Implementation of the Chemical PbLi/Water Reaction in the SIMMER Code
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In view of the renewed interest in WCLL breeding blanket, the availability of well-validated system codes capable to perform deterministic safety analysis, including the evaluation of the hydrogen generation due to the exothermic chemical reaction between lithium-lead and water, is of primary importance. The paper presents the implementation of the chemical correlations in the SIMMER-III code. The verification of the code in simple geometries and the first validation on available BLAST Test N°5 experimental data are presented and discussed, highlighting capabilities and deficiencies of the implemented code. In order to complete the validation activity, qualified and reliable experimental data with a well-known initial and boundary conditions will be obtained in the next LIFUS5/Mod3 experimental campaign.
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1. Introduction

The Water Cooled Lithium Lead blanket concept has been recently reconsidered as possible breeding blanket for DEMO reactor [1]. Therefore, the safety issues connected with the postulated interaction between lithium-lead and water has to be taken into account.

Past studies and experiments were carried out in US and EU to investigate and to characterize the lithium-lead alloy water interaction and to evaluate the safety of WCLL breeding blanket. Nevertheless, the numerical activities focused only on the chemical phenomena occurring during the interaction [2]-[4], neglecting the thermodynamic processes. R&D activities reported in Refs. [5] [6] and the experimental campaign performed in BLAST facility [7] evidenced that the interaction can be divided into a short-term process dominated by mixing and pressurization, and a long-term process dominated by the chemical aspects.

In view of these considerations, a numerical tool able to deal with water and liquid metals, predict the evaluation of pressure and temperature trends during a postulated accidents, and evaluate the hydrogen production due to the exothermic chemical reaction is of primary importance for performing deterministic safety analysis.

The aim of the paper is to describe the implementation of the chemical correlations between lithium-lead and water in the SIMMER-III code. To address this objective, Section 2 briefly describes the SIMMER code, then the implementation of the correlations are illustrates in Section 3, while Section 4 provides the verification and validation activities against BLAST Test No.5 experimental data. Finally, conclusions ad perspectives are illustrated in the final Section.

2. SIMMER code

SIMMER is a computer code developed to simulate core disruptive accidents in a liquid metal fast reactor [8]. SIMMER-III is the two-dimensional version of the code, multi-velocity field (up to eight, for distinguish liquid fuel, liquid coolant, droplets, liquid steel, gas, and so on), multiphase, multicomponent, Eulerian, fluid-dynamic code coupled with a neutronic kinetics model. The conceptual overall framework of the code is shown in Figure 1.

Components present in SIMMER can consist as either density and/or energy components. The mass and energy conservation equations are solved for each density and energy components in order to model complex flow situations during Fuel Coolant Interaction, which can describe also the phenomena occurring during the interaction between heavy liquid metals and water. A comprehensive and systematic program of code assessment for FCI was performed by Morita et. al [9] to validate the code under these key accident phenomena relevant for CDA. Recently, a code assessment campaign for the application of FCI to the interaction
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between HLM and water was performed by ENEA/UNIPI [10], [11].

Then, equation of state model is required to close and complete the fluid-dynamic conservation equations. The EOS are crucial from the viewpoints of numerical stability and computing efficiency. Especially the accuracy of the EOS plays an important role in FCI simulations, since the properties of the materials vary significantly.

3. Implementation of the chemical correlations

SIMMER-III code Ver.3F [12] has the capability to simulate the chemical reaction between sodium and water, but no available documentation on the model was found in literature as well as no validation or independent assessment activities were performed.

The chemical reactions between PbLi and water implemented in the code and found in the literature [13] are:

\[
\text{Li}_4\text{Pb}_{1/8} + 8.5\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 8.5\text{Li}_2\text{O} + 8.5\text{H}_2 + 83\text{Pb} + 19.0 \frac{kJ}{mol \text{ LiPb}}
\]  

\[
\text{Li}_4\text{Pb}_{1/8} + 17\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 17\text{LiOH} + 8.5\text{H}_2 + 83\text{Pb} + 25.8 \frac{kJ}{mol \text{ LiPb}}
\]  

The predominant reaction is chosen by an user-dependent factor (FMOL) that varies from 0.5 (Eq. 2) to 1.0 (Eq. 1). Assigning an intermediate value that represents the conversion ratio of water into hydrogen, the model simulates both of the reactions. At each time step, the model checks what is the reactant in greater amount and takes the moles needed for the reaction. Then, it calculates the amount of the hydrogen production on the basis of the needed moles, the binary contact area between the two reactants and the rate of the reaction. As final step, the model calculates the residual reactant moles and checks if the reaction continues or not.

The implemented model assigns for each component a different material. Therefore, new thermodynamic properties for lithium-lead, lithium oxide, lithium hydroxide and hydrogen were added, even though in literature some information are missed. Up to now, the CEA data for thermodynamic properties and correlations are implemented [14].

4. Verification and Validation

In order to obtain reliable prediction of the thermohydraulic main parameters and the evolution of the transient during a postulated accident, numerical codes adapted to deterministic safety analysis must be validated. Therefore, the implemented chemical correlations underwent a phase of verification and first validation, which will continue in the future.

4.1 Verification against simple geometry

A first verification of the implemented correlations for the chemical reaction was performed, considering a simple 2D geometry. The model consists of three axial mesh cells and one radial mesh cell, as depicted in Figure 2. The lower cell is filled with water, covered by a certain amount of initial hydrogen. The upper cell is filled with lithium lead, separated by a virtual wall which opens at t = 0 s.

Two different calculations were performed, changing the predominant reaction, in one case considering the Eq. 1 and in the other case the Eq. 2.

![Fig. 2. Geometric model for the verification of the chemical correlations](image)

The simulations were performed with the implemented SIMMER-III code. Nevertheless, using the standard post-processor, the hydrogen mass could not be visualized. In order to calculate the total mass of the components, and in particular the hydrogen mass, the BFCAL tool was needed [15]. This tool was developed to post-process the basefile of the SIMMER calculations permitting to obtain some particular parameters (such as the total mass, total energy, average temperature, location of the center of mass, etc…) in specified macro-regions. By using this tool, the total mass of the components at the start and at the end of the simulations can be calculated using the data results of the SIMMER calculations. The initial data of the simulations are reported in Table 1.

Table 1. Initial data of the simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time (s)</th>
<th>PbLi</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>H2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p (bar)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>623.15</td>
<td>343.15</td>
<td>343.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m (kg)</td>
<td>150.80</td>
<td>3.068</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW (g/mol)</td>
<td>173.1558</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (mol)</td>
<td>870.88</td>
<td>170.05</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rationale for the verification was:

- To calculate the theoretical stoichiometric hydrogen mass, starting from the initial data reported in Table 1. In the Eq. 1 the ratio between moles of water and hydrogen is 1:1, while in the Eq. 2 the ratio is 2:1. Once calculated the moles of hydrogen produced in the reaction, the mass in kilograms was evaluated.
- To compare the theoretical value (summed to the initial hydrogen) with the hydrogen mass calculated by the implemented SIMMER-III.

In both of the calculations, the error was evaluated less than 0.6%. The results are reported in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t = 15 s</th>
<th>H2 th (1)</th>
<th>H2 SIII (1)</th>
<th>H2 th (2)</th>
<th>H2 SIII (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m (kg)</td>
<td>0.3412</td>
<td>0.3401</td>
<td>0.1712</td>
<td>0.1703</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.2 Validation on BLAST Test No. 5 experimental data

A preliminary validation against BLAST Test No. 5 was carried out considering the input of Ref. [16] and applying the implemented chemical correlations.

BLAST Test No.5 was chosen for performing the validation of the code considering the following rationale:
- quality of the documentation and data available,
- regular execution of the test and well defined simple and symmetric computational domain.

The SIMMER-III nodalization models the injector, the reaction vessel, the expansion tube, and the expansion vessel, as illustrated in Figure 3. The geometrical domain is composed by 16 radial and 73 axial mesh cells. The reference cells in which the pressure trends are considered correspond to the reference cells of the Ref. [16], i.e. cell [13,39] for the reaction vessel, and cell [13,73] for the expansion vessel. In this way, a better comparison of the results is provided.

Hereafter, the code results of pressure trends of two simulations are presented. The simulations, carried out using the same nodalization, and set up with the same boundary conditions, were performed 1) not considering the lithium-lead water chemical reaction, as the current capabilities of the SIMMER code, and 2) using the implemented correlations.

Figure 4 shows the results where the chemical reaction is neglected. The code results, notwithstanding qualitatively similar with the experimental trends, appear largely underestimated. This can be explained to the capabilities of the code to perform thermo-hydraulic interaction between heavy liquid metals and water, but the largely underestimation is due to the underprediction of the energy in the system (i.e. the exothermic chemical reaction is neglected). The first pressure peak, predicted by the code in the reaction vessel, is 10 bar lower than experimental value. The predicted pressure in the system is stabilized at about 20 bar less than in the experiment.

![Experimental and calculated pressure trends without chemical reaction.](image)

The experimental and calculated pressure time trends considering the implemented chemical correlations are shown in Figure 5. Results show that the simulation of the first peak is qualitatively and quantitatively well predicted. The pressure peak value in the reaction vessel reaches 40 bar, in line with the experiment. The code simulation predicts a pressure rise, which is slightly anticipated in time.

Considering the uncertainty in boundary conditions of experimental test, in particular of the mass flow rate and the total mass of injected water, it is challenging to demonstrate whether the differences between code simulation and experimental data are connected with the injection or the dynamic interaction between the fluids. It is expected that the chemical reaction should play a secondary role, besides the faster pressure increase will imply a faster hydrogen production rate. This will be addressed in the next experimental campaign on LIFU5/Mod3, designed to code validation purposes, which will be provided qualified and reliable data, in particular concerning the injected mass of water, the hydrogen production, and pressure and temperature trends.
Nevertheless, SIMMER-III with the implemented chemical model simulates the pressure trends of the test from qualitative and quantitative point of view. Some numerical instability problems and failures of the code runs occurred during this preliminary validation of the code. These might be due to the rough properties implementation of the PbLi and its chemical products, which has to be improved.

Conclusions

The review of the literature and of numerical activities carried out in the past to characterize the lithium lead-water interaction, bring to the following conclusions:

- numerical tools developed in the past were focused on the chemical reaction neglecting the thermodynamic processes occurring during the interaction and affecting the short term period of the transient,
- SIMMER-III has the capability to deal with liquid metals and water interaction. Therefore, the implementation of the chemical correlations was of primary importance in view of deterministic safety analysis.

Further development will be performed to continue the validation activity. In particular:

- the implementation of chemical correlations in SIMMER code to simulate the exothermic reaction between water and lithium lead, and the hydrogen production is concluded,
- the verification of the models in simple geometry and the first validation against BLAST Test No.5 experimental data were successfully completed,
- uncertainties probably due to rough implemented properties of lithium lead and its chemical products will be further investigated,
- the experimental data available in literature are few and not satisfactory to code validation due to uncertainties in I&B conditions. Therefore a new experimental campaign on LIFUS5/Mod3 is foreseen in the future, providing reliable and qualified data suitable to code validation.

- once the validation of the code will be completed, SIMMER-III will be applied to DSA of water tube rupture accident scenario in WCLL blanket configuration, providing information on the design and the safety issues of this component.
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