

Bis-Thiourea Chiral Sensor for the NMR Enantiodiscrimination of *N*-Acetyl and *N*-Trifluoroacetyl Amino Acid Derivatives

Alessandra Recchimurzo, Federica Balzano,* Gloria Uccello Barretta,* and Luca Gherardi



Cite This: *J. Org. Chem.* 2022, 87, 11968–11978



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A C₂-symmetrical bis-thiourea chiral solvating agent (CSA), **TFTDA**, for NMR spectroscopy has been obtained by reacting (1*R*,2*R*)-1,2-bis(2-hydroxyphenyl)ethylenediamine and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate. **TFTDA** shows remarkable propensity to enantiodiscriminate *N*-trifluoroacetyl (*N*-TFA) and *N*-acetyl (*N*-Ac) derivatives of amino acids with free carboxyl functions, with the co-presence of 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) as the third achiral additive, which is needed for substrate solubilization. **TFTDA** shows enhanced enantiodiscriminating efficiency in comparison with the corresponding monomeric counterpart, **TFTMA**, pointing out cooperativity between its two symmetrical entities. A wide range of amino acid derivatives have been efficiently enantiodiscriminated in CDCl₃, with high enantioresolution quotients, which guarantee high quality in applications devoted to the quantification of enantiomers. High enantiodiscriminating efficiency is maintained also in diluted 5 mM conditions or in the presence of sub-stoichiometric amounts of CSA (0.3 equiv). The role of phenolic hydroxyls in the DABCO-mediated interaction mechanism between **TFTDA** and the two enantiomeric substrates has been pointed out by means of diffusion-ordered spectroscopy (DOSY) and rotating frame Overhauser effect spectroscopy (ROESY) experiments. A conformational model for both the CSA and its diastereomeric solvates formed with the two enantiomers of *N*-acetyl leucine has also been conceived on the basis of ROE data in order to give a chiral discrimination rationale.



INTRODUCTION

Crucial role of chirality in life sciences has raised awareness of the scientific community to issues related to the availability of accurate, reproducible, and direct methods for the quantification of enantiomeric excesses (ees), among which chiral chromatography^{1–4} and NMR^{5–11} spectroscopy have attained huge popularity.

NMR methods of differentiation of enantiomeric mixtures are based on the use of suitable chiral auxiliaries able to induce anisochrony of enantiomer resonances by transferring them into a diastereomeric environment. Three main classes of chiral auxiliaries for NMR spectroscopy have been developed which are named chiral derivatizing agents (CDAs), chiral solvating agents (CSAs), and chiral lanthanide shift reagents (CLSRs). CSAs are particularly attractive from a practical point of view since any chemical derivatization is not required like in the case of CDAs, and they are simply mixed to the enantiomeric mixture under analysis directly into the NMR tube. CSAs are diamagnetic and hence do not produce unwanted line-broadening effects, like in the case of CLSRs, which can be detrimental for the accurate quantification of enantiomer resonances.

Several classes of CSAs have been proposed spanning from low molecular weight organic compounds to natural products or highly preorganized cyclic and acyclic structures.^{6–10} In the

design of new CSAs for enantiomer differentiation by NMR, some fundamental requirements must be taken into consideration, that is, the presence of aromatic moieties able to exert, on the enantiomeric substrates, anisotropic effects and/or π – π interactions, leading to improved chemical shift differentiation, and the presence of hydrogen-bond donor/acceptor functions in order to stabilize the diastereomeric pairs formed in solution. Chiral thioureas, the potential of which as organo-catalysts has been successfully demonstrated,^{12–16} have emerged also as CSAs^{17–31} for NMR endowed with remarkable enantiodiscriminating efficiency mainly due to their improved hydrogen-bond donating ability in comparison with the corresponding urea systems. Three main synthetic schemes have been followed in the design of bis-thiourea CSAs. The production of C₂-symmetrical systems by reacting inexpensive chiral amines with thiophosgene,^{26,28,30,31} linking achiral diamines like 1,8-diaminoanthracene to α -amino acid

Received: April 7, 2022

Published: September 5, 2022



esters via thiourea groups,^{24,26} or the reaction of chiral diamines, mainly (1*S*,2*S*)-/(1*R*,2*R*)-1,2-diphenylethane-1,2-diamine^{21,23,25,27} or (1*S*,2*S*)-1,2-diaminocyclohexane,¹⁷ with 2 equiv of isothiocyanate has led to efficient CSAs. Effectiveness of the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl moiety in boosting enantiodiscriminating efficiency both of amide and urea CSAs has also been widely proved.^{23,25,27,32}

Interestingly, achiral additives like 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) or 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) play an active role in the chiral discrimination pathways, bridging together the CSA and enantiomers.^{18–21,23,25,27}

2-[(1*R*)-1-Aminoethyl]phenol (MA) and (1*R*,2*R*)-1,2-bis(2-hydroxyphenyl)ethylenediamine (DA) have been recently proposed^{18,19} as new chiral platforms for the production of mono- and bis-thiourea CSAs, with the idea of expanding the network of hydrogen bonds available for the interaction between the CSA and enantiomeric pairs, in virtue of the presence of acid phenolic hydroxyls. The reaction of MA and DA with benzoyl isothiocyanate^{18,19} led to the thiourea derivatives BTMA and BTDA (Figure 1), which were remarkably effective in the differentiation of NMR signals of *N*-3,5-dinitrobenzoyl (*N*-DNB) derivatives of amino acids both with free or derivatized carboxyl functions.^{18,19}

Here, we evaluate the potential, as CSA, of a new C2-symmetric bis-thiourea system (TFTDA, Figure 1) based on DA (Figure 1), containing the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl moiety, which is expected to affect acidity of thiourea NHs and hence complexing and enantiodiscriminating capabilities of the CSA. TFTDA has been employed in the differentiation of enantiomers of two different kinds of *N*-amino acid derivatives (Figure 1), that is, *N*-trifluoroacetyl (*N*-TFA, compounds 1–10, Figure 1) and *N*-acetyl derivatives (*N*-Ac, compounds 11–17, Figure 1), respectively, endowed with a fluorinated probe, suitable for the observation of enantiomers by ¹⁹F NMR, or an acetyl group, the signals of which are sharp singlets in a spectral region cleared of CSA signals. For comparison with previously reported BTDA,¹⁸ also *N*-DNB derivatives of amino acids (compounds 18–20, Figure 1) and *N*-DNB derivatives of amino acid methyl esters (compounds 21–23, Figure 1) were taken into consideration.

Relevance of the co-presence of 2-hydroxyphenyl and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl moieties was pointed out by comparing the TFTDA enantiodiscriminating efficiency to that of previously reported chiral auxiliary TFTPA (Figure 1),^{23,25,27} having the same chemical structure, but devoid of phenolic hydroxyls.

Possible cooperativity of the two 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenylthiourea pendants of TFTDA was investigated by comparing TFTDA to its monomer analogous TFTMA (Figure 1). On considering that only few cases of CSAs able to produce efficient enantiodiscrimination in sub-stoichiometric conditions are reported,^{22,32–37} potential of TFTDA in this area was also evaluated.

To gain more information on the nature of the intermolecular interactions responsible for the chiral discrimination, NMR investigations have been carried out based on ROESY and DOSY experiments for the detection of through space dipolar interactions and translational diffusion, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

¹H and ¹⁹F Enantiodiscrimination Experiments. Thiourea derivatives TFTDA and TFTMA were synthesized





Figure 1. CSAs and amino acid derivative structures (TFA = trifluoroacetyl, Ac = acetyl, and DNB = 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl).

and obtained in a nearly quantitative yield, by reacting DA and MA with 2 or 1 equiv of 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate (Scheme 1), respectively. BTDA and TFTPA were prepared in an analogous way. NMR characterization data are collected in the Experimental Section and Supporting Information.

Enantiodiscrimination experiments were performed by comparing the NMR spectra of binary equimolar mixtures 1–20/DABCO and ternary mixtures 1–20/DABCO/CSA in the suitable total concentration and molar ratio chiral substrate-to-CSA and solvent. The achiral additive DABCO, which has been demonstrated to be actively engaged in the

Scheme 1. Synthesis of TFTMA, TFTDA, and TFTPA



chiral discrimination pathways,^{18–21,23,25,27,32} also acted as a solubility promoter for amino acid derivatives with poor solubility in the organic solvents considered in this investigation (CDCl_3 and C_6D_6). The analysis of *N*-3,5-dinitrobenzoylamino acid methyl esters (**21–23**) did not require the presence of DABCO.^{18,19} The enantiodiscriminating efficiency was evaluated by measuring the chemical shift nonequivalence ($\Delta\Delta\delta = |\Delta\delta_{\text{R}} - \Delta\delta_{\text{S}}| = |\delta_{\text{R}} - \delta_{\text{S}}|$, ppm; where $\Delta\delta_{\text{R}} = \delta_{\text{R}} - \delta_{\text{F}}$, $\Delta\delta_{\text{S}} = \delta_{\text{S}} - \delta_{\text{F}}$, and δ_{F} is the chemical shift of the free substrate), that is, the difference of the chemical shifts of the corresponding nuclei of the two enantiomers δ_{R} and δ_{S} in the presence of the CSA.

First, we looked for enantiodiscriminating peculiarities of bis-thiourea TFTDA as compared to previously reported BTDA. To this aim, we dealt with four different kinds of derivatives of alanine, valine, and phenylglycine, on considering derivatives with free carboxyl functions as *N*-TFA (**1–3**), *N*-Ac (**11–13**), *N*-DNB (**18–20**), and *N*-DNB-amino acid methyl esters (**21–23**). Superior capacity of BTDA to enantiodiscriminate amino acid derivatives endowed with *N*-DNB derivatizing groups was fully demonstrated in 15 mM equimolar mixtures, with even better performances for amino acid derivatives having free carboxyl functions (Figure 2, Table S1 in Supporting Information). As a general trend, *ortho*- and




Figure 2. ^1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 °C) spectral regions corresponding to DNB resonances of **18–23** (15 mM)/DABCO/BTDA or TFTDA (1:1:1). \circ and \bullet indicate *ortho*- and *para*-DNB resonances, respectively. * indicates CSA resonances. Racemic or enantiomerically enriched samples of amino acid derivatives were analyzed. Nonequivalences in ppm are reported on resonances.

para-protons of the 3,5-dinitrophenyl moiety of the two enantiomers of phenylglycine, valine, and alanine underwent high differentiation up to 0.208 ppm, as in the case of *ortho*-protons of **20**. Nonequivalences measured in the mixtures containing derivatives **18–23** and TFTDA were instead remarkably lower (<0.026 ppm, Table S1 in Supporting Information).

The reverse is true regarding *N*-TFA derivatives **1–3** which were remarkably better enantiodiscriminated by TFTDA than they were by BTDA (Figure 3, Table S1 in Supporting




Figure 3. ^{19}F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 °C) spectral regions corresponding to CF_3 resonances of **1–3** (15 mM) and ^1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 °C) spectral regions corresponding to acetyl resonances of **11–13** (15 mM) in the presence of 1 equiv of DABCO and BTDA or TFTDA. Racemic or enantiomerically enriched samples of amino acid derivatives were analyzed. Nonequivalences in ppm are reported on resonances.

Information). As a matter of fact, both NH and CH protons in the ^1H NMR spectra and CF_3 nuclei in the ^{19}F spectra showed nonequivalences ranging from 0.012 to 0.096 ppm and from 0.050 to 0.099 ppm, respectively. The highest values measured in the presence of BTDA were 0.033 ppm for the NH proton of **3** and 0.021 ppm for the fluorine nuclei of **1**, that is, remarkably lower.

Similarly, in the case of NH and acetyl protons of **11–13**, higher nonequivalences were measured in the presence of TFTDA than those obtained in the presence of BTDA (Figure 3, Table S1 in Supporting Information). The sole exception was **13**, that is, the *N*-Ac derivative of phenylglycine, which gave very similar nonequivalences for the acetyl protons in the

presence of BTDA or TFTDA. Anyway, TFTDA induced remarkably higher nonequivalences on NH (0.130 ppm) and CH (0.106 ppm) than those measured in the presence of BTDA (0.040 and 0.016 ppm for NH and CH, respectively).

To definitely highlight the peculiarities of TFTDA in the panorama of bis-thiourea systems, we compared TFTDA enantiodifferentiation of **6** and **16** with that of a very similar CSA (TFTPA),²⁷ devoid of phenolic hydroxyls (Table 1). TFTDA allowed to attain better enantiomer differentiation: twofold increase of nonequivalence for CF₃ of *N*-TFA leucine (**6**) and about 30-fold for acetyl of *N*-Ac leucine (**16**).

Table 1. ¹H (600 MHz) and ¹⁹F (564 MHz) Nonequivalences ($\Delta\Delta\delta = |\delta_R - \delta_S|$, ppm; CDCl₃, 25 °C) for **6** and **16** (15 mM) in Equimolar Mixtures with TFTDA or TFTPA and in the Presence of 1 equiv of DABCO

sub	TFTDA	TFTPA
	CF ₃ /Ac	CF ₃ /Ac
6	0.090	0.047
16	0.190	0.006

The in-depth analysis of the enantiodiscrimination data requires a further comment which deals with the comparison between nonequivalence ($\Delta\Delta\delta$) and enantioresolution quotient (*E*) data,³⁸ which also takes into account the average linewidth, making aware of the fact that high nonequivalences do not guarantee the quality of enantiodifferentiation for enantiomer quantification. Three cases can be targeted, with partial ($0 < E < 1$), moderate (*E* ca. 1), and high ($E \gg 1$) enantioresolution.

Coming back to our data, we can observe that enantioresolution quotient *E* (Table S1 in Supporting Information) is largely greater than 1 for protons belonging to the derivatizing motif, thus guaranteeing a very high quality of enantiodifferentiation: *E* ranges from 2 to 13.9 for protons of *N*-DNB in the mixture with BTDA and becomes very high for *N*-Ac ($13.3 < E < 28.0$) and *N*-TFA ($7 < E < 13.6$) amino acid derivatives in the mixture with TFTDA due to differentiation of sharp singlets produced by acetyl and CF₃ moieties. As a matter of fact, the very high nonequivalences of 0.208 and 0.185 ppm, respectively, measured for the *ortho*- (doublet) and *para*- (triplet) protons of **20** in its mixture with BTDA (Figure 2) corresponded to the remarkable *E*s of 13.9 and 8.4. On the other hand, for the acetyl protons of **12** in its mixture with TFTDA (Figure 3), an enantioresolution quotient of 18.4 was calculated, even though the nonequivalence was lower, that is, 0.129 ppm. The same is true for the fluorine resonances of *N*-TFA amino acid derivatives, sharp singlets of which allow, as an example, us to obtain an enantioresolution quotient of 13.6 for a nonequivalence magnitude of 0.099 ppm, as measured in the case of the mixture 2/TFTDA/DABCO.

Ultimately, the possibility to detect nonequivalences on probe moieties of the amino acid derivatives, which produce sharp singlets, guarantees accurate enantiomer quantification even when enantiomer differentiations, that is, nonequivalences, are lower.

Once pointed out the remarkable enantiodiscriminating efficiency of TFTDA toward *N*-Ac and *N*-TFA amino acids, dimeric TFTDA and monomeric TFTMA (Figure 4, Tables S2 and S3 in Supporting Information) were compared in the enantiodiscrimination of the three representative *N*-TFA derivatives **1–3** and *N*-Ac derivatives **11–13**. Not only




Figure 4. ¹⁹F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C) spectral regions corresponding to CF₃ resonances of **1–3** (15 mM) and ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C) spectral regions corresponding to acetyl resonances of **11–13** (15 mM) in the presence of 1 equiv of DABCO and 1 equiv of TFTDA or 2 equiv of TFTMA. Racemic or enantiomerically enriched samples of amino acid derivatives were analyzed. Nonequivalences in ppm are reported on resonances.

nonequivalences of 15 mM equimolar mixtures were remarkably lower in the presence of 1 equiv of the monomer CSA, TFTMA, in comparison with dimeric TFTDA, but also adding a further equivalent of TFTMA did not allow us to get the same nonequivalence magnitude found in the 15 mM equimolar mixture containing dimeric TFTDA (Figure 4).

For example, fluorine signals of the two enantiomers of **1** were differentiated to be 0.018 and 0.066 ppm in the mixtures containing equimolar amounts of TFTMA and TFTDA, respectively (Table S2 in Supporting Information). Nonequivalence induced by 2 equiv of TFTMA increased up to 0.023 ppm, which was lower than that measured in the equimolar mixture containing TFTDA (Figure 2). Therefore, cooperativity can be envisaged between the two thiourea arms of TFTDA, acting in concert, rather than independently, in the stabilization of the complexes formed with the enantiomeric pairs. Accordingly, on the basis of Job's plot (Figure S1 in Supporting Information), the 1-to-1 stoichiometric ratio was found for the two complexes formed by TFTDA and the two enantiomers of the *N*-acetyl derivative of leucine **16**. An eventual independent interaction of the TFTDA arms would have favored a 1-to-2 CSA to the chiral substrate stoichiometric ratio.

To deal with enantiodiscriminating versatility of TFTDA, besides compounds **1–3** and **11–13**, already discussed, we

extended our analysis to *N*-TFA derivatives 4–10 and *N*-Ac ones 14–17 (Figure 1). Equimolar 15 mM mixtures were analyzed in CDCl₃ in the presence of 1 equiv of DABCO (Table 2 and Figure 5 showing CF₃ and acetyl resonances).

Table 2. ¹H (600 MHz) and ¹⁹F (564 MHz) Nonequivalences ($\Delta\delta = |\delta_R - \delta_S|$, ppm; CDCl₃, 25 °C) and Enantioresolution Quotients (*E*, in Parentheses) for 1–17 (15 mM or 5 mM) in Equimolar Mixtures with TFTDA and in the Presence of 1 equiv (for 1–9 and 11–17) or 2 equiv (for 10) of DABCO

sub	15 mM		5 mM	
	NH	CF ₃ /Ac	NH	CF ₃ /Ac
1	0.054 (0.9)	0.066 (8.1)	0.038 (0.7)	0.051 (7.1)
2	0.048 (0.8)	0.099 (13.6)	0.040 (0.7)	0.109 (15.1)
3	0.082 (1.5)	0.050 (7.0)	0.014 (0.3)	0.036 (4.9)
4	0.222 (3.9)	0.093 (13.5)	0.216 (4.1)	0.096 (13.5)
5		0.087 (12.6)	0.064 (1.2)	0.055 (7.6)
6	0.110 (1.9)	0.090 (9.7)	0.105 (1.9)	0.115 (16.1)
7 ^a		0.078 (11.2)		0.047 (6.8)
8		0.042 (6.1), 0.034 (4.9)		0.011 (1.5), 0.044 (6.1)
9		0.025 (3.6)		0.029 (4.0)
10	1.249 (21.9)	0.219 (31.3)	1.391 (25.7)	0.228 (31.4)
11	0.152 (2.3)	0.196 (28.0)	0.108 (1.7)	0.163 (25.7)
12	0.066 (1.0)	0.129 (18.4)	0.088 (1.4)	0.179 (28.4)
13	0.130 (2.0)	0.092 (13.3)	0.129 (2.1)	0.117 (18.8)
14	0.166 (2.5)	0.171 (24.4)	0.158 (2.5)	0.191 (30.3)
15	0.112 (1.7)	0.144 (20.6)	0.118 (1.8)	0.178 (28.3)
16	0.156 (2.4)	0.191 (27.3)	0.157 (2.5)	0.246 (39.0)
17 ^b	0.057 (0.9)	0.171 (24.4)	0.024 (0.5)	0.238 (38.2)

^aNonequivalences of 0.030 ppm (*E* = 4.8) and 0.026 ppm (*E* = 3.5) were measured for the MeS group at 15 and 5 mM, respectively.

^bNonequivalences of 0.021 ppm (*E* = 2.3) and 0.051 ppm (*E* = 6.3) were measured for the MeS group at 15 and 5 mM, respectively.

¹⁹F nonequivalences ranged from 0.025 ppm for tryptophan derivative 9 to the very high value of 0.219 ppm measured in the case of glutamic acid derivative 10, solubilization of which, however, required the presence of 2 equiv of DABCO. Interestingly, very high nonequivalences were also detected for the ¹H resonances of NH protons, which reached the value of 1.249 ppm for glutamic acid derivative 10 (Table 2). Nonequivalences detected for the acetyl protons of derivatives 11–17 were once again very high, in the range 0.092 ppm for 13 up to 0.196 ppm for 11 (Table 2, Figure 5). In almost all cases, a very high enantiodiscriminating efficiency is guaranteed with no concern about the quality of enantiodiscrimination, always with enantioresolution quotients largely greater than 1 (Table 2). It is noteworthy that in the case of proline derivative 8, nonequivalence was detected for the possible *syn*- and *anti*-stereoisomers. The methylthio group of methionine

derivatives 7 and 17 were even efficiently enantiodifferentiated (Figure 5, Table 2).

The use of less polar C₆D₆ would be expected to bring about an enhancement of enantiomer differentiation since this solvent, compared to CDCl₃, should interfere to a less extent with the stabilization of diastereomeric pairs. Surprisingly, nonequivalences measured for the CF₃ and acetyl nuclei of amino acid derivatives were lower in C₆D₆ than those detected in CDCl₃ (Table S4, Figures S2–S4 in Supporting Information). Exceptions were 11 and 16 with very similar values in the two solvents and 4 and 8 with higher values in C₆D₆. CH and NH moieties were better differentiated in the most apolar solvent, even though this was not a general trend, as no differentiation at all was found for the NH protons of the two enantiomers of 2–4. Even in cases of very high differentiation of NH protons, however, direct detection of their resonances can be made difficult by unwanted superimpositions with CSA resonances (Figure S5 in Supporting Information), and to extract their signals, 1D TOCSY experiments must be performed by selective perturbation at the frequencies of their *J*-connected CH protons.

Going on with the use of CDCl₃ as the solvent enabling us to detect enhanced differentiation of the probe signals, acetyl and trifluoroacetyl, we evaluated ability of TFTDA to maintain detectable nonequivalences also in more diluted solution. To this aim, progressively diluted equimolar solutions of TFTDA and *N*-Ac derivatives 11–17 were analyzed (Figure S6, Table S5 in Supporting Information). Unexpected results were obtained since dilution did not seem to affect significantly the enantiomer differentiation. Nonequivalence of acetyl protons of 11 started from a high value of 0.196 ppm at 15 mM and underwent a small decrease to a value of 0.163 ppm at 5 mM. For derivatives 12–17, dilution even brought a nonequivalence increase up to 39% (Figure S6 in Supporting Information).

Analogously, nonequivalences measured in the fluorine spectra of *N*-TFA derivatives seemed to be scarcely responsive to dilution with fluctuating results, that is, almost unchanged nonequivalences for 2, 4, 9, and 10, a decrease for 1, 3, 5, and 7, and an increase for 6 and 8 (Figures 5 and S7 in Supporting Information).

Explaining such a kind of behavior is not trivial because dilution should cause a decrease of enantiomer bound fractions and, consequently, a decrease of nonequivalence. Observing even an opposite trend suggests the co-presence of simultaneous complexation equilibria. Therefore, we took into consideration the occurrence of eventual self-aggregation processes involving the CSA, by comparing its NMR spectra at 15 and 5 mM (Figure S8 and Table S6 in Supporting Information). In spite of the very limited concentration range, the chemical shift of the NH(3) proton of TFTDA, adjacent to the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl moiety, was low-frequency shifted for 0.46 ppm in the 5 mM solution, compared to the 15 mM solution, witnessing its involvement in intermolecular hydrogen bonds reasonably due to self-aggregation, which is less favored in more diluted solution. The same trend was found for NH(2) but with a minor response to the concentration change (0.03 ppm): this last proton lies, in fact, in the inner part of the CSA structure. To confirm such a kind of hypothesis, we performed DOSY³⁹ experiments in progressively diluted solution (15–3 mM) to measure the diffusion coefficient of the CSA (Table 3).




Figure 5. ^{19}F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 $^\circ\text{C}$) spectral regions corresponding to CF_3 (Δ) of **1–10** (15 and 5 mM) and ^1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 $^\circ\text{C}$) spectral regions corresponding to acetyl (Δ) of **11–17** (15 and 5 mM) in the presence of 1 equiv of DABCO (2 equiv for **10**) and TFTDA. \blacktriangle indicates the *syn* stereoisomer of **8**. \square indicates methylthio resonances of **7** and **17**, and + indicates side chain protons of **7**. Racemic or enantiomerically enriched samples of amino acid derivatives were analyzed. Nonequivalences in ppm are reported on resonances.

Table 3. ^1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 $^\circ\text{C}$) Diffusion Coefficient ($D \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$) of TFTDA at Different Concentrations

	15 mM	5 mM	3 mM
$D \times 10^{10} (\text{m}^2/\text{s})$	5.16 ± 0.06	5.72 ± 0.03	6.19 ± 0.06

In the spherical approximation, diffusion coefficient D depends on the hydrodynamic radius (r_H), based on the Stokes–Einstein equation (eq 1)

$$D = (kT)/(6\pi\eta r_H) \quad (1)$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant, T is the absolute temperature, and η is the dynamic viscosity of the solution.

In the presence of the self-aggregation processes, the diffusion coefficient is the weighted average of its value in the monomer (D_M) and self-aggregated species (D_{SA}) (eq 2)

$$D = \chi_M D_M + \chi_{SA} D_{SA} \quad (2)$$

where χ_M and χ_{SA} are the monomer and self-aggregated species molar fractions, and D_M and D_{SA} are the diffusion coefficients of the monomer and self-aggregated species, respectively.

A decrease of the diffusion coefficient, proportional to the molar fraction of the self-aggregated species, is expected in more concentrated solutions due to the increased molecular sizes of the self-aggregated species.

The CSA diffusion coefficient in 3 mM solution was equal to $6.19 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and decreased to a value of $5.16 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in 15 mM solution, confirming a contribution to the measured diffusion coefficient coming from a self-aggregated form of the CSA. On this basis, we can conclude that in more diluted solution, a greater amount of the non-aggregated form of the CSA is present in solution, available for the interaction with the two enantiomers of the chiral substrates and hence for their enantiodifferentiation.

In light of the above-said peculiar behavior, we also investigated the possibility to operate in CSA sub-stoichiometric conditions, which, in principle, should better discourage self-aggregation phenomena in favor of heteroaggregation of the CSA with enantiomers of amino acid derivatives. Therefore, equimolar 5 mM solutions of the *N*-TFA derivatives **1–3** and *N*-Ac derivatives **11–13** were compared to mixtures at the same substrate concentration (5 mM) but in the presence of 0.3 equiv of the CSA (Table 4 and Figure S9 in Supporting Information). In sub-stoichiometric conditions, nonequivalences both of CF_3 nuclei of *N*-TFA and of COCH_3 protons of *N*-Ac derivatives underwent a maximum reduction of nonequivalence by one-quarter but still giving very high enantioresolution quotients, ranging from 3.5 to 4.9 for **1–3** and from 4.8 to 15.4 for **11–13**. Therefore, sub-stoichiometric conditions, which are quite unusual for CSAs,^{22,32–37} can be

Table 4. ^1H (600 MHz) and ^{19}F (564 MHz) Nonequivalences ($\Delta\Delta\delta = |\delta_{\text{R}} - \delta_{\text{S}}|$, ppm; CDCl_3 , 25 °C) and Enantioresolution Quotients (E , in Parentheses) of CF_3 for 1–3 and of Ac for 11–13 (5 mM) in the Presence of 1 equiv of DABCO and 0.3 or 1 equiv of TFTDA

	$\Delta\Delta\delta$ (ppm)	
	0.3 equiv TFTDA	1 equiv TFTDA
1	0.025 (3.5)	0.051 (7.1)
2	0.035 (4.9)	0.109 (15.1)
3	0.032 (4.4)	0.036 (4.9)
11	0.097 (15.4)	0.163 (25.7)
12	0.082 (13.1)	0.179 (28.4)
13	0.030 (4.8)	0.117 (18.8)

suitably exploited, also employing the new dimeric thiourea CSA, TFTDA.

Suitability of TFTDA as the CSA for real ee determination was evaluated in enantiomerically enriched samples of **3** (+90% e.e.) in equimolar 15 mM solution (Figure 6). The relationship between gravimetric and NMR data was excellent, with the absolute error within $\pm 1\%$.




Figure 6. ^{19}F NMR (564 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 °C) spectral region corresponding to CF_3 resonances of enantiomerically enriched (ee +90, $R/S = 95:5$) **3** (15 mM) in the presence of 1 equiv of DABCO and 1 equiv of TFTDA.

NMR Investigation of Chiral Recognition Processes.

First, we looked for a conformational model for the CSA, TFTDA, even though this task was difficult to achieve in consideration of the symmetry of the system. Despite this, selected ROEs (Figure S10 in Supporting Information) allowed us to impose some spatial proximity constraints, which led to the schematic representation in Figure 7. In detail, the intense dipole–dipole interaction detected between the methine protons CH1 and the adjacent NH(2) protons of the thiourea moiety (Figure S10 in Supporting Information) allowed us to locate these two protons in a cisoid arrangement. NH(2) protons showed the expected reciprocal ROE effect at the frequency of CH1 protons but no effect at all on NH(3) (Figure S10 in Supporting Information). Therefore, the two NHs are in reciprocal transoid positions. Accordingly, a ROE between NH(2) and *ortho* protons of the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl moiety bound to NH(3) was detected (Figure S10 in Supporting Information). Therefore, ROE data support a *syn-anti* conformation for TFTDA in CDCl_3




Figure 7. Schematic 3D representation of TFTDA according to NMR data and its Newman projection.

solution. Interestingly relevant ROE effects were detected between the phenolic protons and protons of the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl groups (Figure S10 in Supporting Information) to witness their spatial proximity, which reasonably is due to attractive π – π interactions between the 2-hydroxyphenyl and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl groups.

Reasonably, electron-withdrawing CF_3 groups not only produce the expected enhancement of acidity of thiourea moieties, but also enhance the π -acidic character of the aromatic moieties they are bound to, thus favoring intramolecular attractive π – π interactions with the phenolic rings (as supported by ROE measurements) at the expense of intermolecular interactions. On this basis, the lower enantiodiscriminating efficiency of TFTDA with respect to BTDA toward substrates bearing *N*-3,5-DNB derivatizing groups can be rationalized.

As a next step, we went deeply into the role of DABCO in the enantiodifferentiation phenomena caused by TFTDA, beyond its solubilizing effect on the amino acid derivatives having free carboxyl functions. To this aim, first, we compared the diffusion coefficients of DABCO (15 mM) in CDCl_3 as a pure compound and in equimolar binary mixtures DABCO/TFTDA. To better understand the role of DABCO in the presence of phenolic protons, we also analyzed DABCO diffusion behavior in the presence of TFTPA (1 equiv), devoid of phenolic OHs.

The diffusion coefficient of pure DABCO was $14.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and remarkably lowered to the value of $7.1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the presence of TFTDA, by contrast in the presence of TFTPA, its value slightly decreased to $13.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Table S7 in Supporting Information).

The above results can be rationalized on the hypothesis that phenolic hydroxyls are themselves involved in the DABCO-mediated tight network of hydrogen bond interactions. To support the above conclusion, 1D ROESY experiments were carried out by selective perturbation at the frequency of DABCO protons in the mixture containing equimolar amounts of DABCO (15 mM) and TFTDA (Figure S11a in Supporting Information). Very intense dipolar interactions were detected at the frequency of phenolic protons, together with lower intensity ROEs at the frequency of H4 and H5 protons of the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl moiety in the DABCO/TFTDA binary mixture (Figure S11 in Supporting Information).

To go deeper into the interaction mechanism responsible for the chiral discrimination, we focused on the equimolar ternary mixtures containing DABCO (15 mM), TFTDA, and the two




Figure 8. Schematic model of two diastereomeric complexes (S)-16/TFTDA/DABCO and (R)-16/TFTDA/DABCO.

enantiomers of *N*-acetyl leucine (16), where *N*-acetyl groups underwent remarkable enantiomeric differentiation in the presence of the CSA. 1D ROESY experiments were performed to detect intermolecular dipolar interactions and hence define proximity constraints between the two enantiomeric substrates and the CSA. The methoxy group of (S)-16 produced comparable intermolecular ROEs on the protons H9 and H4 belonging to the 2-hydroxyphenyl and 3,5-bis-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl moieties, respectively (Figure S12 in Supporting Information). Alkyl protons of its isobutyl group showed selectivity for the protons of the fluorinated aromatic ring (Figure S13 in Supporting Information). The reverse was found for (R)-16, with its acetyl moiety in closer proximity of the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl group of the CSA (Figure S12 in Supporting Information) and almost equivalent ROEs at the frequency of 2-hydroxyphenyl and 3,5-bis-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl groups (Figure S13 in Supporting Information) for alkyl protons of the isobutyl group. Interestingly, the methine protons of the two enantiomers of 16 gave very similar ROEs on the two aromatic rings of the CSA (Figure S14 in Supporting Information). In the ternary mixtures, DABCO protons produce dipolar interactions with amino acid protons and π -acid aromatic moiety of the CSA and its *o*-hydroxyphenyl moiety, which means that the base lies in between all of these groups (Figure S11b,c in Supporting Information). TFTDA retains its free state *syn-anti* conformation in the presence of the enantiomers of *N*-Ac and *N*-TFA amino acid derivatives, as demonstrated by the detection of NH(2)-H4 ROE in the mixture TFTDA/substrate/DABCO (examples are reported in Figures S15 and S16 in Supporting Information). According to this bound state conformational preference, highly differentiated complexation shifts were detected for the two NH protons, that is, very low (0.05–0.09 ppm) for NH(2) and remarkably high (0.59–0.82 ppm) for NH(3) interacting with the enantiomeric substrates (Table S8 in Supporting Information). Even though unexpected, the interaction of thiourea receptors in their *syn-anti* conformation is already reported in the literature.^{18,40–45}

Therefore, it can be concluded that the main stabilizing interactions are DABCO-mediated hydrogen bond interactions involving the thiourea moiety, NH(3) in particular, phenolic hydroxyls of the CSA, and the carboxyl function of the amino acid derivative. In this way, the two enantiomers always face the CSA from the same side and only an interchange between the two groups (acetyl *vs* alkyl) bound to the chiral center of the amino acid occurs, which is responsible for the chemical shift differentiation due to the anisotropic effects exerted by the aromatic moieties of the CSA. A schematic representation

of the two diastereomeric solvates, which brings together all the information coming from ROEs and complexation shifts, is given in Figure 8.

Finally, we calculated the association constants for the two diastereomeric complexes. On the basis of the analysis of progressively diluted solution in the range from 15 to 2 mM, comparable values of 29.4 ± 3 and 29.6 ± 3 M⁻¹ were calculated for (R)-16/TFTDA and (S)-16/TFTDA, respectively (Figure S17 in Supporting Information).

Therefore, it can be assessed that enantiomer differentiation in the NMR spectra is mainly due to anisotropic effects of aromatic moieties of the CSA, rather than thermodynamic differentiation of the two diastereomeric complexes.

CONCLUSIONS

N-Derivatization of amino acids as *N*-trifluoroacetyl and *N*-acetyl derivatives makes possible the NMR differentiation of their enantiomers by detection of their fluorine signals and acetyl protons, respectively, both producing sharp signals ideal for accurate integration. Bis-thiourea TFTDA containing both 2-hydroxyphenyl and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl moieties represents a CSA with high selectivity and enantiodiscriminating efficiency toward these two classes of amino acid derivatives. The synthesis both of the CSA and amino acid derivatives is very practical and does not require purification steps.

Very high nonequivalences are measured in 15 mM equimolar solution CSA/substrate/DABCO, which remain quite unaffected by dilution, at least up to 5 mM, thus allowing us to reduce the consumption of the CSA. Contrary to what was observed for the majority of CSAs reported in the literature, TFTDA has the unusual characteristic of producing efficient enantiodiscrimination also in the CSA sub-stoichiometric conditions. Ability of TFTDA to produce enantioresolution both in diluted and sub-stoichiometric conditions can be ascribed to its tendency to self-aggregate in solution, which is better inhibited both in diluted solutions or in the presence of enantiomeric substrate excesses.

TFTDA reasonably engages both its two lateral arms in the stabilization of the diastereomeric solvates formed with the two enantiomers of each amino acid derivative, as supported by comparison with the corresponding monomeric CSA and by the one-to-one complexation stoichiometric ratio. DABCO mediates the hydrogen bond interactions involving the carboxylate of the amino acid derivative, the thiourea, and phenolic moieties of the CSA.

Finally, TFTDA adopts a *syn-anti* conformation, even in the bound form, acting via a single NH hydrogen bond with the amino acid derivatives that can cooperatively form the

hydrogen bond with the hydroxyls of the phenol moiety of the CSA.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. (1*R*,2*R*)-1,2-Bis(2-hydroxyphenyl)ethylenediamine (DA), 2-[(1*R*)-1-aminoethyl]phenol (MA), 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate, and deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃) were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. All *N*-Ac derivatives 11–17 were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Derivatives 1–10 and 18–23 were prepared, as described in refs 33 and 19, respectively. The NMR characterization is reported in ref 33. BTDA and BTMA were prepared, as described in ref 19.

General Methods. ¹H, ¹⁹F, and ¹³C{¹H} NMR measurements were carried out on a spectrometer operating at 600, 564, and 150 MHz for ¹H, ¹⁹F, and ¹³C nuclei, respectively. The samples were analyzed in the C₆D₆ or CDCl₃ solution, ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts are referred to tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the secondary reference standard, ¹⁹F chemical shifts are referred to trifluorotoluene as the external standard, and the temperature was controlled (±25 °C). For all of the 2D NMR spectra which were employed for the characterization of CSAs, the spectral width used was the minimum required in both dimensions. The gCOSY (gradient correlation spectroscopy) and TOCSY (total correlation spectroscopy) maps were recorded by using a relaxation delay of 1 s and 200 increments of 4 transients, each with 2K points. For TOCSY maps, a mixing time of 80 ms was set. The 1D-TOCSY spectra were recorded using a selective pulse, transients ranging from 128 to 256, a relaxation delay of 3 s, and a mixing time of 80 ms. The 2D-ROESY (rotating-frame Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy) maps were recorded by using a relaxation time of 3 s and a mixing time of 0.4 s; 256 increments of 16 transients of 2K-points each were collected. The 1D-ROESY spectra were recorded using a selective inversion pulse with transients ranging from 256 to 1024, a relaxation delay of 5 s, and a mixing time of 0.4 s. The gHSQC (gradient heteronuclear single quantum coherence) and gHMBC (gradient heteronuclear multiple bond correlation) spectra were recorded with a relaxation time of 1.2 s, 128–200 increments with 16 transients, each of 2K-points. The gHMBC experiments were optimized for a long-range coupling constant of 8 Hz. The assignment of ¹H NMR and ¹³C{¹H} NMR chemical shifts, reported below, is shown in Figures S18–S21 in Supporting Information. DOSY (diffusion-ordered spectroscopy) experiments were carried out using a stimulated echo sequence with self-compensating gradient schemes and 64 K data points. Typically, Δ was varied in 20 steps (2–32 transients each), and Δ and δ were optimized in order to obtain an approximately 90–95% decrease in the resonance intensity at the largest gradient amplitude. The baselines of all arrayed spectra were corrected prior to processing the data. After data acquisition, each FID was apodized with 1.0 Hz line broadening and Fourier transformed. The data were processed with DOSY macro (involving the determination of the resonance heights of all the signals above a pre-established threshold and the fitting of the decay curve for each resonance to a Gaussian function) to obtain pseudo two-dimensional spectra with NMR chemical shifts along one axis and calculated diffusion coefficients along the other.

Synthesis TFTMA. 3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate (0.470 g, 1.70 mmol, 1 equiv) was added to MA (0.240 g, 1.70 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at room temperature, under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and monitored by ¹H NMR. The solvent was removed by evaporation under vacuum to afford chemically pure TFTMA in a nearly quantitative yield.

TFTMA. Straw yellow amorphous solid (0.684 g, 1.67 mmol, 98.5% yield) having mp 129–131 °C. [α]_D²³ = 38.4 (c = 0.5, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz): δ 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.70 (s, 3H), 7.24 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.20 (t, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.00 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.95 (t, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.83 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.12 (br s, 1H), 5.73 (s, 1H), 1.60 (d, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz): δ 178.9, 153.0, 138.5, 132.9 (q, C_{CF} = 33.7 Hz), 129.4, 128.0, 127.1, 123.8, 122.7 (q, C_{CF} = 273.8 Hz), 121.5, 119.4, 116.7, 51.9,

20.3. ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 564 MHz): δ –63.04. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₄N₂SOF₆: C, 50.00; H, 3.46; N, 6.86. Found: C, 49.94; H, 3.51; N, 6.89.

Synthesis TFTDA. 3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate (2.10 g, 7.58 mmol, 2 equiv) was added to DA (0.975 g, 3.79 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at room temperature, under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and monitored by ¹H NMR. The solvent was removed by evaporation under vacuum to afford chemically pure TFTDA in a nearly quantitative yield.

TFTDA. White amorphous solid (2.94 g, 3.74 mmol, 98.8% yield) having mp 169–172 °C. [α]_D²³ = –49.6 (c = 0.5, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz): δ 8.37 (s, 2H), 7.93 (br s, 2H), 7.76 (s, 4H), 7.72 (s, 2H), 7.05 (t, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz), 6.95 (br s, 2H), 6.72 (t, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz), 6.68 (d, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz), 6.33 (s, 2H), 6.08 (br s, 2H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz): δ 180.4, 153.1, 138.6, 133.3 (q, C_{CF} = 33.8 Hz), 130.4, 130.4, 130.2, 124.3, 123.2 (q, C_{CF} = 272.2 Hz), 122.0, 120.0, 117.2, 61.2. ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 564 MHz): δ –63.06. Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₂₂N₄S₂O₂F₁₂: C, 48.86; H, 2.82; N, 7.12. Found: C, 48.82; H, 2.86; N, 7.03.

Synthesis TFTPA. 3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate (0.290 g, 1.08 mmol, 2 equiv) was added to (1*R*,2*R*)-1,2-diphenylethane-1,2-diamine (0.115 g, 0.54 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at room temperature, under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and monitored by ¹H NMR. The solvent was removed by evaporation under vacuum to afford chemically pure TFTPA in a nearly quantitative yield.

TFTPA. White amorphous solid (0.390 g, 0.51 mmol, 94% yield), ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz): δ 7.98 (s, 2H), 7.72 (s, 4H), 7.70 (s, 2H), 7.48 (br s, 2H), 7.25–7.22 (m, 6H), 7.16 (br s, 4H), 6.01 (br s, 2H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz): δ 180.6, 138.4, 136.7, 133.1 (q, C_{CF} = 34.5 Hz), 129.1, 128.6, 127.6, 123.9, 122.7 (q, C_{CF} = 273.1 Hz), 119.7, 64.9.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.2c00814>.

Nonequivalences and enantioresolution quotients for 1–3, 11–13, 18–23 in the presence of 1 equiv of DABCO and BTDA or TFTDA; nonequivalences and enantioresolution quotients for 1–3 DABCO in the presence of TFTDA or TFTMA at different molar ratios; nonequivalences and enantioresolution quotients for 11–13/DABCO in the presence of TFTDA or TFTMA at different molar ratios; stoichiometry determination; nonequivalences and enantioresolution quotients for 1–17 in the presence of TFTDA and DABCO in C₆D₆ and CDCl₃; ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 1–10 in the presence of DABCO and TFTDA in CDCl₃ and C₆D₆; ¹H NMR spectra of 11–17 in the presence of DABCO and TFTDA in CDCl₃ and C₆D₆; ¹H NMR and 1D-TOCSY spectra of 2, 4–6, 13, and 17 in the presence of DABCO/TFTDA in C₆D₆; nonequivalences for 11–17 in equimolar mixtures 11–17/DABCO/TFTDA as a function of concentration; nonequivalences for 11, 13–17/DABCO (1:1) in the presence of 1 equiv of TFTDA at different substrate concentration; nonequivalences for 1–10 in equimolar mixtures 1–10/DABCO/TFTDA as a function of the concentration; ¹H NMR spectra of TFTDA at 15 and 5 mM; ¹H NMR chemical shift data for TFTDA in 15 and 5 mM solutions; ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 1–3 and ¹H NMR spectra of 11–13 in the presence of 1 equiv of DABCO and of 1 or 0.3 equiv of TFTDA; 2D ROESY map TFTDA at 30 mM; diffusion

coefficients of pure DABCO (15 mM) in an equimolar mixture TFTDA and TFTPA; 1D ROESY of DABCO in the presence of TFTDA; 1D ROESY of **16** protons in equimolar mixtures (R)-**16** or (S)-**16**/DABCO/TFTDA; ¹H chemical shifts and complexation shift for (R)-**16** and (S)-**16**/DABCO/TFTDA equimolar mixtures; ROESY maps of TFTDA/substrate/DABCO; association constant determination; and ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of TFTMA and TFTDA (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Federica Balzano – Department of Chemistry and Industrial Chemistry, University of Pisa, 56124 Pisa, Italy;

orcid.org/0000-0001-6916-321X;

Email: federica.balzano@unipi.it

Gloria Uccello Barretta – Department of Chemistry and Industrial Chemistry, University of Pisa, 56124 Pisa, Italy;

Email: gloria.uccello.barretta@unipi.it

Authors

Alessandra Recchimurzo – Department of Chemistry and Industrial Chemistry, University of Pisa, 56124 Pisa, Italy

Luca Gherardi – Department of Chemistry and Industrial Chemistry, University of Pisa, 56124 Pisa, Italy

Complete contact information is available at:

<https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.2c00814>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

REFERENCES

- (1) Chankvetadze, B. Application of enantioselective separation techniques to bioanalysis of chiral drugs and their metabolites. *Trends Anal. Chem.* **2021**, *143*, 116332.
- (2) Zhao, Y.; Zhu, X.; Jiang, W.; Liu, H.; Sun, B. Chiral Recognition for Chromatography and Membrane-Based Separations: Recent Developments and Future Prospects. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 1145.
- (3) Gunjal, P.; Singh, S. K.; Kumar, R.; Kumar, R.; Gulati, M. Role of Chromatograph-based Analytical Techniques in Quantification of Chiral Compounds: An Update. *Curr. Anal. Chem.* **2021**, *17*, 355–373.
- (4) Alvarez-Rivera, G.; Bueno, M.; Ballesteros-Vivas, D.; Cifuentes, A. Chiral analysis in food science. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **2020**, *123*, 115761.
- (5) Aroulanda, C.; Lesot, P. Molecular enantiodiscrimination by NMR spectroscopy in chiral oriented systems: Concept, tools, and applications. *Chirality* **2022**, *34*, 182–244.
- (6) Balzano, F.; Uccello-Barretta, G.; Aiello, F. Chiral Analysis by NMR Spectroscopy: Chiral Solvating Agents. In *Chiral Analysis: Advances in Spectroscopy, Chromatography and Emerging Methods*, 2nd ed.; Polavarapu, P. L., Ed.; Elsevier Ltd.: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; pp 367–427.
- (7) Wenzel, T. J. *Differentiation of Chiral Compounds Using NMR Spectroscopy*, 2nd ed.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Hoboken, NJ, 2018.
- (8) Silva, M. S. Recent Advances in Multinuclear NMR Spectroscopy for Chiral Recognition of Organic Compounds. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 247.
- (9) Uccello-Barretta, G.; Balzano, F. Chiral NMR Solvating Additives for Differentiation of Enantiomers. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2013**, *341*, 69–131.
- (10) Wenzel, T. J. Chiral Derivatizing Agents, Macrocycles, Metal Complexes, and Liquid Crystals for Enantiomer Differentiation in NMR Spectroscopy. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2013**, *341*, 1–68.
- (11) Khun, T. L.; Corral-Motiram, K.; Athersuch, T. J.; Parrella, T.; Pérez-Trujillo, M. Simultaneous Enantiospecific Detection of Multiple Compounds in Mixture using NMR Spectroscopy. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 23615–23619.
- (12) Maria Faisca Phillips, A.; Pombeiro, A. J. L. Recent Developments in Enantioselective Organocatalytic Cascade Reactions for the Construction of Halogenated Ring Systems. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2021**, *2021*, 3938–3969.
- (13) Wang, J.; Tao, Y. Synthesis of Sustainable Polyesters via Organocatalytic Ring-Opening Polymerization of O-carboxyanhydrides: Advances and Perspectives. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2021**, *42*, 2000535.
- (14) Parvin, T.; Yadav, R.; Choudhury, L. H. Recent applications of thiourea-based organocatalysts in asymmetric multicomponent reactions (AMCRs). *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2020**, *18*, 5513–5532.
- (15) Jain, I.; Malik, P. Advances in urea and thiourea catalyzed ring opening polymerization: A brief overview. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2020**, *133*, 109791.
- (16) Steppeler, F.; Iwan, D.; Wojaczyńska, E.; Wojaczyński, J. Chiral thioureas-preparation and significance in asymmetric synthesis and medicinal chemistry. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 401.
- (17) Zhang, H.; Zhao, H.; Wen, J.; Zhang, Z.; Stavropoulos, P.; Li, Y.; Ai, L.; Zhang, J. Discrimination of Enantiomers of amides with two stereogenic centers enabled by chiral bithiourea derivatives using ¹H NMR spectroscopy. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2021**, *19*, 6697–6706.
- (18) Recchimurzo, A.; Micheletti, C.; Uccello-Barretta, G.; Balzano, F. A Dimeric Thiourea CSA for the Enantiodiscrimination of Amino Acid Derivatives by NMR Spectroscopy. *J. Org. Chem.* **2021**, *86*, 7381–7389.
- (19) Recchimurzo, A.; Micheletti, C.; Uccello-Barretta, G.; Balzano, F. Thiourea Derivative of 2-[(1R)-1-Aminoethyl]phenol: A Flexible Pocket-like Chiral Solvating Agent (CSA) for the Enantiodifferentiation of Amino Acid Derivatives by NMR Spectroscopy. *J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, *85*, 5342–5350.
- (20) Gunal, S. E.; Tuncel, S. T.; Dogan, I. Enantiodiscrimination of carboxylic acids using single enantiomer thioureas as chiral solvating agents. *Tetrahedron* **2020**, *76*, 131141.
- (21) Chen, Z.; Fan, H.; Yang, S.; Bian, G.; Song, L. Chiral sensors for determining the absolute configurations of α -amino acid derivatives. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2018**, *16*, 8311–8317.
- (22) Ito, S.; Okuno, M.; Asami, M. Differentiation of enantiomeric anions by NMR spectroscopy with chiral bisurea receptors. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2018**, *16*, 213–222.
- (23) Bian, G.; Yang, S.; Huang, H.; Zong, H.; Song, L. A bithiourea-based ¹H NMR chiral sensor for chiral discrimination of a variety of chiral compounds. *Sens. Actuators, B* **2016**, *231*, 129–134.
- (24) Cios, P.; Romański, J. Enantioselective recognition of sodium carboxylates by an 1,8-diaminoanthracene based ion pair receptor containing amino acid units. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2016**, *57*, 3866–3869.
- (25) Bian, G.; Fan, H.; Huang, H.; Yang, S.; Zong, H.; Song, L.; Yang, G. Highly Effective Configurational Assignment Using Bithioureas as Chiral Solvating Agents in the Presence of DABCO. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 1369–1372.
- (26) Ulatowski, F.; Jurczak, J. Chiral Recognition of Carboxylates by a Static Library of Thiourea Receptors with Amino Acid Arms. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 4235–4243.
- (27) Bian, G.; Fan, H.; Yang, S.; Yue, H.; Huang, H.; Zong, H.; Song, L. A chiral Bithiourea as a chiral Solvating Agent for Carboxylic Acids in the Presence of DMAP. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 9137–9142.
- (28) Trejo-Huizar, K. E.; Ortiz-Rico, R.; Peña-González, M. d. L. A.; Hernández-Rodríguez, M. Recognition of chiral carboxylates by 1,3-disubstituted thioureas with 1-arylethyl scaffolds. *New J. Chem.* **2013**, *37*, 2610–2613.
- (29) Foreiter, M. B.; Gunaratne, H. Q. N.; Nockemann, P.; Seddon, K. R.; Stevenson, P. J.; Wassell, D. F. Chiral Thiourenium salts: Synthesis, characterization and application in NMR enantiodiscrimination of chiral oxoanions. *New J. Chem.* **2013**, *37*, 515–533.
- (30) Hernández-Rodríguez, M.; Juaristi, E. Structurally simple chiral thioureas as chiral solvating agents in the enantiodiscrimination of α -

hydroxy and α -amino carboxylic acids. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 7673–7678.

(31) Kyne, G. M.; Light, M. E.; Hursthouse, M. B.; de Mendoza, J.; Kilburn, J. D. Enantioselective amino acid recognition using acyclic thiourea receptors. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2001**, 1258–1263.

(32) Jain, N.; Khanvilkar, A. N.; Sahoo, S.; Bedekar, A. V. Modification of Kagan's amide for improved activity as Chiral Solvating Agent in enantiodiscrimination during NMR analysis. *Tetrahedron* **2018**, *74*, 68–76.

(33) Recchimurzo, A.; Maccabruni, F.; Uccello Barretta, G.; Balzano, F. Quinine as highly responsive chiral sensor for the ^1H and ^{19}F NMR enantiodiscrimination of N-trifluoroacetyl amino acids with free carboxyl functions. *Analyst* **2022**, *147*, 1669–1677.

(34) Fang, L.; Lv, C.; Wang, G.; Feng, L.; Stavropoulos, P.; Gao, G.; Ai, L.; Zhang, J. Discrimination of Enantiomers of Dipeptide Derivatives with Two Chiral Centers by Tetraaza Macrocyclic Chiral Solvating Agents Using ^1H NMR Spectroscopy. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2016**, *3*, 1716–1724.

(35) Tanaka, K.; Iwashita, T.; Sasaki, C.; Takahashi, H. Ring-expanded chiral rhombamine macrocycles for efficient NMR enantiodiscrimination of carboxylic acid derivatives. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2014**, *25*, 602–609.

(36) Quinn, T. P.; Atwood, P. D.; Tanski, J. M.; Moore, T. F.; Folmer-Andersen, J. F. Aza-crown macrocycles as chiral solvating agents for mandelic acid derivatives. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 10020–10030.

(37) Klika, K. D. Use of sub-stoichiometric amounts of chiral auxiliaries for enantiodifferentiation by NMR; caveats and potential utility. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2009**, *20*, 1099–1102.

(38) Pérez-Trujillo, M.; Monteagudo, E.; Kuhn, L. T. NMR-aided differentiation of enantiomers: signal enantioresolution. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2015**, *876*, 63–70.

(39) Morris, G. A.; Emsley, J. W. Diffusion-ordered spectroscopy. In *Multidimensional NMR Methods for the Solution State*; Wiley and Sons: Chichester, U.K., 2010; pp 515–532.

(40) Supady, A.; Hecht, S.; Baldauf, C. About Underappreciated Yet Active Conformations of Thiourea Organocatalysts. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 4199–4202.

(41) Madarász, A.; Dósa, Z.; Varga, S.; Soós, T.; Csámpai, A.; Pápai, I. Thiourea Derivatives as Brønsted Acid Organocatalysts. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 4379–4387.

(42) Nie, S.-X.; Guo, H.; Huang, T.-Y.; Ao, Y.-F.; Wang, D.-X.; Wang, Q.-Q. Xenon binding by a tight yet adaptive chiral soft capsule. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 6257.

(43) Phillips, A. M. F.; Precht, M. H. G.; Pombeiro, A. J. L. Non-Covalent Interactions in Enantioselective Organocatalysis: Theoretical and Mechanistic Studies of Reactions Mediated by Dual H-Bond Donors, Bifunctional Squaramides, Thioureas and Related Catalysts. *Catalysts* **2021**, *11*, 569.

(44) Heshmat, M. Unraveling the Origin of Solvent Induced Enantioselectivity in the Henry Reaction with Cinchona Thiourea as Catalyst. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2018**, *122*, 7974–7982.

(45) Ren, J.; Diprose, J.; Warren, J.; Esnouf, R. M.; Bird, L. E.; Ikemizu, S.; Slater, M.; Milton, J.; Balzarini, J.; Stuart, D. I.; Stammers, D. K. Phenylethylthiazolylthiourea (PETT) non-nucleoside inhibitors of HIV-1 and HIV-2 reverse transcriptases. Structural and biochemical analyses. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, *275*, 5633–5639.

Recommended by ACS

A near-IR Fluorescent Probe for Enantioselective Recognition of Amino Acids in Aqueous Solution

Feng Zhao, Lin Pu, *et al.*

MAY 12, 2020
THE JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

READ 

Semiquantitative Visual Chiral Assay with a Pseudoenantiomeric Fluorescent Sensor Pair

Yu Chen, Lin Pu, *et al.*

JUNE 24, 2021
THE JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

READ 

Opposite Enantioselectivity of Mg(II) Versus Zn(II) in the Fluorescent Recognition of Amino Acids

Wang Xinjing, Lin Pu, *et al.*

MARCH 09, 2020
THE JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY

READ 

Electrochemiluminescent Chiral Discrimination with a Pillar[5]arene Molecular Universal Joint-Coordinated Ruthenium Complex

Chunhong Liu, Cheng Yang, *et al.*

MAY 07, 2021
ORGANIC LETTERS

READ 

Get More Suggestions >