

1 **Nutritional characteristics and quality of eggs from laying hens fed a diet**
2 **integrated with chestnut tannin extract (*Castanea sativa* Miller).**

3

4 Sara Minieri^{1,*}, Arianna Buccioni², Andrea Serra³, Ilaria Galigani², Antonio Pezzati²,
5 Stefano Rapaccini², Mauro Antongiovanni⁴.

6

7 ¹ Department of Veterinary Science, University of Pisa, Italy

8 ²Department of Agrifood Production and Environmental Sciences, Animal Science
9 Section, University of Florence, Italy.

10 ³Department of Agricultural, Food and Agro-environmental, University of Pisa, Italy.

11 ⁴ Gruppo Mauro Saviola, Mantova, Italy.

12

13 *Corresponding Author: Sara Minieri. Department of of Veterinary Science,
14 University of Pisa, Italy, Viale delle Piagge, 2. Italy. tel:+390502216906; e-mail
15 address: sara.minieri@unipi.it

16

17 **Abstract**

18 The trial was performed with 80 laying hens belonging to two Tuscan autochthonous
19 breeds: 40 birds of the Mugellese breed (MU) and 40 of the White Leghorn breed
20 (WL). The animals were allotted to 4 groups of 20 hens each: 2 groups were fed a
21 commercial diet and worked as the control groups (MUC and WLC); the other 2
22 groups received the same diet, integrated with 2 g of chestnut tannin extract per kg of
23 diet (MUT and WLT). 70 eggs were randomly collected and analysed for cholesterol
24 content, fatty acid profile, weight, thickness of shell and colour of yolk. Physical
25 parameters, including yolk color, and indices of egg quality were not affected by the

26 treatments. The concentration of unsaturated fatty acids increased whereas cholesterol
27 resulted significantly depressed: -17% in WLT and -9% in MUT. Dietary integration
28 with chestnut tannin extract resulted in a modification of lipid composition, toward a
29 healthful quality of eggs.

30

31 **Key word:** chestnut tannin, egg yolk, fatty acids, cholesterol.

32

33 **1. Introduction**

34 The world daily consumption of eggs is high because they are inexpensive source of
35 nutrition and because they are ingredients for many food products. Hence, eggs are
36 considered the primary source of cholesterol in human diet for their chemical
37 composition. Studies on lipid metabolism have shown that most of the eggs'
38 cholesterol is synthesized in the liver and is used essentially for embryonic
39 development (Naber E.C, 1976). Cholesterol and its esters, therefore, are found only
40 in yolks where they are emulsified by high, low and very low density lipoproteins.
41 Literature reported that egg cholesterol is strongly influenced either by genetic factors
42 or by lay intensity and, hens belonging to good-laying breeds produce eggs with a
43 lower cholesterol content compared to eggs from autoctonous breeds, characterized
44 by a lower daily egg production percentage (NRC, 1994). Despite conflicting
45 evidence about the role of dietary cholesterol in cardiovascular diseases, many efforts
46 have been made to reduce its content in eggs by genetic approaches and by new
47 feeding strategies (Milinsk et al., 2003). Several authors demonstrated that the fiber
48 percentage in diet plays an important role in reducing the cholesterol in yolks,
49 especially if associated with a low supplementation of vegetable oils (Naber, 1976,
50 McNaughton, 2014). Also the dietary integration of probiotics as *Lactobacillus*

51 *sporogenes* showed positive results in limiting cholesterol in eggs (Panda et al.,
52 2008). Literature reported that hydrolyzable polyphenols are able to reduce the
53 cholesterol synthesis in monogastrics including humans, interfering with lipid
54 metabolism at the liver level and that the gallic acid moiety is important in these
55 inhibitory activities (Kim et al., 2013; Kobayashi and Ikeda, 2014; Lu and Hwang,
56 2008). Tannins extracted from Chestnut wood (*Castanea sativa* Miller), a common
57 plant species in the Mediterranean area, are an example of hydrolyzable polyphenols
58 characterized by the presence of the gallic acid moiety (Campo et al., 2012).
59 However, the use of tannins in poultry feeding is limited by their anti-nutritional
60 effect responsible for the decrease of organic matter digestibility and consequently, of
61 the growth performance or of a depression in egg production (Ahmed et al., 1991;
62 Chang and Fuller, 1964; Garcia et al., 2004; Longstaff and McNab, 1991a, 1991b;
63 Smulikowska et al., 2001; Trevino et al., 1992; Giner-Chavez et al., 1996). Literature
64 reported controversial data probably because tannin properties are strongly linked to
65 their origin and some of them, when used in appropriate doses, may help to prevent
66 undesired intestinal microflora development (Scalbert, 1991; Chung et al., 1998).
67 Several authors, investigating the influence of the polyphenol extract from chestnut
68 wood, found that the use of these substances in poultry feeding did not affect nitrogen
69 balance, nutrient digestibility, mineral bioavailability, body weight, feed conversion
70 ratio and the carcass quality (Jamroz et al., 2009; Salobir et al., 2008; Schiavone et al.,
71 2008). Moreover, chestnut tannins (CT) are also efficient against coccidiosis and
72 necrotic enteritis in poultry (Bole-Hribovsek et al., 2012; Elizondo et al., 2010; Tosi
73 et al., 2013). In contrast, little information is reported on the role of CT in laying
74 hens' feeding because most of the studies deal with the use of condensed tannins
75 (Imik, H. 2009; Jacob et al., 1996; Marzoni et al., 2005; Sell et al., 1983).

76 Hens' sensitivity to dietary tannins, varies according to their ability to denature these
77 compounds with digestive enzymes and several authors observed a marked decrease in
78 egg production and an increase frequency of egg yolk mottling also at low inclusion
79 level in the diet (Begovic et al., 1978; Chang and Fuller 1964; Fuller et al. 1967;
80 Vohra et al. 1966).

81 Consequently, the aim of the current study was to investigate the effects of a
82 commercial chestnut tannin extract (CTE) from *Castanea sativa* Miller, on cholesterol
83 content and nutritional quality of eggs from two breeds of laying hens characterized
84 by a different productive performance, White Leghorn vs Mugellese.

85

86 **Acronym:** CT, chestnut tannin; CTE, chestnut tannin extract; MU, Mugellese breed;
87 WL, White Leghorn breed; GE, gross energy; MUC, Mugellese group fed control
88 diet; MUT, Mugellese group fed diet enriched with chestnut tannin extract; WLC,
89 White Leghorn group fed control diet; WLT, White Leghorn group fed diet enriched
90 with chestnut tannin extract; DM, dry matter; DMI, dry matter intake; CP, crude
91 protein; EE, ether extract; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; FA, fatty acid; FAME, fatty
92 acid methyl ester; CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; LA, linoleic acid; α -LNA, alpha
93 linolenic acid; VFA, volatile fatty acid; PO, palmitoleic acid; OA, oleic acid; PA,
94 palmitic acid; SA, stearic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic
95 acid; AA, arachidonic acid ; DI, desaturation index; HDEP%, hen-day egg
96 production percentage.

97

98 **2. Methods**

99 *2.1 Animals, environment, experimental design and diets.*

100 All experimental procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of the
101 University of Florence and were in compliance with the guidelines of the International
102 Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC, 2004) for the care and use of animals in
103 research.

104 The trial was performed with 80 laying hens (aged 39 weeks), 40 belonging to the
105 dwarf breed Mugellese (MU) and 40 to the White Leghorn (WL) breed.

106 These two breeds were chosen because they are characterized by different productive
107 performances. WL is a cosmopolitan breed because good layers of white eggs and
108 characterized by a good feed-to-egg conversion ratio. In contrast, MU is a small local
109 population very appreciated by consumers for their egg quality, characterized by a
110 lower daily egg production than WL .

111 The birds were weighed and individually allotted in 80 pens (20 pens per each
112 experimental group; one bird is considered as replicate) and maintained under semi-
113 controlled environmental conditions with exposure to 16h photoperiod in a 2x2
114 factorial design. For each breed, a group was fed a control diet (groups MUC and
115 WLC; 603.5 ± 4.4 g and 2315.7 ± 22.5 g of live body weight, respectively); the other
116 group (groups MUT and WLT; 603.9 ± 7.0 g and 2313.7 ± 34.3 g of live body weight,
117 respectively) received the same diet, integrated with 2 g of a commercial CTE
118 (Saviotan feed ©, provided by Gruppo Mauro Saviola – Mantova - Italy) per kg of
119 diet, expressed on dry matter (DM). CTE contained 750g of tannic acid equivalent/kg
120 of DM and was titrated according to Burns (1963). The CTE chemical composition
121 has been previously investigated by Campo et al. (2012). The diets used in this trial
122 were administered as pellet and were formulated to meet the nutrient requirements of
123 laying hens consuming 100 g of feed per day according to National Research Council

124 (NRC, 1994). The trial lasted 5 weeks, after a 4 week preliminary adaptation period.
125 The ingredients and the chemical composition of the diets are shown in Table 1.
126 Diets and water were administered *ad libitum* during the study and dietary
127 consumption was measured daily for each hen considering the amount of feed offered
128 and the residuals. Individual animal body weights were measured at the beginning and
129 at the end of the experimental period.

130 *2.2 Collection of eggs.*

131 Egg production was recorded for the entire 35-day period for each hen and the percent
132 hen-day egg production (HDEP%) was calculated according to the formula published
133 by North (1984):

134 $(\text{HDEP}\% = \text{Number of eggs produced on daily basis} / \text{Number of birds available in}$
135 $\text{the flock on that day}) \times 100.$

136 All eggs produced were collected daily to be weighed and measured with a digital
137 compass to obtain both short and long diameters as well as thickness of shells at a \pm
138 0.001mm sensitivity.

139 During the whole experimental period, 70 eggs from each group were randomly
140 collected; for each egg the yolk and albumen were separated and, immediately after
141 the collection, tested for chemical and physical assay as described below.

142 *2.3 Proximate analysis of diets and eggs.*

143 Samples of feeds (in triplicate for each treatment) were analysed for DM, crude
144 protein (CP), ash and ether extract (EE) according to the 930.15, 976.06, 942.05 and
145 920.39 procedures of AOAC (1995) respectively while neutral detergent fiber (NDF)
146 of the diets was determined according to Van Soest et al. (1991) using heat-stable

147 amylase and expressed inclusive of residual ash. The Gross Energy (GE) value was
148 calculated according to NRC (1994). Fresh individual samples of yolk and albumen
149 (70 for each treatment) were analysed for CP and ash according to the 976.06, 942.05
150 procedures of (AOAC, 1995). Fat content was determined gravimetrically according
151 to Folch et al. (1957) at the moment of lipid extraction for fatty acid (FA) profile
152 characterization as described below.

153 *2.4 Determination of fatty acid profile of diets and yolk.*

154 Diets (2g; in triplicate for each treatment) were analyzed for FA profile using a one-
155 step methylation procedure according to Suskja and Palmquist (1996). Fresh samples
156 (200 mg) of yolk (70 from each experimental group) were extracted for total lipids
157 content according to Folch et al. (1957) and FA composition was determined after a
158 double-step esterification according to Kramer et al. (2004).

159 The fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were separated by a GC equipped with an FID
160 detector and a capillary coloumn (CP-Select CB for FAME Varian, Middelburg,
161 TheNetherlands: 100 m x 0.25 mm i.d.; film thickness 0.20 μm). The injector and FID
162 detector temperatures were respectively 270°C and 300°C. The oven programmed
163 temperature was 40°C for 4 min, increased to 120°C at a rate of 10°C min^{-1} ,
164 maintained at 120°C for 1 min, increased to 180°C at a rate of 5°C min^{-1} , maintained
165 at 180°C for 18 min, increased to 200°C at a rate of 2°C min^{-1} , maintained at 200°C
166 for 1 min, increased to 230°C at a rate of 2°C min^{-1} and maintained at this last
167 temperature for 19 min. The split ratio was 1:100 and helium was the carrier gas with
168 a flux of 1 mL min^{-1} . Individual FAMEs were quantified using valeric acid (C5:0)
169 and nonadecanoic acid (C19:0) methyl esters (cods 14899 and N5377 respectively;
170 Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) as internal standards and identified by

171 comparison to the relative retention times of FAMES peaks from samples, with those
172 of the standard mixture 37 Component FAMES Mix C4:0-C24:0 (cod 18919-1AMP,
173 Supelco, Bellefonte, PA), individual *trans*-9 C18:1 and *trans*-11 C18:1 (cods 46903
174 and v1381 respectively, Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO), individual *cis*-
175 9, *trans*-11 C18:2 (cod 1255, Matreya Inc, Pleasant GAP, PA), conjugated linoleic
176 acid (CLA) mix standard (cod 05632, Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO)
177 and published isomeric profile (Cruz-Hernandez et al., 2006; Kramer et al., 1997;
178 Kramer et al., 2004). The C18:1 isomers elution sequence was performed according to
179 Kramer et al. (2008). Moreover, standard mix of α -linolenic acid (α -LNA) isomers
180 (cod 47792, Supelco, Bellefonte, PA) and of linoleic acid (LA) isomers (cod 47791,
181 Supelco, Bellefonte, PA) and published isomeric profiles (Destailats et al., 2005)
182 were used to identify the isomers of interest. Two bacterial acid methyl ester mixes
183 (cod 47080-U Supelco, Bellefonte, PA; cod GLC110, Matreya, Pleasant Gap, PA) and
184 individual standard for methyl ester of *iso* C14:0, *anteiso* C14:0, *iso* C15:0 and
185 *anteiso* C17:0 (cods 21-1211-11, 21-1210-11, 21-1312-11 and 21-1415-11
186 respectively, Larodan Malmo, SW) were used to identify branched FA profile. Inter
187 and intra-assay coefficients of variation were calculated by using a reference standard
188 butter (cod CRM 164, Community Boureau of Reference, Bruxelles, Belgium) and
189 detection threshold was 0.1g/kg of FA (Contarini et al., 2013). All results were
190 expressed as g/kg of total lipids. Intra-assay coefficients of variation ranged from 0.5
191 to 1.5% whereas inter-assay coefficients of variation ranged from 1.5 to 2.5.

192 Desaturation Index (DI) was calculated considering the concentration of C14:0 and
193 *cis*-9 C14:1 fatty acid according to the following formula (Buccioni et al., 2015):

194
$$DI = \frac{\text{cis-9 C14:1}}{\text{cis-9 C14:0} + \text{C14:1}}$$

195 *2.5 GC analysis of cholesterol.*

196 Fresh samples (500 mg) of yolk (70 from each experimental group) were individually
197 analyzed for total sterols (free and esterified) obtained after cold saponification
198 according to Sander et al. (1989). Gaschromatographic analysis of cholesterol was
199 carried out using a capillary column (SE 52, Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co KG,
200 Germany; 50m x 0.25 mm ID, film thickness 0.25µm) with the temperature being
201 programmed from 220 to 310°C at a rate of 4.5 °C/min. Both injector and detector
202 temperatures were set at 350°C (Sweeley et al.,1963).

203 *2.6 Color analysis.*

204 Yolks (70 from each experimental group) were poured into a clean glass petri dish to
205 be measured for color using the portable spectrophotometer (Minolta CR 200 Chroma
206 Meter4, calibrated using a standard yellow calibration tile, model CRA471). The top
207 of the Chroma Meter measuring head was placed flat against the surface of yolk and
208 reflective color was determined from the average of three consecutive pulses from the
209 optical chamber of the spectrophotometer. Data are reported in the L*a*b* color
210 notation system with L* axis representing lightness, the a* axis representing the red-
211 green color axis (redness) and the b* axis representing the blue-yellow (yellowness)
212 color axis (Minolta, 1994).

213 *2.7 Statistical Analysis*

214 All data (e.g., animal weight, egg's physical parameters, egg's chemical composition
215 and fatty acid profile) recorded over the course of the experiment were processed as a
216 full factorial design with repeated measures using the MIXED procedure of SAS
217 (1999):

218
$$y_{ijkl} = \mu + D_i + B_j + I_k(D) + (D \times B)_{ij} + e_{ijkl}$$

219 where y_{ijkl} is the observation; μ is the overall mean; D_i the fixed effect of diet ($i = 1$
220 to 3); B_j the fixed effect of Breed ($j = 1$ to 2); I_k is the random effect of the hen nested
221 within the diet ($k = 1$ to 20); $(D \times B)_{ij}$ the interaction between diet and breed and e_{ijkl}
222 the residual error. The covariance structure was compound symmetry, which was
223 selected on the basis of Akaike's information criterion of the mixed model of SAS.
224 Statistical significance of the diet effect was tested against variance of hen nested
225 within diet according to repeated measures design theory (Littell et al. 1998).

226 Data related to percent hen-day egg production were processed with GLM using the
227 MIXED procedures of SAS (1999):

$$228 \quad y_{ijl} = \mu + D_i + B_j + (D \times B)_{ij} + e_{ijkl}$$

229 where y_{ijl} is the observation; μ is the overall mean; D_i the fixed effect of diet ($i = 1$ to
230 3); B_j the fixed effect of Breed ($j = 1$ to 2); $(D \times B)_{ij}$ the interaction between diet and
231 breed and e_{ijkl} the residual error.

232

233 **3. Results**

234 *3.1 Feed intake, egg production and egg quality.*

235 During the experimental period no animal loss was registered. Moreover, no
236 differences in average dry matter intake (DMI) were found in treated groups respect
237 to their related control groups (MUC= 93.02g /head and day vs MUT= 97.93g / head
238 and day, s.e.m 2.65, P=0.732; WLC= 120.34g /head and day, WLT= 118,32g /head
239 and day, s.e.m. 1.99, P=0.902). At the end of the trial no variation in body weight nor
240 in egg production was registered as consequence of a D effect (Table 2). Instead, a B
241 effect for animal body weight, percent hen-day egg production and their physical

242 characteristics was observed according to the higher live-weight and better
243 performances of WL respect to that of MU (Table 2). The yolk color and shell
244 thickness were not affected by D, B or by their interaction D x B (Table 3). Only a
245 significant B effect was found for yolk and albumen protein, fat and ash contents that
246 were higher in eggs from MU than WL (Table 3).

247 3.2 Fatty acid profile and cholesterol content of eggs yolk.

248 Yolk FA composition was affected by CTE for both breeds of laying hens (Table 4).
249 The main effects were shown for unsaturated fatty acid (UFA). In fact, the dietary
250 inclusion of CTE increased *cis*-9 C16:1 (palmitoleic acid, PO) and decreased *cis*-9,
251 *cis*-12 C18:2 (linoleic acid, LA) content with a significant effect due to the D and B
252 factors and their interaction D X B ($P < 0,05$). In contrast, *cis*-9 C18:1 (oleic acid, OA)
253 was enhanced in MUT and WLT with a significant effect of the D factor and
254 interaction D X B but not of B. The interaction D X B was significant for C16:0
255 (palmitic acid, PA) which decreased in MUT and increased in WLT compared to the
256 related control groups. *Cis*-9 C14:1 concentration is decreased by CTE inclusion in
257 the diet only in yolk from MUT respect to MUC. Only WL hens, fed with diet WLT,
258 showed a significant decrease of *iso* C17:0, C18:0 (stearic acid, SA), and *cis*-11 *cis*-14
259 C20:2. Instead, the concentration of LA decreased in both WLT and MUT. The
260 content of *cis*-5 *cis*-8 *cis*-11 *cis*-14 *cis*-17 C20:5 (eicosapentaenoic acid, EPA) and
261 *cis*-4 *cis*-7 *cis*-10 *cis*-13 *cis*-16 *cis*-19 C22:6 (docosahexaenoic acid, DHA) remained
262 constant regardless of breed or CTE dietary integration. Also *cis*-5 *cis*-8 *cis*-11 *cis*-14
263 C20:4 (arachidonic acid, AA) content remained constant in the two groups of eggs for
264 both breeds of hens.

265 Considering DI, this parameter was affected by D and showed an opposite trend
266 (significant effect of D X B) in treated groups because it decreased significantly in
267 MUT while in WLT increased.

268 The main effect on lipid fraction resulted in cholesterol content that tended to
269 decrease in the group treated with CTE, regardless breeds with a D and DxB
270 significant effect (Table 2).

271

272 **4. Discussion.**

273 No differences were observed in Dry Matter Intake (DMI) between hens fed control
274 or CTE diets. As consequence, the inclusion of CTE in the diets, at the percentage
275 adopted in this trial, did not affect the dietary palatability. Moreover, the differences
276 found in egg production and egg weight were due only to the breed effect according
277 to the better performance of WL respect to the MU and not to the dietary
278 supplementation of CTE. WL, in fact, is largely diffused in the world for its high
279 productivity and for its good feed-to-egg conversion ratio, also when reared in free-
280 range farming. In contrast, MU is a small population, particularly adapted for a free-
281 range management but with medium productivity, even if appreciated by consumers
282 for the egg quality. Literature reported that tannins can interfere with Calcium
283 absorption in hens, affecting the shell thickness (Salobir et al., 2008). In our study this
284 parameter is not changed among groups, suggesting that presumably the
285 bioavailability of Calcium is ensured also in animals fed the diet integrated with CTE.
286 Moreover, in this trial CTE integration did not cause discoloration and mottling in
287 yolks, suggesting that CTE did not interfere with pigment metabolism, in contrast to
288 what has been found in other studies which reported the passage of undesirable

289 pigments from gut to yolk when condensed polyphenols were added to feed at a
290 percentage comparable to that used in the present experiment (Hughes, 1972; Potter,
291 1967; Weber, 1970). No differences were observed in CP content of eggs among
292 groups, regardless of diet or breed. Literature reported controversial data on the effect
293 of tannins in poultry feeding and it could be related to the dose and the kind of tannin
294 used in the trials as a consequence of their chemical structure and their solubility
295 strongly linked to their chemical structure. Observing the FA profile of yolks, the
296 absorption of MUFA seems to be encouraged by the inclusion of tannins in the diet,
297 especially in the case of OA that increased with CTE diet (+7% in MUT and +9% in
298 WLT respectively) regardless of breeds. α -LNA content was affected by both diet and
299 breed effect. FA profile in egg yolk varies considerably with the dietary ingredients
300 that affect the efficiency of FA transfer with particular attention to the UFA fraction
301 (Gonzalez-Esquerria and Leeson, 2001). Even if the role of tannin in lipid metabolism
302 in monogastrics is not yet completely elucidated, several authors demonstrated that
303 hydrolysable polyphenols can limit the lipid solubility and consequently the intestinal
304 absorption of fat (Zhao et al., 2014). For this reason, it is hypothetical that CTE could
305 interfere with selective FA absorption at the gut level causing a different uptake
306 according to the FA molecular structure. The DI values, calculated as *cis*-9 C14:1 /
307 total C14 fatty acids ratio to evaluate the index of the Stearoyl CoA gene expression
308 in tissues, suggested that CTE could decrease the Δ^9 desaturase activity in WL breed
309 but not in MU. In fact, several authors demonstrated that tannins are able to interfere
310 with gene expression in cells and that their solubility plays an important role in both
311 inhibiting the enzymatic activity as well as being metabolized by cells to bioactive
312 monomers (Landete, 2011, Buccioni et al., 2015). Despite conflicting studies about
313 the role of cholesterol in cardiovascular diseases (Vos, 2010), some authors have

314 proposed reducing dietary cholesterol (Houston et al., 2011; Plourde and Cunnane,
315 2007; Spence et al., 2010) and encouraged the production of novel foods with low
316 content of this lipid and high levels in Omega-3 FA, vitamin E and vitamin D
317 (Cherian, 2009; Elkin, 2007; Kassis et al., 2010; Lawlor et al., 2010; Naber, 1993).

318 In the current study, the soluble tannin extract was able to reduce cholesterol content
319 in yolks regardless of breed. This finding could be related to cholesterol biosynthesis
320 inhibitory activities, as a consequence of the presence of polyphenolic compounds in
321 the diet (Lu and Hwang, 2008). Unfortunately, few studies have been done on the
322 effect of hydrolysable tannins on hens cholesterol metabolism. In literature several
323 trials have demonstrated that a constant consumption of hydrolysable polyphenols
324 contributes to reduced serum cholesterol concentration in monogastrics including
325 humans and that the gallic acid moiety, present also in CTE, may play an inhibitory
326 role in the cholesterol biosynthesis or uptake (Kim et al., 2013; Kobayashi and Ikeda,
327 2014; Lu and Hwang, 2008; Romani et al., 2013). WL breed seemed to be more
328 sensitive than MU breed to the CTE effect in reducing cholesterol content in eggs.
329 Usually, cholesterol content in eggs from autochthonous hens is higher when
330 compared to that in eggs from commercial laying hens based on the fact that the
331 cholesterol content is strongly related to genetic factors, lay intensity, dietary
332 composition and layer age (Mikec and Dinarina-Sablic, 2007; Millet et al., 2006;
333 Vorlovà et al., 2001).

334

335 **Conclusions**

336 The dietary integration of chestnut tannin extract in a practical dose can contribute to
337 decrease the cholesterol and to increase OA concentration in egg yolks. For human

338 consumption, eggs with a lower cholesterol content and a higher functional fatty acid
339 percentage could be recommended as a support to controlling heart disease. However,
340 the MU breed seemed to be less sensitive to polyphenol dietary inclusion. In terms of
341 egg nutritional value an improvement of their quality enhancing the healthy
342 components present in lipid fraction and, at the same time, lowering the harmful ones,
343 is however desirable.

344 **Acknowledgements**

345 In memory of Dr Alessandro Sandrelli, good researcher and marvelous friend.

346 The Authors thank: Mr. Silvano Lancini of the “Dipartimento Di Scienze delle
347 Produzioni Agro-Alimentari e dell’Ambiente” for his precious technical assistance in
348 animal management during the trial; the University of Florence for financing this
349 research; and Gruppo Mauro Saviola srl, Radicofani, Siena, Italy, for the provision of
350 chestnut tannin.

351

352 **References**

353 AHMED, A.E., SMITHARD, R., ELLIS, M. (1991) Activities of enzymes of the
354 pancreas, and the lumen and mucosa of small intestine in growing boiler cockerels fed
355 on tannin containing diets. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 65: 189-197.

356 ASSOCIATION OF OFFICIAL ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS. (1995) *Official*
357 *Methods of Analysis*, AOAC. 16th Ed, Arlington, VA.

358 BEGOVIC, S., DUZIC, E., SAKIRBEGOVIC, A., TAFRO, A. (1978) Examination
359 of tannase activity in ruminal contents and mucosa of goat fed oak leaves and during
360 intraruminal application of 3-10% tannic acid. *Veterinary Yugoslavia*, 4: 445-457.

361 BOLE-HRIBOVSEK, V., DROBNIC-KOSOREK, M., PONEBSEK, J., MORAN, D.,
362 HOOGE, D.M. (2012) Minimum inhibitory concentrations of Farmatan(R) dried all-
363 natural tannic acid (flavoring) feed additive on pathogenic strains of *Clostridium*
364 *perfringens* and *Escherichia coli* plus isolates of four Salmonella species *in vitro*.
365 Poultry Science, Association Annual Meeting, Athens, GA, July 9-12. Abstract P375.

366 BUCCIONI, A., SERRA, A., MINIERI, S., MANNELLI, F., CAPPUCCI, A.,
367 BENVENUTI, D., RAPACCINI, S., CONTE, G., MELE, M. (2015) Milk production,
368 composition, and milk fatty acid profile from grazing sheep fed diets supplemented
369 with chestnut tannin extract and extruded linseed. Small Ruminant Research,
370 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumers.2015.07.021>

371 BURNS, R.E. (1963) Methods of tannin analysis for forage crop evaluation. Technical
372 Bulletin number 32. Georgia Agricultural Experiment Station, Athens, GA, USA.

373 CHANG, S.I., FULLER, H.L. (1964) Effect of tannin content of grain sorghums on
374 their feeding value for growing chicks. Poultry Science, 43: 30-35.

375 CHERIAN, G. (2009) Eggs and health: nutrient sources and supplement carriers.
376 Complementary Alternative Therapies in the Aging Population, 1: 333–346.

377 CHUNG, K.T., LU, Z., CHOU, M.W. (1998) Mechanism of inhibition of tannic acid
378 and related compounds on the growth of intestinal bacteria. Food and Chemical
379 Toxicology, 36: 1053-1060.

380 CONTARINI, G., POVOLO, M., PELIZZOLA, V., MONTI, L., LERCKER, G.
381 (2013) Interlaboratory evaluation of milk fatty acid composition by using different
382 GC operating conditions. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 32: 131-140.

383 CRUZ-HERNANDEZ, C., KRAMER, J.K.G., KRAFT, J., SANTERCOLE, V., OR-
384 RASHID, M., DENG, Z., DUGAN, M.E.R., DELMONTE, P., YURAWECZ, M.P.
385 (2006). Systematic analysis of *trans*- and conjugated linoleic acids in the milk and
386 meat of ruminants, in Yurawecz, M.P., Kramer, J.K.G., Gudmundsen, O., Pariza,
387 M.W., Banni, S., (Eds), Advances in Conjugated Linoleic Acid Research. AOCS
388 Press, Champaign, IL, pp 45–93.

389 DESTAILLATS, F., TROTTIER, J.P., GALVEZ, J.M.G., ANGERS, P. (2005)
390 Analysis of alpha-linolenic acid biohydrogenation intermediates in milk fat with
391 emphasis on conjugated linolenic acids. Journal Dairy Science, 88: 3231-3239.

392 ELIZONDO, A.M., MERCADO, E.C., RABINOVITZ, B.C., FERNANDEZ-
393 NIYAKAWA, M.E. (2010) Effect of tannins on the in vivo growth of *Clostridium*
394 *perfringens*. Veterinary Microbiology, 145: 308-314.

395 ELKIN, R.G. (2007) Reducing shell egg cholesterol content. II. Review of approaches
396 utilizing non-nutritive dietary factors or pharmacological agents and an examination
397 of emerging strategies. World's Poultry Science Journal, 63: 5–31.

398 FOLCH, J., LEES, M., STANLEY, G.H.S. 1957. A simple method for the isolation
399 and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. Journal of Biological Chemistry,
400 226: 497–509.

401 FULLER, H.L., CHANG, S., POTTER, D.K.,(1967) Detoxication of dietary tannic
402 acid by chicks. Journal of Nutrition, 91: 477-481.

403 GARCIA, R.G., MENDEZ, A.A., SARTORI, J.R., Paz, I.C.L.A., TAKAHASHI,
404 S.E., PELICIA, K., KOMIYAMA, C.M., QUINTEIRO, R.R. (2004) Digestibility of

405 feeds containing sorghum, with and without tannin for broiler chickens submitted to
406 three room temperatures. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola*, 6: 55-60.

407 GINER-CHAVEZ, B.J. (1996) Condensed tannins in tropical forages. Ph.D. Thesis.
408 Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA.

409 GONZALEZ-ESQUERRA, R., LEESON, S. (2001) Alternatives for enrichment of
410 eggs and chicken meat with omega-3 fatty acids. *Canadian Journal of Animal*
411 *Science*, 81: 295-305

412 HOUSTON, D.K., DING, J., LEE, J.S., GARCIA, M., KANAYA, A.M.,
413 TYLAVSKY, F.A., NEWMAN, A.B., VISSER, M., KRITCHEVSKY, S.B. (2011)
414 for the Health ABC Study, Dietary fat and cholesterol and risk of cardiovascular
415 disease in older adults: The Health ABC Study. *Nutrition, Metabolism and*
416 *Cardiovascular Diseases*, 21:430–437.

417 HUGHES, R.J. (1972) Abnormal yolk color and mottling caused by dietary tannic
418 acid and “tannins”. *Poultry Science*, 52: 1784-1786.

419 IMIK, H. (2009) Effects of different proportions of sorghum (*Sorghum vulgare*) and
420 methionine additions in the rations on laying performance and egg quality properties
421 in hens. *Journal. of Animal and Veterinary Advanced*, 8(2): 397-402.

422 Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. (2004) University of Florence.
423 www.unifi.it.

424 JACOB, J.P., MITARU, B.N., MBUGUA, P.N., BLAIR, R. (1996) The feeding value
425 of Kenyan sorghum, sunflower seed cake and sesame seed cake for broilers and
426 layers. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 61: 41-56.

427 JAMROZ, D., WILICZKIEWICZ, A., SKORUPINSKA, J., ORDA, J., KURYSZKO,
428 J., TSCHIRCH, H. (2009) Effect of sweet chestnut tannin (SCT) on the performance,
429 microbial status of intestine and histological characteristics of intestine wall in
430 chickens. *British Poultry Science* 50: 687-699.

431 KASSIS, N., DRAKE, S.R., BEAMER, S.K., MATAK, K.E., JACZYNSKI, J. (2010)
432 Development of nutraceutical egg products with omega-3-rich oils. *LWT – Food*
433 *Science and Technology International*, 43: 777–783.

434 KIM, B., KU, C.S., PHAM, T.X., PARK, Y., MARTIN, D.A., XIE, L., TAHERI, R.,
435 LEE, J., BOLLING, B.W. (2013). *Alonia melanocarpa* (chokeberry) polyphenol-rich
436 extract improves antioxidant function and reduces total plasma cholesterol in
437 apolipoprotein E knockout mice. *Nutrition Research*,33: 403-41.

438 KOBAYASHI, M., IKEDA, I. (2014). Modulation of intestinal cholesterol absorption
439 by dietary tea polyphenols. In Watson, R. R., Preedy, V. R., (Eds) *polyphenols in*
440 *human health and disease*, Academic press Elsevier, 625-638.

441 KRAMER, K.G., HERNANDEZ, M., CRUZ-HERNANDEZ, C., KRAFT, J.,
442 DUGAN, M.E.R. (2008) Combining results of two GC separations partly achieves
443 determination of all *cis*- and *trans*- 16:1, 18:1, 18:2 and 18:3 except CLA isomers of
444 milk fat as demonstrated using Ag-Ion SPE fractionation. *Lipids*, 43: 259–273.

445 KRAMER, J.K.G., CRUZ-HERNANDEZ, C., DENG, Z.Y., ZHOU, J.Q., JAHREIS,
446 G., DUGAN, M.E.R. 2004. Analysis of conjugated linoleic acid and *trans*- 18:1
447 isomers in synthetic and animal products. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 79, 1137S-1145S.

448 KRAMER, J.K.G., FELLNER, V., DUGAN, M.E.R., SAUER, F.D., MOSSOBA,
449 M.M., YURAWECZ, M.P. (1997) Evaluating acid and base catalysts in the

450 methylation of milk and rumen fatty acids with special emphasis on conjugated dienes
451 and total *trans*- fatty acids. *Lipids*, 32: 1219-1228.

452 LANDETE, J.M. (2011). Ellagitannins, ellagic acid and their derived metabolites: a
453 review about source, metabolism, functions and health. *Food Research International*,
454 44: 1150-1160.

455 LAWLOR, J.B., GAUDETTE, N., DICKSON, T., HOUSE, J.D. (2010) Fatty acid
456 profile and sensory characteristics of table eggs from laying hens fed diets containing
457 microencapsulated fish oil. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 156: 97-103.

458 LITTELL, R.C., HENRY, P.R., AMMERMAN, C.B. (1998) Statistical analysis of
459 repeated measures data using SAS procedures. *Journal of Animal Science*, 76: 1216-
460 1231.

461 LONGSTAFF, M., MCNAB, J.M. 1991A. The inhibitory effects of hull
462 polysaccharides and tannins of field beans (*Vicia faba* L.) on the digestion of
463 aminoacids, starch and lipid and on digestive enzymes activities in young chicks. *Brit.*
464 *J. Nutr.* 65, 199-216.

465 LONGSTAFF, M., MCNAB, J.M. (1991b) The effect of concentration of tannin-rich
466 bean hulls (*Vicia faba* L.) on the activities of lipase (E.C. 3.1.1.3) and alpha-amylase
467 (EC 3.2.1.1) in digesta and pancreas on the digestion of lipid and starch by young
468 chicks. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 66: 139-147.

469 LU, C.H., HWANG, L.S. (2008) Polyphenol contents of Pu-Erh teas and their
470 abilities to inhibit cholesterol biosynthesis in Hep G2 cell line. *Food Chemistry*, 111:
471 67-71.

472 MARZONI, M., CASTILLO, A., ROMBOLI, I. (2005) Dietary inclusion of
473 quebracho (*Schopis lorentzii*) tannins on productive performances of growing
474 pheasant females. Italian Journal of Animal Science, 4(Suppl. 2): 507–509.

475 MCNAUGHTON, J., ROBERTS, M., RICE, D., SMITH, B., HONG, B., DELANEY,
476 B., IIAMS, C. (2014) Comparison of broiler performance and carcass yields when fed
477 diets containing genetically modified canola meal from event DP-Ø73496-4, near-
478 isogenic canola meal, or commercial canola meals. Poultry Science, 93 (7): 1713-
479 1723.

480 MIKEC, M., DINARINA-SABLIĆ, M. (2007) Cholesterol content in chicken eggs
481 dependant on various breeds, age and housing types. Proceedings of the 7th
482 symposium of poultry days 2007 with international participation, Croatian Veterinary
483 Institute, Zagreb, 112–118.

484 MILINSK, M.C., MUKARAMI, A.E., GOMES, S.T.M., MATSUSHITA, M., DE
485 SOUZA, N. (2003) Fatty acid profile of eggs yolk lipids from hens fed diets rich in
486 n-3 fatty acids. Food Chemistry. 83(2): 287-292.

487 MILLET, S., DE CEULAER, K., VAN PAEMEL, M., RAES, K., DE SMET, S.,
488 JANSSENS, G.P.J. (2006) Lipid profile in eggs of Araucana hens compared with
489 Lohmann selected Leghorn and ISA Brown hens give diets with different fat sources.
490 British Poultry Science, 47: 294-300.

491 MINOLTA. (1994). Precise Color Communication. Minolta Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan.

492 Naber, E.C. (1993). Modifying vitamin content of eggs: a review. Journal of Applied.
493 Poultry Research, 2: 385–393.

494 NABER, E.C. (1976) The cholesterol problem, the egg and lipid metabolism in the
495 laying hen. Poultry Science, 55(1):14-30.

496 NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL.(1994) Nutrient requirements of poultry, ninth
497 ed. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, DC.

498 NORTH, M.O. (1984) Breeder Management. In commercial chicken production
499 manual. The Avi. Publishing company. Inc. Westport, Connecticut.

500 PANDA, A.K., RAMA, S.V., RAJU, M.V.L.N., SHARMA, S.V. (2008) Effect of
501 probiotic (*Lactobacillus sporogenes*) feeding on egg production and quality, yolk
502 cholesterol and humoral immune response of white Leghorn layer breeders. Journal
503 of the Science and Food and Agriculture, 88: 43-47.

504 PLOURDE, M., CUNNANE, S.C. (2007) Extremely limited synthesis of long-chain
505 polyunsaturates in adults: implications for their dietary essentiality and use as
506 supplements. Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism, 32: 619-634.

507 POTTER, D.K. (1967) Effect of tannic acid on egg production and egg yolk mottling.
508 Poultry Science, 46 (6): 1508-1512.

509 CAMPO, M., PINELLI, P., ROMANI, A. (2012) HPLC/DAD/ESI-MS
510 characterization and antioxidant activity of sweet chestnut (*Castanea sativa* M.)
511 fractions. XXWI international conference of polyphenols Proceedings, 135-136.

512 SALOBIR, J., REZAR, V., FRANKIE, T., VOLJE, M., LEVART, A., LEBEN, S.
513 (2008) Effects of tannins-rich extract of sweet chestnut wood on the use of nutrients
514 in broilers. Biotechnical Faculty, University

515 SANDER, B.D., SMITH, D.E., ADDIS, P.B., PARK, S.W. (1989) Effects of
516 prolonged and adverse storage conditions on levels of cholesterol oxidation products
517 in dairy products. *Journal of Food Science*, 54: 874–879.

518 SAS. (1999). *SAS/STAT User's Guide*, Release 8.0 edn. SAS Institute Inc., Cary,
519 NC.

520 SCALBERT, A. (1991) Antimicrobial properties of tannins. *Phytochem.* 30, 3875-
521 3883.

522 SCHIAVONE, A., GUO, K., TASSONE, S., GASCO, L., HERNANDEZ, E.,
523 DENTI, R., ZOCCARATO, I. (2008) Effects of a natural extract of chestnut wood on
524 digestibility, performance traits, and nitrogen balance of boiler chicks. *Poultry*
525 *Science*, 87: 521–527.

526 SELL, D.R., ROGLER, J.C., FEATHERSTON, W.R. (1983) The effect of sorghum
527 tannin and protein level on the performance of laying hens maintained in two
528 temperature environments. *Poultry Science*, 62: 2420-2428.

529 SMULIKOWSKA, S., PASTUSZEWSKA, B., S'WIECH, E., OCHTABIN'SKA, A.,
530 MIECZKOWSKA, A., NGUYEN, V.C., BURACZEWSKA, L. (2001) Tannin
531 content affects negatively nutritive value of pea for monogastrics. *Journal of Animal*
532 *Feed Science*, 10: 511–523.

533 SPENCE, D.J., JENKINS, D.J.A., DAVIGNON, J. (2010) Dietary cholesterol and
534 egg yolks: not for patients at risk of vascular disease. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*.
535 26: e336 – e339.

536 SUKHIJA, P.S., PALMQUIST, D.L.(1988) Rapid method for determination of total
537 fatty acid content and composition of feedstuffs and feces. *Journal of Agricultural and*
538 *Food Chemistry*, 38: 1202-1206.

539 SWEELEY, C.C., BENTLEY, R., MAKITA, M., WELLS, W.W. (1963) Gas-liquid
540 chromatography of trimethylsilyl derivatives of sugars and related substances. *Journal*
541 *of the American Oil Chemists Society*, 85: 2497-2507.

542 TOSI, G., MASSI, P., ANTONGIOVANNI, M., BUCCIONI, A., MINIERI, S.,
543 MARENCHINO, L., MELE, M. (2013) Efficacy test of a hydrolysable tannin extract
544 against necrotic enteritis in challenged broiler chickens. *Italian Journal Animal*
545 *Science* 12 e 62: 386-389.

546 TREVINO, J., ORTIZ, L., CENTENO, C. (1992) Effect of tannins from faba beans
547 (*Vicia faba*) on the digestion of starch by growing chicks. *Animal Feed Science and*
548 *Technology* 37: 345-349.

549 VAN SOEST, P.J., ROBERTSON, J.B., LEWIS, B.A. (1991) Methods for dietary
550 fibre, neutral detergent fibre, and no starch polysaccharides in relation to animal
551 nutrition. *Journal Dairy Science*, 74: 3583-3597.

552 VOHRA, P., KRATZER, F.H., JOSLYN, M.A. (1966) The growth depressing and
553 toxic effects of tannins to chicks. *Poultry Science*, 45:135.

554 VORLOVÀ, L., SIEGLOVÀ, E., KARPISKOVÀ, R., KOPRIVA, V. (2001)
555 Cholesterol content in eggs during the laying period. *Acta Veterinaria Brno*, 70: 387-
556 390.

557 VOS, E. (2010) All-cause mortality, cholesterol and eggs. *Canadian Journal of*
558 *Cardiology*, 27: 264 e3.

559 WEBER, R.E. (1970) Functional and molecular properties of corpuscular
560 haemoglobin from the bloodworm glycera gigantea. [Netherlands Journal of Sea](#)
561 [Research](#) 7: 316-327.

562 ZHAO, T., SUN, Q., DEL RINCON, S.V., LOVATO, A., MARQUES, M.,
563 WITCHER, M. (2014). Gallotannin imposes S phase arrest in breast cancer cells and
564 supresses the growth of triple-negative tumors in vivo. PLoS ONE 9(3): e9

565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583

584 Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition diets administered to birds.

		Diet C ¹	Diet T ¹
<i>Ingredients</i>			
Maize meal	g /kg	337.8	337.8
Rice Bran	"	185.0	185.0
Soybean meal	"	308.3	308.3
Soybean oil	"	95.2	95.2
Limestone	"	14.5	14.5
Calcium Phosphate	"	40.1	40.1
Vitamin and mineral premix ²	"	1.50	1.50
Lysine-HCl	"	2.1	2.1
Chestnut extract tannin ³	"	-	2.0
Bentonite	"	2.0	-
<i>Chemical composition</i>			
DM ⁴	g/kg	945.0	945.0
CP ⁵	g /kg DM	188.1	188.1
NDF ⁶	"	109.2	109.2
CF ⁷	"	47.3	47.3
Ash ⁸	"	55.5	55.5
GE ⁹	MJ/kg DM	15.6	15.6
Tannic acid equivalent	g/ kg DM	-	1.5

Fatty acid profile (g /100g of total fatty acids)

C16:0	9.60	9.58
C18:0	3.41	3.45
C18:1 <i>cis</i> -9	22.60	22.64
C18:2 <i>cis</i> -9 <i>cis</i> -12	54.32	54.29
C18:3 <i>cis</i> -9 <i>cis</i> -12 <i>cis</i> -15	6.90	6.88
Others	3.17	3.16

585 ¹ diet C, control diet; diet T, diet supplemented with chestnut tannin extract.

586 ²The vitamin and mineral mixture provided per kilogram of diet: cholecalciferol,
587 180000 mg; vitamin E (DL- α -tocopheryl acetate), 4000 mg; retinol (retinyl acetate),
588 1620000 mg; menadione, 300 mg; thiamin 120 mg; riboflavin, 180 mg; pyridoxine,
589 120 mg; folic acid, 100 mg; biotin, 200 μ g; cyanocobalamin, 20 μ g; Calcium-D
590 pantothenate, 1.08 g; FeCO₃, 4000 mg; ZnO, 5000 mg; MnO, 6000 mg; CuSO₄.5H₂O,
591 300 mg; KI, 1000 μ g; Na₂SeO₃, 200 μ g and CoCO₃, 200 μ g.

592 ³ SaviotaN®, provided by Gruppo Mauro Saviola – Radicofani – Si- Italy.

593 DM⁴, dry Matter⁵; CP⁶, Crude Protein; NDF⁷, neutral detergent fiber assayed with a
594 heat stable amylase and expressed inclusive of residual ash; CF⁸, Crude Fat; GE⁹,
595 GrossEnergy.

596

597

598

599

600

601

602 Table 2. Influence of diet on egg production and egg quality from hens consuming
 603 chestnut tannin extract (Data shown are the means of 70 replicates).

	Mugellese		White legorn		SEM ¹	P		
	³ MUC	³ MUT	³ WLC	³ WLT		D	B	DXB
Hen weight (g)	604.7	604.0	2319.0	2320.8	6.26	0.867	<0.0001	0.781
Percent hen-day egg production (%)	56.6	55.1	72.2	71.6	0.78	0.173	<0.0001	0.649
Egg weight (g)	31.9	33.0	49.3	51.1	1.44	0.323	<0.0001	0.787
Egg shell thickness(mm)	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.02	0.402	0.086	0.310
<i>g/ 100g on DM</i>								
Yolk crude protein	28.31	28.52	29.38	29.73	4.12	0.508	0.016	0.508
Albumen crude protein	82.03	82.15	83.61	83.92	2.73	0.600	0.021	0.927
Yolk crude fat	58.07	58.65	55.82	55.15	7.34	0.948	0.002	0.412
Albumen crude fat	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.91	0.847	0.011	0.723
Yolk ash	3.34	3.02	3.95	3.57	2.14	0.130	0.019	0.886
Albumen ash	5.31	5.62	5.44	5.58	1.93	0.567	0.042	0.756
Colesterol	2.317a	2.117b	2.478a	2.066b	1.13	0.041	0.615	0.025

604 ¹ Standard error mean.

605 ² Probability of significant effect due to experimental diet (D), breeds (B) and their
 606 interaction D x B.

607 ³ MUC, Mugellese hens fed control diet; MUCT, Mugellese hens fed diet
 608 supplemented with chestnut tannin extract; WL, White Leghorn hens fed control diet;
 609 WLT, WL, White Leghorn hens fed diet supplemented with chestnut tannin extract.

610 ^{a-c} Means within row with different letters differs (P<0.05).

611

612

613 Table 3. Influence of diet on yolk color (Data shown are the means of 70 replicates).

	Mugellese		White Legorn			P ²		
Color	³ MUC	³ MUT	³ WLC	³ WLT	SEM ¹	D	B	DXB
L*	58.2	56.7	57.9	57.9	1.19	0.554	0.720	0.521
a*	4.3	4.7	5.1	4.9	0.41	0.840	0.190	0.633
b*	39.8	38.6	39.9	40.4	2.17	0.863	0.669	0.706

614 ¹ Standard error mean.

615 ² Probability of significant effect due to experimental diet (D), breeds (B) and their
616 interaction D x B.

617 ³ MUC, Mugellese hens fed control diet; MUT, Mugellese hens fed diet
618 supplemented with chestnut tannin extract; WLC, White Leghorn hens fed control diet;
619 WLT, WL, White Leghorn hens fed diet supplemented with chestnut tannin extract.

620 ^{a-c} Means within row with different letters differs (P<0.05).

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632 Table 4. Fatty acid profile of yolk (g /100g of total lipids; Data shown are the means
 633 of 70 replicates).

Fatty acid	Mugellese		White leghorn		SEM ¹
	³ MU	³ MUT	³ WL	³ WLT	
C14:0	0.201	0.251	0.268	0.239	0.33
C14:1 <i>cis</i> -9	0.082a	0.033b	0.040b	0.038b	0.10
C15:0	0.049	0.056	0.070	0.057	0.11
C15:1 <i>trans</i> -9	0.107	0.082	0.082	0.111	0.15
C16:0	24.264b	24.041c	23.000d	24.849a	0.80
C16:1 <i>cis</i> -9	1.673c	1.739b	1.473d	2.009a	0.21
C17 _{iso}	0.039b	0.032b	0.056a	0.016c	0.08
C17 _{ante}	0.064	0.037	0.071	0.037	0.23
C17:0	0.215	0.218	0.221	0.163	0.60
C17:1 <i>cis</i> -9	0.056b	0.071a	0.051b	0.044b	0.09
C18:0	11.813b	11.761b	12.409a	10.779c	0.31
C18:1 <i>trans</i> -9	0.094	0.108	0.335	0.101	0.95
C18:1 <i>cis</i> -9	3.0651b	3.2677a	2.9343b	3.2078c	0.81
C18:1 <i>cis</i> - 11	1.277	1.413	1.413	1.291	0.93
C18:2 <i>trans</i> -9, <i>trans</i> -12	0.041b	0.040b	0.061a	0.029c	0.07
C18:2 <i>cis</i> -9, <i>cis</i> -12	14.986b	14.275c	15.908a	14.602b	0.90
C18:3 <i>cis</i> -9, <i>cis</i> -12, <i>cis</i> -15	0.183a	0.114b	0.058c	0.115b	0.11
C20:0	0.051	0.038	0.048	0.028	0.06
C20:1 <i>cis</i> -11	0.118	0.154	0.124	0.133	0.01
C20:2 <i>cis</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -14	0.133b	0.137b	0.174a	0.116b	0.14

C20:3 <i>cis</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -14, <i>cis</i> -17	0.211a	0.210a	0.154c	0.181b	0.04
C20:4 <i>cis</i> -5, <i>cis</i> -8, <i>cis</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -14	3.516	4.210	4.201	3.381	4.02
C22:1 <i>cis</i> -15	0.064	0.055	0.064	0.045	0.08
C20:5 <i>cis</i> -5, <i>cis</i> -8, <i>cis</i> -11, <i>cis</i> -14, <i>cis</i> -17	0.071	0.037	0.054	0.042	0.14
C24:0	0.045	0.050	0.042	0.057	0.12
C24:1 <i>cis</i> -15	0.095	0.067	0.041	0.061	0.17
C22:6n3 <i>cis</i> -4, <i>cis</i> -7, <i>cis</i> -10, <i>cis</i> -13, <i>cis</i> -16	1.615	1.769	2.102	1.554	0.27
SFA	36.638a	36.415a	36.058b	36.172b	0.81
MUFA	34.217a	36.399b	32.966d	35.911c	0.43
PUFA	20.644ab	20.715ab	22.597a	19.949b	0.99
DI ⁴	0.289a	0.116 b	0.129b	0.137b	0.34

634 ¹ Standard error mean.

635 ² Probability of significant effect due to experimental diet (D), breeds (B) and their
636 interaction D x B, a,b,c,d for P< 0,05.

637 ³ MUC, Mugellese hens fed control diet; MUCT, Mugellese hens fed diet
638 supplemented with chestnut tannin extract; WL, White Leghorn hens fed control diet;
639 WLT, WL, White Leghorn hens fed diet supplemented with chestnut tannin extract;

640 ⁴ Desaturation Index, calculated as C14:1 *cis*-9 / (C14:1*cis*-9 + C14:0).

641 ^{a-c} Means within row with different letters differs (P<0.05).

642

643