	0.40	0.57
sh isoledene	1380	0.52	0.53	0.68
sh α-copaene	1391	1.93	2.23	2.63
sh β-bourbonene	1398	0.22	-	1.89
sh β-cubebene	1418	0.21	0.47	0.40
sh β-elemene	1429	0.11	0.31	0.37
sh α-gurjunene	1432	0.72	0.79	0.89
sh β-caryophyllene	1439	7.86	9.47	9.09
sh β-copaene	1454	0.92	1.29	2.00
sh β-gurjunene	1458	0.38	0.46	0.65
sh α-guaiene	1476	3.68	4.51	5.21
sh aromadendrene	1477	0.40	0.58	0.77
sh αβ-humulene	1480	0.75	1.16	1.15
sh allo-aromadendrene	1485	0.46	0.72	0.94
sh γ-muurolene	1494	0.89	0.96	1.02
sh germacrene D	1503	8.57	22.35	22.16
sh bicyclogermacrene	1517	4.21	-	9.27
sh γ-cadinene	1524	1.40	-	1.84
sh δ-cadinene	1581	-	<u>-</u>	3.68
Total		94.43	81.38	98.18
Monoterpene Hydrocarbon	ns (mh %)	57.71	30.97	29.41
Oxygenated Monoterpene	(om %)	1.72	2.92	2.19
Sesquiterpene Hydrocarbo	ons (sh %)	34.09	47.19	66.32

719

720

721

722

723

**Table 7.** Chemical composition (%) of essential oils extracted from *Salvia dolomitica* plants after well watered irrigation (WW), moderate drought stress (MDS) or severe drought stress (SDS). All

<sup>\*</sup>All the constituents identified belong to monoterpene hydrocarbons (mh), oxygenated monoterpene (om) and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (sh).

724 constituents are ordered on the basis of their linear retention index (LRI). In bold are indicated the 725 most relevant constituents.

Category*	Constituents (%)	l <i>RI</i>	WW	MDS	SDS
mh	α-pinene	319	1.4	1.0	1.0
mh	camphene	340	0.6		
mh	β-pinene	386	0.2	6.1	6.0
mh	myrcene	408	0.5	0.2	0.2
mh	α-phellandrene	435	0.2		
mh	Δ-3-carene	444	1.5		
mh	α-terpinene	462	0.2		
mh	p-cymene	471	0.3		
mh	limonene	481	2.0	0.3	0.3
om	1,8-cineolo	485	3.4	0.3	0.3
om	(Z)-β-ocimene	498	0.6	0.2	0.2
mh	γ-terpinene	545	0.3		0.1
om	terpinolene	612	0.1		
om	trans-pinocarveol	724	0.1		
om	pinocarvone	781			0.1
ac-10	borneol	789	2.4	0.4	0.4
nt	4-terpineol	820	0.3	0.1	0.1
om	myrtenal	864		0.5	0.5
om	safranal	950			0.2
om	N-decanal	1084			0.1
sh	lavandulyl acetate	1111		1.4	1.3
sh	trans-pinocarvyl acetate	1135		0.2	0.2
sh	myrtenyl acetate	1195		0.8	0.8
om	α-cubebene	1267	0.3		
sh	isoledene	1308	0.4		
sh	α-copaene	1334	2.3	0.5	0.5
sh	trans-myrtanol acetate	1347		0.2	0.2
om	sativene	1364	0.1		
sh	α-gurjunene	1421	1.0	0.2	0.2
sh	β-caryophyllene	1442	21.2	0.6	0.6
sh	lavandulyl isobutirate	1452	0.9	0.2	0.2
sh	β-copaene	1464	0.3		
sh	β-gurjunene	1475	0.9		
sh	1H-cyclopropanaphtalene	1486	6.9		
sh	α-guaiene	1491	0.8	0.2	0.2
sh	aromadendrene	1491	0.1		
sh	α-humulene	1527	2.2	3.8	3.8
sh	alloaromadendrene	1546	0.9	0.5	0.5
sh	trans-cadina 1(6).4-diene	1567	0.7		
sh	γ-muurolene	1586	0.8	0.6	0.6
sh	β-selinene	1608	0.2	0.7	0.7
sh	cis-β-guaiene	1621	0.5		
sh	valencene	1624	0.3		
om	viridiflorene	1628	3.0	0.2	0.2
sh	trans-β-guaiene	1646	1.9	18.6	18.5
sh	α-bulnesene	1658			0.1
sh	geranyl isobutyrate	1678		1.4	1.7
sh	trans-γ-cadinene	1676	3.6		
sh	δ-cadinene	1700		3.1	3.2
os	trans-cadina-1(2).4-diene	1718	0.7		

sh	α-cadinene	1733	0.3		
os	α-calacorene	1744		1.3	1.3
os	elemol	1759	0.2		
os	germacrene D	1786	0.5		
os	longipinalol	1801	0.8	41.9	41.5
os	caryophyllene alcohol	1806	0.1		
os	spathunelol	1825	0.4	1.5	1.5
os	caryophyllene oxide	1837	3.8	0.2	0.2
os	5-epi-7-epi-α-eudesmol	1894	1.6	0.3	0.3
os	humulene oxide	1897	0.3	1.0	1.0
os	1.10-di-epi-cubenol	1915	0.5	0.9	1.0
os	1-epi-cubenol	1944	1.3	0.7	0.8
os	γ-eudesmol	1951	0.9		0.1
os	caryophylla-4(14).8(15)-dien-5-ol	1962	0.6		
os	epi-α-cadinol	1973	4.3	0.9	0.9
os	α-muurolol	1984	0.1	0.4	0.4
os	β-eudesmol	1993	1.4		
os	α-eudesmol	2000	4.4	2.2	2.3
os	14-hydroxy-9-epi-(E)-caryophyllene	2028	2.3		
os	bulnesol	2033	0.1	0.1	0.1
os	α-cadinol	2003		0.3	0.3
os	valeranone	2047	0.9	0.4	0.4
os	cadalene	2050		0.2	0.2
os	khusinol	2051	0.1	0.2	0.2
os	α-bisabolol	2072		0.2	0.2
os	eudesma-4(15).7-dien-1-β-ol	2076	0.3		
os	acorenone	2078	0.1		
nt	trans-α-bergamotol	2097	0.2		
os	γ-atlantone	2116		0.3	0.3
os	oplopanone	2153	0.1		
nt	hexadecanal	2239	0.2	0.1	0.1
os	lanceol acetate (z)	2455		0.2	0.2
	Total		97.3	95.5	95.9
	Monoterpene Hydrocarbons (mh %)		7.2	7.6	7.5
	Oxygenated Monoterpene (om %)		7.5	1.1	1.5
	Sesquiterpene Hydrocarbons (sh %)		53.4	32.9	33.2
	Oxygenated Sesquiterpenes (os %)		26.0	53.2	53.1
	Non terpenoid (nt %)		0.6	0.3	0.3
	Apocarotenoids (ac-10 %)		2.4	0.4	0.4

\*All the constituents belong to non terpene derivates (nt), monoterpene hydrocarbons (mh), oxygenated monoterpene (om), sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (sh), oxygenated sesquiterpene (os), and apocarotenoids (ac-10).

728

729

## Figure captions

730

- 731 Figure 1. Midday leaf water potential (MLWP A), gas exchange (internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, Ci -
- B; transpiration rate, E C; stomatal conductance, gs D; net photosynthetic rate, A E) and
- 733 internal abscisic acid content (ABA F) dynamics measured on S. dolomitica plants treated with
- well watered irrigation (WW), moderate drought stress (MDS), or severe drought stress (SDS).
- 735 Mean values showing the same letter are not statistically different at P≤0.05 according to the
- 736 REGW-F post-hoc test. The statistical relevance of 'Between-Subjects Effects' tests (ns=non
- 737 significant, \*=P<0.05, \*\* P<0.001) was evaluated.

738

- 739 Figure 2. Radar charts showing changes in terpenoids content of biogenic volatile organic
- compounds (BVOCs A) and essential oils (EOs B) of S. dolomitica plants in responses to well
- vatered irrigation (WW, black line), moderate drought stress (MDS, dark grey line) or severe
- drought stress (SDS, light grey line). All the constituents belong to non terpene derivates (nt),
- monoterpene hydrocarbons (mh), oxygenated monoterpene (om), sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (sh),
- oxygenated sesquiterpene (os), and apocarotenoids (ac-10).

- 746 Figure 3. Flowchart for assembling isoprenoid building blocks to produce terpenes and relative
- 747 transcriptional modulation of genes involved in S. dolomitica terpenoid biosynthesis. Relative gene
- expression levels obtained by RT-qPCR analysis of the DH (in the box): dehydrin; GPPSB (A) and
- 749 GPPS2D (B): geranyl diphosphate synthases; FPPS (C): farnesyl diphosphate synthase; GGPPS2
- 750 (D): geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase; CPS1 (E), CPS2 (F), CPS3 (G) and CPS4 (H): copalyl
- diphosphate synthases. In the box relative transcriptional modulation. Genes were tested on plants
- subjected to moderate water stress (MDS), severe water stress (SDS) or well-watered (WW)
- 753 treatments. Mean values showing the same letter are not statistically different at  $P \le 0.05$  according

- 754 to the Tukey's post-hoc test. Bars represent the standard deviation of the mean (n=3). MVA,
- Mevalonate pathway; MEP, Methylerythritol phosphate patway; IPP, isopenthenyl diphosphate;
- 756 DMAPP, dimethylallyl diphosphate.