OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS | Tree Physiology

Metabolic plasticity in the hygrophyte Moringa oleifera exposed to water stress

Journal:	*Tree Physiology*
Manuscript ID	TP-2018-092.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-Jul-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Brunetti, Cecilia; CNR National Research Council of Italy, Istituto per la Valorizzazione del Legno e delle Specie Arboree; University of Florence, Department of Agri-Food Production and Environmental Sciences, Loreto, Francesco; Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Dipartimento di Scienze Bio-Agroalimentari Ferrini, Francesco; University of Florence, Department of Agrifood Production and Environmental Sciences Gori, Antonella; University of Florence, Department of Agrifood Production and Environmental Sciences Guidi, Lucia ; Universita degli Studi di Pisa Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie Alimentari e Agro-ambientali Remorini, Damiano; University of Pisa, Department of Agirculture, Food and Environment Centritto, Mauro; Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto per la Valorizzazione del Legno e delle Specie Arboree Fini, Alessio; University of Milan, Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences —Production, Landscape, Agroenergy, Tattini, Massimiliano; National Research Council , Institute for Sustainable Plant Protection (IPSP)
Keywords:	Abscisic Acid, Flavonoids, Isoprene, isohydry, MEP (methylerythritol 4-phosphate) pathway, Water Stress

Metabolic plasticity in the hygrophyte *Moringa oleifera* exposed to water stress

Cecilia Brunetti[1,2*], Francesco Loreto[3], Francesco Ferrini[2], Antonella Gori[2], Lucia Guidi[4], Damiano Remorini[4], Mauro Centritto[1], Alessio Fini[5], Massimiliano Tattini[6]

[1] *National Research Council of Italy, Department of Biology, Agriculture and Food Sciences, Trees and Timber Institute, Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy*

[2] *University of Florence, Department of Agri-Food Production and Environmental Sciences, Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy*

[3] *National Research Council of Italy, Department of Biology, Agriculture and Food Sciences, Piazzale Aldo Moro 7, Roma, Italy*

[4] *Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy*

[5] *Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences —Production, Landscape, Agroenergy, University of Milan, Milan, Italy,*

[6] *National Research Council of Italy, Department of Biology, Agriculture and Food Sciences, Institute for Sustainable Plant Protection, Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy*

**Corresponding author: Cecilia Brunetti; cbrunetti@ivalsa.cnr.it*

Abstract

Over the past decades, introduction of many fast-growing hygrophilic, and economically valuable plants into xeric environments has occurred. However, production and even survival of these species may be threatened by harsh climatic conditions unless an effective physiological and metabolic plasticity is available. *Moringa oleifera* Lam., a multi-purpose tree originating from humid sub-tropical regions of India, is widely cultivated in many arid countries because of its multiple uses. We tested whether *M. oleifera* can adjust primary and secondary metabolism to efficiently cope with increasing water stress. It is shown that *M. oleifera* possesses an effective isohydric behavior. Water stress induced a quick and strong stomatal closure, driven by abscisic acid (ABA) accumulation, and leading to photosynthesis inhibition with consequent negative effects on biomass production. However, photochemistry was not impaired and maximal fluorescence and saturating photosynthesis remained unaffected in stressed leaves. We report for the first time that *M. oleifera* produces isoprene, and show that isoprene emission increased three-fold during stress progression. It is proposed that higher isoprene biosynthesis helps leaves cope with water stress through its antioxidant or membrane stabilizing action, and also indicates a general MEP (methylerythritol 4-phosphate) pathway activation that further helps protect photosynthesis under water stress. Increased concentrations of antioxidant flavonoids were also observed in water stressed leaves, and probably cooperate in limiting irreversible effects of the stress in *M. oleifera* leaves. The observed metabolic and phenotypic plasticity may facilitate the establishment of *M. oleifera* in xeric environments, sustaining the economic and environmental value of this plant.

Key words:

Abscisic acid, flavonoids, isoprene, isohydry, MEP (methylerythritol 4-phosphate) pathway, violaxanthin-cycle pigments, water stress.

1. Introduction

There is increasing interest in understanding how plants cope with the severe challenges imposed by climate change. Recurrent droughts and heat waves will likely be amplified in the near future, particularly in mid-latitude and subtropical dry regions (Dai 2013). 'Drought tolerant' plants that are adapted to arid environments (Kozlowsky and Pallardy 2002) invest a large portion of assimilated carbon to increase leaf density and thickness and on the biosynthesis of carbon-based secondary compounds, rather than promoting new growth (Niinemets 2001; Rivas-Ubach et al. 2012). Adverse climate conditions may threaten the survival of fast-growing hygrophilic species which are largely cultivated in xeric environments for ecological restoration and profitable biomass production. This is the case of *Moringa oleifera* Lam., a fast-growing tree native to sub-Himalayan northwest India (Pandey et al. 2011), where mean annual precipitations exceed 1,100 mm (Singh and Mal 2014), mainly concentrated during the monsoon season. *Moringa oleifera* is a multipurpose tree crop utilized for human food and livestock forage because of its high vitamin content (Anwar et al. 2007; Verma et al. 2009; Fuglie 2011; Nouman et al. 2014). This species is also used for many medicinal purposes and is considered a life-saving resource (Fahey 2005; Kasolo et al. 2010; Mbikay 2012; El Sohaimy et al. 2015), while its oleic acid-rich seeds can be used to produce biodiesel (Rashid et al. 2008; Da Silva et al. 2010). Because of these multiple applications, *M. oleifera* has been called a "miracle tree" and its cultivation range has rapidly expanded into sub-tropical dry regions across Africa, South America and Asia, characterized by recurrent droughts combined with both high air temperatures and solar irradiance (Leone et al. 2015). However, if climatic constraints become harsher and more frequent under the influence of climate change, they may threaten the survival and profitable production of *M. oleifera*, which apparently does not possess any conservative functional trait of adaptation to drought (Valladares et al. 2007).

Other adaptive traits related to secondary metabolism could play a determinant role in the process of plant acclimation to harsh environments, which are not explored in *M. oleifera*. There is overwhelming evidence that secondary metabolites derived from both the methylerythritol 4-

phosphate (MEP) and the phenylpropanoid pathway play a key role in the acclimation of 'mesic' species to low water availability (Tattini et al. 2015; Velikova et al. 2016; Zalindas et al. 2017). For instance, the emission of isoprene is more widespread in hygrophytes than in xerophytes (Loreto et al. 2014), and isoprene is believed to ameliorate the response of fast-growing species to drought stress episodes (Loreto and Fineschi 2015; for reviews, see also: Sharkey et al. 2007; Fini et al. 2017). Isoprene preserves the integrity of thylakoid membranes (Velikova et al. 2011) and scavenges reactive oxygen species (ROS), particularly singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$) (Velikova et al. 2004; Vickers et al. 2009a; Zeinali et al. 2016), which are generated at considerable rates in drought-stressed leaves. The benefits of isoprene biosynthesis on chloroplast membrane-associated processes may improve the use of radiant energy for carbon fixation under stressful conditions (Pollastri et al. 2014; Vanzo et al. 2015), thus reducing the risk of photo-oxidative damage (Vickers et al. 2009b).

In drought-stressed leaves, the enhancement of carbon flow through the MEP pathway also promotes the synthesis of isoprene and non-volatile isoprenoids such as carotenoids and abscisic acid (ABA) (Tattini et al. 2014; Marino et al. 2017). Carotenoids are known to protect photosynthesis under drought stress (Beckett et al. 2012; Tattini et al. 2015). The photoprotective functions of carotenoids include: quenching of triple state chlorophyll ($^3Chl^*$); thermal dissipation of excess energy through de-epoxidation of xanthophylls (nonphotochemical quenching, NPQ) (Brunetti et al. 2015); and an antioxidant function of zeaxanthin (Zea) in the chloroplasts by strengthening thylakoid membranes under heat stress (Havaux et al. 2007; Dall'Osto et al. 2010; Esteban et al. 2015). Notably, biosynthesis of Zea throughout β-hydroxylation of β-carotene (β-car) may also enhance drought resistance (Davison et al. 2002; Du et al. 2010), possibly because Zea interacts with light harvesting complex b (LHCb), thus reducing the production of $^1O_2$ and sustaining NPQ in high light conditions (Johnson et al. 2007; Dall'Osto et al. 2010). In turn, β-car (like isoprene, see Velikova et al. 2004) is an effective chemical quencher of $^1O_2$ (Ramel et al. 2012).

A relationship between isoprene and foliar ABA has been repeatedly observed (Barta and Loreto 2006; Tattini et al. 2014; Marino et al. 2017). ABA plays a major role in the regulation of stomatal movements in plants capable of maintaining leaf water potential and relative water content

unchanged under drought stress conditions (isohydric behavior) (Brodribb and McAdam 2013; McAdam and Brodiribb, 2014; Coupel-Ledru et al. 2017).

Phenylpropanoid metabolism is another complex "metabolic grid" highly modulated by environmental constraints (Laursen et al. 2015). Strong evidence has been provided that enhanced biosynthesis of dihydroxy B-ring-substituted flavonoids is induced under drought, when the use of light for photosynthesis is reduced (Tattini et al. 2004; Treutter 2006; Agati et al. 2012; Ma et al. 2014). Alterations in ROS homeostasis and/or in the electron transport chain are main drivers for flavonoid biosynthesis (Taylor and Grotewold 2005; Fini et al. 2012; Fini et al. 2014). Flavonoids accumulate to a large extent in the mesophyll cells of sun-exposed leaves and may complement the functions of primary antioxidants in plants, both acting at different places and at different times (Brunetti et al. 2015; Tattini et al. 2015). In fact, flavonoids are found in sub-cellular compartments, such as the nucleus, vacuole and outer chloroplast membrane, where other antioxidants do not effectively operate (Agati et al. 2007; Ferreres et al. 2011).

In plants exposed to drought, the modulation of secondary metabolism may be mostly intended to reduce excess of ROS by increasing the production of metabolites with antioxidant properties, including isoprenoids and phenylpropanoids (Nakabayashi et al. 2014; Loreto and Fineschi 2015; Tattini et al. 2015). We hypothesize that both enhanced production and profound re-adjustment in the isoprenoid and phenylpropanoid pool (i.e. metabolic plasticity) may occur in hygrophilic and fast-growing plants such as *M. oleifera* when facing drought conditions. To test this hypothesis and explore physiological and biochemical mechanisms linked to drought resistance in hygrophilic plants, we exposed *M. oleifera* plants to a water stress treatment of increasing severity.

2. Materials & Methods

2.1. Plant material and experimental conditions

Two-month-old seedlings of *Moringa oleifera* Lam. were planted in 50 L pots with a sand/peat substrate (9/1, v/v), and were grown outside in Florence, Italy (43° 49' N, 11° 37'). The experiment was conducted during summer 2014, under minimum/maximum temperatures of 17.7 ± 2.4/30.8 ±

3.2°C (mean ± standard deviation, S.D.) and midday irradiance (measured over the 200-3000 nm range of solar wavebands) of 780 ± 85 W m^{-2} (mean ± S.D). Saplings were irrigated to pot capacity before the onset of water stress treatment, that was applied to plants on average ~ 190 cm tall and with stem diameter of ~ 2.0 cm. Water stress was imposed by withholding water for 30 days (WS, water-stressed plants), whereas control plants (C) were irrigated daily to pot capacity. A total of thirty plants were grown under these two experimental conditions (12 assigned to well-watered treatment and 18 assigned to water-stressed treatment). Plants were assigned on the basis of preliminary leaf gas exchange measurements to exclude significant differences in photosynthesis (A_N) and stomatal conductance (g_s) among plants ($t < 0.05$, data not shown). The fraction of transpirable soil water (FTSW) and g_s were used as water stress indicators (Sinclair and Ludlow, 1986; Brilli et al., 2013). Measurements were conducted in water-stressed plants at increasing stress severity. The three stress levels corresponded to decreasing FTSW from 100% (in control plants, $FTSW_{100}$), to 60% ($FTSW_{60}$), 40% ($FTSW_{40}$) and 25% ($FTSW_{25}$), corresponding to 10, 20 and 30 days after withholding water, respectively. Control plants were also sampled at the same days as water-stressed plants, to make sure that control conditions were maintained across the experimental period. The physiological lower limit of available soil water, corresponding to the FTSW endpoint, was calculated prolonging water stress, until stomatal conductance approached zero, on some additional plants.

As *M. oleifera* has bipinnate compound leaves, water relations, gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence, isoprene and *n*-hexanal measurements were conducted on the two medial leaflets in the secondary pinna (hereafter denoted as leaf), on four replicate plants per treatment, at each sampling date. The adjacent leaf was collected for biochemical measurements, between 12:00-14:00 h.

2.2. Growth, water relations, gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence

Biomass was measured at the end of the water stress period (30 d) on ten replicate plants per treatment. Plants were divided into shoots and roots and oven dried at 70 °C until a constant weight was reached (after about 72 h). Biomass allocation was calculated on a dry mass (DM) basis, using as parameters the ratio of shoot dry mass to total dry mass (BAS) and the ratio of root dry mass to total dry mass (BAR). Predawn measurements of relative water content (RWC), water (ψ_w) and osmotic

(ψ_π) potentials were made on well-watered and water-stressed leaves (2 leaves for each selected replicate).

Gas exchange was measured on intact leaves using a LI-6400 portable photosynthesis system (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA). Measurements were performed at a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 1000 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}, a CO_2 concentration of 400 μmol mol^{-1} and ambient temperature. This system was utilized also to measure leaf temperature. Photosynthesis and g_s were calculated using the LI-6400 software. Chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence was measured using a modulated PAM-2000 fluorometer (Heinz Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). Minimum fluorescence (F_0) was measured with a 0.8 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} measuring light beam on leaves that were dark-adapted for 20 minutes. Maximum fluorescence in the dark-adapted state (F_m) was determined using a saturating pulse (0.5 s) of red light (8000 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}), thus allowing calculation of $F_v/F_m = (F_m - F_0)/F_m$. Actinic red continuous light (1000 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) was then switched on, and steady-state fluorescence was recorded (F_s). Saturating pulses were then applied to record the maximum fluorescence under actinic light (F'_m). These data were used to calculate non-photochemical quenching ($NPQ = (F_m - F'_m)/F'_m$) (Schreiber et al., 1986), actual quantum yield of PSII ($\Phi_{PSII} = (F'_m - F_s)/ F'_m$) (Genty et al. 1989), and electron transport rate ($ETR = 0.5 \cdot \Phi PSII \cdot PAR \cdot 0.84$), where 0.5 and 0.84 are coefficients indicating an equal distribution of photons between PSI and PSII and leaf absorptance, respectively.

2.3. Isoprene, abscisic acid and photosynthetic pigments

To measure isoprene emission, the outlet of the cuvette was disconnected from the LI-6400 system and the flow was diverted into a silcosteel cartridge packed with 200 mg of Tenax (Agilent, Cernusco sul Naviglio, Italy). A volume of 4.5 dm^3 of air was pumped through the trap at a rate of 200 cm^3 min^{-1}. The cartridge was analysed using a Perkin Elmer Clarus 580 gas chromatograph coupled with a Clarus 560 Mass-Selective-Detector and a thermal desorber TurboMatrix (Perkin Elmer Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The desorbed compounds were separated in a 30-m Elite-5-MS capillary column. The column oven temperature was kept at 40 °C for the first 5 min, then increased by 5 °C min^{-1} to 250 °C, and maintained at 250 °C for 2 min. Helium was used as carrier gas. Compounds were identified using the NIST library provided with the GC/MS Turbomass software. Quantification of isoprene was

conducted using authentic standards of isoprene (Rivoira, Milan, Italy) to prepare a calibration curve as well as to compare the peak retention time and the peak fragmentation of isoprene found in the samples.

Abscisic acid, both in its free (free-ABA) and conjugated form (ABA glucoside ester, ABA-GE), was extracted and quantified as reported in Tattini et al. (2017). In detail, 200 mg of lyophilized leaf tissue were ground in liquid nitrogen and combined with 50 ng of d^6-ABA and d^5-ABA-GE (National Research Council of Canada), then extracted with 3 × 1 cm^3 pH 2.5 CH_3OH/H_2O (50:50; v:v), at 4 °C for 30 minutes. The supernatant, after defatting with 3 × 3 cm^3 of *n*-hexane, was purified using Sep-Pak C18 cartdriges (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and eluted with 1 cm^3 of ethylacetate. The eluate, dried under nitrogen, and rinsed with 500 µL pH 2.5 CH_3OH/H_2O (50:50), was injected (3 µL aliquots) in a LC–DAD-MS/MS system, consisting of a Shimadzu Nexera HPLC and a Shimadzu LCMS-8030 quadrupole mass spectrometer, operating in electrospray ionization (ESI) mode (Kyoto, Japan). The eluting solvents consisted of H_2O (added with 0.1 % of HCOOH, solvent A) and CH_3CN/CH_3OH (1:1, v:v, added with 0.1 % of HCOOH, solvent B). The analysis was performed in negative ion mode, using a 3 × 100 mm Poroshell 120 SB C18 column (2.7 µm, 100 x 4.6 mm, Agilent Technologies) and eluting a 18 min-run from 95% solvent A to 100% solvent B at a flow rate of 0.3 cm^3 min^{-1}. Quantification was conducted in multiple reaction mode (MRM), as reported by López-Carbonell et al. (2009).

Chlorophyll *a* and *b*, and individual carotenoids were identified and quantified as reported by Beckett et al. (2012). Briefly, lyophilized leaf tissue (0.2 g) was extracted with 3 × 5 cm^3 acetone (added with 0.5 g cm^{-3} of $CaCO_3$) and injected (15 µL) in a Flexar high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system equipped with a quaternary 200Q/410 pump and a LC 200 diode array detector (DAD) (all from Perkin Elmer Bradford, CT, USA). Photosynthetic pigments were separated in a 250 × 4.6 mm Agilent Zorbax SB-C18 (5 µm) column operating at 30°C, eluted for 18 min with a linear gradient solvent system, at a flow rate of 1 cm^3 min^{-1}, from 100% CH_3CN/MeOH (95/5 with 0.05% of triethylamine) to 100% MeOH/ethyl acetate (6.8/3.2). Violaxanthin cycle pigments [violaxanthin (Vio), antheraxanthin (Ant), zeaxanthin (Zea), collectively named (VAZ)], neoxanthin (Neo), lutein (Lut), β-carotene (β-car), chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *b* were identified using visible spectral characteristics and retention times. Carotenoids and chlorophylls were

calibrated using authentic standards from Extrasynthese (Lyon-Nord, Genay, France) and from Sigma Aldrich (Milan, Italy), respectively. The de-epoxidation state of VAZ (DES) was calculated as:

$$DES = (0.5A + Z)/(V + A + Z)$$

2.4. Flavonoids

Individual flavonoids were extracted and quantified as previously reported in Tattini et al. (2015). Briefly, lyophilized leaf tissue (0.2 g) was extracted with 3 × 5 cm^3 of 75% $EtOH/H_2O$ adjusted to pH 2.5 with formic acid, and the supernatant partitioned with 4 × 5 cm^3 *n*-hexane, reduced to dryness and finally rinsed with 2 cm^3 CH_3OH/H_2O (8:2, v:v). Aliquots of 10 µL were injected into the Perkin Elmer liquid chromatography system reported above, and compounds separated in a 150 × 4.6 mm Sun Fire column (5 µm) (Waters Italia, Milan, Italy) operating at 30 °C and eluted at a flow rate of 1 cm^3 min^{-1}. The mobile phases were (A) H_2O pH 4.3 (CH_3COONH_4/CH_3COOH)/CH_3CN (90/10, v/v) and (B) CH_3CN/H_2O pH 4.3 (CH_3COONH_4 /CH_3COOH) (90/10, v/v). Flavonoids were separated using a linear gradient elution from A to B over a 46 min-run. Flavonoids were identified by comparison of their retention times and UV spectral characteristics with those of authentic standards (Extrasynthese, Lyon-Nord, Genay, France) and quantified at 350 nm using five-point calibration curves of authentic standards.

2.5. Lipid peroxidation indicator (*n*-hexanal)

N-hexanal is one of the lipid peroxide-derived carbonyl compounds (oxylipin carbonyls) that reveals abiotic stress-induced damage of plants, and in particular of cellular membranes (Mano 2012). Analysis of *n*-hexanal was done using the same procedure as for isoprene (see above). Quantification of *n*-hexanal was conducted using an authentic standard (Sigma Aldrich, Milan, Italy) to prepare a calibration curve, as well as comparing the peak retention time and the peak fragmentation in all samples.

2.6. Experimental design and statistics

The experiment was performed using a completely randomized design. Biomass was measured on ten replicates for both well-watered and water-stressed plants at the end of the experiment. Physiological and biochemical measurements were conducted on four replicate plants, both in well-watered plants and in plants exposed to water stress of increasing severity. Data were analysed using repeated-measures ANOVA, with water treatment as between-subjects effect and sampling date as within-subjects effect (SPSS v.20; IBM, Chicago IL, USA). Significant differences among means were estimated at the 5% ($P < 0.05$) level, using Tukey's test.

3. Results

3.1. Water stress effects on water relations, photosynthesis and biomass production

Predawn leaf water potential (ψ_w, Fig. 1A) declined in water-stressed plants compared to control plants, though differences became significant only at $FTSW_{40}$ and $FTSW_{25}$. It is noteworthy that at the end of the water stress cycle, when g_s of $FTSW_{25}$ plants was on average about 15% of the control values, predawn ψ_w was still rather high (i.e., -0.60 MPa). Significant differences in leaf bulk osmotic potential (ψ_π, Fig. 1B) were recorded only at the end of the experiment ($FTSW_{25}$), whereas RWC did not significantly vary between water-stressed and control plants (Fig. 1C).

As FTSW declined, A_N, g_s, and C_i (Fig. 2; Tab. SM1) were progressively reduced. A strong reduction of A_N (–30%, Fig. 2A) and g_s (–43%, Fig. 2B) was observed already under mild water stress ($FTSW_{60}$). Under a more severe water stress ($FTSW_{25}$), A_N and g_s declined by 71% and 85% respectively, compared to control leaves ($FTSW_{100}$) (Tab. SM1). Similarly, C_i (Fig. 2C) was reduced by about 55% in $FTSW_{25}$ plants relative to $FTSW_{100}$ plants. The maximum quantum yield of PSII (F_v/F_m, Fig. 2D) did not vary between control and water-stressed plants, irrespective of the severity of the stress. In contrast, the actual efficiency of PSII photochemistry (Φ_{PSII}), significantly declined already at $FTSW_{60}$ and was further impaired at $FTSW_{40}$ and $FTSW_{25}$, relative to $FTSW_{100}$ plants (Fig. 2E). Water stress reductions in Φ_{PSII} were paralleled by corresponding increases in the non-photochemical quenching of fluorescence (NPQ, Fig. 2F and SM1).

Plant biomass was significantly reduced in $FTSW_{25}$ compared to $FTSW_{100}$ plants (Fig. 3A). At the end of the experiment, the root to shoot ratio was also significantly higher in $FTSW_{25}$ than in $FTSW_{100}$ plants, whereas the shoot to total dry mass ratio was significantly reduced in water-stressed plants (Fig. 3B).

3.2. Water stress effects on isoprene, non-volatile isoprenoids, pigments, flavonoids, and membrane lipid peroxidation.

Isoprene emission increased significantly in $FTSW_{100}$ leaves during the experiment (Fig. 4A), likely because of the prolonged exposure to elevated temperatures during the summer season. Isoprene emission strongly and significantly increased in response to water stress. This increment was particularly relevant at $FTSW_{25}$ (+86% compared to $FTSW_{40}$). The carbon lost as isoprene (C_{iso}%), also increased largely in $FTSW_{25}$ plants, due to the simultaneous increase of isoprene emission and reduction of A_n (Fig. 4B). The surging emission of isoprene was positively correlated to both the decline of C_i (Fig. 5A) and the increase of the ETR/A_N ratio (Fig. 5B) in water-stressed leaves.

The content of free-ABA and ABA-GE increased in water-stressed compared to control leaves (Fig. 6A and 6B), and the effect was particularly strong in $FTSW_{25}$ plants where free-ABA and ABA-GE contents were about seven and two folds higher than in $FTSW_{100}$, respectively. A strong negative linear relationship (R^2 = 0.915) was found between foliar free-ABA levels and g_s (inset of Fig. 6A). Whereas, free and conjugated ABA contents were both positively related to isoprene emission rates (inset of Fig. 6B).

Total chlorophyll (Chl_{tot}) declined significantly in $FTSW_{40}$ (-14%) and $FTSW_{25}$ (-23%) leaves in comparison to $FTSW_{100}$ leaves (Fig. 7A). In contrast, total carotenoid (Car_{tot}) content did not vary between control and water-stressed leaves, and increased during the experiment irrespective of water treatments (Fig. 7B). However, water stress markedly altered the composition of the carotenoid pool. The content of Lut (Fig. 7C) increased, whereas the content of β-car (Fig. 7D) declined significantly under severe water deficit ($FTSW_{25}$). Among xanthophylls, Vio (Fig. 7E) declined and Zea (Fig. 7F) increased significantly in water-stressed plants. Vio reduction was particularly strong at $FTSW_{60}$ and $FTSW_{40}$, and partially recovered under severe stress conditions ($FTSW_{25}$). The contents of Ant (Fig. 7G)

and Neo (Fig. 7H) were not affected by water stress. However, Neo increased during the experimental period in both well-watered and water-stressed plants. The content of violaxanthin-cycle pigments (VAZ) relative to Chl_{tot} increased significantly as water stress progressed, and the effect was particularly high (+35%) at $FTSW_{25}$ compared to FTSW100 after 30 days of water stress (Fig. 7I). In addition, DES increased in water-stressed compared to control leaves, but the difference was already noticeable under mild water stress conditions ($FTSW_{60}$) (Fig.7J).

Water stress also considerably altered the content and composition of the flavonoid pool (Fig. 8 A-C). Quercetin-3-*O*-glycoside and its derivatives were the most responsive compounds to water stress, as their content significantly and consistently increased with the intensity of the stress (Fig. 8A). In addition, the content of Kaempferol-3-*O*-glycoside derivatives also significantly increased in response to stress, but the difference between water-stressed and control leaves remained constant as water stress progressed (Fig. 9B). In contrast, the content of Apigenin-7-*O*-glycoside and its derivatives significantly decreased in $FTSW_{40}$ and $FTSW_{25}$ leaves (Fig. 8C).

Compared to control leaves, the emission of *n*-hexanal did not significantly vary at both $FTSW_{60}$ and $FTSW_{40}$, whereas it significantly increased in $FTSW_{25}$ plants (Fig. 9).

4. Discussion

4.1 Understanding the impact of water stress on the physiology of the isohydric plant *M. oleifera*

M. oleifera is a fast-growing species able to produce large quantities of biomass (Sánchez et al. 2006). However, whether *M. oleifera* is able to acclimate and produce at satisfactory rates in arid conditions is yet not known. Our study offers novel insights on the physiological and biochemical strategies adopted by this species to cope with extended periods of soil water stress.

Our results show that *M. oleifera* possesses an effective avoidance mechanism (i.e. isohydry, Nardini et al., 2014; Tardieu and Simmoneau, 1998) when subjected to water stress. This involved a rapid reduction of g_s in stressed leaves, that possibly contributed to the maintenance of ψ_w and RWC even in conditions of severe water stress ($FTSW_{25}$), when only a moderate (8%) reduction of the

osmotic component ψ_π became significant (Fig. 1 and 2B). The response of g_s of *M. oleifera* to soil drying (Tab. SM1) is remarkably different from that observed in other fast-growing trees species such as *Eucalyptus citriodora* (Brilli et al. 2013; Mahmood et al. 2015) and *Populus spp* (Marron et al. 2002; Yin et al. 2005; Brilli et al. 2007; Centritto et al. 2011) that showed no or very little decline in g_s under moderate water stress conditions. Isohydry is a crucial adaptive trait for the survival of deciduous woody plants exposed to high evaporative demand and low soil water availability, as an early and tight control of stomatal aperture may prevent xylem embolism (Franks et al. 2007; Yi et al. 2017). While stomatal closure increased intrinsic water use efficiency (iWUE, determined as the ratio of A_n to g_s) during water stress progression, it also constrained photosynthesis due to increased diffusional limitations to CO_2 entry, with consequent reduction of C_i (Fig. 2C) (Lawlor and Cornic 2002; Centritto et al. 2011; Lauteri et al. 2014; Fini et al. 2016). The observed drop in photosynthesis under water stress caused a biomass reduction (Fig. 2 and 3), probably inducing a redistribution of the assimilated carbon between shoots and roots (Peuke et al. 2006). These results suggest a high degree of plasticity of *M. oleifera* in biomass allocation in response to water stress (Fig. 3).

Water stress did not cause permanent damages to the photosynthetic apparatus. In fact, maximal PSII photochemical efficiency (F_v/F_m) did not decline even under severe water stress ($FTSW_{25}$), suggesting stability of photochemical reactions and structures (Fig. 2) (Flexas et al., 2006). However, PSII quantum yield in the light (Φ_{PSII}) was reduced as compared to $FTSW_{100}$ leaves. While this mirrored A_n reduction at mild ($FTSW_{60}$) and moderate ($FTSW_{40}$) stress level, Φ_{PSII} did not drop further in severely water-stressed leaves (Havaux 1992; Lu and Zhang, 1999) revealing a likely increase of photorespiratory electron transport, or alternative electron sinks (see discussion below about ETR driving isoprene emission). Furthermore, changes in NPQ and Φ_{PSII} were strongly correlated throughout the experiment ($\Phi_{PSII} = -0{,}13\ NPQ + 0.62$, $R^2 = 0.844$, linear relation shown in Fig. SM1). Large excess of light energy not used by photosynthesis, as revealed by the fluorescence parameter NPQ (Fig. 2F), may directly photoreduce O_2, thus causing large ROS generation in water-stressed leaves, with consequent damage to PSII. To explain why this was not observed in this experiment, we hypothesize a potential contribution of isoprenoids and phenylpropanoids as antioxidant compounds, as discussed below.

4.2. Exploring the significance of enhanced isoprene emission during water stress and its relationship with foliar ABA

Our study revealed that *M. oleifera* is an isoprene emitting species (Fig. 4). Isoprene emission is typical of hygrophytes that are fast-growing in temperate areas of the world (Loreto et al. 2014; Loreto and Fineschi 2015), where isoprene serves important defensive (antioxidant and thermo-protective) properties (Loreto and Schnitzler 2010; Velikova et al. 2011; Pollastri et al. 2014). We also show that water stress promoted I_e, particularly when the stress became severe. Isoprene biosynthesis is generally resistant to water stress (Brilli et al. 2007; Centritto et al. 2011; Brilli et al. 2013), and the emission of isoprene is enhanced when isoprene-emitters recover from water stress (Sharkey and Loreto, 1993; Fortunati et al., 2008). Stimulation of isoprene biosynthesis "during" water stress episodes is less reported (Haworth et al. 2017; Marino et al. 2017). *M. oleifera* is a typical isoprene emitting species, since it is a fast-growing species with high photosynthetic rates which thrives wild in secondary tropical deciduous forests of the sub-Himalayan area (Loreto and Fineschi, 2015). Our data suggest that declines in internal CO_2 concentration (C_i) and the increasing electron flux generated by Photosystem II not used for carbon assimilation (ETR/A_N) are two important physiological drivers of isoprene biosynthesis under water stress conditions (Fig. 5) (Guidolotti et al. 2011; Harrison et al. 2013; Morfopoulos et al. 2014; Marino et al. 2017). Reduced photosynthesis due to CO_2 starvation may indeed increase the fraction of ETR available for alternative biosyntheses, including isoprenoids. In addition, the increase in leaf temperature induced by stomatal closure under water stress (from 31.2 ± 0.7 °C in $FTSW_{100}$ leaves to 34.4 ± 0.6 °C in $FTSW_{25}$ leaves, mean ± S.D.) might have contributed to further enhance the rate of isoprene emission (Singsaas and Sharkey, 1998; Fares et al. 2011; Brilli et al. 2013; Arab et al. 2016). Indeed, the activity of isoprene synthase is known to be stimulated by high temperatures (Monson et al. 1992; Li et al. 2011). Increasing isoprene synthase activity may also help explain the increase in I_e and C_{iso}% observed in well-watered leaves, along rising summer temperatures during the course of our study (Rasulov et al. 2015).

We hypothesize that the rising investment of newly assimilated carbon for isoprene biosynthesis helped leaves tolerate water stress because: a) isoprene protects the photosynthetic apparatus from heat and oxidative damage by preserving the integrity of thylakoid membranes (Siwko

et al. 2007; Velikova et al. 2011, 2014, 2015) or by scavenging singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$), a highly reactive ROS in chloroplasts (Velikova et al. 2004; Zeinali et al. 2016); b) isoprene makes faster and smoother the electron transport flow (Pollastri et al. 2014), especially under water stress conditions (Marino et al. 2017). We found that NPQ did not vary between $FTSW_{40}$ and $FTSW_{25}$ leaves. Lower NPQ values in isoprene emitters compared to non-emitters were reported both in stressful (Behnke et al. 2007, 2010) and physiological conditions (Pollastri et al. 2014). We, therefore, hypothesize a relationship between the reduction of NPQ and the increase I_e along with the severity of water stress. A downregulation of chloroplastic ATP-synthase and the consequent reduction in the flexible heat dissipation component (qE) of NPQ (Demmig-Adams and Adams 2006) was reported in isoprene emitting species by Velikova et al. (2014).

The observed strong linear relationships between I_e and foliar contents of free-ABA and ABA-GE (Fig. 6B), suggest that increased isoprene formation in water stressed plants indicates enhanced carbon flow through the MEP pathway, leading to higher foliar biosynthesis of abscisic acid (Fig. 6A) (Marino et al. 2017). A relationship between isoprene and foliar ABA was first reported by Barta and Loreto (2006) in well-watered *Populus alba* and by Tattini et al. (2014) in drought stressed transgenic tobacco plants. Our results also show a strong linear correlation between free-ABA and g_s (Fig. 6A), despite limited variations of water relations in *M. oleifera* leaves. It is unclear whether isoprene is simply of proxy of carbon flux through the MEP pathway, or has a regulatory role. Sustained isoprene emission in water-stressed plants may reduce the accumulation of dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP) in the chloroplast, and may prevent DMAPP-induced feedback inhibition of the entire MEP pathway (Banerjee et al. 2013). Taken together our results suggest that: a) increased isoprene formation indicates and perhaps regulates free-ABA synthesis in stressed leaves, and b) free-ABA has a major role in the regulation of stomatal closure compared to hydraulic signals (Chaves et al. 2016; McAdam et al. 2016a). These results are in line with recent studies showing that, in strict isohydric plants such as *M. oleifera,* high levels of free-ABA could be responsible for stomatal closure and could promote a higher root to shoot ratio/carbon allocation (Nolan et al. 2017; McAdam et al. 2016b).

4.3. Plasticity of secondary metabolism in *M. oleifera* during water stress progression

We observed several changes in carotenoids and phenylpropanoids in response to increasing water stress, that can be interpreted as a photoprotective trait to limit water stress induced damage. The content of total carotenoids on a leaf mass basis also increased over the course of the experiment in both well-watered and water-stressed leaves. While this shows a general upregulation of the MEP pathway (see previous section) over the season, we argue that the investment in carotenoids was much stronger in water-stressed leaves mirroring the depression in carbon assimilation. The blend of carotenoids also changed along stress progression, perhaps favouring compounds active in stress protection (Fig. 7). The increase in lutein in severely water-stressed plants might have enhanced the capacity of leaves to quench $^3Chl^*$, that was likely generated during stress exposure (Dall'Osto et al. 2006; Jahns and Holzwarth 2012). In addition, compared to photosynthesis, Chl_{tot} content was less affected by severe water stress, indicating a successful mechanism of protection. We also note that a large switch in the composition of xanthophylls occurred in water-stressed plants. The increase in Zea content was accompanied by a parallel decrease in Vio content under mild and moderate water stress, showing the classic mechanism of de-epoxidation that is a major element of photoprotection in plants (Demming-Adams and Adams 2006). However, when plants experienced the most severe water stress the content in Zea and in Vio both increased. We suggest that the large increase in Zea biosynthesis might have been originated from hydroxylation of *β*-car (Davison et al. 2002; Du et al. 2010). This is consistent with the reduction of *β*-car concentration observed in leaves at $FTWS_{25}$. β-car might have been also used as a chemical quencher of $^1O_2$ (Ramel et al. 2012), thus explaining the relatively stronger decline of β-car (−0.18 μmol g^{-1} DW) as compared to the increase in Zea (+0.07 μmol g^{-1} DW) when the stress became severe. The content of VAZ relative to Chl_{tot} was on average > 70 mmol mol^{-1} in both well-watered and water-stressed plants throughout the whole experiment, as commonly observed in leaves long acclimated to full solar irradiance (Fini et al. 2014; Esteban et al. 2015). This implies that only a fraction of the VAZ pool was bound to antenna systems and, hence, involved in NPQ (Fig. 7I and J). In addition, the VAZ to Chl_{tot} ratio increased linearly during the water stress cycle. This increasing 'unbound' VAZ pool might have served specific antioxidant functions in water-stressed leaves, increasing membrane thermo-stability hence limiting lipid peroxidation (Havaux et al. 2007; Esteban et al. 2015). This is an action similar to that suggested for isoprene (Velikova et al. 2011), and

cooperation between volatile and non-volatile isoprenoids was surmised by Beckett et al. (2012). Indeed, the rate of *n*-hexanal emission, a marker of lipid peroxidation (Mano et al. 2012; Beckett et al. 2012), was only affected when a sever water stress was imposed ($FTWS_{25}$, Fig. 9), and was not accompanied by irreversible degradation of membrane-bound photosynthetic machineries, namely PSII photochemistry (as shown earlier).

The biosynthesis of antioxidant flavonoids, here constituted mainly by quercetin derivatives, was stimulated in water-stressed leaves of *M. oleifera* (Fig. 8), similarly to what has been observed in other plants (Tattini et al. 2004; Velikova et al. 2016; Ahrar et al. 2017). These high levels of foliar flavonoids, commonly found in leaves grown under full sunlight, are not compatible with their exclusive distribution in epidermal cells (Jaakola et al. 2004; Tattini et al. 2005; Agati et al. 2009; Majer et al. 2014). Therefore, we suggest that water stress induced the accumulation of quercetin derivatives mainly in mesophyll cells (Tattini et al. 2015), likely conferring increasing protection against enhanced ROS generation (Agati and Tattini 2010; Agati et al. 2012; Nakabayashi et al. 2014), while reducing the risk of permanent photodamage to PSII, by additionally acting as UV-B filters in the chloroplast (Mierziak et al. 2014; Zavafer et al. 2017). The finding that water stress induced profound changes in the composition of the flavonoid pool, with major increases in the biosynthesis of 'effective antioxidant' quercetin derivatives (on average +46%), further supports our hypothesis. In contrast, the content of less effective antioxidant' flavonoids either increased little (kaempferol glycosides, +15%) or largely declined (apigenin glycosides –35%) in response to water stress. This significant changes in the composition of flavonoids may also have contributed to reduce lipid peroxidation, as previously discussed.

Conclusions

Despite being originated in hygrophylic habitats, *M. oleifera* possesses multiple biochemical and physiological mechanisms that allow this species to successfully tolerate water stress episodes. These mechanisms include a strict isohydric behavior in response to water deprivation that is typical of hygrophytes. The fast stomatal closure driven by high contents of foliar-ABA, however, caused an early and strong depression in carbon assimilation with negative consequences for biomass

production. More interestingly, this study revealed that *M. oleifera* is an isoprene emitting species. Increasing isoprene emission during progressive water stress was a valuable indicator for the general activation of the MEP-pathway. The simultaneous increment of volatile and non-volatile isoprenoids and of flavonoids, is suggested to be the key mechanism that allows *M. oleifera* to limit lipid peroxidation and prevent severe photoinhibitory processes under water stress. This may allow a prompt recovery of photosynthesis and growth rates when water is newly available to the roots. While the observed high plasticity of stomatal conductance and secondary metabolites production may take its toll on primary productivity of *M. oleifera*, it possibly also facilitates the establishment of this plant to xeric environments. The extent to which the trade-off between primary and secondary metabolism affects the resistance and whole-plant performance of a fast-growing plant such as *M. oleifera*, remains to be determined in presence of recurrent periods of water stress.

Authors' contributions

CB, FL, FF and MT planned the experiment. CB conducted the study, collected samples, analyzed the data, and prepared the draft. AG, LG and DR helped in performing physiological and chemical analyses. CB and MT interpreted the results and drafted the manuscript. FL, AF and MC reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

This work was partly funded by the Ministero dell'Istruzione dell'Università e della Ricerca of Italy: PRIN 2010-2011 PRO-ROOT and Progetto Premiale CNR 2012 Aqua.

References

Aerts R (1995) The advantages of being evergreen. Trends Ecol Evol 10: 402-407.

Agati G, Azzarello E, Pollastri S, Tattini M (2012) Flavonoids as antioxidants in plants: location and functional significance. Plant Sci 196: 67-76.

Agati G, Brunetti C, Di Ferdinando M, Ferrini F, Pollastri S, Tattini M (2013) Functional roles of flavonoids in photoprotection: new evidence, lessons from the past. Plant Physiol Biochem 72: 35-45.

Agati G, Matteini P, Goti A, Tattini M (2007) Chloroplast-located flavonoids can scavenge singlet oxygen. New Phytol. 174: 77-89.

Agati G, Stefano G, Biricolti S, Tattini M (2009) Mesophyll distribution of 'antioxidant' flavonoid glycosides in *Ligustrum vulgare* leaves under contrasting sunlight irradiance. Ann. Bot. 104: 853-861.

Agati G, Tattini M (2010) Multiple functional roles of flavonoids in photoprotection. New Phytol 186: 786-793.

Ahrar M, Doneva D, Tattini M, Brunetti C, Gori A, Rodeghiero M, Wohlfahrt G, Biasioli F, Varotto C, Loreto F, Velikova V (2017) Phenotypic differences determine drought stress responses in ecotypes of *Arundo donax* adapted to different environments. J Exp Bot 68: 2439-2451.

Anwar F, Latif S, Ashraf M, Gilani AH (2007) *Moringa oleifera*: a food plant with multiple medicinal uses. Phytother Res 21: 17-25.

Arab L, Kreuzwieser J, Kruse J, Zimmer I, Ache P, Alfarraj S, Al-Rasheid KA, Schnitzler JP, Hedrich R, Rennenberg H (2016) Acclimation to heat and drought—Lessons to learn from the date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*). Environ Exper Bot 125: 20-30.

Banerjee A, Wu Y, Banerjee R, Li Y, Yan H, Sharkey TD (2013) Feedback inhibition of deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate synthase regulates the methylerythritol 4-phosphate pathway. J Biol Chem. 288: 16926-16936.

Barta C, Loreto F (2006) The relationship between the methyl-erythritol phosphate pathway leading to emission of volatile isoprenoids and abscisic acid content in leaves. Plant Physiol 141: 1676-1683.

Beckett M, Loreto F, Velikova V, Brunetti C, Di Ferdinando M, Tattini M, Calfapietra C, Farrant JM (2012) Photosynthetic limitations and volatile and non-volatile isoprenoids in the poikilochlorophyllous resurrection plant *Xerophyta humilis* during dehydration and rehydration. Plant Cell Environ. 35: 2061-2074.

Behnke K, Ehlting B, Teuber M, Bauerfeind M, Louis S, Hänsch R, Polle A, Bohlmann J, Schnitzler JP (2007) Transgenic, non-isoprene emitting poplars don't like it hot. Plant J 51: 485-499.

Behnke K, Loivamäki M, Zimmer I, Rennenberg H, Schnitzler J P, Louis S (2010) Isoprene emission protects photosynthesis in sunfleck exposed Grey poplar. Photosynth Res 104: 5-17.

Brilli F, Barta C, Fortunati A, Lerdau M, Loreto F, Centritto M (2007) Response of isoprene emission and carbon metabolism to drought in white poplar (*Populus alba*) saplings. New Phytol 175: 244-254.

Brilli F, Tsonev T, Mahmood T, Velikova V, Loreto F, Centritto M (2013) Ultradian variation of isoprene emission, photosynthesis, mesophyll conductance and optimum temperature sensitivity for isoprene emission in water-stressed *Eucalyptus citriodora* saplings. J Exp Bot 6: 519-528.

Brodribb TJ, McAdam S (2013) Abscisic acid mediates a divergence in the drought response of two conifers. Plant Physiol 162: 1370-1377.

Brunetti C, Guidi L, Sebastiani F, Tattini M (2015) Isoprenoids and phenylpropanoids are key components of the antioxidant defense system of plants facing severe excess light stress. Environ Exper Bot 119: 54-62.

Centritto M, Brilli F, Fodale R, Loreto F (2011) Different sensitivity of isoprene emission, respiration, and photosynthesis to high growth temperature coupled with drought stress in black poplar (*Populus nigra*). Tree Physiol 31: 275-286.

Chaves MM, Costa JM, Zarrouk O, Pinheiro C, Lopes CM, Pereira JS (2016) Controlling stomatal aperture in semi-arid regions—The dilemma of saving water or being cool? Plant Science 251: 54-64.

Coupel-Ledru A, Tyerman S, Masclef D, Lebon E, Christophe A, Edwards EJ, Simonneau T (2017) Abscisic acid down-regulates hydraulic conductance of grapevine leaves in isohydric genotypes only. Plant Physiol 175: 1121–1134.

Da Silva JP, Serra TM, Gossmann M, Wolf CR, Meneghetti MR, Meneghetti SM (2010) *Moringa oleifera* oil: studies of characterization and biodiesel production. Biomass Bioenerg. 34: 1527-1530.

Dai A (2013) Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. Nature Climate Change 3: 52-58.

Dall'Osto L, Cazzaniga S, Havaux M, Bassi R (2010) Enhanced photoprotection by protein-bound vs free xanthophyll pools: a comparative analysis of chlorophyll b and xanthophyll biosynthesis mutants. Mol Plant 3: 576-593.

Dall'Osto L, Lico C, Alric J, Giuliano G, Havaux M, Bassi R (2006) Lutein is needed for efficient chlorophyll triplet quenching in the major LHCII antenna complex of higher plants and effective photoprotection in vivo under strong light. BMC Plant Biol 6: 32-52.

Davison PA, Hunter CN, Horton P (2002) Overexpression of beta-carotene hydroxylase enhances stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. Nature 418: 203.

Dawson TP, Jackson ST, House JI, Prentice IC, Mace GM (2011) Beyond predictions: biodiversity conservation in a changing climate. Science 332: 53-58.

Demmig-Adams B, Adams WW (2006) Photoprotection in an ecological context: the remarkable complexity of thermal energy dissipation. New Phytol 172: 11-21.

Du H, Wang N, Cui F, Li X, Xiao J, Xiong L (2010) Characterization of the β-carotene hydroxylase gene DSM2 conferring drought and oxidative stress resistance by increasing xanthophylls and abscisic acid synthesis in rice. Plant Physiol 154: 1304-1318.

El Sohaimy SA, Hamad GM, Mohamed SE, Amar MH, Al-Hindi RR (2015) Biochemical and functional properties of *Moringa oleifera* leaves and their potential as a functional food. Glob Adv Res J of Agri Sci 4: 188-199.

Esteban R, Moran JF, Becerril JM, García-Plazaola JI (2015) Versatility of carotenoids: an integrated view on diversity, evolution, functional roles and environmental interactions. Environ Exper Bot 119: 63-75.

Fahey JW (2005) *Moringa oleifera*: A review of the medical evidence for its nutritional, therapeutic, and prophylactic properties. Part 1. Phytochemistry 47: 123-157.

Fares S, Mahmood T, Liu S, Loreto F, Centritto M (2011) Influence of growth temperature and measuring temperature on isoprene emission, diffusive limitations of photosynthesis and respiration in hybrid poplars. Atmos Environ 45: 155-161.

Ferreres F, Figueiredo R, Bettencourt S, Carqueijeiro I, Oliveira J, Gil-Izquierdo A, Pereira DM, Valentão P, Andrade PB, Duarte P, Barceló AR (2011) Identification of phenolic compounds in isolated vacuoles of the medicinal plant *Catharanthus roseus* and their interaction with vacuolar class III peroxidase: an H_2O_2 affair? J Exp Bot 62: 2841-2854.

Fini A Brunetti C, Loreto F, Centritto M, Ferrini F, Tattini M (2017) Isoprene responses and functions in plants challenged by environmental pressures associated to climate change. Front Plant Sci 8: 1281.

Fini A, Loreto F, Tattini M, Giordano C, Ferrini F, Brunetti C, Centritto M (2016) Mesophyll conductance plays a central role in leaf functioning of Oleaceae species exposed to contrasting sunlight irradiance. Physiol Plantarum 157: 54-68.

Fini A, Ferrini F, Di Ferdinando M, Brunetti C, Giordano C, Gerini F, Tattini M (2014) Acclimation to partial shading or full sunlight determines the performance of container-grown *Fraxinus ornus* to subsequent drought stress. Urban For Urban Green 13: 63-70.

Fini A, Guidi L, Ferrini F, Brunetti C, Di Ferdinando M, Biricolti S, Pollastri S, Calamai L, Tattini M (2012) Drought stress has contrasting effects on antioxidant enzymes activity and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis in *Fraxinus ornus* leaves: an excess light stress affair? J Plant Physiol 169: 929-939.

Flexas J, Bota J, Galmes J, Medrano H, Ribas-Carbó M (2006) Keeping a positive carbon balance under adverse conditions: responses of photosynthesis and respiration to water stress. Physiol Plant 127: 343-352.

Fortunati A, Barta C, Brilli F, Centritto M, Zimmer I, Schnitzler JP, Loreto F (2008) Isoprene emission is not temperature-dependent during and after severe drought-stress: a physiological and biochemical analysis. Plant J 55: 687-697.

Franks PJ, Drake PL, Froend RH (2007) Anisohydric but isohydrodynamic: seasonally constant plant water potential gradient explained by a stomatal control mechanism incorporating variable plant hydraulic conductance. Plant Cell Environ 30: 19-30.

Fuglie LJ (2001) Combating malnutrition with Moringa. In: Lowell Fugile, J. (Ed), The Miracle Tree: The Multiple Attributes of Moringa. CTA Publication, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Guidolotti G, Calfapietra,C, Loreto F (2011) The relationship between isoprene emission, CO_2 assimilation and water use efficiency across a range of poplar genotypes. Physiol Plantarum 142: 297-304.

Harrison SP, Morfopoulos C, Dani KG, Prentice IC, Arneth A, Atwell BJ, Barkley MP, Leishman MR, Loreto F, Medlyn BE, Niinemets Ü (2013) Volatile isoprenoid emissions from plastid to planet. New Phytol 197: 49-57.

Havaux M (1992) Stress tolerance of photosystem II in vivo: antagonistic effects of water, heat, and photoinhibition stresses. Plant Physiol 100: 424-432.

Havaux M, Dall'Osto L, Bassi R (2007) Zeaxanthin has enhanced antioxidant capacity with respect to all other xanthophylls in *Arabidopsis* leaves and functions independent of binding to PSII antennae. Plant Physiol 145: 1506-1520.

Haworth M, Catola S, Marino G, Brunetti C, Michelozzi M, Riggi E, Avola G, Cosentino SL, Loreto F, Centritto M (2017) Moderate drought stress induces increased foliar dimethylsulphoniopropionate (DMSP) concentration and isoprene emission in two contrasting ecotypes of *Arundo donax*. Front Plant Sci 8: 1016-1027.

Jaakola L, Määttä-Riihinen K, Kärenlampi S, Hohtola A (2004) Activation of flavonoid biosynthesis by solar radiation in bilberry (*Vaccinum myrtillus* L) leaves. Planta 218: 721-728.

Jahns P, Holzwarth AR (2012) The role of the xanthophyll cycle and of lutein in photoprotection of photosystem II. Biochim Biophys Acta-Bioenergetics 1817: 182-193.

Johnson MP, Havaux M, Triantaphylides C, Ksas B, Pascal AA, Robert B, Davison PA, Ruban AV, Horton P (2007) Elevated zeaxanthin bound to oligomeric LHCII enhances the resistance of Arabidopsis to photooxidative stress by a lipid-protective, antioxidant mechanism. J Biol Chem 282: 22605–22618.

Kasolo JN, Bimenya GS, Ojok L, Ochieng J, Ogwal-Okeng JW (2010) Phytochemicals and uses of *Moringa oleifera* leaves in Ugandan rural communities. J Med Plants Res 4: 753-7.

Laursen T, Møller BL, Bassard JE (2015) Plasticity of specialized metabolism as mediated by dynamic metabolons. Trends Plant Sci 20: 20-32.

Lauteri M, Haworth M, Serraj R, Monteverdi MC, Centritto M (2014) Photosynthetic diffusional constraints affect yield in drought stressed rice cultivars during flowering. PlosOne 9: e109054.

Lawlor DW, Cornic G (2002) Photosynthetic carbon assimilation and associated metabolism in relation to water deficits in higher plants. Plant Cell Environ 25: 275-294.

Leone A, Spada A, Battezzati A, Schiraldi A, Aristil J, Bertoli S (2015) Cultivation, genetic, ethnopharmacology, phytochemistry and pharmacology of *Moringa oleifera* leaves: An overview. Int J Mol Sci 16: 12791-12835.

Li Z, Ratliff EA, Sharkey TD (2011) Effect of temperature on postillumination isoprene emission in oak and poplar. Plant Physiol 155: 1037-1046.

López-Carbonell M, Gabasa M, Jáuregui O (2009) Enhanced determination of abscisic acid (ABA) and abscisic acid glucose ester (ABA-GE) in *Cistus albidus* plants by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry in tandem mode. Plant Physiol Biochem 47: 256-261.

Loreto F, Dicke M, Schnitzler JP, Turlings TC (2014) Plant volatiles and the environment. Plant Cell Environ. 37: 1905-1908.

Loreto F, Fineschi S (2015) Reconciling functions and evolution of isoprene emission in higher plants. New Phytol 206: 578-582.

Loreto F, Schnitzler JP (2010) Abiotic stresses and induced BVOCs. Trends Plant Sci. 15: 154-166.

Lu C, Zhang J (1999) Effects of water stress on photosystem II photochemistry and its thermostability in wheat plants. J Exper Bot 50: 1199-1206.

Ma D, Sun D, Wang C, Li Y, Guo T (2014) Expression of flavonoid biosynthesis genes and accumulation of flavonoid in wheat leaves in response to drought stress. Plant Physiol Biochem 80: 60-66.

Majer P, Neugart S, Krumbein A, Schreiner M, Hideg É (2014) Singlet oxygen scavenging by leaf flavonoids contributes to sunlight acclimation in *Tilia platyphyllos*. Enviorn Exp Bot 100: 1-9.

Mano J (2012) Reactive carbonyl species: their production from lipid peroxides, action in environmental stress, and the detoxification mechanism. Plant Physiol Biochem 59: 90–97.

Marino G, Brunetti C, Tattini M, Romano A, Biasioli F, Tognetti R, Loreto F, Ferrini F, Centritto M (2017) Dissecting the role of isoprene and stress-related hormones (ABA and ethylene) in *Populus nigra* exposed to unequal root zone water stress. Tree Physiol 37: 1637-1647.

Marron N, Delay D, Petit JM, Dreyer E, Kahlem G, Delmotte FM, Brignolas F (2002) Physiological traits of two *Populus× euramericana* clones, Luisa Avanzo and Dorskamp, during a water stress and re-watering cycle. Tree Physiol 22: 849-858.

Mbikay M (2012) Therapeutic potential of *Moringa oleifera* leaves in chronic hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia: a review. Front Pharmacol 3: 24.

McAdam S, Brodribb TJ (2014) Separating active and passive influences on stomatal control of transpiration. Plant Physiol 174: 1578-1586.

McAdam, S.A., Brodribb, T.J., Ross, J.J., 2016b. Shoot-derived abscisic acid promotes root growth. Plant Cell Environ. 39: 652-659.

McAdam SA, Manzi M, Ross JJ, Brodribb TJ, Gómez-Cadenas A (2016a) Uprooting an abscisic acid paradigm: shoots are the primary source. Plant Signal Behav 11: 652-659.

Mierziak J, Kostyn K, Kulma A (2014) Flavonoids as important molecules of plant interactions with the environment. Molecules 19: 16240-16265.

Monson RK, Jaeger CH, Adam WW, Driggers EM, Silver GM, Fall R. (1992) Relationships among isoprene emission rate, photosynthesis. and isoprene synthase activity as influenced by temperature. Plant Physiol 98: 1175-1180.

Morfopoulos C, Sperlich D, Peñuelas J, Filella I, Llusià J, Medlyn BE, Niinemets Ü, Possell M, Sun Z, Prentice IC (2014) A model of plant isoprene emission based on available reducing power captures responses to atmospheric CO_2. New Phytol 203: 125-139.

Nakabayashi R, Yonekura-Sakakibara K, Urano K, Suzuki M, Yamada Y, Nishizawa T, Matsuda F, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Shinozaki K, Michael AJ (2014) Enhancement of oxidative and drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis* by overaccumulation of antioxidant flavonoids. Plant J 77: 367-379.

Nardini A, Lo Gullo MA, Trifilò P, Salleo S (2014) The challenge of the Mediterranean climate to plant hydraulics: responses and adaptations. Environ Exper Bot 103: 68-79.

Niinemets Ü (2001) Global-scale climatic controls of leaf dry mass per area, density, and thickness in trees and shrubs. Ecology 82: 453-469.

Nolan RH, Tarin T, Santini NS, McAdam SA, Ruman R, Eamus D (2017) Differences in osmotic adjustment, foliar abscisic acid dynamics, and stomatal regulation between an isohydric and anisohydric woody angiosperm during drought. Plant Cell Environ 40: 3122-3134.

Nouman W, Basra SM, Siddiqui MT, Yasmeen A, Gull T, Alcayde MA (2014) Potential of *Moringa oleifera* L. as livestock fodder crop: a review. Tork J Agric For 38: 1-4.

Pandey A, Pradheep K, Gupta R, Nayar ER, Bhandari DC (2011) 'Drumstick tree' (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.): a multipurpose potential species in India. Genet Resour Crop Ev 58: 453-460.

Peuke AD, Gessler A, Rennenberg H (2006) The effect of drought on C and N stable isotopes in different fractions of leaves, stems and roots of sensitive and tolerant beech ecotypes. Plant Cell Environ 29: 823-835.

Pollastri S, Tsonev T, Loreto F (2014) Isoprene improves photochemical efficiency and enhances heat dissipation in plants at physiological temperatures. J Exp Bot 65: 1565-1570.

Ramel F, Birtic S, Cuiné S, Triantaphylidés C, Ravanat J-L, Havaux M (2012) Chemical quenching of singlet oxygen by carotenoids in plants. Plant Physiol 158: 1267-1278.

Rashid U, Anwar F, Moser BR, Knothe G (2008) *Moringa oleifera* oil: a possible source of biodiesel. Bioresource Technol 99: 8175-8179.

Rasulov B, Bichele I, Hüve K, Vislap V, Niinemets Ü (2015) Acclimation of isoprene emission and photosynthesis to growth temperature in hybrid aspen: resolving structural and physiological controls. Plant Cell Environ 38: 751-766.

Rice-Evans CA, Miller NJ, Bolwell PG, Bramley PM, Pridham JB (1995) The relative antioxidant activities of plant-derived polyphenolic flavonoids. Free Rad Res 22: 375-383.

Rivas-Ubach A, Sardans J, Pérez-Trujillo M, Estiarte M, Peñuelas J (2012) Strong relationship between elemental stoichiometry and metabolome in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109: 4181-4186.

Sánchez NR, Ledin S, Ledin I (2006) Biomass production and chemical composition of *Moringa oleifera* under different management regimes in Nicaragua. Agroforest Syst 66: 231-242.

Schreiber U, Schliwa U, Bilger W (1986) Continuous recording of photochemical and non-photochemical chlorophyll fluorescence quenching with a new type of modulation fluorometer. Photosyn Res 10: 51-62.

Sharkey TD, Wiberley AE, Donohue AR (2007) Isoprene emission from plants: why and how. Ann Bot 101: 5-18.

Sharkey TD, Loreto F (1993) Water stress, temperature, and light effects on the capacity for isoprene emission and photosynthesis of kudzu leaves. Oecol 95: 328-333.

Sinclair T R, Ludlow MM (1986) Influence of soil water supply on the plant water balance of four tropical grain legumes. Funct Plant Biology. 13: 329-341.

Singh RB, Mal S (2014) Trends and variability of monsoon and other rainfall seasons in Western Himalaya, India. Atmos Sci Lett 15: 218-226.

Singsaas EL, Sharkey TD(1998) The regulation of isoprene emission responses to rapid leaf temperature fluctuations. Plant Cell Environ. 21: 1181-1188.

Siwko ME, Marrink SJ, De Vries AH, Kozubek A, Uiterkamp AJS, Mark AE (2007) Does isoprene protect plant membranes from thermal shock? A molecular dynamics study. Biochim Biophys Acta-Biomembranes 1768: 198-206.

Tardieu F, Simonneau T (1998) Variability among species of stomatal control under fluctuating soil water status and evaporative demand: modelling isohydric and anisohydric behaviours. J Exp Bot 1: 419-432.

Tattini M, Galardi C, Pinelli P, Massai R, Remorini D, Agati G (2004) Differential accumulation of flavonoids and hydroxycinnamates in leaves of *Ligustrum vulgare* under excess light and drought stress. New Phytol 163: 547-561.

Tattini M, Guidi L, Morassi-Bonzi L, Pinelli P, Remorini D, Degl'Innocenti E, Giordano C, Massai R, Agati G (2005) On the role of flavonoids in the integrated mechanisms of response of *Ligustrum vulgare* and *Phillyrea latifolia* to high solar radiation. New Phytol. 167: 457-470.

Tattini M, Loreto F, Fini A, Guidi L, Brunetti C, Velikova V, Gori A, Ferrini F (2015) Isoprenoids and phenylpropanoids are part of the antioxidant defense orchestrated daily by drought-stressed *Platanus× acerifolia* plants during Mediterranean summers. New Phytol. 207: 613-626.

Tattini M, Sebastiani F, Brunetti C, Fini A, Torre S, Gori A, Centritto M, Ferrini F, Landi M, Guidi L (2017) Dissecting molecular and physiological response mechanisms to high solar radiation in cyanic and acyanic leaves: a case study on red and green basil. J Exp Bot 68: 2425-2437.

Tattini M, Velikova V, Vickers C, Brunetti C, Di Ferdinando M, Trivellini A, Fineschi S, Agati G, Ferrini F, Loreto F (2014) Isoprene production in transgenic tobacco alters isoprenoid, non-structural carbohydrate and phenylpropanoid metabolism, and protects photosynthesis from drought stress. Plant Cell Environ. 37: 1950-1964.

Taylor LP, Grotewold E (2005) Flavonoids as developmental regulators. Curr Opin Plant Biol 8: 317-323.

Treutter D. (2006). Significance of flavonoids in plant resistance: a review. Environ Chem Lett 4: 147.

Valladares F, Gianoli E, Gómez JM (2007) Ecological limits to plant phenotypic plasticity. New Phytol 176: 749-763.

Vanzo E, Jud W, Li Z, Albert A, Domagalska MA, Ghirardo A, Niederbacher B, Frenzel J, Beemster GT, Asard H, Rennenberg H (2015) Facing the future-Effects of short-term climate extremes on isoprene-emitting and non-emitting poplar. Plant Physiol 169: 560–575.

Vanzo E, Merl-Pham J, Velikova V, Ghirardo A, Lindermayr C, Hauck SM, Bernhardt J, Riedel K, Durner J, Schnitzler JP (2016) Modulation of protein S-nitrosylation by isoprene emission in poplar. Plant Physiol 170: 1945-1961.

Velikova V, Brunetti C, Tattini M, Doneva D, Ahrar M, Tsonev T, Stefanova M, Ganeva T, Gori A, Ferrini F, Varotto C (2016) Physiological significance of isoprenoids and phenylpropanoids in drought response of *Arundinoideae* species with contrasting habitats and metabolism. Plant Cell Environ 39: 2185-2197.

Velikova V, Edreva A, Loreto F (2004) Endogenous isoprene protects *Phragmites australis* leaves against singlet oxygen. Physiol Plant 122: 219-225.

Velikova V, Ghirardo A, Vanzo E, Merl J, Hauck SM, Schnitzler JP (2014) Genetic manipulation of isoprene emissions in poplar plants remodels the chloroplast proteome. J Proteome Res 13: 2005-2018.

Velikova V, Müller C, Ghirardo A, Theresa MR, Aichler M, Walch A, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Schnitzler JP (2015) Knocking down of isoprene emission modifies the lipid matrix of thylakoid membranes and influences the chloroplast ultrastructure in poplar. Plant Physiol 168: 905-916.

Velikova V, Várkonyi Z, Szabó M, Maslenkova L, Nogues I, Kovács L, Peeva V, Busheva M, Garab G, Sharkey TD, Loreto F (2011) Increased thermostability of thylakoid membranes in isoprene-emitting leaves probed with three biophysical techniques. Plant Physiol 157: 905-916.

Verma AR, Vijayakumar M, Mathela CS, Rao CV (2009) In vitro and in vivo antioxidant properties of different fractions of *Moringa oleifera* leaves. Food Chem Toxicol 47: 2196–2201.

Vickers CE, Gershenzon J, Lerdau MT, Loreto F (2009a) A unified mechanism of action for volatile isoprenoids in plant abiotic stress. Nat Chem Biol 5: 283-291.

Vickers CE, Possell M, Cojocariu CI, Velikova VB, Laothawornkitkul J, Ryan A, Mullineaux PM, Hewitt C N (2009b) Isoprene synthesis protects transgenic tobacco plants from oxidative stress. Plant Cell Environ 32: 520-531.

Yi K, Dragoni D, Phillips RP, Roman DT, Novick KA (2017) Dynamics of stem water uptake among isohydric and anisohydric species experiencing a severe drought. Tree Physiol 37: 1-14.

Yin C, Peng Y, Zang R, Zhu Y, Li C (2005) Adaptive responses of *Populus kangdingensis* to drought stress. Physiol Plantarum 123: 445-451.

Zandalinas SI, Mittler R, Balfagón D, Arbona V, Gómez-Cadenas A (2018) Plant adaptations to the combination of drought and high temperatures. Physiol Plant 162: 2-12.

Zavafer A, Koinuma W, Chow WS, Cheah MH, Mino H (2017) Mechanism of photodamage of the oxygen evolving Mn Cluster of photosystem II by excessive light energy. Scientific Reports 7: 7604.

Zeinali N, Altarawneh M, Li D, Al-Nu'airat J, Dlugogorski BZ (2016) New mechanistic insights: why do plants produce isoprene? ACS Omega 1: 220-225.

Figure and table legends

Figure 1. Predawn leaf water (Ψ_w, A) and osmotic (Ψ_π, B) potentials, and relative water content (RWC, C) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*, corresponding to 10, 20 and 30 days after withholding water, respectively. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 2. Photosynthesis (A_N, A), stomatal conductance (g_s, B), intercellular CO_2 concentration (C_i, C), maximum (F_v/F_m, D) and actual (Φ_{PSII}, E) efficiency of PSII photochemistry and non-photochemical quenching (NPQ, F) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 3. Total biomass (A) and biomass allocation (B) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. The percentage of biomass allocation (BA) was calculated considering the ratio of shoot dry mass to total dry mass (BAS) and the ratio of root dry mass to total dry mass (BAR). Data (means ± SD, n = 10) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 4. Rates of isoprene emission (A) and carbon lost as isoprene (C_{iso}, B) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 5. Linear relationships between isoprene emission rate and (A) internal CO_2 concentration (C_i) or (B) the ratio of electron transport rate to photosynthesis (ETR/AN) in *Moringa oleifera* plants. Measurements were made at $FTSW_{60}$ (10 d, open symbols), $FTSW_{40}$ (20 d, grey

symbols), and $FTSW_{25}$ (30 d, closed symbols) both in well-watered plants ($FTSW_{100}$) (triangles) and water-stressed (circles) plants. Coefficient of determination (R^2) of each relationship are reported; *** indicate P<0.0001.

Figure 6. Contents of free-ABA (A) and ABA-GE (B) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test. Inset in Figure 6A shows the inverse relationship between foliar free-ABA content and stomatal conductance (g_s). Inset in Figure 6B shows the linear relationships between isoprene emission rates (nmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) and free-ABA and its glucoside ester (ABA-GE) contents in $FTSW_{100}$ plants (circles) and in water-stressed (triangles) plants at $FTSW_{60}$ (white symbols), $FTSW_{40}$ (grey symbols), and $FTSW_{25}$ (dark symbols), respectively. Coefficient of determination (R^2) of each relationship are reported; *** indicate P<0.0001.

Figure 7. Effects of water stress on the contents of photosynthetic pigments (A-I), on the ratio of violaxanthin cycle pigment content to total chlorophyll content (VAZ $Chltot^{-1}$, I) and on the de-epoxidation state of VAZ [DES = $(0.5A + Z)(V + A + Z)^{-1}$, J] in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 8. Contents of quercetin (A), kaempferol (B) and apigenin (C) derivatives in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera.* Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 9. Rates of *n*-hexanal emission in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera.* Data (means ±

SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure SM 1. The increase in non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) correlated negatively with the actual efficiency of PSII photochemistry (Φ_{PSII}). Measurements were made at $FTSW_{60}$ (10 d, open symbols), $FTSW_{40}$ (20 d, grey symbols), and $FTSW_{25}$ (30 d, closed symbols) both in well-watered control ($FTSW_{100}$) plants (circles) and water-stressed (triangles) plants of *Moringa oleifera* . Coefficient of determination (R^2) of the relationship is reported; *** indicate P<0.0001.

Table SM 1. Results for photosynthesis (A_N) and stomatal conductance (g_s) (means ± SD, n = 4) in water-stressed plants of *Moringa oleifera* at different fraction of transpirable of soil water (FTSW) and days after the onset of water stress treatment.

Metabolic plasticity in the hygrophyte *Moringa oleifera* exposed to water stress

Cecilia Brunetti[1,2*], Francesco Loreto[3], Francesco Ferrini[2], Antonella Gori[2], Lucia Guidi[4], Damiano Remorini[4], Mauro Centritto[1], Alessio Fini[5], Massimiliano Tattini[6]

[1] *National Research Council of Italy, Department of Biology, Agriculture and Food Sciences, Trees and Timber Institute, Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy*

[2] *University of Florence, Department of Agri-Food Production and Environmental Sciences, Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy*

[3] *National Research Council of Italy, Department of Biology, Agriculture and Food Sciences, Piazzale Aldo Moro 7, Roma, Italy*

[4] *Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy*

[5] *Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences —Production, Landscape, Agroenergy, University of Milan, Milan, Italy,*

[6] *National Research Council of Italy, Department of Biology, Agriculture and Food Sciences, Institute for Sustainable Plant Protection, Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy*

**Corresponding author: Cecilia Brunetti; cbrunetti@ivalsa.cnr.it*

Abstract

Over the past decades, introduction of many fast-growing hygrophilic, and economically valuable plants into xeric environments has occurred. However, production and even survival of these species may be threatened by harsh climatic conditions unless an effective physiological and metabolic plasticity is available. *Moringa oleifera* Lam., a multi-purpose tree originating from humid sub-tropical regions of India, is widely cultivated in many arid countries because of its multiple uses. We tested whether *M. oleifera* can adjust primary and secondary metabolism to efficiently cope with increasing water stress. It is shown that *M. oleifera* possesses an effective isohydric behavior. Water stress induced a quick and strong stomatal closure, driven by abscisic acid (ABA) accumulation, and leading to photosynthesis inhibition with consequent negative effects on biomass production. However, photochemistry was not impaired and maximal fluorescence and saturating photosynthesis remained unaffected in stressed leaves. We report for the first time that *M. oleifera* produces isoprene, and show that isoprene emission increased three-fold during stress progression. It is proposed that higher isoprene biosynthesis helps leaves cope with water stress through its antioxidant or membrane stabilizing action, and also indicates a general MEP (methylerythritol 4-phosphate) pathway activation that further helps protect photosynthesis under water stress. Increased concentrations of antioxidant flavonoids were also observed in water stressed leaves, and probably cooperate in limiting irreversible effects of the stress in *M. oleifera* leaves. The observed metabolic and phenotypic plasticity may facilitate the establishment of *M. oleifera* in xeric environments, sustaining the economic and environmental value of this plant.

Key words:

Abscisic acid, flavonoids, isoprene, isohydry, MEP (methylerythritol 4-phosphate) pathway, violaxanthin-cycle pigments, water stress.

1. Introduction

There is increasing interest in understanding how plants cope with the severe challenges imposed by climate change. Recurrent droughts and heat waves will likely be amplified in the near future, particularly in mid-latitude and subtropical dry regions (Dai 2013). 'Drought tolerant' plants that are adapted to arid environments (Kozlowsky and Pallardy 2002) invest a large portion of assimilated carbon to increase leaf density and thickness and on the biosynthesis of carbon-based secondary compounds, rather than promoting new growth (Niinemets 2001; Rivas-Ubach et al. 2012). Adverse climate conditions may threaten the survival of fast-growing hygrophilic species which are largely cultivated in xeric environments for ecological restoration and profitable biomass production. This is the case of *Moringa oleifera* Lam., a fast-growing tree native to sub-Himalayan northwest India (Pandey et al. 2011), where mean annual precipitations exceed 1,100 mm (Singh and Mal 2014), mainly concentrated during the monsoon season. *Moringa oleifera* is a multipurpose tree crop utilized for human food and livestock forage because of its high vitamin content (Anwar et al. 2007; Verma et al. 2009; Fuglie 2011; Nouman et al. 2014). This species is also used for many medicinal purposes and is considered a life-saving resource (Fahey 2005; Kasolo et al. 2010; Mbikay 2012; El Sohaimy et al. 2015), while its oleic acid-rich seeds can be used to produce biodiesel (Rashid et al. 2008; Da Silva et al. 2010). Because of these multiple applications, *M. oleifera* has been called a "miracle tree" and its cultivation range has rapidly expanded into sub-tropical dry regions across Africa, South America and Asia, characterized by recurrent droughts combined with both high air temperatures and solar irradiance (Leone et al. 2015). However, if climatic constraints become harsher and more frequent under the influence of climate change, they may threaten the survival and profitable production of *M. oleifera*, which apparently does not possess any conservative functional trait of adaptation to drought (Valladares et al. 2007).

Other adaptive traits related to secondary metabolism could play a determinant role in the process of plant acclimation to harsh environments, which are not explored in *M. oleifera*. There is overwhelming evidence that secondary metabolites derived from both the methylerythritol 4-

phosphate (MEP) and the phenylpropanoid pathway play a key role in the acclimation of 'mesic' species to low water availability (Tattini et al. 2015; Velikova et al. 2016; Zalindas et al. 2017). For instance, the emission of isoprene is more widespread in hygrophytes than in xerophytes (Loreto et al. 2014), and isoprene is believed to ameliorate the response of fast-growing species to drought stress episodes (Loreto and Fineschi 2015; for reviews, see also: Sharkey et al. 2007; Fini et al. 2017). Isoprene preserves the integrity of thylakoid membranes (Velikova et al. 2011) and scavenges reactive oxygen species (ROS), particularly singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$) (Velikova et al. 2004; Vickers et al. 2009a; Zeinali et al. 2016), which are generated at considerable rates in drought-stressed leaves. The benefits of isoprene biosynthesis on chloroplast membrane-associated processes may improve the use of radiant energy for carbon fixation under stressful conditions (Pollastri et al. 2014; Vanzo et al. 2015), thus reducing the risk of photo-oxidative damage (Vickers et al. 2009b).

In drought-stressed leaves, the enhancement of carbon flow through the MEP pathway also promotes the synthesis of isoprene and non-volatile isoprenoids such as carotenoids and abscisic acid (ABA) (Tattini et al. 2014; Marino et al. 2017). Carotenoids are known to protect photosynthesis under drought stress (Beckett et al. 2012; Tattini et al. 2015). The photoprotective functions of carotenoids include: quenching of triple state chlorophyll ($^3Chl^*$); thermal dissipation of excess energy through de-epoxidation of xanthophylls (nonphotochemical quenching, NPQ) (Brunetti et al. 2015); and an antioxidant function of zeaxanthin (Zea) in the chloroplasts by strengthening thylakoid membranes under heat stress (Havaux et al. 2007; Dall'Osto et al. 2010; Esteban et al. 2015). Notably, biosynthesis of Zea throughout β-hydroxylation of β-carotene (β-car) may also enhance drought resistance (Davison et al. 2002; Du et al. 2010), possibly because Zea interacts with light harvesting complex b (LHCb), thus reducing the production of $^1O_2$ and sustaining NPQ in high light conditions (Johnson et al. 2007; Dall'Osto et al. 2010). In turn, β-car (like isoprene, see Velikova et al. 2004) is an effective chemical quencher of $^1O_2$ (Ramel et al. 2012).

A relationship between isoprene and foliar ABA has been repeatedly observed (Barta and Loreto 2006; Tattini et al. 2014; Marino et al. 2017). ABA plays a major role in the regulation of stomatal movements in plants capable of maintaining leaf water potential and relative water content

unchanged under drought stress conditions (isohydric behavior) (Brodribb and McAdam 2013; McAdam and Brodiribb, 2014; Coupel-Ledru et al. 2017).

Phenylpropanoid metabolism is another complex "metabolic grid" highly modulated by environmental constraints (Laursen et al. 2015). Strong evidence has been provided that enhanced biosynthesis of dihydroxy B-ring-substituted flavonoids is induced under drought, when the use of light for photosynthesis is reduced (Tattini et al. 2004; Treutter 2006; Agati et al. 2012; Ma et al. 2014). Alterations in ROS homeostasis and/or in the electron transport chain are main drivers for flavonoid biosynthesis (Taylor and Grotewold 2005; Fini et al. 2012; Fini et al. 2014). Flavonoids accumulate to a large extent in the mesophyll cells of sun-exposed leaves and may complement the functions of primary antioxidants in plants, both acting at different places and at different times (Brunetti et al. 2015; Tattini et al. 2015). In fact, flavonoids are found in sub-cellular compartments, such as the nucleus, vacuole and outer chloroplast membrane, where other antioxidants do not effectively operate (Agati et al. 2007; Ferreres et al. 2011).

In plants exposed to drought, the modulation of secondary metabolism may be mostly intended to reduce excess of ROS by increasing the production of metabolites with antioxidant properties, including isoprenoids and phenylpropanoids (Nakabayashi et al. 2014; Loreto and Fineschi 2015; Tattini et al. 2015). We hypothesize that both enhanced production and profound re-adjustment in the isoprenoid and phenylpropanoid pool (i.e. metabolic plasticity) may occur in hygrophilic and fast-growing plants such as *M. oleifera* when facing drought conditions. To test this hypothesis and explore physiological and biochemical mechanisms linked to drought resistance in hygrophilic plants, we exposed *M. oleifera* plants to a water stress treatment of increasing severity.

2. Materials & Methods

2.1. Plant material and experimental conditions

Two-month-old seedlings of *Moringa oleifera* Lam. were planted in 50 L pots with a sand/peat substrate (9/1, v/v), and were grown outside in Florence, Italy (43° 49' N, 11° 37'). The experiment was conducted during summer 2014, under minimum/maximum temperatures of 17.7 ± 2.4/30.8 ±

3.2°C (mean ± standard deviation, S.D.) and midday irradiance (measured over the 200-3000 nm range of solar wavebands) of 780 ± 85 W m^{-2} (mean ± S.D). Saplings were irrigated to pot capacity before the onset of water stress treatment, that was applied to plants on average ~ 190 cm tall and with stem diameter of ~ 2.0 cm. Water stress was imposed by withholding water for 30 days (WS, water-stressed plants), whereas control plants (C) were irrigated daily to pot capacity. A total of thirty plants were grown under these two experimental conditions (12 assigned to well-watered treatment and 18 assigned to water-stressed treatment). Plants were assigned on the basis of preliminary leaf gas exchange measurements to exclude significant differences in photosynthesis (A_N) and stomatal conductance (g_s) among plants ($t < 0.05$, data not shown). The fraction of transpirable soil water (FTSW) and g_s were used as water stress indicators (Sinclair and Ludlow, 1986; Brilli et al., 2013). Measurements were conducted in water-stressed plants at increasing stress severity. The three stress levels corresponded to decreasing FTSW from 100% (in control plants, $FTSW_{100}$), to 60% ($FTSW_{60}$), 40% ($FTSW_{40}$) and 25% ($FTSW_{25}$), corresponding to 10, 20 and 30 days after withholding water, respectively. Control plants were also sampled at the same days as water-stressed plants, to make sure that control conditions were maintained across the experimental period. The physiological lower limit of available soil water, corresponding to the FTSW endpoint, was calculated prolonging water stress, until stomatal conductance approached zero, on some additional plants.

As *M. oleifera* has bipinnate compound leaves, water relations, gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence, isoprene and *n*-hexanal measurements were conducted on the two medial leaflets in the secondary pinna (hereafter denoted as leaf), on four replicate plants per treatment, at each sampling date. The adjacent leaf was collected for biochemical measurements, between 12:00-14:00 h.

2.2. Growth, water relations, gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence

Biomass was measured at the end of the water stress period (30 d) on ten replicate plants per treatment. Plants were divided into shoots and roots and oven dried at 70 °C until a constant weight was reached (after about 72 h). Biomass allocation was calculated on a dry mass (DM) basis, using as parameters the ratio of shoot dry mass to total dry mass (BAS) and the ratio of root dry mass to total dry mass (BAR). Predawn measurements of relative water content (RWC), water (ψ_w) and osmotic

(ψ_π) potentials were made on well-watered and water-stressed leaves (2 leaves for each selected replicate).

Gas exchange was measured on intact leaves using a LI-6400 portable photosynthesis system (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA). Measurements were performed at a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 1000 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}, a CO_2 concentration of 400 μmol mol^{-1} and ambient temperature. This system was utilized also to measure leaf temperature. Photosynthesis and g_s were calculated using the LI-6400 software. Chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence was measured using a modulated PAM-2000 fluorometer (Heinz Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). Minimum fluorescence (F_0) was measured with a 0.8 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} measuring light beam on leaves that were dark-adapted for 20 minutes. Maximum fluorescence in the dark-adapted state (F_m) was determined using a saturating pulse (0.5 s) of red light (8000 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}), thus allowing calculation of $F_v/F_m = (F_m - F_0)/F_m$. Actinic red continuous light (1000 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) was then switched on, and steady-state fluorescence was recorded (F_s). Saturating pulses were then applied to record the maximum fluorescence under actinic light (F'_m). These data were used to calculate non-photochemical quenching ($NPQ = (F_m - F'_m)/F'_m$) (Schreiber et al., 1986), actual quantum yield of PSII ($\Phi_{PSII} = (F'_m - F_s)/ F'_m$) (Genty et al. 1989), and electron transport rate ($ETR = 0.5 \cdot \Phi PSII \cdot PAR \cdot 0.84$), where 0.5 and 0.84 are coefficients indicating an equal distribution of photons between PSI and PSII and leaf absorptance, respectively.

2.3. Isoprene, abscisic acid and photosynthetic pigments

To measure isoprene emission, the outlet of the cuvette was disconnected from the LI-6400 system and the flow was diverted into a silcosteel cartridge packed with 200 mg of Tenax (Agilent, Cernusco sul Naviglio, Italy). A volume of 4.5 dm^3 of air was pumped through the trap at a rate of 200 cm^3 min^{-1}. The cartridge was analysed using a Perkin Elmer Clarus 580 gas chromatograph coupled with a Clarus 560 Mass-Selective-Detector and a thermal desorber TurboMatrix (Perkin Elmer Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The desorbed compounds were separated in a 30-m Elite-5-MS capillary column. The column oven temperature was kept at 40 °C for the first 5 min, then increased by 5 °C min^{-1} to 250 °C, and maintained at 250 °C for 2 min. Helium was used as carrier gas. Compounds were identified using the NIST library provided with the GC/MS Turbomass software. Quantification of isoprene was

conducted using authentic standards of isoprene (Rivoira, Milan, Italy) to prepare a calibration curve as well as to compare the peak retention time and the peak fragmentation of isoprene found in the samples.

Abscisic acid, both in its free (free-ABA) and conjugated form (ABA glucoside ester, ABA-GE), was extracted and quantified as reported in Tattini et al. (2017). In detail, 200 mg of lyophilized leaf tissue were ground in liquid nitrogen and combined with 50 ng of d^6-ABA and d^5-ABA-GE (National Research Council of Canada), then extracted with 3 × 1 cm^3 pH 2.5 CH_3OH/H_2O (50:50; v:v), at 4 °C for 30 minutes. The supernatant, after defatting with 3 × 3 cm^3 of *n*-hexane, was purified using Sep-Pak C18 cartdriges (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and eluted with 1 cm^3 of ethylacetate. The eluate, dried under nitrogen, and rinsed with 500 µL pH 2.5 CH_3OH/H_2O (50:50), was injected (3 µL aliquots) in a LC–DAD-MS/MS system, consisting of a Shimadzu Nexera HPLC and a Shimadzu LCMS-8030 quadrupole mass spectrometer, operating in electrospray ionization (ESI) mode (Kyoto, Japan). The eluting solvents consisted of H_2O (added with 0.1 % of HCOOH, solvent A) and CH_3CN/CH_3OH (1:1, v:v, added with 0.1 % of HCOOH, solvent B). The analysis was performed in negative ion mode, using a 3 × 100 mm Poroshell 120 SB C18 column (2.7 µm, 100 x 4.6 mm, Agilent Technologies) and eluting a 18 min-run from 95% solvent A to 100% solvent B at a flow rate of 0.3 cm^3 min^{-1}. Quantification was conducted in multiple reaction mode (MRM), as reported by López-Carbonell et al. (2009).

Chlorophyll *a* and *b*, and individual carotenoids were identified and quantified as reported by Beckett et al. (2012). Briefly, lyophilized leaf tissue (0.2 g) was extracted with 3 × 5 cm^3 acetone (added with 0.5 g cm^{-3} of $CaCO_3$) and injected (15 µL) in a Flexar high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system equipped with a quaternary 200Q/410 pump and a LC 200 diode array detector (DAD) (all from Perkin Elmer Bradford, CT, USA). Photosynthetic pigments were separated in a 250 × 4.6 mm Agilent Zorbax SB-C18 (5 µm) column operating at 30°C, eluted for 18 min with a linear gradient solvent system, at a flow rate of 1 cm^3 min^{-1}, from 100% CH_3CN/MeOH (95/5 with 0.05% of triethylamine) to 100% MeOH/ethyl acetate (6.8/3.2). Violaxanthin cycle pigments [violaxanthin (Vio), antheraxanthin (Ant), zeaxanthin (Zea), collectively named (VAZ)], neoxanthin (Neo), lutein (Lut), β-carotene (β-car), chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *b* were identified using visible spectral characteristics and retention times. Carotenoids and chlorophylls were

calibrated using authentic standards from Extrasynthese (Lyon-Nord, Genay, France) and from Sigma Aldrich (Milan, Italy), respectively. The de-epoxidation state of VAZ (DES) was calculated as:

$$DES = (0.5A + Z)/(V + A + Z)$$

2.4. Flavonoids

Individual flavonoids were extracted and quantified as previously reported in Tattini et al. (2015). Briefly, lyophilized leaf tissue (0.2 g) was extracted with 3 × 5 cm^3 of 75% $EtOH/H_2O$ adjusted to pH 2.5 with formic acid, and the supernatant partitioned with 4 × 5 cm^3 *n*-hexane, reduced to dryness and finally rinsed with 2 cm^3 CH_3OH/H_2O (8:2, v:v). Aliquots of 10 µL were injected into the Perkin Elmer liquid chromatography system reported above, and compounds separated in a 150 × 4.6 mm Sun Fire column (5 µm) (Waters Italia, Milan, Italy) operating at 30 °C and eluted at a flow rate of 1 cm^3 min^{-1}. The mobile phases were (A) H_2O pH 4.3 (CH_3COONH_4/CH_3COOH)/CH_3CN (90/10, v/v) and (B) CH_3CN/H_2O pH 4.3 (CH_3COONH_4 /CH_3COOH) (90/10, v/v). Flavonoids were separated using a linear gradient elution from A to B over a 46 min-run. Flavonoids were identified by comparison of their retention times and UV spectral characteristics with those of authentic standards (Extrasynthese, Lyon-Nord, Genay, France) and quantified at 350 nm using five-point calibration curves of authentic standards.

2.5. Lipid peroxidation indicator (*n*-hexanal)

N-hexanal is one of the lipid peroxide-derived carbonyl compounds (oxylipin carbonyls) that reveals abiotic stress-induced damage of plants, and in particular of cellular membranes (Mano 2012). Analysis of *n*-hexanal was done using the same procedure as for isoprene (see above). Quantification of *n*-hexanal was conducted using an authentic standard (Sigma Aldrich, Milan, Italy) to prepare a calibration curve, as well as comparing the peak retention time and the peak fragmentation in all samples.

2.6. Experimental design and statistics

The experiment was performed using a completely randomized design. Biomass was measured on ten replicates for both well-watered and water-stressed plants at the end of the experiment. Physiological and biochemical measurements were conducted on four replicate plants, both in well-watered plants and in plants exposed to water stress of increasing severity. Data were analysed using repeated-measures ANOVA, with water treatment as between-subjects effect and sampling date as within-subjects effect (SPSS v.20; IBM, Chicago IL, USA). Significant differences among means were estimated at the 5% ($P < 0.05$) level, using Tukey's test.

3. Results

3.1. Water stress effects on water relations, photosynthesis and biomass production

Predawn leaf water potential (ψ_w, Fig. 1A) declined in water-stressed plants compared to control plants, though differences became significant only at $FTSW_{40}$ and $FTSW_{25}$. It is noteworthy that at the end of the water stress cycle, when g_s of $FTSW_{25}$ plants was on average about 15% of the control values, predawn ψ_w was still rather high (i.e., -0.60 MPa). Significant differences in leaf bulk osmotic potential (ψ_π, Fig. 1B) were recorded only at the end of the experiment ($FTSW_{25}$), whereas RWC did not significantly vary between water-stressed and control plants (Fig. 1C).

As FTSW declined, A_N, g_s, and C_i (Fig. 2; Tab. SM1) were progressively reduced. A strong reduction of A_N (–30%, Fig. 2A) and g_s (–43%, Fig. 2B) was observed already under mild water stress ($FTSW_{60}$). Under a more severe water stress ($FTSW_{25}$), A_N and g_s declined by 71% and 85% respectively, compared to control leaves ($FTSW_{100}$) (Tab. SM1). Similarly, C_i (Fig. 2C) was reduced by about 55% in $FTSW_{25}$ plants relative to $FTSW_{100}$ plants. The maximum quantum yield of PSII (F_v/F_m, Fig. 2D) did not vary between control and water-stressed plants, irrespective of the severity of the stress. In contrast, the actual efficiency of PSII photochemistry (Φ_{PSII}), significantly declined already at $FTSW_{60}$ and was further impaired at $FTSW_{40}$ and $FTSW_{25}$, relative to $FTSW_{100}$ plants (Fig. 2E). Water stress reductions in Φ_{PSII} were paralleled by corresponding increases in the non-photochemical quenching of fluorescence (NPQ, Fig. 2F and SM1).

Plant biomass was significantly reduced in $FTSW_{25}$ compared to $FTSW_{100}$ plants (Fig. 3A). At the end of the experiment, the root to shoot ratio was also significantly higher in $FTSW_{25}$ than in $FTSW_{100}$ plants, whereas the shoot to total dry mass ratio was significantly reduced in water-stressed plants (Fig. 3B).

3.2. Water stress effects on isoprene, non-volatile isoprenoids, pigments, flavonoids, and membrane lipid peroxidation.

Isoprene emission increased significantly in $FTSW_{100}$ leaves during the experiment (Fig. 4A), likely because of the prolonged exposure to elevated temperatures during the summer season. Isoprene emission strongly and significantly increased in response to water stress. This increment was particularly relevant at $FTSW_{25}$ (+86% compared to $FTSW_{40}$). The carbon lost as isoprene (C_{iso}%), also increased largely in $FTSW_{25}$ plants, due to the simultaneous increase of isoprene emission and reduction of A_n (Fig. 4B). The surging emission of isoprene was positively correlated to both the decline of C_i (Fig. 5A) and the increase of the ETR/A_N ratio (Fig. 5B) in water-stressed leaves.

The content of free-ABA and ABA-GE increased in water-stressed compared to control leaves (Fig. 6A and 6B), and the effect was particularly strong in $FTSW_{25}$ plants where free-ABA and ABA-GE contents were about seven and two folds higher than in $FTSW_{100}$, respectively. A strong negative linear relationship (R^2 = 0.915) was found between foliar free-ABA levels and g_s (inset of Fig. 6A). Whereas, free and conjugated ABA contents were both positively related to isoprene emission rates (inset of Fig. 6B).

Total chlorophyll (Chl_{tot}) declined significantly in $FTSW_{40}$ (-14%) and $FTSW_{25}$ (-23%) leaves in comparison to $FTSW_{100}$ leaves (Fig. 7A). In contrast, total carotenoid (Car_{tot}) content did not vary between control and water-stressed leaves, and increased during the experiment irrespective of water treatments (Fig. 7B). However, water stress markedly altered the composition of the carotenoid pool. The content of Lut (Fig. 7C) increased, whereas the content of β-car (Fig. 7D) declined significantly under severe water deficit ($FTSW_{25}$). Among xanthophylls, Vio (Fig. 7E) declined and Zea (Fig. 7F) increased significantly in water-stressed plants. Vio reduction was particularly strong at $FTSW_{60}$ and $FTSW_{40}$, and partially recovered under severe stress conditions ($FTSW_{25}$). The contents of Ant (Fig. 7G)

and Neo (Fig. 7H) were not affected by water stress. However, Neo increased during the experimental period in both well-watered and water-stressed plants. The content of violaxanthin-cycle pigments (VAZ) relative to Chl_{tot} increased significantly as water stress progressed, and the effect was particularly high (+35%) at $FTSW_{25}$ compared to FTSW100 after 30 days of water stress (Fig. 7I). In addition, DES increased in water-stressed compared to control leaves, but the difference was already noticeable under mild water stress conditions ($FTSW_{60}$) (Fig.7J).

Water stress also considerably altered the content and composition of the flavonoid pool (Fig. 8 A-C). Quercetin-3-*O*-glycoside and its derivatives were the most responsive compounds to water stress, as their content significantly and consistently increased with the intensity of the stress (Fig. 8A). In addition, the content of Kaempferol-3-*O*-glycoside derivatives also significantly increased in response to stress, but the difference between water-stressed and control leaves remained constant as water stress progressed (Fig. 9B). In contrast, the content of Apigenin-7-*O*-glycoside and its derivatives significantly decreased in $FTSW_{40}$ and $FTSW_{25}$ leaves (Fig. 8C).

Compared to control leaves, the emission of *n*-hexanal did not significantly vary at both $FTSW_{60}$ and $FTSW_{40}$, whereas it significantly increased in $FTSW_{25}$ plants (Fig. 9).

4. Discussion

4.1 Understanding the impact of water stress on the physiology of the isohydric plant *M. oleifera*

M. oleifera is a fast-growing species able to produce large quantities of biomass (Sánchez et al. 2006). However, whether *M. oleifera* is able to acclimate and produce at satisfactory rates in arid conditions is yet not known. Our study offers novel insights on the physiological and biochemical strategies adopted by this species to cope with extended periods of soil water stress.

Our results show that *M. oleifera* possesses an effective avoidance mechanism (i.e. isohydry, Nardini et al., 2014; Tardieu and Simmoneau, 1998) when subjected to water stress. This involved a rapid reduction of g_s in stressed leaves, that possibly contributed to the maintenance of ψ_w and RWC even in conditions of severe water stress ($FTSW_{25}$), when only a moderate (8%) reduction of the

osmotic component ψ_π became significant (Fig. 1 and 2B). The response of g_s of *M. oleifera* to soil drying (Tab. SM1) is remarkably different from that observed in other fast-growing trees species such as *Eucalyptus citriodora* (Brilli et al. 2013; Mahmood et al. 2015) and *Populus spp* (Marron et al. 2002; Yin et al. 2005; Brilli et al. 2007; Centritto et al. 2011) that showed no or very little decline in g_s under moderate water stress conditions. Isohydry is a crucial adaptive trait for the survival of deciduous woody plants exposed to high evaporative demand and low soil water availability, as an early and tight control of stomatal aperture may prevent xylem embolism (Franks et al. 2007; Yi et al. 2017). While stomatal closure increased intrinsic water use efficiency (iWUE, determined as the ratio of A_n to g_s) during water stress progression, it also constrained photosynthesis due to increased diffusional limitations to CO_2 entry, with consequent reduction of C_i (Fig. 2C) (Lawlor and Cornic 2002; Centritto et al. 2011; Lauteri et al. 2014; Fini et al. 2016). The observed drop in photosynthesis under water stress caused a biomass reduction (Fig. 2 and 3), probably inducing a redistribution of the assimilated carbon between shoots and roots (Peuke et al. 2006). These results suggest a high degree of plasticity of *M. oleifera* in biomass allocation in response to water stress (Fig. 3).

Water stress did not cause permanent damages to the photosynthetic apparatus. In fact, maximal PSII photochemical efficiency (F_v/F_m) did not decline even under severe water stress ($FTSW_{25}$), suggesting stability of photochemical reactions and structures (Fig. 2) (Flexas et al., 2006). However, PSII quantum yield in the light (Φ_{PSII}) was reduced as compared to $FTSW_{100}$ leaves. While this mirrored A_n reduction at mild ($FTSW_{60}$) and moderate ($FTSW_{40}$) stress level, Φ_{PSII} did not drop further in severely water-stressed leaves (Havaux 1992; Lu and Zhang, 1999) revealing a likely increase of photorespiratory electron transport, or alternative electron sinks (see discussion below about ETR driving isoprene emission). Furthermore, changes in NPQ and Φ_{PSII} were strongly correlated throughout the experiment ($\Phi_{PSII} = -0{,}13\ NPQ + 0.62$, $R^2 = 0.844$, linear relation shown in Fig. SM1). Large excess of light energy not used by photosynthesis, as revealed by the fluorescence parameter NPQ (Fig. 2F), may directly photoreduce O_2, thus causing large ROS generation in water-stressed leaves, with consequent damage to PSII. To explain why this was not observed in this experiment, we hypothesize a potential contribution of isoprenoids and phenylpropanoids as antioxidant compounds, as discussed below.

4.2. Exploring the significance of enhanced isoprene emission during water stress and its relationship with foliar ABA

Our study revealed that *M. oleifera* is an isoprene emitting species (Fig. 4). Isoprene emission is typical of hygrophytes that are fast-growing in temperate areas of the world (Loreto et al. 2014; Loreto and Fineschi 2015), where isoprene serves important defensive (antioxidant and thermo-protective) properties (Loreto and Schnitzler 2010; Velikova et al. 2011; Pollastri et al. 2014). We also show that water stress promoted I_e, particularly when the stress became severe. Isoprene biosynthesis is generally resistant to water stress (Brilli et al. 2007; Centritto et al. 2011; Brilli et al. 2013), and the emission of isoprene is enhanced when isoprene-emitters recover from water stress (Sharkey and Loreto, 1993; Fortunati et al., 2008). Stimulation of isoprene biosynthesis "during" water stress episodes is less reported (Haworth et al. 2017; Marino et al. 2017). *M. oleifera* is a typical isoprene emitting species, since it is a fast-growing species with high photosynthetic rates which thrives wild in secondary tropical deciduous forests of the sub-Himalayan area (Loreto and Fineschi, 2015). Our data suggest that declines in internal CO_2 concentration (C_i) and the increasing electron flux generated by Photosystem II not used for carbon assimilation (ETR/A_N) are two important physiological drivers of isoprene biosynthesis under water stress conditions (Fig. 5) (Guidolotti et al. 2011; Harrison et al. 2013; Morfopoulos et al. 2014; Marino et al. 2017). Reduced photosynthesis due to CO_2 starvation may indeed increase the fraction of ETR available for alternative biosyntheses, including isoprenoids. In addition, the increase in leaf temperature induced by stomatal closure under water stress (from 31.2 ± 0.7 °C in $FTSW_{100}$ leaves to 34.4 ± 0.6 °C in $FTSW_{25}$ leaves, mean ± S.D.) might have contributed to further enhance the rate of isoprene emission (Singsaas and Sharkey, 1998; Fares et al. 2011; Brilli et al. 2013; Arab et al. 2016). Indeed, the activity of isoprene synthase is known to be stimulated by high temperatures (Monson et al. 1992; Li et al. 2011). Increasing isoprene synthase activity may also help explain the increase in I_e and C_{iso}% observed in well-watered leaves, along rising summer temperatures during the course of our study (Rasulov et al. 2015).

We hypothesize that the rising investment of newly assimilated carbon for isoprene biosynthesis helped leaves tolerate water stress because: a) isoprene protects the photosynthetic apparatus from heat and oxidative damage by preserving the integrity of thylakoid membranes (Siwko

et al. 2007; Velikova et al. 2011, 2014, 2015) or by scavenging singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$), a highly reactive ROS in chloroplasts (Velikova et al. 2004; Zeinali et al. 2016); b) isoprene makes faster and smoother the electron transport flow (Pollastri et al. 2014), especially under water stress conditions (Marino et al. 2017). We found that NPQ did not vary between $FTSW_{40}$ and $FTSW_{25}$ leaves. Lower NPQ values in isoprene emitters compared to non-emitters were reported both in stressful (Behnke et al. 2007, 2010) and physiological conditions (Pollastri et al. 2014). We, therefore, hypothesize a relationship between the reduction of NPQ and the increase I_e along with the severity of water stress. A downregulation of chloroplastic ATP-synthase and the consequent reduction in the flexible heat dissipation component (qE) of NPQ (Demmig-Adams and Adams 2006) was reported in isoprene emitting species by Velikova et al. (2014).

The observed strong linear relationships between I_e and foliar contents of free-ABA and ABA-GE (Fig. 6B), suggest that increased isoprene formation in water stressed plants indicates enhanced carbon flow through the MEP pathway, leading to higher foliar biosynthesis of abscisic acid (Fig. 6A) (Marino et al. 2017). A relationship between isoprene and foliar ABA was first reported by Barta and Loreto (2006) in well-watered *Populus alba* and by Tattini et al. (2014) in drought stressed transgenic tobacco plants. Our results also show a strong linear correlation between free-ABA and g_s (Fig. 6A), despite limited variations of water relations in *M. oleifera* leaves. It is unclear whether isoprene is simply of proxy of carbon flux through the MEP pathway, or has a regulatory role. Sustained isoprene emission in water-stressed plants may reduce the accumulation of dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP) in the chloroplast, and may prevent DMAPP-induced feedback inhibition of the entire MEP pathway (Banerjee et al. 2013). Taken together our results suggest that: a) increased isoprene formation indicates and perhaps regulates free-ABA synthesis in stressed leaves, and b) free-ABA has a major role in the regulation of stomatal closure compared to hydraulic signals (Chaves et al. 2016; McAdam et al. 2016a). These results are in line with recent studies showing that, in strict isohydric plants such as *M. oleifera,* high levels of free-ABA could be responsible for stomatal closure and could promote a higher root to shoot ratio/carbon allocation (Nolan et al. 2017; McAdam et al. 2016b).

4.3. Plasticity of secondary metabolism in *M. oleifera* during water stress progression

We observed several changes in carotenoids and phenylpropanoids in response to increasing water stress, that can be interpreted as a photoprotective trait to limit water stress induced damage. The content of total carotenoids on a leaf mass basis also increased over the course of the experiment in both well-watered and water-stressed leaves. While this shows a general upregulation of the MEP pathway (see previous section) over the season, we argue that the investment in carotenoids was much stronger in water-stressed leaves mirroring the depression in carbon assimilation. The blend of carotenoids also changed along stress progression, perhaps favouring compounds active in stress protection (Fig. 7). The increase in lutein in severely water-stressed plants might have enhanced the capacity of leaves to quench $^3Chl^*$, that was likely generated during stress exposure (Dall'Osto et al. 2006; Jahns and Holzwarth 2012). In addition, compared to photosynthesis, Chl_{tot} content was less affected by severe water stress, indicating a successful mechanism of protection. We also note that a large switch in the composition of xanthophylls occurred in water-stressed plants. The increase in Zea content was accompanied by a parallel decrease in Vio content under mild and moderate water stress, showing the classic mechanism of de-epoxidation that is a major element of photoprotection in plants (Demming-Adams and Adams 2006). However, when plants experienced the most severe water stress the content in Zea and in Vio both increased. We suggest that the large increase in Zea biosynthesis might have been originated from hydroxylation of *β*-car (Davison et al. 2002; Du et al. 2010). This is consistent with the reduction of *β*-car concentration observed in leaves at $FTWS_{25}$. β-car might have been also used as a chemical quencher of $^1O_2$ (Ramel et al. 2012), thus explaining the relatively stronger decline of β-car (−0.18 μmol g^{-1} DW) as compared to the increase in Zea (+0.07 μmol g^{-1} DW) when the stress became severe. The content of VAZ relative to Chl_{tot} was on average > 70 mmol mol^{-1} in both well-watered and water-stressed plants throughout the whole experiment, as commonly observed in leaves long acclimated to full solar irradiance (Fini et al. 2014; Esteban et al. 2015). This implies that only a fraction of the VAZ pool was bound to antenna systems and, hence, involved in NPQ (Fig. 7I and J). In addition, the VAZ to Chl_{tot} ratio increased linearly during the water stress cycle. This increasing 'unbound' VAZ pool might have served specific antioxidant functions in water-stressed leaves, increasing membrane thermo-stability hence limiting lipid peroxidation (Havaux et al. 2007; Esteban et al. 2015). This is an action similar to that suggested for isoprene (Velikova et al. 2011), and

cooperation between volatile and non-volatile isoprenoids was surmised by Beckett et al. (2012). Indeed, the rate of *n*-hexanal emission, a marker of lipid peroxidation (Mano et al. 2012; Beckett et al. 2012), was only affected when a sever water stress was imposed ($FTWS_{25}$, Fig. 9), and was not accompanied by irreversible degradation of membrane-bound photosynthetic machineries, namely PSII photochemistry (as shown earlier).

The biosynthesis of antioxidant flavonoids, here constituted mainly by quercetin derivatives, was stimulated in water-stressed leaves of *M. oleifera* (Fig. 8), similarly to what has been observed in other plants (Tattini et al. 2004; Velikova et al. 2016; Ahrar et al. 2017). These high levels of foliar flavonoids, commonly found in leaves grown under full sunlight, are not compatible with their exclusive distribution in epidermal cells (Jaakola et al. 2004; Tattini et al. 2005; Agati et al. 2009; Majer et al. 2014). Therefore, we suggest that water stress induced the accumulation of quercetin derivatives mainly in mesophyll cells (Tattini et al. 2015), likely conferring increasing protection against enhanced ROS generation (Agati and Tattini 2010; Agati et al. 2012; Nakabayashi et al. 2014), while reducing the risk of permanent photodamage to PSII, by additionally acting as UV-B filters in the chloroplast (Mierziak et al. 2014; Zavafer et al. 2017). The finding that water stress induced profound changes in the composition of the flavonoid pool, with major increases in the biosynthesis of 'effective antioxidant' quercetin derivatives (on average +46%), further supports our hypothesis. In contrast, the content of less effective antioxidant' flavonoids either increased little (kaempferol glycosides, +15%) or largely declined (apigenin glycosides –35%) in response to water stress. This significant changes in the composition of flavonoids may also have contributed to reduce lipid peroxidation, as previously discussed.

Conclusions

Despite being originated in hygrophylic habitats, *M. oleifera* possesses multiple biochemical and physiological mechanisms that allow this species to successfully tolerate water stress episodes. These mechanisms include a strict isohydric behavior in response to water deprivation that is typical of hygrophytes. The fast stomatal closure driven by high contents of foliar-ABA, however, caused an early and strong depression in carbon assimilation with negative consequences for biomass

production. More interestingly, this study revealed that *M. oleifera* is an isoprene emitting species. Increasing isoprene emission during progressive water stress was a valuable indicator for the general activation of the MEP-pathway. The simultaneous increment of volatile and non-volatile isoprenoids and of flavonoids, is suggested to be the key mechanism that allows *M. oleifera* to limit lipid peroxidation and prevent severe photoinhibitory processes under water stress. This may allow a prompt recovery of photosynthesis and growth rates when water is newly available to the roots. While the observed high plasticity of stomatal conductance and secondary metabolites production may take its toll on primary productivity of *M. oleifera*, it possibly also facilitates the establishment of this plant to xeric environments. The extent to which the trade-off between primary and secondary metabolism affects the resistance and whole-plant performance of a fast-growing plant such as *M. oleifera*, remains to be determined in presence of recurrent periods of water stress.

Authors' contributions

CB, FL, FF and MT planned the experiment. CB conducted the study, collected samples, analyzed the data, and prepared the draft. AG, LG and DR helped in performing physiological and chemical analyses. CB and MT interpreted the results and drafted the manuscript. FL, AF and MC reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

This work was partly funded by the Ministero dell'Istruzione dell'Università e della Ricerca of Italy: PRIN 2010-2011 PRO-ROOT and Progetto Premiale CNR 2012 Aqua.

References

Aerts R (1995) The advantages of being evergreen. Trends Ecol Evol 10: 402-407.

Agati G, Azzarello E, Pollastri S, Tattini M (2012) Flavonoids as antioxidants in plants: location and functional significance. Plant Sci 196: 67-76.

Agati G, Brunetti C, Di Ferdinando M, Ferrini F, Pollastri S, Tattini M (2013) Functional roles of flavonoids in photoprotection: new evidence, lessons from the past. Plant Physiol Biochem 72: 35-45.

Agati G, Matteini P, Goti A, Tattini M (2007) Chloroplast-located flavonoids can scavenge singlet oxygen. New Phytol. 174: 77-89.

Agati G, Stefano G, Biricolti S, Tattini M (2009) Mesophyll distribution of 'antioxidant' flavonoid glycosides in *Ligustrum vulgare* leaves under contrasting sunlight irradiance. Ann. Bot. 104: 853-861.

Agati G, Tattini M (2010) Multiple functional roles of flavonoids in photoprotection. New Phytol 186: 786-793.

Ahrar M, Doneva D, Tattini M, Brunetti C, Gori A, Rodeghiero M, Wohlfahrt G, Biasioli F, Varotto C, Loreto F, Velikova V (2017) Phenotypic differences determine drought stress responses in ecotypes of *Arundo donax* adapted to different environments. J Exp Bot 68: 2439-2451.

Anwar F, Latif S, Ashraf M, Gilani AH (2007) *Moringa oleifera*: a food plant with multiple medicinal uses. Phytother Res 21: 17-25.

Arab L, Kreuzwieser J, Kruse J, Zimmer I, Ache P, Alfarraj S, Al-Rasheid KA, Schnitzler JP, Hedrich R, Rennenberg H (2016) Acclimation to heat and drought—Lessons to learn from the date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*). Environ Exper Bot 125: 20-30.

Banerjee A, Wu Y, Banerjee R, Li Y, Yan H, Sharkey TD (2013) Feedback inhibition of deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate synthase regulates the methylerythritol 4-phosphate pathway. J Biol Chem. 288: 16926-16936.

Barta C, Loreto F (2006) The relationship between the methyl-erythritol phosphate pathway leading to emission of volatile isoprenoids and abscisic acid content in leaves. Plant Physiol 141: 1676-1683.

Beckett M, Loreto F, Velikova V, Brunetti C, Di Ferdinando M, Tattini M, Calfapietra C, Farrant JM (2012) Photosynthetic limitations and volatile and non-volatile isoprenoids in the poikilochlorophyllous resurrection plant *Xerophyta humilis* during dehydration and rehydration. Plant Cell Environ. 35: 2061-2074.

Behnke K, Ehlting B, Teuber M, Bauerfeind M, Louis S, Hänsch R, Polle A, Bohlmann J, Schnitzler JP (2007) Transgenic, non-isoprene emitting poplars don't like it hot. Plant J 51: 485-499.

Behnke K, Loivamäki M, Zimmer I, Rennenberg H, Schnitzler J P, Louis S (2010) Isoprene emission protects photosynthesis in sunfleck exposed Grey poplar. Photosynth Res 104: 5-17.

Brilli F, Barta C, Fortunati A, Lerdau M, Loreto F, Centritto M (2007) Response of isoprene emission and carbon metabolism to drought in white poplar (*Populus alba*) saplings. New Phytol 175: 244-254.

Brilli F, Tsonev T, Mahmood T, Velikova V, Loreto F, Centritto M (2013) Ultradian variation of isoprene emission, photosynthesis, mesophyll conductance and optimum temperature sensitivity for isoprene emission in water-stressed *Eucalyptus citriodora* saplings. J Exp Bot 6: 519-528.

Brodribb TJ, McAdam S (2013) Abscisic acid mediates a divergence in the drought response of two conifers. Plant Physiol 162: 1370-1377.

Brunetti C, Guidi L, Sebastiani F, Tattini M (2015) Isoprenoids and phenylpropanoids are key components of the antioxidant defense system of plants facing severe excess light stress. Environ Exper Bot 119: 54-62.

Centritto M, Brilli F, Fodale R, Loreto F (2011) Different sensitivity of isoprene emission, respiration, and photosynthesis to high growth temperature coupled with drought stress in black poplar (*Populus nigra*). Tree Physiol 31: 275-286.

Chaves MM, Costa JM, Zarrouk O, Pinheiro C, Lopes CM, Pereira JS (2016) Controlling stomatal aperture in semi-arid regions—The dilemma of saving water or being cool? Plant Science 251: 54-64.

Coupel-Ledru A, Tyerman S, Masclef D, Lebon E, Christophe A, Edwards EJ, Simonneau T (2017) Abscisic acid down-regulates hydraulic conductance of grapevine leaves in isohydric genotypes only. Plant Physiol 175: 1121–1134.

Da Silva JP, Serra TM, Gossmann M, Wolf CR, Meneghetti MR, Meneghetti SM (2010) *Moringa oleifera* oil: studies of characterization and biodiesel production. Biomass Bioenerg. 34: 1527-1530.

Dai A (2013) Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. Nature Climate Change 3: 52-58.

Dall'Osto L, Cazzaniga S, Havaux M, Bassi R (2010) Enhanced photoprotection by protein-bound vs free xanthophyll pools: a comparative analysis of chlorophyll b and xanthophyll biosynthesis mutants. Mol Plant 3: 576-593.

Dall'Osto L, Lico C, Alric J, Giuliano G, Havaux M, Bassi R (2006) Lutein is needed for efficient chlorophyll triplet quenching in the major LHCII antenna complex of higher plants and effective photoprotection in vivo under strong light. BMC Plant Biol 6: 32-52.

Davison PA, Hunter CN, Horton P (2002) Overexpression of beta-carotene hydroxylase enhances stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. Nature 418: 203.

Dawson TP, Jackson ST, House JI, Prentice IC, Mace GM (2011) Beyond predictions: biodiversity conservation in a changing climate. Science 332: 53-58.

Demmig-Adams B, Adams WW (2006) Photoprotection in an ecological context: the remarkable complexity of thermal energy dissipation. New Phytol 172: 11-21.

Du H, Wang N, Cui F, Li X, Xiao J, Xiong L (2010) Characterization of the β-carotene hydroxylase gene DSM2 conferring drought and oxidative stress resistance by increasing xanthophylls and abscisic acid synthesis in rice. Plant Physiol 154: 1304-1318.

El Sohaimy SA, Hamad GM, Mohamed SE, Amar MH, Al-Hindi RR (2015) Biochemical and functional properties of *Moringa oleifera* leaves and their potential as a functional food. Glob Adv Res J of Agri Sci 4: 188-199.

Esteban R, Moran JF, Becerril JM, García-Plazaola JI (2015) Versatility of carotenoids: an integrated view on diversity, evolution, functional roles and environmental interactions. Environ Exper Bot 119: 63-75.

Fahey JW (2005) *Moringa oleifera*: A review of the medical evidence for its nutritional, therapeutic, and prophylactic properties. Part 1. Phytochemistry 47: 123-157.

Fares S, Mahmood T, Liu S, Loreto F, Centritto M (2011) Influence of growth temperature and measuring temperature on isoprene emission, diffusive limitations of photosynthesis and respiration in hybrid poplars. Atmos Environ 45: 155-161.

Ferreres F, Figueiredo R, Bettencourt S, Carqueijeiro I, Oliveira J, Gil-Izquierdo A, Pereira DM, Valentão P, Andrade PB, Duarte P, Barceló AR (2011) Identification of phenolic compounds in isolated vacuoles of the medicinal plant *Catharanthus roseus* and their interaction with vacuolar class III peroxidase: an H_2O_2 affair? J Exp Bot 62: 2841-2854.

Fini A Brunetti C, Loreto F, Centritto M, Ferrini F, Tattini M (2017) Isoprene responses and functions in plants challenged by environmental pressures associated to climate change. Front Plant Sci 8: 1281.

Fini A, Loreto F, Tattini M, Giordano C, Ferrini F, Brunetti C, Centritto M (2016) Mesophyll conductance plays a central role in leaf functioning of Oleaceae species exposed to contrasting sunlight irradiance. Physiol Plantarum 157: 54-68.

Fini A, Ferrini F, Di Ferdinando M, Brunetti C, Giordano C, Gerini F, Tattini M (2014) Acclimation to partial shading or full sunlight determines the performance of container-grown *Fraxinus ornus* to subsequent drought stress. Urban For Urban Green 13: 63-70.

Fini A, Guidi L, Ferrini F, Brunetti C, Di Ferdinando M, Biricolti S, Pollastri S, Calamai L, Tattini M (2012) Drought stress has contrasting effects on antioxidant enzymes activity and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis in *Fraxinus ornus* leaves: an excess light stress affair? J Plant Physiol 169: 929-939.

Flexas J, Bota J, Galmes J, Medrano H, Ribas-Carbó M (2006) Keeping a positive carbon balance under adverse conditions: responses of photosynthesis and respiration to water stress. Physiol Plant 127: 343-352.

Fortunati A, Barta C, Brilli F, Centritto M, Zimmer I, Schnitzler JP, Loreto F (2008) Isoprene emission is not temperature-dependent during and after severe drought-stress: a physiological and biochemical analysis. Plant J 55: 687-697.

Franks PJ, Drake PL, Froend RH (2007) Anisohydric but isohydrodynamic: seasonally constant plant water potential gradient explained by a stomatal control mechanism incorporating variable plant hydraulic conductance. Plant Cell Environ 30: 19-30.

Fuglie LJ (2001) Combating malnutrition with Moringa. In: Lowell Fugile, J. (Ed), The Miracle Tree: The Multiple Attributes of Moringa. CTA Publication, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Guidolotti G, Calfapietra,C, Loreto F (2011) The relationship between isoprene emission, CO_2 assimilation and water use efficiency across a range of poplar genotypes. Physiol Plantarum 142: 297-304.

Harrison SP, Morfopoulos C, Dani KG, Prentice IC, Arneth A, Atwell BJ, Barkley MP, Leishman MR, Loreto F, Medlyn BE, Niinemets Ü (2013) Volatile isoprenoid emissions from plastid to planet. New Phytol 197: 49-57.

Havaux M (1992) Stress tolerance of photosystem II in vivo: antagonistic effects of water, heat, and photoinhibition stresses. Plant Physiol 100: 424-432.

Havaux M, Dall'Osto L, Bassi R (2007) Zeaxanthin has enhanced antioxidant capacity with respect to all other xanthophylls in *Arabidopsis* leaves and functions independent of binding to PSII antennae. Plant Physiol 145: 1506-1520.

Haworth M, Catola S, Marino G, Brunetti C, Michelozzi M, Riggi E, Avola G, Cosentino SL, Loreto F, Centritto M (2017) Moderate drought stress induces increased foliar dimethylsulphoniopropionate (DMSP) concentration and isoprene emission in two contrasting ecotypes of *Arundo donax*. Front Plant Sci 8: 1016-1027.

Jaakola L, Määttä-Riihinen K, Kärenlampi S, Hohtola A (2004) Activation of flavonoid biosynthesis by solar radiation in bilberry (*Vaccinum myrtillus* L) leaves. Planta 218: 721-728.

Jahns P, Holzwarth AR (2012) The role of the xanthophyll cycle and of lutein in photoprotection of photosystem II. Biochim Biophys Acta-Bioenergetics 1817: 182-193.

Johnson MP, Havaux M, Triantaphylides C, Ksas B, Pascal AA, Robert B, Davison PA, Ruban AV, Horton P (2007) Elevated zeaxanthin bound to oligomeric LHCII enhances the resistance of Arabidopsis to photooxidative stress by a lipid-protective, antioxidant mechanism. J Biol Chem 282: 22605–22618.

Kasolo JN, Bimenya GS, Ojok L, Ochieng J, Ogwal-Okeng JW (2010) Phytochemicals and uses of *Moringa oleifera* leaves in Ugandan rural communities. J Med Plants Res 4: 753-7.

Laursen T, Møller BL, Bassard JE (2015) Plasticity of specialized metabolism as mediated by dynamic metabolons. Trends Plant Sci 20: 20-32.

Lauteri M, Haworth M, Serraj R, Monteverdi MC, Centritto M (2014) Photosynthetic diffusional constraints affect yield in drought stressed rice cultivars during flowering. PlosOne 9: e109054.

Lawlor DW, Cornic G (2002) Photosynthetic carbon assimilation and associated metabolism in relation to water deficits in higher plants. Plant Cell Environ 25: 275-294.

Leone A, Spada A, Battezzati A, Schiraldi A, Aristil J, Bertoli S (2015) Cultivation, genetic, ethnopharmacology, phytochemistry and pharmacology of *Moringa oleifera* leaves: An overview. Int J Mol Sci 16: 12791-12835.

Li Z, Ratliff EA, Sharkey TD (2011) Effect of temperature on postillumination isoprene emission in oak and poplar. Plant Physiol 155: 1037-1046.

López-Carbonell M, Gabasa M, Jáuregui O (2009) Enhanced determination of abscisic acid (ABA) and abscisic acid glucose ester (ABA-GE) in *Cistus albidus* plants by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry in tandem mode. Plant Physiol Biochem 47: 256-261.

Loreto F, Dicke M, Schnitzler JP, Turlings TC (2014) Plant volatiles and the environment. Plant Cell Environ. 37: 1905-1908.

Loreto F, Fineschi S (2015) Reconciling functions and evolution of isoprene emission in higher plants. New Phytol 206: 578-582.

Loreto F, Schnitzler JP (2010) Abiotic stresses and induced BVOCs. Trends Plant Sci. 15: 154-166.

Lu C, Zhang J (1999) Effects of water stress on photosystem II photochemistry and its thermostability in wheat plants. J Exper Bot 50: 1199-1206.

Ma D, Sun D, Wang C, Li Y, Guo T (2014) Expression of flavonoid biosynthesis genes and accumulation of flavonoid in wheat leaves in response to drought stress. Plant Physiol Biochem 80: 60-66.

Majer P, Neugart S, Krumbein A, Schreiner M, Hideg É (2014) Singlet oxygen scavenging by leaf flavonoids contributes to sunlight acclimation in *Tilia platyphyllos*. Enviorn Exp Bot 100: 1-9.

Mano J (2012) Reactive carbonyl species: their production from lipid peroxides, action in environmental stress, and the detoxification mechanism. Plant Physiol Biochem 59: 90–97.

Marino G, Brunetti C, Tattini M, Romano A, Biasioli F, Tognetti R, Loreto F, Ferrini F, Centritto M (2017) Dissecting the role of isoprene and stress-related hormones (ABA and ethylene) in *Populus nigra* exposed to unequal root zone water stress. Tree Physiol 37: 1637-1647.

Marron N, Delay D, Petit JM, Dreyer E, Kahlem G, Delmotte FM, Brignolas F (2002) Physiological traits of two *Populus× euramericana* clones, Luisa Avanzo and Dorskamp, during a water stress and re-watering cycle. Tree Physiol 22: 849-858.

Mbikay M (2012) Therapeutic potential of *Moringa oleifera* leaves in chronic hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia: a review. Front Pharmacol 3: 24.

McAdam S, Brodribb TJ (2014) Separating active and passive influences on stomatal control of transpiration. Plant Physiol 174: 1578-1586.

McAdam, S.A., Brodribb, T.J., Ross, J.J., 2016b. Shoot-derived abscisic acid promotes root growth. Plant Cell Environ. 39: 652-659.

McAdam SA, Manzi M, Ross JJ, Brodribb TJ, Gómez-Cadenas A (2016a) Uprooting an abscisic acid paradigm: shoots are the primary source. Plant Signal Behav 11: 652-659.

Mierziak J, Kostyn K, Kulma A (2014) Flavonoids as important molecules of plant interactions with the environment. Molecules 19: 16240-16265.

Monson RK, Jaeger CH, Adam WW, Driggers EM, Silver GM, Fall R. (1992) Relationships among isoprene emission rate, photosynthesis. and isoprene synthase activity as influenced by temperature. Plant Physiol 98: 1175-1180.

Morfopoulos C, Sperlich D, Peñuelas J, Filella I, Llusià J, Medlyn BE, Niinemets Ü, Possell M, Sun Z, Prentice IC (2014) A model of plant isoprene emission based on available reducing power captures responses to atmospheric CO_2. New Phytol 203: 125-139.

Nakabayashi R, Yonekura-Sakakibara K, Urano K, Suzuki M, Yamada Y, Nishizawa T, Matsuda F, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Shinozaki K, Michael AJ (2014) Enhancement of oxidative and drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis* by overaccumulation of antioxidant flavonoids. Plant J 77: 367-379.

Nardini A, Lo Gullo MA, Trifilò P, Salleo S (2014) The challenge of the Mediterranean climate to plant hydraulics: responses and adaptations. Environ Exper Bot 103: 68-79.

Niinemets Ü (2001) Global-scale climatic controls of leaf dry mass per area, density, and thickness in trees and shrubs. Ecology 82: 453-469.

Nolan RH, Tarin T, Santini NS, McAdam SA, Ruman R, Eamus D (2017) Differences in osmotic adjustment, foliar abscisic acid dynamics, and stomatal regulation between an isohydric and anisohydric woody angiosperm during drought. Plant Cell Environ 40: 3122-3134.

Nouman W, Basra SM, Siddiqui MT, Yasmeen A, Gull T, Alcayde MA (2014) Potential of *Moringa oleifera* L. as livestock fodder crop: a review. Tork J Agric For 38: 1-4.

Pandey A, Pradheep K, Gupta R, Nayar ER, Bhandari DC (2011) 'Drumstick tree' (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.): a multipurpose potential species in India. Genet Resour Crop Ev 58: 453-460.

Peuke AD, Gessler A, Rennenberg H (2006) The effect of drought on C and N stable isotopes in different fractions of leaves, stems and roots of sensitive and tolerant beech ecotypes. Plant Cell Environ 29: 823-835.

Pollastri S, Tsonev T, Loreto F (2014) Isoprene improves photochemical efficiency and enhances heat dissipation in plants at physiological temperatures. J Exp Bot 65: 1565-1570.

Ramel F, Birtic S, Cuiné S, Triantaphylidés C, Ravanat J-L, Havaux M (2012) Chemical quenching of singlet oxygen by carotenoids in plants. Plant Physiol 158: 1267-1278.

Rashid U, Anwar F, Moser BR, Knothe G (2008) *Moringa oleifera* oil: a possible source of biodiesel. Bioresource Technol 99: 8175-8179.

Rasulov B, Bichele I, Hüve K, Vislap V, Niinemets Ü (2015) Acclimation of isoprene emission and photosynthesis to growth temperature in hybrid aspen: resolving structural and physiological controls. Plant Cell Environ 38: 751-766.

Rice-Evans CA, Miller NJ, Bolwell PG, Bramley PM, Pridham JB (1995) The relative antioxidant activities of plant-derived polyphenolic flavonoids. Free Rad Res 22: 375-383.

Rivas-Ubach A, Sardans J, Pérez-Trujillo M, Estiarte M, Peñuelas J (2012) Strong relationship between elemental stoichiometry and metabolome in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109: 4181-4186.

Sánchez NR, Ledin S, Ledin I (2006) Biomass production and chemical composition of *Moringa oleifera* under different management regimes in Nicaragua. Agroforest Syst 66: 231-242.

Schreiber U, Schliwa U, Bilger W (1986) Continuous recording of photochemical and non-photochemical chlorophyll fluorescence quenching with a new type of modulation fluorometer. Photosyn Res 10: 51-62.

Sharkey TD, Wiberley AE, Donohue AR (2007) Isoprene emission from plants: why and how. Ann Bot 101: 5-18.

Sharkey TD, Loreto F (1993) Water stress, temperature, and light effects on the capacity for isoprene emission and photosynthesis of kudzu leaves. Oecol 95: 328-333.

Sinclair T R, Ludlow MM (1986) Influence of soil water supply on the plant water balance of four tropical grain legumes. Funct Plant Biology. 13: 329-341.

Singh RB, Mal S (2014) Trends and variability of monsoon and other rainfall seasons in Western Himalaya, India. Atmos Sci Lett 15: 218-226.

Singsaas EL, Sharkey TD(1998) The regulation of isoprene emission responses to rapid leaf temperature fluctuations. Plant Cell Environ. 21: 1181-1188.

Siwko ME, Marrink SJ, De Vries AH, Kozubek A, Uiterkamp AJS, Mark AE (2007) Does isoprene protect plant membranes from thermal shock? A molecular dynamics study. Biochim Biophys Acta-Biomembranes 1768: 198-206.

Tardieu F, Simonneau T (1998) Variability among species of stomatal control under fluctuating soil water status and evaporative demand: modelling isohydric and anisohydric behaviours. J Exp Bot 1: 419-432.

Tattini M, Galardi C, Pinelli P, Massai R, Remorini D, Agati G (2004) Differential accumulation of flavonoids and hydroxycinnamates in leaves of *Ligustrum vulgare* under excess light and drought stress. New Phytol 163: 547-561.

Tattini M, Guidi L, Morassi-Bonzi L, Pinelli P, Remorini D, Degl'Innocenti E, Giordano C, Massai R, Agati G (2005) On the role of flavonoids in the integrated mechanisms of response of *Ligustrum vulgare* and *Phillyrea latifolia* to high solar radiation. New Phytol. 167: 457-470.

Tattini M, Loreto F, Fini A, Guidi L, Brunetti C, Velikova V, Gori A, Ferrini F (2015) Isoprenoids and phenylpropanoids are part of the antioxidant defense orchestrated daily by drought-stressed *Platanus× acerifolia* plants during Mediterranean summers. New Phytol. 207: 613-626.

Tattini M, Sebastiani F, Brunetti C, Fini A, Torre S, Gori A, Centritto M, Ferrini F, Landi M, Guidi L (2017) Dissecting molecular and physiological response mechanisms to high solar radiation in cyanic and acyanic leaves: a case study on red and green basil. J Exp Bot 68: 2425-2437.

Tattini M, Velikova V, Vickers C, Brunetti C, Di Ferdinando M, Trivellini A, Fineschi S, Agati G, Ferrini F, Loreto F (2014) Isoprene production in transgenic tobacco alters isoprenoid, non-structural carbohydrate and phenylpropanoid metabolism, and protects photosynthesis from drought stress. Plant Cell Environ. 37: 1950-1964.

Taylor LP, Grotewold E (2005) Flavonoids as developmental regulators. Curr Opin Plant Biol 8: 317-323.

Treutter D. (2006). Significance of flavonoids in plant resistance: a review. Environ Chem Lett 4: 147.

Valladares F, Gianoli E, Gómez JM (2007) Ecological limits to plant phenotypic plasticity. New Phytol 176: 749-763.

Vanzo E, Jud W, Li Z, Albert A, Domagalska MA, Ghirardo A, Niederbacher B, Frenzel J, Beemster GT, Asard H, Rennenberg H (2015) Facing the future-Effects of short-term climate extremes on isoprene-emitting and non-emitting poplar. Plant Physiol 169: 560–575.

Vanzo E, Merl-Pham J, Velikova V, Ghirardo A, Lindermayr C, Hauck SM, Bernhardt J, Riedel K, Durner J, Schnitzler JP (2016) Modulation of protein S-nitrosylation by isoprene emission in poplar. Plant Physiol 170: 1945-1961.

Velikova V, Brunetti C, Tattini M, Doneva D, Ahrar M, Tsonev T, Stefanova M, Ganeva T, Gori A, Ferrini F, Varotto C (2016) Physiological significance of isoprenoids and phenylpropanoids in drought response of *Arundinoideae* species with contrasting habitats and metabolism. Plant Cell Environ 39: 2185-2197.

Velikova V, Edreva A, Loreto F (2004) Endogenous isoprene protects *Phragmites australis* leaves against singlet oxygen. Physiol Plant 122: 219-225.

Velikova V, Ghirardo A, Vanzo E, Merl J, Hauck SM, Schnitzler JP (2014) Genetic manipulation of isoprene emissions in poplar plants remodels the chloroplast proteome. J Proteome Res 13: 2005-2018.

Velikova V, Müller C, Ghirardo A, Theresa MR, Aichler M, Walch A, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Schnitzler JP (2015) Knocking down of isoprene emission modifies the lipid matrix of thylakoid membranes and influences the chloroplast ultrastructure in poplar. Plant Physiol 168: 905-916.

Velikova V, Várkonyi Z, Szabó M, Maslenkova L, Nogues I, Kovács L, Peeva V, Busheva M, Garab G, Sharkey TD, Loreto F (2011) Increased thermostability of thylakoid membranes in isoprene-emitting leaves probed with three biophysical techniques. Plant Physiol 157: 905-916.

Verma AR, Vijayakumar M, Mathela CS, Rao CV (2009) In vitro and in vivo antioxidant properties of different fractions of *Moringa oleifera* leaves. Food Chem Toxicol 47: 2196–2201.

Vickers CE, Gershenzon J, Lerdau MT, Loreto F (2009a) A unified mechanism of action for volatile isoprenoids in plant abiotic stress. Nat Chem Biol 5: 283-291.

Vickers CE, Possell M, Cojocariu CI, Velikova VB, Laothawornkitkul J, Ryan A, Mullineaux PM, Hewitt C N (2009b) Isoprene synthesis protects transgenic tobacco plants from oxidative stress. Plant Cell Environ 32: 520-531.

Yi K, Dragoni D, Phillips RP, Roman DT, Novick KA (2017) Dynamics of stem water uptake among isohydric and anisohydric species experiencing a severe drought. Tree Physiol 37: 1-14.

Yin C, Peng Y, Zang R, Zhu Y, Li C (2005) Adaptive responses of *Populus kangdingensis* to drought stress. Physiol Plantarum 123: 445-451.

Zandalinas SI, Mittler R, Balfagón D, Arbona V, Gómez-Cadenas A (2018) Plant adaptations to the combination of drought and high temperatures. Physiol Plant 162: 2-12.

Zavafer A, Koinuma W, Chow WS, Cheah MH, Mino H (2017) Mechanism of photodamage of the oxygen evolving Mn Cluster of photosystem II by excessive light energy. Scientific Reports 7: 7604.

Zeinali N, Altarawneh M, Li D, Al-Nu'airat J, Dlugogorski BZ (2016) New mechanistic insights: why do plants produce isoprene? ACS Omega 1: 220-225.

Figure and table legends

Figure 1. Predawn leaf water (Ψ_w, A) and osmotic (Ψ_π, B) potentials, and relative water content (RWC, C) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*, corresponding to 10, 20 and 30 days after withholding water, respectively. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 2. Photosynthesis (A_N, A), stomatal conductance (g_s, B), intercellular CO_2 concentration (C_i, C), maximum (F_v/F_m, D) and actual (Φ_{PSII}, E) efficiency of PSII photochemistry and non-photochemical quenching (NPQ, F) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 3. Total biomass (A) and biomass allocation (B) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. The percentage of biomass allocation (BA) was calculated considering the ratio of shoot dry mass to total dry mass (BAS) and the ratio of root dry mass to total dry mass (BAR). Data (means ± SD, n = 10) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 4. Rates of isoprene emission (A) and carbon lost as isoprene (C_{iso}, B) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 5. Linear relationships between isoprene emission rate and (A) internal CO_2 concentration (C_i) or (B) the ratio of electron transport rate to photosynthesis (ETR/AN) in *Moringa oleifera* plants. Measurements were made at $FTSW_{60}$ (10 d, open symbols), $FTSW_{40}$ (20 d, grey

symbols), and $FTSW_{25}$ (30 d, closed symbols) both in well-watered plants ($FTSW_{100}$) (triangles) and water-stressed (circles) plants. Coefficient of determination (R^2) of each relationship are reported; *** indicate P<0.0001.

Figure 6. Contents of free-ABA (A) and ABA-GE (B) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test. Inset in Figure 6A shows the inverse relationship between foliar free-ABA content and stomatal conductance (g_s). Inset in Figure 6B shows the linear relationships between isoprene emission rates (nmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) and free-ABA and its glucoside ester (ABA-GE) contents in $FTSW_{100}$ plants (circles) and in water-stressed (triangles) plants at $FTSW_{60}$ (white symbols), $FTSW_{40}$ (grey symbols), and $FTSW_{25}$ (dark symbols), respectively. Coefficient of determination (R^2) of each relationship are reported; *** indicate P<0.0001.

Figure 7. Effects of water stress on the contents of photosynthetic pigments (A-I), on the ratio of violaxanthin cycle pigment content to total chlorophyll content (VAZ $Chltot^{-1}$, I) and on the de-epoxidation state of VAZ [DES = $(0.5A + Z)(V + A + Z)^{-1}$, J] in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 8. Contents of quercetin (A), kaempferol (B) and apigenin (C) derivatives in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera.* Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure 9. Rates of *n*-hexanal emission in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera.* Data (means ±

SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

Figure SM 1. The increase in non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) correlated negatively with the actual efficiency of PSII photochemistry (Φ_{PSII}). Measurements were made at $FTSW_{60}$ (10 d, open symbols), $FTSW_{40}$ (20 d, grey symbols), and $FTSW_{25}$ (30 d, closed symbols) both in well-watered control ($FTSW_{100}$) plants (circles) and water-stressed (triangles) plants of *Moringa oleifera* . Coefficient of determination (R^2) of the relationship is reported; *** indicate P<0.0001.

Table SM 1. Results for photosynthesis (A_N) and stomatal conductance (g_s) (means ± SD, n = 4) in water-stressed plants of *Moringa oleifera* at different fraction of transpirable of soil water (FTSW) and days after the onset of water stress treatment.

863 **Figures and Tables**



864

865 Figure 1. Predawn leaf water (Ψ_w, A) and osmotic (Ψ_π, B) potentials, and relative water content
866 (RWC, C) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25})
867 water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*, corresponding to 10, 20 and 30 days after
868 withholding water, respectively. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with
869 ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's
870 test.



Figure 2. Photosynthesis (A_N, A), stomatal conductance (g_s, B), intercellular CO_2 concentration (C_i, C), maximum (F_v/F_m, D) and actual (Φ_{PSII}, E) efficiency of PSII photochemistry and non-photochemical quenching (NPQ, F) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.



Figure 3. Total biomass (A) and biomass allocation (B) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. The percentage of biomass allocation (BA) was calculated considering the ratio of shoot dry mass to total dry mass (BAS) and the ratio of root dry mass to total dry mass (BAR). Data (means ± SD, n = 10) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.



Figure 4. Rates of isoprene emission (A) and carbon lost as isoprene (C_{iso}, B) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.



Figure 5. Linear relationships between isoprene emission rate and (A) internal CO_2 concentration (C_i) or (B) the ratio of electron transport rate to photosynthesis (ETR/AN) in *Moringa oleifera* plants. Measurements were made at $FTSW_{60}$ (10 d, open symbols), $FTSW_{40}$ (20 d, grey symbols), and $FTSW_{25}$ (30 d, closed symbols) both in well-watered plants ($FTSW_{100}$) (triangles) and water-stressed (circles) plants. Coefficient of determination (R^2) of each relationship are reported; *** indicate P<0.0001.



935

936 Figure 6. Contents of free-ABA (A) and ABA-GE (B) in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in
937 $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*.
938 Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not
939 accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test. Inset in Figure
940 6A shows the inverse relationship between foliar free-ABA content and stomatal conductance (g_s).
941 Inset in Figure 6B shows the linear relationships between isoprene emission rates (nmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) and
942 free-ABA and its glucoside ester (ABA-GE) contents in $FTSW_{100}$ plants (circles) and in water-stressed
943 (triangles) plants at $FTSW_{60}$ (white symbols), $FTSW_{40}$ (grey symbols), and $FTSW_{25}$ (dark symbols),
944 respectively. Coefficient of determination (R^2) of each relationship are reported; *** indicate P<0.0001.


A
Chl$_{tot}$ (µmol g^{-1} DW)
B
Car$_{tot}$ (µmol g^{-1} DW)
C
Lut (µmol g^{-1} DW)
D
β-car (µmol g^{-1} DW)
E
Vio (µmol g^{-1} DW)
F
Zea (µmol g^{-1} DW)
G
Ant (µmol g^{-1} DW)
H
Neo (µmol g^{-1} DW)
I
VAZ Chl$_{tot}$$^{-1}$ (mmol mol^{-1})
J
DES
F$_{100}$ F$_{60}$
F$_{100}$ F$_{40}$
F$_{100}$ F$_{25}$
10
20
30
Days of water stress

945

Figure 7. Effects of water stress on the contents of photosynthetic pigments (A-I), on the ratio of violaxanthin cycle pigment content to total chlorophyll content (VAZ Chltot^{-1}, I) and on the de-epoxidation state of VAZ [DES = (0.5A + Z) (V + A + Z)$^{-1}$, J] in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera*. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.



Figure 8. Contents of quercetin (A), kaempferol (B) and apigenin (C) derivatives in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera.* Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.



Figure 9. Rates of *n*-hexanal emission in $FTSW_{100}$ (F_{100}) plants (open bars) and in $FTSW_{60}$ (F_{60}), $FTSW_{40}$ (F_{40}) and $FTSW_{25}$ (F_{25}) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of *Moringa oleifera.* Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.



Figure SM 1. The increase in non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) correlated negatively with the actual efficiency of PSII photochemistry (Φ_{PSII}). Measurements were made at $FTSW_{60}$ (10 d, open symbols), $FTSW_{40}$ (20 d, grey symbols), and $FTSW_{25}$ (30 d, closed symbols) both in well-watered control ($FTSW_{100}$) plants (circles) and water-stressed (triangles) plants of *Moringa oleifera* . Coefficient of determination (R^2) of the relationship is reported; *** indicate P<0.0001.

FTSW (%)	A_N (μmol m^{-2}s^{-1})	g_s (mmol m^{-2}s^{-1})	Days of water stress
100	22.5 ±1.46	284±8.51	0
60	16.3±1.36	160±9.01	10
40	12.8±1.52	121±7.39	20
25	5.4±1.08	40±2.53	30

Table SM 1. Results for photosynthesis (A_N) and stomatal conductance (g_s) (means ± SD, n = 4) in water-stressed plants of *Moringa oleifera* at different fraction of transpirable of soil water (FTSW) and days after the onset of water stress treatment.



Figure 1. Predawn leaf water (Ψw, A) and osmotic (Ψπ, B) potentials, and relative water content (RWC, C) in FTSW100 (F100) plants (open bars) and in FTSW60 (F60), FTSW40 (F40) and FTSW25 (F25) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of Moringa oleifera, corresponding to 10, 20 and 30 days after withholding water, respectively. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

296x418mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2. Photosynthesis (AN, A), stomatal conductance (gs, B), intercellular CO2 concentration (Ci, C), maximum (Fv/Fm, D) and actual (ΦPSII, E) efficiency of PSII photochemistry and non-photochemical quenching (NPQ, F) in FTSW100 (F100) plants (open bars) and in FTSW60 (F60), FTSW40 (F40) and FTSW25 (F25) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of Moringa oleifera. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

296x418mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 3. Total biomass (A) and biomass allocation (B) in FTSW100 (F100) plants (open bars) and in FTSW25 (F25) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of Moringa oleifera. The percentage of biomass allocation (BA) was calculated considering the ratio of shoot dry mass to total dry mass (BAS) and the ratio of root dry mass to total dry mass (BAR). Data (means ± SD, n = 10) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

296x418mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 4. Rates of isoprene emission (A) and carbon lost as isoprene (Ciso, B) in FTSW100 (F100) plants (open bars) and in FTSW60 (F60), FTSW40 (F40) and FTSW25 (F25) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of Moringa oleifera. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

296x418mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 5. Linear relationships between isoprene emission rate and (A) internal CO2 concentration (Ci) or (B) the ratio of electron transport rate to photosynthesis (ETR/AN) in Moringa oleifera plants. Measurements were made at FTSW60 (10 d, open symbols), FTSW40 (20 d, grey symbols), and FTSW25 (30 d, closed symbols) both in well-watered plants (FTSW100) (triangles) and water-stressed (circles) plants. Coefficient of determination (R2) of each relationship are reported; *** indicate P<0.0001.

296x418mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 6. Contents of free-ABA (A) and ABA-GE (B) in FTSW100 (F100) plants (open bars) and in FTSW60 (F60), FTSW40 (F40) and FTSW25 (F25) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of Moringa oleifera. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test. Inset in Figure 6A shows the inverse relationship between foliar free-ABA content and stomatal conductance (gs). Inset in Figure 6B shows the linear relationships between isoprene emission rates (nmol m-2 s-1) and free-ABA and its glucoside ester (ABA-GE) contents in FTSW100 plants (circles) and in water-stressed (triangles) plants at FTSW60 (white symbols), FTSW40 (grey symbols), and FTSW25 (dark symbols), respectively. Coefficient of determination (R2) of each relationship are reported; *** indicate P<0.0001.

296x418mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 7. Effects of water stress on the contents of photosynthetic pigments (A-I), on the ratio of violaxanthin cycle pigment content to total chlorophyll content (VAZ Chltot-1, I) and on the de-epoxidation state of VAZ [DES = (0.5A + Z) (V + A + Z)-1, J] in FTSW100 (F100) plants (open bars) and in FTSW60 (F60), FTSW40 (F40) and FTSW25 (F25) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of Moringa oleifera. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

296x418mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 8. Contents of quercetin (A), kaempferol (B) and apigenin (C) derivatives in FTSW100 (F100) plants (open bars) and in FTSW60 (F60), FTSW40 (F40) and FTSW25 (F25) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of Moringa oleifera. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

296x418mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 9. Rates of n-hexanal emission in FTSW100 (F100) plants (open bars) and in FTSW60 (F60), FTSW40 (F40) and FTSW25 (F25) water-stressed plants (grey bars) of Moringa oleifera. Data (means ± SD, n = 4) were subjected to repeated measures with ANOVA, and bars not accompanied by the same letter significantly differ at the 5% level, using Tukey's test.

296x418mm (300 x 300 DPI)